Stenographic Transcript Before the

Subcommittee on Personnel

COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES

UNITED STATES SENATE

TO RECEIVE TESTIMONY ON TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY AND BLAST EXPOSURE CARE

WEDNESDAY FEBRUARY 28, 2024

Washington, D.C.

ALDERSON COURT REPORTING 1029 VERMONT AVE, NW 10TH FLOOR WASHINGTON, DC 20005 (202) 289-2260 www.aldersonreporting.com

1	TO RECEIVE TESTIMONY ON TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY
2	AND BLAST EXPOSURE CARE
3	
4	Wednesday February 28, 2024
5	
6	U.S. Senate
7	Subcommittee on Personnel,
8	Committee on Armed Services,
9	Washington, D.C.
10	
11	The subcommittee met, pursuant to notice, at 2:59
12	p.m., in Room 222, Russell Senate Office Building, Hon.
13	Elizabeth Warren, chairman of the subcommittee, presiding.
14	Subcommittee Members Present: Senators Warren
15	[presiding], Blumenthal, Hirono, Kaine, Ernst, Sullivan,
16	Scott, and Budd.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



1

1

OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. ELIZABETH WARREN, U.S.

2 SENATOR FROM MASSACHUSETTS

3 Senator Warren: [Technical problems.] This hearing 4 will come to order. I am pleased to welcome you all to 5 today's hearing to receive testimony on the Department of 6 Defense's efforts to protect servicemembers from blast 7 overpressure.

8 Servicemembers put their lives and their health on the 9 line when they are -- [technical problems]. In return, we 10 have a profound responsibility to make sure the nation is 11 doing all we can to keep them safe, to prevent battlefield 12 and training casualties -- oops, thank you.

13 [Technical problems.]

14 Senator Warren: That could be trouble. All right. 15 Good. Did we get the other on the record? Just so, I got 16 started here. Servicemembers put their lives and their 17 health on the line when they put on their uniforms.

And in return, we have a profound responsibility to make sure that the nation is doing all that it can to keep them safe, to prevent battlefield and training casualties, and to provide the best possible care for those who are injured. We are holding this hearing --

23 [Technical problems.]

24 Senator Warren: We are there? Good. We are holding 25 this hearing because DOD is not meeting its



responsibilities when it comes to traumatic brain injuries
and other injuries that result from firing weapons.

Injuries from blast overpressure, the pressure that is caused by a shock wave that exceeds normal atmospheric values, have been the signature wounds of the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan.

But there are also injuries incurred in training here
at home. They are invisible, but they affect thousands of
servicemembers, causing headaches, seizures,

10 hallucinations, and ultimately significantly increased 11 risks of depression and suicide.

Over the course of just three months in 2023, DOD provided TBI treatment to servicemembers nearly 50,000 times. The more we learn, the more we come to understand that blast exposure is an ongoing threat to the health of individual servicemembers, and to the well-being, the morale, and the readiness of our entire force.

18 I appreciate the support I have had on this issue from 19 Ranking Member Scott, from Senator Ernst, from Senator 20 Tillis, and from other members of this committee. Ι 21 secured a long term study of blast overpressure injuries in 22 the 2018 National Defense Authorization Act, and I have 23 worked with Senator Ernst to introduce legislation on blast 24 overpressure and to secure additional requirements to track 25 blast overpressure injuries in the Fiscal Year 2020 NDAA.



DOD is working to implement this legislation, but we still have significant problems. Last year, The New York Times reported on heightened brain injury risks for U.S. troops in Syria fighting ISIS. Four artillery batteries assigned to the region fired more weapons than any military American artillery since the Vietnam War.

7 The result was that each of these units had members 8 with serious blast overpressure injuries, and each had at 9 least one member that committed suicide. These deaths are 10 a tragedy. Ryan, a Navy SEAL deployed to Iraq and 11 Afghanistan, was subject to significant blasts from his own 12 weapons over the course of his career and later died by 13 suicide.

14 His father, Mr. Frank Larkin, is here today to discuss 15 the harm that blast overpressure has caused to 16 servicemembers and to their families. The Times also 17 revealed that even when DOD had made policy changes to 18 address risks, those changes were not evident on the 19 ground. Weapons known to deliver shock waves well above 20 safety thresholds were still widely used. Training did not 21 involve basic safety measures, and special operations 22 forces were not issued blast exposure gauges, the gauges 23 that are needed to track the threats they faced. 24 So, DOD and Congress both have a lot to do. Here is

25 my agenda to address this problem. First, we need to



establish mitigation strategies specific to the service
 member roles that are most at risk for blast overpressure.

3 Second, we must require DOD to create blast exposure 4 and traumatic brain injury logs for all servicemembers and 5 to integrate these logs into their VA and DOD health care 6 records. Third, the Department of Defense should partner 7 with innovative, evidence based programs like Home Base to 8 help servicemembers get the care they need. And I am going 9 to have to brag here for just a minute.

Home Base is a nonprofit organization founded by Massachusetts General Hospital and the Boston Red Sox to take care of the invisible wounds of veterans,

13 servicemembers, military families, and families of the 14 fallen. Home Base has clinics in Massachusetts and in 15 Florida, Ranking Member Scott's State.

Home Base has a comprehensive brain health and trauma program specifically designed for special operations veterans and servicemembers, where it has been leading innovative treatments for veterans with co-occurring substance abuse and mental health conditions.

As we work through this year's NDAA, I want to support this program's work, and I appreciate Dr. Zafonte from Home Base joining us today. One more item.

We need to make sure that DOD sets a threshold on the maximum number of rounds that is safe -- that



servicemembers can safely fire, and that this includes
 consideration of exposure limits over an extended period of
 time. DOD must do its part and Congress must do our part.

So, to our witnesses, welcome and thank you for appearing. We are going to have two panels today. The first panel will consist of outside witnesses to provide their perspective on where DOD and the services are falling short on protecting servicemembers from blast overpressure.

9 Dr. Samantha McBirney Professor of Policy Analysis at 10 the Pardee RAND Graduate School, Dr. Ross Zafonte, Chief of 11 Traumatic Brain Injury and Health and Wellness Programs at 12 Home Base, and Frank Larkin, Chief Operating Officer of 13 Troops First Foundation and lead of the National Warrior 14 Call Day Initiative.

15 The second panel will consist of officials from the 16 Department of Defense and Walter Reed to hear how DOD is 17 tackling this issue. We will have Dr. Lester Martinez 18 Lopez, Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs, 19 Kathy Lee, Director of Warfighter Brain Health Policy at 20 DOD, and Captain Carlos Williams, Director of the National 21 Intrepid Center of Excellence at Walter Reed National 22 Military Medical Center.

I will now turn to ranking Member Scott for hiscomments to open this hearing.

25



1

STATEMENT OF HON. RICK SCOTT, U.S. SENATOR FROM

2 FLORIDA

3 Senator Scott: First, I want to thank Senator Warren, 4 the chairwoman of this committee and -- our subcommittee 5 and thank her for caring about this issue and for taking 6 this job so seriously. Chairwoman Warren, I want to thank 7 you for holding this hearing on such an important topic. 8 Traumatic brain injury, or TBI, is one of the most 9 common injuries sustained by American servicemembers. In 10 2022, more than 20,000 military personnel were diagnosed 11 with TBI. Stop and think about that for a second. Just in 12 2022, there are more than 20,000, 20,000 members of 13 military that were diagnosed with a traumatic brain injury. 14 That is pretty bad. The vast majority, over 84 15 percent, were classified as mild, which is more commonly 16 known as a concussion. But if any of us have -- when you 17 have raised kids and they have a concussion, it scares the 18 living daylights out of you. 19 Missing from this data are servicemembers who are 20 frequently exposed to low level blasts that do not 21 typically result in a clinically diagnosable concussion. 22 This is concerning because repeated exposure to low level 23 blast may cause similar symptoms as more severe cases of 24 TBI.

_ _ _

25

We know that low level blast exposure from fire and



heavy weapons systems or explosives may cause a variety of
 symptoms including concentration, memory problems,
 irritability, headaches, and decreased hand-eye
 coordination. Each of these issues alone can be very
 serious and disrupt somebodies life.

6 Unfortunately, there remains a great deal about 7 exposure to these blasts that we yet do not know. More 8 research and better data are required so that military and 9 health care providers can mitigate the frequency of blast 10 exposure where possible and treat those exposed to blast 11 where necessary.

We have actually taken action to do that. In the 2018 National Defense Authorization Act, Congress required the Department of Defense to conduct a medical study on blast pressure exposure.

16 Two months ago, the committee received the 17 Department's final report on this study. This hearing 18 presents an opportunity to assess the quality of the 19 Department's work. The legislation required the study, 20 which followed specific individuals over an extended period 21 of time, to include three specific elements.

First, the Department was to "monitor, record, and analyze data on blast pressure exposure" for any service member "likely to be exposed to a blast in training or combat." Second, the study was to assess the feasibility



1 and advisability of including blast exposure history into a 2 servicemember's medical record.

3 Last, the Department was to review the safety 4 precautions of heavy weapons training in light of emerging 5 research on blast exposure. In reviewing the final report 6 submitted this past December, it is clear the Department 7 still has more work to do, particularly in its ability to 8 monitor and record blast exposures for military personnel. 9 Only a few hundred soldiers and Marines were fitted 10 with wearable devices that unfortunately seem to suffer 11 from quality control issues. And while the Department's 12 report does say that it may be feasible to record blast exposure information in a servicemember's medical record, a 13 14 business case analysis is required to determine the way 15 forward in this area. In this hearing, I would like to 16 learn more about how the Department plans to conduct this

17 business case analysis.

This is an important issue. I believe the Department is committed to getting this right and I believe the TBI Center of Excellence and Warfighter Brain Health Initiatives are excellent initiatives that I hope will provide the military with the information needed to better understand the effects of repetitive blast exposure.

We all must remember the exposure to low level blast will continue to be a necessary risk for many of our



frontline combat troops. But if we can do better -- if we can better quantify the type and number of blasts that have the potential to cause significant, perhaps permanent injuries, then we can use that information to make better decisions about how best to accomplish a particular mission.

7 I would like to hear from the witnesses what Congress 8 can do to ensure the Department of Defense has the 9 resources it needs to conduct its planned work and where we 10 can help. Only this is about the well-being of the 11 individuals that are willing to put on the uniform, who are 12 closest to the front line of combat, and every 13 servicemember that is diagnosed with TBI.

We owe it to them to ensure -- and their families to ensure that when they go into harm's way, they are welltrained, have the right protective equipment, and are utilized in a manner that achieves the objective with an understanding of the risk involved.

I want to thank you to all the witnesses for being
here today. I look forward to your testimony. And again,
I want to thank Senator Warren for putting this together.
Senator Warren: Thank you.

23 [Technical problems.]

24

25



STATEMENT OF SAMANTHA MCBIRNEY, PROFESSOR OF POLICY
 ANALYSIS, PARDEE RAND GRADUATE SCHOOL

3 Dr. McBirney: Chairwoman Warren, Ranking Member 4 Scott, and members of the committee, good afternoon, and 5 thank you for the opportunity to testify today. My name is 6 Dr. Samantha McBirney, and I am a Biomedical Engineer at 7 the nonprofit, nonpartisan RAND Corporation.

8 My research for the last 15 years, not only at RAND, 9 but also at the University of California, Berkeley and the 10 University of Southern California, has focused on traumatic 11 brain injury, or TBI, both as the result of blunt impact 12 and blast overpressure.

Today, I would like to speak with you about repeated exposure to low level military occupational blasts, which are low level blast exposures experienced while fulfilling military occupational duties.

Evidence suggests that servicemembers are exposed to these blasts in the form of blast overpressure, or the pressure wave that emanates from the source of an explosion. This pressure wave can cause sub-concussive injuries which are not immediately detectable and would not qualify as a TBI.

Exposure to blast overpressure can occur both in combat and in training, as has already been mentioned. During training, exposure can be due to breaching exercises



and the firing of increasingly powerful weapon systems,
 such as the Carl Gustaf recoilless rifle and the AT4.

To provide some perspective on the level of exposure some servicemembers have, one study found that up to 32 percent of blasts experienced by breaching instructors exceeded the recommended exposure limit.

7 Studies have shown that the cumulative effect of 8 repeated low level blast exposure can cause symptoms 9 similar to TBI. While a variety of effects have been 10 linked to low level blast exposure, as Senator Warren and 11 Senator Scott have already mentioned, there remains a lack 12 of scientific evidence linking repeated exposure to injury. 13 One reason for this is the difficulty of diagnosis.

The very nature of low level blast exposure, and the fact that it is not one single event that causes an issue, but rather the cumulative effect of repeated exposure over time complicates injury recognition.

18 Symptoms typically do not manifest immediately, which 19 makes it unlikely that repeated exposure to low level blast 20 is identified as the cause. Additionally, injury is vastly 21 underreported among servicemembers, only obfuscating the 22 issue of proper diagnosis further.

There is also a lack of research about the military occupational specialties at greatest risk of exposure to low level blast. While there is no doubt that certain



occupational specialties are more frequently exposed than
 others, there is little research to support these
 hypotheses.

So, there remains a lack of understanding of the direct impact that repeated exposure to low level blast has on the health of servicemembers in different occupational specialties. If the preventive intervention is perfectly effective but cannot be delivered in time, it is not useful.

10 This quote from a 2019 RAND report perfectly describes 11 the current state and the reason many of us are here today, 12 "as a research community, we clearly see that additional 13 research needs to be done. However, there are steps the 14 DOD can take now to better protect servicemembers against 15 blast induced injury."

16 I highlight four recommendations in my written 17 testimony, and I would like to bring your attention to one 18 of them here, the creation and maintenance of blast 19 exposure records. These records should include number of 20 exposures, the context of each exposure, and any physical, 21 mental, or emotional effects resulting from that exposure. 22 This would allow the DOD to better track exposure 23 frequency, assess the occurrence among high risk occupational specialties, determine the connection between 24





1 mitigate exposure in training environments.

2 Ultimately, these records could be used to develop an 3 index score to gauge an individual's combat readiness and 4 potential health risks. As our weapon systems continue to 5 become more advanced and increasingly powerful, low level 6 military occupational blasts will remain an enduring 7 challenge for servicemembers.

8 Addressing the issue of repeated exposure to these blasts necessitates action and collaboration between the 9 10 DOD and the research community. By implementing the 11 recommendations as outlined in my written testimony, 12 alongside continued research efforts to close substantial 13 knowledge gaps, the DOD can take significant strides 14 towards better protecting the health and well-being of our 15 servicemembers.

16Thank you, and I look forward to your questions.17[The prepared statement of Dr. McBirney follows:]18

20 21 22

23

19

- 24
- 25



Thank you.

Senator Warren:



STATEMENT OF ROSS D. ZAFONTE, CHIEF OF TRAUMATIC
 BRAIN INJURY AND HEALTH & WELLNESS PROGRAMS, HOME BASE
 [Technical problems.]

Dr. Zafonte: Good afternoon, Chairwoman Warren,
Ranking Member Scott, members of the subcommittee. My name
is Dr. Ross Zafonte. I am honored to provide testimony
today on traumatic brain injury care and blast exposure.
My career is centered around improving the lives of people
with traumatic brain injury.

10 I currently serve as President of Spalding, Chair of 11 the Physical Medicine Rehabilitation Department at Harvard 12 Medical School, Chief of the Department of Physical 13 Medicine Rehabilitation at Mass General Hospital and 14 Brigham and Women's Hospital, and for the past 15 years, I 15 have served at the Home Base Program, directing its Brain 16 Injury Program. I actually see the patients, as well as do 17 the research.

Blast overpressure, as we just heard, is a sudden onset of a pressure wave from explosions occurring with shoulder carried artillery in training or deployment, in breaching buildings, and from improvised explosive devices. Generally, the bigger the explosion, the more damaging the pressure width.

TBI can have a wide range of physical and physiologic effects. Some signs appear immediately, others take days



or weeks to occur, and they may result in physical,
 sensory, cognitive, behavioral, or mental impacts.

According to the Department of Defense, since 2000, over 400,000 U.S. servicemembers experienced at least one brain injury and 40 percent of those later screened positive for co-morbid psychological health conditions.

7 Our own research has noted an elevated ten year risk 8 of hypertension, cardiac disease, endocrine or hormonal 9 dysfunction, and behavioral concerns such as depression 10 even among the youngest of patients.

Home Base is located in Charlestown, Massachusetts, with, I am proud to say, as a native Floridian, satellite locations in Florida and Arizona, and operates one of the oldest and most impactful private sector programs in the nation.

For 15 years, we have served as an incubator for innovative clinical care models and research, and the program is nested within Mass General Hospital, allowing us to leverage the faculty in Mass General Brigham Health System. Home Base bridges the gap between research and clinical care.

Now, in 2018, Home Base was approached by the Navy Special Warfare with a complex set of problems facing Navy SEALS. We quickly developed a comprehensive brain injury and polytrauma program. This program is named COMBAT, or



1 the Comprehensive Brain Health and Treatment Program.

It is modeled after existing programs that we developed for elite athletes and provides integrated, multi-disciplinary, specialist treatments, evaluation and care coordination for veteran and active duty operators. Home Base has treated nearly 1,000 special operators through our intensive programs.

8 71.9 percent of combat participants are active duty 9 and the overwhelming majority return to duty, so we are 10 keeping the fighting force active. We currently have 178 11 active duty special operators waiting to be screened and 12 scheduled for COMBAT Program, and COMBAT has cared for operators in 47 States, the District of Columbia, Guam, 13 14 Puerto Rico, including 53 patients from Massachusetts, 60 15 from Florida, 6 from Connecticut, 22 from Hawaii, 278 from Virginia, 4 from Illinois, 1 from Alaska, and 54 from North 16 17 Carolina.

18 The COMBAT program is highly efficient, agile, and 19 compressed into a five day model of care. Patients see a 20 minimum of nine providers, and this may expand grossly 21 related to pertinent diagnostic imaging or other studies. 22 So, in summary, we are very grateful for the support 23 of Congress, especially Chairwoman Warren, has shown this 24 program, and for the partnership and financial support 25 provided by SOCOM.



1 The program is successful and the demand for care is 2 growing at a steady pace. Based on my experience in this 3 field and treating patients at Home Base, I would recommend 4 the Department of Defense consider the following options. 5 Invest in and develop tools to measure --

б

[Technical problems.]

7 Dr. Zafonte: Increase funding for partnerships with 8 academic medical centers. Ensure all servicemembers with 9 traumatic brain injury can easily access care. And as has 10 been said, that data needs to be linked to blast exposure.

Develop novel methods to define and understand the impacts of declining health spans and develop treatment interventions. I also recommend that DOD partner with Home Base to develop a long term, longitudinal health span study on the multi-system injury of blast and traumatic brain injury.

And invest in research that evaluates and treats the long term sequalae of repeated brain injuries of blast exposure. Thank you for the opportunity to testify on this very important topic, and for your commitment to supporting members of the military. I am happy to answer questions the committee may have.

[The prepared statement of Dr. Zafonte follows:]

25



1	Senator Wa	rren: Tha	nk you, Dr.	Zafonte.	Mr. Larkin,
2	would you like	to make an	opening st	atement?	
3					
4					
5					
б					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21 22					
23					
24					
24					
40					



STATEMENT OF FRANK J. LARKIN, CHIEF OPERATING
 OFFICER, TROOPS FIRST FOUNDATION, LEAD OF NATIONAL WARRIOR
 CALL DAY INITIATIVE

Mr. Larkin: Thank you to the committee for the
opportunity to speak. My formal testimony is submitted for
the record.

7 As a former Navy SEAL, I am here today to be a voice 8 for all those that have worn our nation's uniform and are 9 currently struggling everyday with both visible and 10 invisible wounds. Wounds that transcend mental, physical, 11 and spiritual domains. Wounds that transcend -- I am 12 sorry, wounds that have influenced an epidemic level of 13 suicides amongst our active-duty force and veteran 14 populations.

My intent today is not to pounce on the Department of Defense or the Veterans Administration, but to help them succeed. I would not be here if it was not for my son Ryan, U.S. Navy SEAL Special Operator 1st Class Ryan F. Larkin. My son would be 36 years old today if he had not taken his life on the morning of April 23rd, 2017.

He had been suffering from what we have come to characterize as invisible wounds, a complex rubric of posttraumatic stress disorder, moral injury, and substance use disorder that was complicated by undiagnosed traumatic brain injury from blast exposure, the signature injury of



1 the past 20 plus years fighting the global War on Terror.

2 Ryan was a highly decorated and accomplished Navy
3 SEAL, trained as a special operations medic, sniper, and
4 explosives breacher. He loved being a SEAL, furthered by
5 the love and loyalty for his teammates that was cemented on
6 the battlefield.

7 Following four heavy combat tours in Iraq and Afghanistan, Ryan, like many others who have worn the 8 9 uniform of our nation in combat, began experiencing 10 uncharacteristic changes that manifested in difficulty 11 sleeping, nightmares, anxiety, hypervigilance, loss of 12 memory and declining cognitive functions. He stopped 13 smiling. He sought help, but the help that was offered was 14 not aligned with what he needed.

When his condition became more complicated, and their proposed solutions didn't work, the system weaponized his pleas for help against him and pushed him out of the SEAL team and out of the Navy.

This abrupt separation created another deep weeping wound. He felt that he had let his teammates down, abandoning them. The system he trusted hung labels on him to justify their assessments and their actions. A year after he honorably separated from the Navy, Ryan ended his life. Ryan repeatedly said, something is wrong with my head, nobody is listening, they keep telling me I'm crazy.



1 This was reinforced by the endless stream of 2 medications prescribed by both defense health and VA 3 clinicians to address his behavioral symptoms, not the root 4 cause of his challenges. Everything defaulted to 5 psychiatric and mental health illness, with very little 6 mention of TBI, despite his operational profile and 7 repeated exposures to blast overpressures from our weapons 8 systems and enemy IEDs.

9 Over the course of two years between defense health 10 and the VA, he was prescribed over 40 different 11 medications, everything from potions, lotions, and creams 12 to high end psychotropic and mood stabilizing drugs. He 13 never received a clinical diagnosis. He was a walking 14 experiment.

15 One night prior to his death, he said that he wasn't 16 going to live very long, that he was broken up inside. He 17 made me promise that if anything ever happened to him, that 18 he wanted his body donated for TBI-Breacher's Syndrome 19 research. Then he turned to me and said, you know dad, it 20 is going to take guys killing themselves before the system 21 wakes up to the fact that it has a problem.

The guys are hurt. Ryan's brain was donated to a DOD research effort at Walter Reed National Military Medical Center. Two months later, we learned that Ryan had a severe case of undiagnosed microscopic brain injury



uniquely related to repeated blast exposure. Ryan was
 hurt, not crazy.

He was right all along. Unfortunately, our medical enterprises could not and still cannot see this level of microscopic injury in a living warfighter or veteran. My son died from his injuries suffered both in training for combat and combat operations.

8 He just didn't die right away. These warriors with 9 invisible wounds, they are hurt. They are not broken. 10 They break when they are cut away from their teammates, 11 their tribes, and are betrayed by the institutions where 12 they have given their all. It has been 23 years since 13 9/11. DOD has spent almost \$3 billion in mental -- on 14 mental health, substance abuse, suicide prevention, PTSD, 15 TBI, and other warfighter assistance programs.

I give them a D plus, C minus at best, for the lack of measurable impact for those who need answers. Those are the deck plate, dirt level warfighters we promised to take care of and not leave behind.

Blast exposure is a key threat to warrior brain health and potentially represents a significant national security threat to our force, readiness, and resiliency. However, whatever solutions we come up with, it can't impact our operational effectiveness or lethality on the battlefield. We need to do this smarter and by down the risk on the



1	front end. Thank you for the opportunity to be the voice
2	for others like Ryan. Subject to your questions.
3	[The prepared statement of Mr. Larkin follows:]
4	
5	
б	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



1 Senator Warren: Thank you, Mr. Larkin. I appreciate 2 your being here and sharing this story. I am sorry for 3 your loss, and I am sorry for the treatment your son Ryan 4 received. I think you said it right, traumatic brain 5 injuries are considered "the signature wound" of our wars 6 in Iraq and Afghanistan.

7 While improvised explosive devices, IEDs, may have 8 caused some of these medical injuries, a military medical 9 research study found that for troops with mild traumatic 10 brain injury, "the most important cause of brain injury was 11 the long term exposure to explosive weapons."

In 2011, the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency determined that 75 percent of the troops' blast exposure in Afghanistan was coming from their own weapons. The effects of blast overpressure are terrible, including memory loss, increased risk of dementia, and substance abuse problems.

But despite the severity of these impacts on servicemembers' health, when these problems are diagnosed, blast exposure is rarely identified as a potential cause. Dr. McBirney, you have studied this issue for 15 years now. Why is it so difficult to detect when blast overpressure is causing the types of symptoms that we are talking about here in our servicemembers?

Dr. McBirney: That is a great question, Senator Warren, and a question that so many people within the



research community are committed to answering. It really
 comes back to the nature of the injury itself.

We are not looking at an injury that is caused by one isolated event. The fact that it is caused by repeated exposure to very low level blasts that perhaps might happen throughout the course of an entire military career really complicates injury recognition.

8 Add to that the fact that symptoms typically don't 9 manifest immediately, as was mentioned, and it becomes 10 increasingly difficult to link symptoms to repeated 11 exposure.

12 Senator Warren: So, yes, I just want to say, I want 13 to pick up on this, because I think this is a really 14 important point about the challenge in trying to diagnose 15 because of the very nature of what the injury looks like. 16 It is not a single moment in time where this happens. And 17 so, I just want to pick up and let's see if we can take 18 this forward.

We need to know how often, I take it from your testimony -- we need to know how often a servicemember has been exposed to blast overpressure, to give medical personnel the information that they need to identify and treat the underlying cause of their symptoms. Now, so far, the DOD only has blast exposure data for a total of 500 servicemembers.



We are missing data, obviously, for a whole lot more. Tracking this information through blast exposure and traumatic brain injury logs for all servicemembers would be a good start, but we also need to pay special attention to servicemembers that are at especially high risk for blast exposure.

Some military occupational specialties, MOSs, such as training instructors, are significantly more likely to be exposed to blast during training or operations. The Marine Corps found that the artillery community is also at particularly high risk and that high rates of exposure could lead them, "to suffering injuries faster than combat replacements can be trained to replace them."

14 So, Dr. McBirney, I wanted to give you another chance 15 in this is we are trying to push this forward, does DOD 16 currently have the strategies it needs to mitigate the 17 risks from blast overpressure that are specific to each of 18 the military occupational specialties that are most likely 19 to be exposed?

20 Dr. McBirney: I can't say I am aware of any of those 21 strategies. And in addition to that, a lot of the folks 22 with whom I interact on a very regular basis with boots on 23 the ground in these communities that are at risk of 24 significant exposure are additionally unaware of such 25 strategies.



Senator Warren: Okay. So, anything more do you want
 to say about what DOD should be doing in this space? I
 want to make sure I have given you a chance here.

4 Dr. McBirney: No. Thank you, Senator. I think 5 really Mr. Larkin and I were discussing prior to this. Ι 6 think if my -- if I could choose the key takeaway for 7 today, it would be to not let perfection interfere with 8 I think everyone here is looking for the right progress. 9 And what we really want to be sure of is that we solution. 10 don't wait too long to implement what we think is a perfect 11 solution.

There is a lot of research that still needs to be done. Coming from the research community, I am always a supporter of more research. That being said, we can also be looking to implement solutions, study said solutions, while they are being implemented at the same time.

17 Senator Warren: So, let's focus on that for just a 18 second, just a little bit more, about the idea of 19 collecting the data as we go along, so at least it is a 20 first step in getting the information that we need.

I understand this is a gap that DOD needs to fill, and I understand that it is more challenging to limit servicemember blast exposure during combat, but there is no excuse for DOD to continue to expose servicemembers to unnecessary levels of blast overpressure during training.



1 This is obviously an area where we could make change, and 2 it is clear that there is a lot we need to do to protect 3 our servicemembers from blast exposure.

But DOD, it goes to your point Dr. McBirney, DOD constantly says we need more research, we need more research. And I am a data nerd. I always want more research, but I am very concerned about the idea that we are going to put off treatment.

9 So, let me put the question more specifically to you, 10 and that is, do you think we know enough now about the 11 risks of blast overpressure to servicemembers' health to 12 start taking action now?

13 Dr. McBirney: In short, absolutely. Yes.

14 Senator Warren: All right. So, we do know enough. 15 So, there are number of steps DOD could take to help us get 16 more data so that we can understand this over time, but 17 more importantly, a number of steps they could take right 18 now in terms of treatment.

And I have talked long enough so I will come back to you later on this, Dr. Zafonte and Mr. Larkin. Senator Scott.

22 Senator Scott: Yes. Well, first, Mr. Larkin, I can't 23 imagine -- having kids and grandkids, I can't imagine lose 24 one. So, thank you for your service, your son service and 25 just hope as a result, you know, something good happens out



of it. Somebody -- it prevents something else from
 happening.

3 Dr. Zafonte, can you explain what the -- the blast, 4 what does it do to the brain like this? Like let's say, 5 you know, I go shoot a shotgun or doing this stuff, what 6 does it do -- each one of them, how does it impact my 7 brain?

8 Dr. Zafonte: Well, I think, to my colleagues' good 9 point, perfection is the enemy of the good. And you can 10 criticize all of the models, but we know that these sub-11 concussive injuries do a number of different things.

12 They probably disproportionately impact areas of the 13 brain at gray matter, white matter interfaces. They 14 probably have a vascular effect. More likely long term, 15 there is possibly a premature aging effect to the brain 16 itself with multiple repetitive blast exposures or 17 certainly with traumatic brain injury.

18 So, lifelong exposure, getting that quantification 19 that Senator Warren talked about is critically important 20 because we need to know one thing. We need to know in who, 21 how much, what were they doing, and then what was the 22 actual phenotype or what actually happened to the symptoms 23 of the person, and track that very carefully.

24 Senator Scott: So, right now, you can get a glucose 25 monitor and put all your data in there, and pretty fast you



1 can get a correlation, right? So have you had any 2 opportunity to take -- because we know, if you joined the 3 service, we know what blast you are going to have in boot 4 camp if you are enlisting -- you know, enlisted. And so, 5 is there anybody that is doing anything to just say that 6 when you just put all this data on something and then just 7 look at the model over a period of time?

8 Dr. Zafonte: I think there are a number of groups, 9 including our own, looking at blood based biomarkers for 10 people, neuroimaging. And all of those are critical as we 11 understand the exposure and the diagnosis.

But we also want to know how those things and specific lifelong exposures impact the symptoms of the person. Because there is not a 1 to 1 relationship. There's a relative relationship.

Senator Scott: So, if you had -- if every service member, if you had the data of -- you know, just start today. Just anybody new that joins boot camp and starts going through infantry training. And you know, if you just have the data and you had that in front of you, then over time you could do predictive analysis of, you know, where the problems are, right?

Dr. Zafonte: Right. And I think that -- but to the point that was just raised, I think there are action steps now and that we have -- we are compelled very much so to



make this a living learning environment and continue to
 collect data and perhaps change policy, change programs,
 change how we treat people as we understand more over time.

Senator Scott: So, you don't have enough information
today exactly what happens as all these blasts happen.
What you have is, you know, you see the result. You see
over a period of time that this is what happens. That is
what you have so far, right?

9 Dr. Zafonte: I think that is right. I think, 10 Senator, what we have, and thank you for the excellent 11 question, is a series of smaller studies that show changes 12 in your imaging, changes in blood based biomarkers, 13 representative of injury of the brain. But how it is going 14 to behave in a large population of people is one thing. 15 How it is going to behave in Bobby or Sue is a very 16 different thing.

Senator Scott: Right. Okay. And how -- so, Dr. McBirney, how hard it would be to just put up a program? It wouldn't be that hard, would it?

20 Dr. McBirney: That is a great question. 21 Unfortunately, one that I find myself unqualified to 22 answer.

23 Senator Scott: But we do it in a whole bunch of other 24 stuff. We do it like with glucose monitors, right. And if 25 you gave servicemembers just -- you know, just say, here



-- they all have cell phones, right? You just had an app
that said, okay, so every time you have exposure okay, you
put this in. You put in exactly what you did and what you
shot.

And some people are not going to do it well, just like no one follows their health -- you know, they didn't take their medicine. But that wouldn't be that hard to do, night? I mean, we have all this stuff from sugar levels.

9 Why don't we do -- I mean, why don't we -- why 10 wouldn't that be the simplest thing to start doing and then 11 you could start seeing that, like -- if you could -- if you 12 had all that data, you could pretty quickly do a predictive 13 analysis of even short term problems. Not, you know, it 14 take a long time to say what is my 20 year problem, right. 15 Dr. Zafonte: Yes, I think following people over

16 decade will be valuable. I think we will see certain 17 markers and certain things change early on, but we have to 18 remember that it is not an uncomplicated story.

Even the blood based biomarkers or other entities such as imaging have a lot of variation within. You know, the brain, I think my colleagues would support me, is an incredible structure, but it is also a bit of a black box still within science, and understanding how different networks relationships, how these nodes connect, and an injury in one space affects an injury another, that is a



1 challenge.

Senator Scott: But you would actually know the
result. I mean, you even though you don't know exactly
why, you could over time predict what is going to happen.
Dr. Zafonte: If you are looking for symptomatic,
senator, prediction I think with a large enough data set,
you certainly could draw some strong relationships.

8 Senator Scott: Right. And then very quickly come back and say, okay, we know this. We know that if you have 9 10 this much, you know -- the, you know, the odds are like you 11 can go get a blood test for cancer now and it is very 12 predictive of whether you are going to end up with cancer. 13 Is it perfect? No. I mean it depends on the cancer. So, 14 it seems like this would be pretty easy to do and it 15 shouldn't be that hard.

Dr. Zafonte: So, Senator, I would agree with you, but I would bring up the issue that we are all individual and different people, and these types of injuries affect individuals in a different way.

So, a series of years' worth of exposure is affected by who you were beforehand, the kinds of exposures, and then the treatment you had afterwards. And that produces this result, and the fact that it is not so easy to put in a box.

Senator Scott: Right. Okay. Senator Hirono.



25

1

[Technical problems.]

Senator Hirono: I call myself for five minutes
-- [technical problems]. There are a lot of our
servicemembers who were exposed to IEDs during the tenure
in Afghanistan and Iraq. So, are you tracking these
servicemembers? Most of them probably are in veteran
status. Are you tracking them for exposure to blasts and
what is happening to them? Anybody?

9 Mr. Larkin: So, I used to be a senior leader within 10 the Department of Defense running the Joint IED Field 11 Organization, JIEDO. And I can tell you that it was a 12 concern as far back as 2008, 2009, that these blast 13 exposures were creating a unique health risk to our 14 warriors.

We had gotten to the point where we had up armored and created new armored vehicles that were surviving the blast, but what got in that vehicle and what came out of that vehicle were two different states, and it alerted us to the fact that there were things -- that blast effect was having an effect on the human body that needed to be studied and researched.

So, as far as you know, having a handle on -- unless there was a catastrophic injury and usually one that was visible, at the time, if they -- a lot of these folks came out of these vehicles, and they looked fairly normal.



1 Senator Hirono: Yes.

2 Mr. Larkin: And it wasn't until time evolved that we 3 started to see the behavioral changes, cognitive 4 dysfunction, and so forth. I have no knowledge of whether 5 anybody attempted to formally collect on that data and do 6 anything with it.

7 Senator Hirono: I think that is an important kind of 8 follow up as we try to understand what the impact of these 9 blasts are long term. Also, I would think that -- I mean, 10 it is bad enough that there is traumatic brain injury that 11 needs to be followed up on, but I would think that a lot of 12 them may develop conditions such as ringing in the ears. 13 Yes, so, Dr. Zafonte.

Mr. Larkin: Thank you very much, Senator, for the excellent point. I think we have long term sequelae for people such as tinnitus or ringing in the ear, chronic headaches.

18 Senator Hirono: Yes.

Dr. Zafonte: Pain is a big driver that drives not only a headache or one's immediate perception, but it also invades behavior. People who are in pain don't behave the same way, and they don't cognitively perform the same way. So, what I am saying is that blast has a multi-system effect. Of course, the brain is our principal and driving concern, but it has effects in things that are linked to



1 the brain, linked to the behavior that we need to know more 2 about.

Senator Hirono: Well, for example, tinnitus -- that doesn't cause pain, but it is severely annoying. It can be debilitating. And I don't know whether you are -- it sounds as though you are also tracking the incidence of these kinds of issues, and it is something I am very familiar with, and there seems to be no cure for these conditions.

And so, I am very interested to know what kind of breakthroughs there are in treatment -- I know that tinnitus is the disease, and tinnitus is a ringing that's not related to any problem with the ears. So, is that something that you all are also studying, tracking? Mr. Larkin: Senator, all I can tell you is that I

16 have it from the use of explosives and weapons.

17 Senator Hirono: You have it?

18 Mr. Larkin: It doesn't go away.

19 Senator Hirono: I know.

20 Mr. Larkin: It is just -- I have to live with it. 21 Senator Hirono: Me too. It is very annoying. And 22 sometimes it is so loud that it interferes with sleeping. 23 So that is -- I think that there are a lot more of our 24 servicemembers who have endured or are enduring those 25 conditions that we have to pay attention to. One more



1 question.

A 2023 RAND report noted that there is a critical gap in effective PPE in that most models represent the average human male. So is that -- and this is for Dr. McBirney. It is certainly important that we protect -- provide protective equipment to all of our servicemembers.

So how can we make sure that this kind of protective equipment is also -- is appropriate for women. Is that happening?

10 Dr. McBirney: And that is a great question, a very 11 important topic, and it is happening. So those findings 12 were from the last state of the science meeting that we had 13 on blast induced injury, and we were happy to learn that 14 there is quite a bit of research being done in the 15 community to make sure that the average male, and 16 specifically in many instances the average Caucasian male, 17 is not the only subject that is being used to test 18 equipment.

19 Senator Hirono: Yes. That is very important. Thank20 you. Thank you, Madam Chair.

Senator Warren: Thank you. Senator Ernst.
Senator Ernst: Thank you very much, and good
afternoon. And I would like to thank you, Chairwoman, for
the invitation to participate in this subcommittee today.
It is a very important discussion that we are having about



1 the impact on our servicemembers and their families.

And traumatic brain injuries can arise not only from the combat deployments, but also from those routine training exercises that our men and women go through every single day. Even when they are adhering to safety standards and established safety guidelines, the act of firing heavy weapons, just as you stated, Mr. Larkin, can create those long term effects.

9 Other types of training sessions in preparation for 10 combat deployments, many of these things can potentially 11 lead to cognitive impairments affecting our function. And, 12 Mr. Larkin, I understand that you shared the story about 13 your son, Ryan.

And I want to thank you so much for your service as a Navy SEAL and your son's service as a Navy SEAL. It was through Mr. Larkin, through Frank sharing his son's story with me many years ago that I finally understood the need to be involved with traumatic brain injuries.

So, thank you so much for sharing what is a very difficult story to tell, but one that is incredibly important for every young man and woman that puts on the uniform of our nation. So, thank you for that. And Mr. Larkin, did you share with the subcommittee then how it was discovered that your son Ryan had traumatic brain injury? Mr. Larkin: Thank you for the question, Senator, and



1 thank you for your comments. Ryan had expressed his desire 2 that if anything ever happened to him, he wanted his body 3 and his brain donated for traumatic brain injury breacher 4 syndrome research.

5 That subsequently was done, and his brain was donated 6 to an activity at Bethesda, Walter Reed that postmortem 7 analysis revealed that he had an undiagnosed microscopic 8 level of brain injury that was uniquely aligned with blast 9 exposure. They only see this pattern of injury with blast 10 exposure.

And if we had not gotten that finding, the narrative that the Navy had built around Ryan and his struggle, and his subsequent passing would have continued on -- would continue to have damaged his reputation. But this finding was indisputable that he was injured. He was not, in his terms, crazy.

17 Senator Ernst: Exactly, Mr. Larkin. And I just want 18 everyone to understand that so many of these injuries go 19 undetected through CAT scans, through MRI's, PET scans.

And as a follow up to that then, and I am very grateful that Ryan had chosen to do that because you would not have known about those injuries otherwise, but then for you, and Dr. Zafonte -- is that right?

I want to make sure I get it correct, Dr. Zafonte, is the automated neuropsychological assessment metrics, the



ANAM test that is used by the DOD, an accurate method of detecting those changes in cognition that can lead to a TBI diagnosis?

Dr. Zafonte: Senator, thank you for the excellent
question. I think we are searching for a gold standard. A
number of these measures, including the ANAM, have
significant flaws in them.

8 Everything from the way they are administered, to 9 challenges on their consistency and internal behavior 10 within an individual and external to other individuals. 11 So, while it is an interesting screening tool, it is far 12 from perfect.

13 Senator Ernst: Yes. And that is why I hope we 14 continue to work towards alternatives or ways that we find 15 that gold standard. That is something that this 16 subcommittee is working on. You have spoken a little bit 17 about wearable devices as well that might be able to 18 diagnose a TBI or blast exposure.

All of these things require research, development, recommendations. Are you confident that we can get to a point where you are able to make recommendations to Congress, to DOD, that will provide us a path forward in protecting these men and women. Any thoughts -- yes, Dr. Zafonte.

25 Dr. Zafonte: Thank you, Senator, for your excellent



question. I would say, and I think my good colleague said this before, perfection is the enemy of the good. There are things we know to do now, and as we learn more, we should do better.

5 And I think if we act and think our responsibilities 6 to make this a dynamic, learning, positive environment for 7 our servicemembers, we can do things now while evaluating 8 data and really making positive change in the future.

9 I think we are going to learn that there is a lot more 10 of that microscopic injury than we had ever believed, and 11 then in certain people, that is going to have some 12 significant sequelae over time.

Senator Ernst: Thank you, Dr. Zafonte. And I believe you are absolutely correct. I think there are a lot more servicemembers out there that have sustained various micro tears or injuries to their brain. And I was reminded of this quote not too long ago. And it's an old one, so forgive me, but if the human brain were so simple, we could understand it, we would be so simple we couldn't.

And just let that sink in, because I think we are always going to be striving to find the answer that we need when it comes to traumatic brain injury. We may never reach that 100 percent solution just because of the dynamics of this incredible organ, but it doesn't mean we should just let it go. There are absolute, disruptions to



1 families, just as we have heard from Mr. Larkin.

And it is incredibly important that we pursue not only ways to prevent traumatic brain injury, but that we also find ways if it does occur and we won't be able to prevent it in 100 percent of cases, but if it is to occur, we need to find ways to treat it and mitigate the impact to our families.

8 So, thank you again, Chairwoman. I really appreciate 9 the opportunity to be here today.

10 Senator Warren: So, I just want to say a very special 11 thank you to you. Senator Ernst, Senator Ernst is not on 12 this subcommittee.

And, like many in the Senate, she has an absolutely packed schedule, but she has been engaged for years now on the issues around traumatic brain injury and working toward changes in the law, both for the documentation that will lead us to better diagnoses and also for the resources to begin treatment now for those who need it.

And she wanted to be here with us today. And I appreciate your coming and doing this. Thank you. Thank you, Senator Kaine.

22 Senator Kaine: Thank you, Chair Warren, and to the 23 subcommittee for having this hearing. It is really 24 important. And I am just going to ask the same question of 25 both panels. So, I just have one question and I would love



1 to get your take, and I will ask the same question to the 2 second panel.

We are not the only country that employs weapons that can have these effects on servicemembers' brain health. So, what have we learned or what can we learn from the experience of other nations and their militaries, either about strategies to prevent or strategies to treat?

8 Mr. Larkin: Senator, again, in my role as a senior 9 leader of the Joint IED Defeat Organization at DOD, back 10 during the height of Iraq and Afghanistan, this was not a 11 U.S. only problem.

You know, we were very much in the trenches with our NATO allies, Five Eyes partners, who were all experiencing the same challenges with maneuvering on the battlefield because the IED had paralyzed our movement and the IED was the weapon system the enemy used against us that literally brought home all the casualties and fatalities of those two conflicts and Africa.

And, you know, if we don't bridge communications with those countries as we try to solve this problem, we are missing a big part of it. They have a great data. They are as concerned about what we are talking about as we are. I think that really we need unsolicited -- we need a gyro like task force to bring together the Government, industry, academia, and our foreign partners for a unity of



effort to match the data, the intellectual capability, and
 our technology to solve this.

We can solve it. It is just that we have different ad hoc efforts going on right now. They are not coordinated. We are handicapped by a lack of data sharing. And like I said, we got to get everybody on -- in the same --

7 Senator Kaine: And even within our own family. I 8 know this panel too has DOD, but not VA. I mean, I am I 9 know in the Richmond, VA, this is a very high priority. 10 So, sharing within our family certainly, but with our 11 allies who have the same experience is really important. 12 Dr. McBirney or Dr. Zafonte, do you want to add to that at 13 all?

Dr. McBirney: Sure. No, thank you for the question, Senator, and it is an excellent one. One consideration that I know some of our allies are considering at this time, and it was published in a report in 2018 by the Center for a New American Security, is reviewing and updating firing limits for a lot of these weapon systems. Those firing limits haven't necessarily been revisited

in some time. And so, in my written testimony, there is a direct quotation from that CNS report in 2018 that details exactly what information to revisit in these weapon systems manuals, and perhaps consider updating to really get at mitigating exposure that our servicemembers experience in



1 training in particular.

2

Senator Kaine: Dr. Zafonte.

3 Dr. Zafonte: Senator, thank you for the great 4 question, and I agree with the comments of my esteemed 5 colleagues. I would add just one other thing, you are 6 completely right. There is power in numbers. There is 7 power in togetherness. There is power in the opportunity 8 to discover and serve our allies throughout the world.

9 And so, I would advocate for common data elements, 10 common data sets that go across our allies as we think 11 about these kinds of exposures and the kind of long term 12 sequelae, both immediate, what does somebody feel now, and 13 then what do they experience years later. Those kinds of 14 things would be incredibly important and doable in many 15 other health systems.

Senator Kaine: Thank you very much. I yield back.
Senator Warren: Thank you. Very important. Senator
Sullivan.

Senator Sullivan: Thank you, Madam Chair. And thank you and Senator Scott for holding this hearing. I think it is a really important one, and I want to thank the witnesses for their attention to these really important issues for our military.

24 So, I got here a little bit late, so if this has 25 already been discussed, bear with me, but I want to dig



into this New York Times article from November of 2023
 entitled, A Secret Strange -- Secret War, Strange New
 Wounds, and Silence from the Pentagon. And this was about
 the Marines in Syria deployed in Syria in 2016 and 2017.

5 And, they returned and really struggled with PTSD 6 issues and health issues, and it wasn't from direct combat. 7 I mean, they were in combat, but it was primarily from 8 there, it appears, really significant amount of firing 9 howitzer rounds.

And kind of to Senator Kaine's point, we have had military members in different wars, Vietnam, Korea, WWII, of course, fire thousands and thousands of howitzer rounds. But so, we have seen this before, but these Marines seem to really have struggled. Have you -- either of you read this report or this story? Okay.

And then, Senators Warren, and Ernst, and Tillis on January 18th, letter to Secretary Austin asked him a lot of specific questions relating to this and other issues that relate to TBI. But this is a kind of a different TBI.

So, sometimes I worry, you know, I just retired from the Marine Corps myself, and I love the Marine Corps. But, you know, like all big organizations, they can be bureaucratic, and I am not sure these Marines are treated very well. And I am wondering, from your experience, maybe we will start with you, Dr. Zafonte, what is your



1 assessment of that report? Was well done reporting, in my 2 view, from the New York Times.

And what do you think the next steps should be? Obviously, we will ask the Government witnesses in the next panel on this topic but would just like to get your assessment from this particular episode. A lot of my constituents in Alaska wrote -- read this article and were quite disturbed by it.

9 We don't even have a big Marine Corps presence in my 10 State, but big Army and Air Force presence. So, can -- I 11 would like all of you to just comment on what your thoughts 12 were and then what we can do - you know, if the Marines 13 haven't seen this, you can see how they could overlook it, 14 but we -- I think this needs a much deeper dive than the 15 military has given it.

And to the chairman's credit and some other senators are already pressing Secretary Austin on it. So, what are your thoughts on it?

Dr. Zafonte: Senator, thank you for the excellent point and question. From my perspective, I think that the piece brought up a series of issues. It really took the cover off of some things and made them more public in some ways.

And it talked about many of the long term sequelae, near term and long term, that are being seen clinically in



this population of people. Now, these are extreme individuals, many of them. They are the 1 percent of the 1 percent. They are the fittest, the swiftest, and yet they are seeing clinically apparent problems. There are also, in many ways, the most resilient. They are selected many times.

7 So that raises for me some real concerns. It may be 8 related to the density of the exposure. It may be related 9 to the lifelong exposure. And it may be related to a 10 global elements of the life in that kind of stress for a 11 significant period of time.

So, I think we need to learn a lot more about the long term issues here and the short term ones. And I think part of the way we do that is better quantifying the exposure and the person over time.

Senator Sullivan: Great. Doctor McBirney, do you have a view on this?

Dr. McBirney: Absolutely. No, and thank you for raising this. I thought that New York Times article was very well written and well investigated and reported. I think --

22 Senator Sullivan: And by the way, just for the 23 record, I don't believe everything at the New York Times 24 writes.

25 [Laughter.]



1 Dr. McBirney: Certainly.

Senator Sullivan: And so, Senator Warren might -- no, I am just kidding. But so, I am sure the Marines had some points in there that probably weren't reported, or I am not saying it was a perfect piece, but it raised an important issue. And these young men, to Dr. Zafonte's point, these are, my view, the best of what we have in America. And we certainly, you know, we need to take care of them.

9 Dr. McBirney: Absolutely, agreed. I think the one of 10 the main takeaways for me when I read that article was the 11 fact that there is a culture that is pervasive across the 12 DOD, unfortunately, that really contributes to this 13 underreporting that we see of injuries. And I think the 14 way that these men were treated is indicative of this 15 culture and the fear that a lot of servicemembers have when 16 it comes to reporting injuries.

17 There have been many studies done on the 18 underreporting of traumatic brain injury. There are a 19 variety of reasons that servicemembers don't report 20 injuries. But fear of negative repercussions on their 21 military career is certainly a huge one. So, I think when 22 I read that New York Times article in the series of 23 articles, that is really what came to my mind, is a culture 24 that needs changing if we hope to improve this.

25 Senator Sullivan: Yes. And, Mr. Larkin, real quick.



Sorry, Madam Chair. You know, there -- and I don't know if you have a view on this, but we have had many wars with many thousands and thousands of artillery rounds fired. I had an 81 millimeter mortar platoon for two years on active duty, my Marines.

6 We fired, you know, all kinds of 81 millimeter mortar. 7 That is not as big as these howitzers, but it is a big 8 mortar, and, you know, you feel it when you are firing 9 those, and your ears hurt when you don't have your ear 10 protection on because it is so loud.

But what is your sense on how we need to look at this, that article, but compare it to other wars where we have shot thousands and thousands and thousands of rounds.

Mr. Larkin: So, you know, if I am going to put my money, it is going to be on the preventative end as much as we can to by down these injuries. But I completely agree with Dr. McBirney, the issue here is about trust.

You know, you are not going to get in reporting unless there is trust that is built between that operator or that warrior and the system. We have collected blast data on -- in a variety of different efforts --

22 Senator Sullivan: On artillery too?

23 Mr. Larkin: Just in, you know, a variety of different 24 settings where blast gauges and so forth have been worn by 25 our warriors. We have no idea where that data has gone.



So again, it never comes back to the warfighter like a
 dosimeter would for radiation.

3 So, they say, well, you know, we wear these things, 4 but we don't hear anything back. One of the things, and it 5 might be a novel idea I offer, is, you know, when we 6 procure and acquire weapons systems and munitions, why 7 don't we ask those manufacturers to provide us with blast 8 overpressure data according to strict criteria that they 9 all have to follow, that ultimately will allow us to craft 10 training protocols and potentially surveillance programs 11 for the more high risk occupation.

But again, we have been calling this by a different name coming off the battlefield since WWI, and it all has rested in psychiatric mental health diagnosis, and we are now starting to realize this is a biological injury caused by blast overpressure.

17 Senator Sullivan: Thank you. Thank you, Madam Chair. 18 Senator Warren: Thank you. I have another round of 19 questions that I want to do. I know Senator Scott does. 20 If anyone else does, we are glad to do it. But I want to 21 pick up on what Mr. Larkin was just talking about, and that 22 is trust. That servicemembers who have been affected by 23 blast overpressure aren't getting the help they need. 24

And the question is, why not? And I will go back to The New York Times article because it does give us some on



the ground anecdotes that people are experiencing. So, a Marine Corps officer who is leading an artillery unit was quoted in this story saying that he was experiencing severe headaches and small seizures but, "was worried that his injuries would not be acknowledged because there was no documentation that he was exposed to anything serious."

Now, we have talked some about the importance of record keeping and how that could fundamentally change what happens in this area, but I want to talk about where we are right now and the consequences of the failure to diagnose early and what that means. Mr. Larkin, you are the one who has focused on this more than anyone.

I think you said in your written testimony that you estimate that about 80 percent of your son's exposure occurred during training. Is that right? That is what I understood.

Mr. Larkin: Yes, Senator. And if you talk to other veterans that have trained for combat, been in combat, they will pretty much confirm that the majority of their exposures is in the training environment, an environment that we can control.

22 Senator Warren: So, if I can ask you, we know about 23 what happened to Ryan because he donated his brain 24 postmortem, and they were able to do an analysis. But can 25 you speak to what happened when Ryan was still alive, and



1 whether you and your family got the appropriate support
2 that Ryan needed, as he clearly demonstrated that he was in
3 increasing trouble?

4 Mr. Larkin: So, one thing I didn't share about Ryan 5 is that after he passed, what we found on his computer were 6 -- he downloaded numerous studies on blast exposure and TBI 7 and also was researching the medications that he got. So, he was locked on this. I didn't like what he did. I 8 9 didn't support what he did. But I have grown to understand 10 why he did it. It was for his teammates. He was going to 11 prove that something was wrong. Now, when he went to get 12 help, he did it more for his teammates than himself.

But again, you know, we didn't know what we didn't know. I think a lot of people were trying to do their best for him, the best that they could, but maybe all the wrong way. And because we lacked the science, we lacked the knowledge. TBI was not mentioned -- I mean, very little. It was not taken seriously because they couldn't see it.

We still can't see this level of injury in a living operator or living warfighter, which is -- again within the medical enterprise, if you don't have a blood marker that alerts you, you know, just like a, you know, heart attack, we look at heart, you know, enzymes and so forth, that alert us that, hey, there is muscle damage and we see an EKG that tells us that, you know, things are going wrong.



1 But then when the heart -- we don't have that right 2 now, and it handicaps our ability to triage these folks 3 early on in the evolution, to your point. And the 4 opportunity here, and I don't know if my colleagues would 5 agree with me, but the opportunity that we have here is to 6 get it at this early, not wait till it gets to a 7 catastrophic, you know, point, you know, this disease 8 process, the injury process where things have gone too far.

9 Senator Warren: So let me just pick up on this. Ι 10 understand that this is hard to diagnose and that it is 11 -- we collect data that will be one way to make it easier 12 to diagnose. I understand we would like to start as early 13 in the process as we can. But there is another feature of 14 this that we have some control over right now, that when 15 someone has any concern, who is the advocate to make sure 16 they get the help they need?

17 My sense of this is it is just a patchwork. You go 18 here, you get sent there, then you end up someplace else, 19 and the patient is put in the position of having to 20 advocate for a diagnosis, that it is not the patient's 21 responsibility or expertise to have to make. I am grateful 22 that Ryan did what he did in order to help his teammates. 23 But ultimately, we have a bigger responsibility here. 24 So, I just want to know if you can speak just a little

25 bit to the notion that starting now, before we have perfect



information, that we need a single way for people to go
into this system, to be able to raise a hand, say, I have
problems like the Marine that is quoted in The New York
Times piece. I have problems and know there will be one
person there who will advocate and at least get them to the
best possible treatment that we can. Can you speak to
that, Mr. Larkin?

8 Mr. Larkin: Yes. And I think the number one word 9 that I would pick out is listen. The system needs to 10 listen to these folks as they step forward.

11 You know, and we need to understand this is a 12 leadership problem, and we need to educate leadership as to 13 what is going on here so that they can properly usher these 14 folks down the right paths, so that we can stop their 15 injury process and that we can start a level of treatment 16 that one size fits one, not one size fits all, you know, 17 which is precision medicine. And I think as the science 18 develops, as our medical capabilities develop, we are going 19 to get better and better at doing that.

But again, Ryan became disenfranchised. He became, you know, adversarial because the system turned on him, a system that he depended on, a system I depended on. This was my community, too. And so, this is why I am here today. And I realize this isn't in a perfect world, but you know, the ultimate grader of what we do or not do are



1 the veterans, the warfighters, and their families. Are we
2 doing the right thing for them?

3 Senator Warren: Yes. Yes. I very much appreciate 4 that and appreciate your comments here. And if I can, I 5 want to go to the treatment part of this. Dr. Zafonte, you 6 work at Home Base, and Home Base tries to be the one place 7 that brings people in and gives a response.

8 That is on the side of our servicemember, not hostile 9 to our servicemember. You are on the front lines. You see 10 people with TBI every day. Can you talk just a little bit 11 about how Home Base has organized itself, and what you are 12 seeing, and what kind of needs you have?

Dr. Zafonte: Well, Senator, thank you for the excellent question. And, you know, I think we see ourselves as a partner with DOD, and that we are auxiliary in an important and differential way.

17 That we take a look at the whole person. And what we 18 try to understand is that, you know, I think Mr. Larkin 19 captured it brilliantly, somebody is not just a 20 psychological illness, but we bring multiple specialists to 21 bear on this person for a very intense evaluation that 22 might take, as I said, months or years in a standard 23 environment, and try to emerge them in a team based 24 behavior where we listen to the patients and we develop a 25 programmatic plan to treat.



If we can't treat the microscopic injury right away,
 let's treat their symptoms and get them relatively well.

3 Senator Warren: I am so proud of the work that Home 4 Base does, and I really want to underscore the importance 5 -- there is help. There are things we can do. And I take 6 it, if I can just have you underscore it again, Dr. 7 Zafonte, you actually return people to active duty military 8 service. Can you say just a little more about that?

9 Dr. Zafonte: I am happy to. Thank you, Senator. I 10 think one of the most extraordinary things, especially for 11 our special operators, is the very high degree of return to 12 duty, return to the force, return to fighting. Because if 13 you think about it as a person, that is what they want to 14 do.

They want to be well and go back to their teammates and contribute at a very high level. And indeed, that is the goal. The goal is being able to give people agency over their own health again. And that is what we do.

Very high rates of return. Large numbers of people still waiting for service, which we hope to provide. And I think that we see this as a means of enhancing programmatic excellence and serving as that bridge for mid-career, early career people who really need a bolus of help.

Senator Warren: Early and accurate intervention,which I think is the point you make as well, Mr. Larkin and



Dr McBirney. I appreciate the work you do. Thank you.
 Senator Scott.

3 Senator Scott: Thank you, Chairwoman. Dr. Zafonte,
4 NFL players are wearing -- some of them are wearing the Q
5 collar.

6 Dr. Zafonte: Yes, sir.

7 Senator Scott: Okay, can you tell me how it works and 8 what you think of it?

9 Dr. Zafonte: Thank you very much, Senator, for that 10 excellent question. It is an area of debate that is 11 certainly of interest in the field of traumatic brain 12 injury. The theory behind the Q collar is that a 13 compression here at the neck, slight compression, would 14 result in less force shaking within the brain.

Its role in blast related injury, I believe, unless Dr. McBirney has more data, is unclear. In sport related injury, it has received preliminary approval, although the enthusiasm in many investigators is modest.

Senator Scott: Okay. All right. Knowing what you all know now, knowing the service is the service -- so if you had a child or grandchild that was 18 years old, wants to be a warfighter, enlist in the, whatever, what would your advice be to him? You want to start, Dr. McBirney? Dr. McBirney: Is not enlisting an option? And I mean that as a serious question. Traumatic brain injury is such



1 -- there is such a huge risk of getting this injury. And
2 as we have heard today, detection of this injury, treatment
3 of this injury is not guaranteed.

I would -- in sitting here, I now have a 14 month old daughter, so this question is very relevant. I would strongly urge her to reconsider her decision. And unfortunately, that is a decision that I know many veterans that I personally know have asked their children to reconsider as well.

10 Senator Scott: Mr. Larkin.

Mr. Larkin: So, Ryan is with me here today in spirit, and much of what I am saying is actually him talking through me. He would tell you he loved being a SEAL and he wouldn't trade anything. It is just that we got to do it better.

And I will say that my own Naval Special Warfare community, the SEAL community, Ryan's story has deeply affected them, and they have moved aggressively to try to make a difference, along with the parent Command, USSOCOM, right up to the Commanding General. They are leading the way, in my opinion, within the Department of Defense.

And very often, you know, what Special Operations does, the conventional forces follow. So, thanks, Ryan. Senator Scott: Dr. Zafonte.

25 Dr. Zafonte: Certainly, I think this is a point of



great debate. But I guess what I would say, and we see this in contact sport, we see it in the military. The first we can do is know what we know to do now, which is eliminate unnecessary exposure. Rules changes in sports have made a big difference.

I believe we can eliminate unnecessary exposure in this population of people where there isn't a lot of return on investment, either to their training or for their long term health, or for their team members. And that would be an awfully good place to start in enhancing force health.

11 Senator Scott: Thank all of you. I mean, I don't 12 think -- if we care about our freedoms, we actually don't 13 have a choice. We don't have a choice. We have to thank 14 God every day somebody is willing to put on the uniform, 15 because if we get to a point where people say there is too 16 much risk, then say goodbye to all of our liberties.

17 So, I hope we get to the point where, you know, nobody 18 would say you shouldn't go in because of the risk. So, 19 thank you.

20 Senator Warren: Senator King.

21 Senator King: Just a closing comment on that 22 question, Senator Scott, you know, thinking about what you 23 would say to your kid. One of my three kids is a Marine 24 who was an eight year infantry commander, now a Marine 25 reservist, and keep thinking about him and how he might



1 answer that question.

But as I think about the question, let me just recount an amazing story that I heard not long ago from Doug Wilder, who is the former Governor of Virginia, first African American elected Governor.

6 He was drafted into the military in the Korean War. 7 And the military, like society at that time, was still 8 dealing with an awful lot of racial prejudice. He was in a 9 unit where there were many African Americans, many 10 Caucasians, and others. And Doug is a guy who is going to 11 stand up for himself.

12 And he had a commanding officer that said, I want 13 everybody here to be treated fairly. And he believed, as 14 did others in his unit, in the middle of some really difficult battle circumstances -- the African Americans in 15 16 the unit were not being treated well and they all agreed 17 they were going to talk to their CO and pass that on. And 18 when they all stood up to do it, they all just said to 19 Doug, okay, now you do it. And so, he laid out his 20 concerns about the way they were being treated.

And his commanding officer said, you have done what I asked you to do. Now you all go back to work and let me do what I need to do. And things didn't change for about 3 or 4 weeks, and then all of a sudden one day everything changed because he did what he was supposed to do. He



stood up and he said, this isn't right, and we are a unit, and if we make some changes, things can be better.

3 And so, I would hope that people grappling with the 4 decision, maybe your daughter might be in this position 5 17.5 years from now, but people grappling with the decision б will realize things don't just get better, you know, by 7 Things don't just change by osmosis. It takes themselves. 8 people at all levels from the, you know, private first 9 class all the way up to a four star standing up and saying, 10 we will be better if we make these changes.

11 And I think an awful lot of our young people, or 12 people at all ages, but I think a lot of our young people 13 have a lot of wisdom to offer. And so, I would hope that 14 they might still say, yes, I am doing this, and I am also 15 going to be committed to speaking up if I see areas where 16 we can be better. Thank you.

17 Senator Warren: Thank you, Senator Kaine. And I will 18 be calling on you, as we are doing the NDAA, both to 19 tighten up the rules on reporting and get more resources 20 into treatment. That surely has to come out of a hearing 21 like this.

22 So, thank you. Thank you all for being with us today. 23 And I would like to call up the second panel. Thank you. 24 All right, are we ready? Secretary Martinez Lopez, if you 25 can give us an opening statement, please.



ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR HEALTH AFFAIRS,
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE; KATHY M. LEE, DIRECTOR, WARFIGHTER
BRAIN HEALTH POLICY, DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE; AND CAPTAIN
CARLOS D. WILLIAMS, USN, DIRECTOR, NATIONAL INTREPID CENTER
OF EXCELLENCE

JOINT STATEMENT OF HON. LESTER MARTINEZ-LOPEZ,

7 Dr. Martinez Lopez: Chairwoman Warren, Ranking Member 8 Scott, distinguished members of the Senate Armed Services 9 committee, we are pleased to represent the Office of the 10 Secretary of Defense to discuss the Department of Defense's 11 commitment to address warfighter brain health issues and 12 initiatives.

We are honored to represent the dedicated military and civilian medical professionals and the military health system providing support to our combatant commanders and delivering or arranging health care for our 9.6 million beneficiaries.

We will inform the committee about the Department's initiatives to understand the causes and impact of brain injuries and blast exposures, support ongoing training of medical professionals, inform the development of treatment protocols, and improve the cognitive and physical

23 performance of our servicemembers.

The Department of Defense's primary mission is to defend the nation. Fulfilling this mission means



1

warfighters need the ability to make expedient and
 effective decisions on the battlefield.

3 Promoting brain health enables our effectiveness as a
4 fighting force operationally, and mitigating the impact of
5 traumatic brain injury in all its form is a top priority of
6 DOD as we focus on near and long term health care of our
7 servicemembers.

8 In support of these priorities, the DOD established a 9 joint effort between the operational and medical forces 10 called the Warfighter Brain Health Initiative. This 11 initiative was finalized in 2022 to codify a policy and 12 direction in support of unified efforts across the military 13 to address TBI and blast overpressure.

The Warfighter Brain Health Initiative focuses on cognitive and physical performance, identification of known and emerging brain threats in military environments, and methods to immediately detect and treat brain injury. The WBHI Initiative is an important organizing function for our Department wide efforts to address brain injury and related diagnosis, such as PTSD and suicide.

Between 2000 and 2023, 485,553 servicemembers were diagnosed with TBI. The annual members of TBI grew from just above 10,000 per year in 2000, to a peak of 33,000 per year in 2011. The DOD responded to this increasing rate of TBI in combat during Operation Iraqi Freedom and Operation



Enduring Freedom through rapid expansion of TBI clinical
 care and research to support military forces around the
 globe.

We recognize, however, that more research and insight is needed in both the care and research dimensions to better understand the risk, how to protect the warfighters, and how to treat brain injuries more effectively.

8 Our strategic approach to this issue is an iterative 9 one involving policy to coordinate clinical changes and gab 10 driven research investment. When policies work, we look at 11 how to refine for broader effectiveness. When they do not 12 work as expected, we review why and modify them to invest 13 in research to advance alternative solutions.

With that overarching policy mindset, we hope to discuss that we see as pivotal actions, research findings and their impact on our current approach as implemented within the WBHI. We communicate these insights not because we believe they are foolproof solution. Rather, enable collective action through shared knowledge.

We know there is still much to learn about the brain and not everybody responds in the same way to similar exposures or injuries. We seek to integrate solutions for the future as we provide recommendations to inform and affect change to safety, doctrine, and policy. This mission is more both personal and professional.



1 As providers, researchers, and military leaders, we 2 are committed to mitigating the risk of and improving the 3 treatment for BOP exposures and TBI. We appreciate your 4 continued support of military medicine, and for inviting us 5 to be here with you today to discuss the important issues б surrounding the brain health of our warfighters. 7 We thank Senator Warren, Senator Scott, and the 8 members of the subcommittee for leading continued 9 Congressional attention on blast exposures and brain 10 injuries, and we look forward to your questions. 11 [The prepared joint statement of Dr. Martinez Lopez, 12 Ms. Lee, and Mr. Williams follows:] 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24





Senator Warren: Thank you very much. I appreciate
 it, Dr. Martinez. So, I appreciate that DOD has begun to
 take steps toward mitigating the risks associated with
 traumatic brain injury.

5 Starting this year, new troops will be given regular 6 cognitive assessments to help monitor potential impacts 7 from blast exposure on their brain health. This will help 8 medical providers recognize brain injuries and changes in 9 cognitive function more quickly, and it will help 10 servicemembers get the clinical help that they need. I am 11 glad that DOD is taking this critical step, but it is 12 important that we do this right.

13 Captain Williams, your organization, the National 14 Intrepid Center of Excellence, works with servicemembers 15 with TBIs and other invisible wounds of war. As you know, 16 one of the -- and we have discussed here repeatedly today, 17 one of the most significant ways that troops are exposed to 18 blast overpressure is through training.

To ensure that we are accurately monitoring the impact of blast exposure on servicemembers' brain health, would it be helpful to give a cognitive test before the service member begins training and firing weapons?

23 Mr. Williams: Thanks, Senator, for the question, and 24 thank you for the opportunity to talk about this important 25 issue. Absolutely, yes. Let me start out by saying yes,



1 it is critically important.

Baselining is something that we utilize in all aspects of medicine for surveillance. We utilize it prior to treatment, utilize prior to modals that we know cause risk. So, we have moved to now -- this year, we hope to move to, all members, once they join the military and before they start the initial military training, they get cognitive testing.

9 They get cognitive testing, because we know that the 10 highest risk of TBIs in the military are in the training 11 environment. And so, it would be valuable, and we wanted 12 to use the same precision medicine we have been using in 13 the past for other modalities, that we do with TBI.

14 Senator Warren: Okay. So, if the baseline assessment 15 is not starting until after training, that is not an 16 accurate measure of the service member's brain health 17 changes over time. We are going to miss the front end of 18 this. And as we have talked about the importance of 19 isolating the problem early is absolutely critical.

20 So, to make sure that we are able to detect signs of 21 cognitive decline due to blast exposure, we have got to do 22 this assessment before the training starts. Second thing, 23 we also need to do regular tests of servicemembers' 24 cognitive health after the baseline assessment. While 25 Special Operations Command will conduct these tests every



three years, DOD is currently planning to retest troops
 only every five years.

3 Dr. Martinez, you are responsible for assessing the 4 effects of and improving how DOD tracks blast pressure 5 exposure. Would annual cognitive testing for 6 servicemembers help increase the chance that we detect 7 changes in cognitive function and detect them earlier when 8 intervention would be more effective?

9 Dr. Martinez Lopez: Ma'am, the -- as the Department, 10 we are looking into this. I think if there is value into 11 doing it every year, we don't know. So, maybe three years, 12 maybe five years. There is more data and more science that 13 we need to look into. I am not looking at 10 year 14 research.

I am looking at short term research to figure out what would be the best frequency of doing the test. And not only that, but what kind of other testing we should add to the battery to assess the condition of the soldiers -- the servicemembers.

20 Senator Warren: So, I just want to say I feel a 21 little bit frustrated here that Special Operations Command 22 already clearly says five years is not enough. They are at 23 three. And frankly, until we have better data, I don't 24 know why we wouldn't be saying, let's do an annual test and 25 see what we can detect.



And if the data show us that three years is often enough interval to be able to detect changes, that is fine. But it seems to me, given what else we know, and given how catastrophic the implications of untreated TBI can be, that we ought to be erring on the side at least of collecting these data annually. So, I really want to push on this, waiting five years to test is just not often enough.

Another way that DOD needs to show that it is serious about protecting servicemembers from blast overpressure is by establishing effective weapon use safety limits. We had some conversation about this earlier. In 2022, DOD directed the services to establish a maximum allowable number of rounds for servicemembers to fire to mitigate blast overpressure injury risk.

Now good start, but I see two problems with this.
First, the limits don't include brain injury risk. Blast
pressure experts have raised concerns that this means that
our current safety thresholds are built on things like
whether or not it is likely to cause your eardrum to burst.
They are very old guidelines, and they are not about
traumatic brain injury.

Ms. Lee, you are in charge of overseeing DOD's warfighter brain health policy. Why is it important that DOD establish a maximum allowable number of rounds for servicemembers to fire that takes into account brain injury



1 as well as injury just to the ears?

Ms. Lee: Senator Warren, thank you so much for the question, and thanks for having us here today to be able to talk about warfighter brain health, blast overpressure, and traumatic brain injury.

б This is an excellent question. We absolutely -- it is 7 imperative that we have allowable number of rounds for all 8 the weapon systems that are commonly used so that we can 9 avoid unnecessary blast exposure in our servicemembers. We 10 believe that this also gives us an opportunity to be able 11 to ensure the usage is correct, the position, crew 12 position, proximity, and all those pieces can come 13 together.

Our policies are moving in that direction to be able to look at the brain. As you mentioned, historically, it has been through ear and lung. However, we are looking at what the brain effects are, and we will follow suit with our policies as such.

Senator Warren: So again, I want to say I feel a little bit of frustration here. I appreciate that you are working on establishing these limits, but we are going to get this off the ground now.

We know enough to start moving in the right direction. My office has heard stories of servicemembers having to take their own initiative in setting limitations for their



1 troops. We have got training instructors who just say, I
2 have decided that is enough, and that is not enough to get
3 this job done.

So again, I urge you better to make your best estimate and get started on forcing these weapons manufacturers to start collecting these data so that they will be able to give us limits on how they can be used.

8 One more concern here. It is how we measure these 9 weapons use safety limits. DOD's own studies found that it 10 took 70 to 96 hours to resolve servicemembers cognitive 11 deficits after firing heavy weapons. So that is about how 12 long it appears before people are back to their original 13 steady state. But DOD guidelines say they are only going 14 to test for the first 24 hours.

Ms. Lee, could servicemembers benefit from establishing weapons use safety limits for longer periods of time, like 72 hours?

Ms. Lee: Yes, ma'am. We are looking to expand that timeframe so that we allow for those differences that are coming up with blast overpressure. So that is again where our policies, the direction that our policies are headed so that we can cover that time period. We are firmly committed to early detection, provides the opportunity to treat, and that maximizes our outcome.

25

F TP One

Scheduling@TP.One www.TP.One

Senator Warren: Well, I hope you do this soon.

The

Department of Defense's Inspector General has raised concerns that military health system providers are not consistently providing a 72 hour follow up appointment for patients with mild TBIs, so clearly, a longer time frame is something that DOD itself recognizes is important and that we need to get done.

Look, I get it, this is hard, and I am grateful that you are doing the work you are doing. I want to be a partner, but a partner that urges you to move faster and deliver more for our servicemembers as quickly as possible.
We need to do better for our troops, and we need to do it right now. Senator Scott.

13 Senator Scott: Thank you, Chair. So, I will ask you 14 the same question, what would you tell your son or daughter 15 who was going to go in and be a warfighter, 18 years old, 16 go enlist -- what would you tell them today, based on what 17 you know?

Dr. Martinez Lopez: Sir, I have three boys. Two of them served in the military. One is still in the reserves. So, I am very proud of their service just like, you know, and I will tell my grandkids, I have eight of them -- so, that there is a great opportunity in the services.

And I think there is some value as a human being that we develop, that service to country is very important. Even if you do it for a short time, it makes a big



1 difference as you as a person.

2 And I don't care how you -- where you serve or how you 3 serve, is it critical now? They need to understand that 4 this is a risky business. And what -- so they need to come 5 out with their eyes wide open, right.

6 So, my kids knew that .I very, very -- I made it very 7 clear and -- but I am still very proud. I will tell my 8 grandkids, if they really think about, there is something 9 that triggers them to serve, go fetch.

10 Senator Scott: Captain.

11 Mr. Williams: Thank you for the question, sir. I 12 have no children at this point, but I have many -- nephews, nieces, and friends of the family who I have encouraged to 13 14 join the military. This has been the greatest honor in my 15 lifetime to serve in uniform.

16 I wouldn't change that requirement for anyone or request to anyone. I would tell them to follow their 17 18 heart, and I would encourage them to know that there are 19 inherent risks to the job, and our job is to make sure that 20 the people who you are entrusting your life to, they have a 21 responsibility -- a responsibility to care for you. So, no 22 different. And the reason why I am here today is saying we 23 want to make sure that our men and women in uniform know 24 that we are caring for them in every possible way.

25

Senator Scott: Thank you. Ms. Lee.



1 Ms. Lee: Yes. So, I have five children and one 2 grandchild, and I would absolutely say to support and 3 defend our homeland, to join the military and join the 4 armed services. One of them is a Marine.

5 And through that service, it is about the trust. And 6 I have seen working in this environment for the last 20 7 years, especially around the traumatic brain injury realm, 8 that you really do need to be credible and have integrity 9 based on that trust and ensuring that we are going to do 10 right by you.

We are a family, and we are going to take care of you. 11 12 Mr. Larkin is part of our team. We are all in this 13 together with the same mission to take care of our people 14 and take care of servicemembers that make the sacrifice. 15 Senator Scott: Thanks. Secretary Martinez, the 16 Department's report to Congress on the longitudinal blast 17 study says the Department plans to conduct a business case 18 analysis and review lessons learned to inform its way 19 forward with blast monitoring.

20 So, who is conducting the business case analysis? 21 When do you expect the analysis to be completed? And what 22 factors is the Department including in its analysis? 23 Dr. Martinez Lopez: Sir, do you mind if I defer to 24 Ms. Lee.

25 Ms. Lee: So, the business case analysis kickoff



meeting was the 14th of February. It is being conducted by a contract service. We are expecting the results in September of 2024. We looked at -- we are looking -- we have extensively involved military departments in this so that the outcome that comes, the outcome and recommendations will be able to be implemented by the military departments.

8 Both the service communities and the operational 9 communities are heavily invested in this business case 10 analysis so that we can review the necessary resources, the 11 -- and look at how to establish a standardized monitoring 12 program throughout the force.

13 Senator Scott: When do you think you will be 14 completed?

Ms. Lee: The business case analysis will be completed in September.

17 Senator Scott: September? Okay. Right. The '23, 18 the Fiscal Year 2023 NDAA also authorized but didn't 19 require the Director of the Defense Health Agency to 20 conduct a pilot program to monitor blast exposure to the 21 use of commercially available, off the shelf wearable 22 sensors. Do you all plan to do it, and do you have any 23 sensors in mind that you think are working?

Ms. Lee: So, yes, sir. So, we are awaiting the BCA results, the business case analysis results in September,



to make a decision on whether or not that pilot that could be the segue from our Section 734 work into a full blown standard monitoring blast program throughout the Department.

5 So, again, those decisions, we will probably make in 6 the in the September 2024 time period. In terms of blast 7 sensors, we have various communities to include the Special 8 Operations Command that have been looking at the -- right 9 now, the three available, commercially available products. 10 And those decisions are, right now, living in the 11 acquisition world as they are doing suitability and 12 fielding exercises, and based on the requirements of each 13 individual community.

14 Senator Scott: Good. Also, the Fiscal Year 2023 NDAA 15 required a report describing the strategy and 16 implementation plan for the Warfighter Brain Health 17 Initiative. I guess this was due at the end of last year 18 or so. Is that different than the others?

Ms. Lee: That is the strategy and action plan that has five lines of effort. And I believe that is headed over your way right now.

Senator Scott: Oh, okay. All right. Thank you.
Senator Warren: So can I just ask one more question.
It is seven months before the business case analysis. What
are you going to do over the next seven months?



Ms. Lee: So, in the original memo that was produced before we had finished Section 734, the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Readiness put out this interim guidance memo. Before we had completed all of the information, all the data, we felt it was imperative to try to get brain health guidance out at that time.

7 So, we sent the memo out. Included in that four PSA 8 memo are six actions to try to avoid unnecessary blast 9 exposures. So, what we are doing in the meantime is 10 updating that memo with more data that we have from our 11 research studies and from the blast community of 12 researchers, so that we can provide more direction and 13 quidance to the military departments on how they can have 14 safer actions out in the operational environment, in the 15 training environment.

16 Senator Warren: Okay. I appreciate that. And how 17 are you going to make sure that it makes it all the way 18 down to the ground level? There are anecdotes that suggest 19 that we make policy changes, we all talk to each other up 20 here in the abstract, and then down at the ground nothing 21 has changed. Dr. Martinez.

Dr. Martinez Lopez: Ma'am, the first issue is this is a joint effort between the operational forces and we in the medical sector. So, it is the medical leadership and the operational leadership.



If we don't work it together, this is not going to pan out. So, the way we exercise that at DOD is through a safety oversight council. So, we are meeting with all the services and laid out the guidance, and we rely on the services then to push it down. It is an issue of policy internal to the services.

7 It is an issue of training in the services. It is an 8 issue of equipping in the services. And we will give them 9 the medical guidance, you know, the best knowledge we have, 10 but the implementation itself, how are you going to fire 11 your weapon, where, and those kind of things have to be 12 exercised by the line.

Now, I went over to Fort Campbell, and I talked to the G of Fort Campbell. He was -- and I told him, it is simple. Less is better, and less often and better. So really look at -- pay attention to that.

Senator Warren: Right. Right. Captain Williams, did you want to add anything on that? Okay, good. Senator Scott.

20 Senator Scott: So, have you guys ever had a glucose 21 monitor? Do you know how they work? Okay, so I can put on 22 glucose monitor, I can put in my exercise, I can put in my 23 food, and I can just do it myself. I can sort of track to 24 see, you know, how I feel when my glucose goes up. So, why 25 don't we have something just simple that people can do on



1 their own?

Because if I knew, gosh, I get headaches or I get, you know -- I have sleeping problems or I have any of these issues, then I would say, I mean, I can't do this anymore. I mean, why don't we do something -- I mean, the technology is so simple, right.

I mean, it is basically you just go you go to -- there is two companies that do the glucose one now that I know of. Why don't we just go there and say, will you give us the technology and we can implement this and just give it to everybody and let them monitor it themselves?

12 [Technical problems.]

Dr. Martinez Lopez: I am going to state the first steps -- [technical problems]. The problem with glucose is I know exactly where the thresholds are. So, I know that like at 126 it is abnormal blood sugars, so anything above that or below that, and I can monitor it. On this issue, I don't know what the threshold is. So, we haven't determined that threshold yet. And even worse --

20 Senator Scott: No, I will decide for myself. I will 21 decide that -- the way I would look at it is, I will put 22 the information in there and then I would say, hey, here is 23 what I noticed. If I do this number of blasts, I get a 24 headache. I do this number of blast, I can't sleep.



25

Scheduling@TP.One www.TP.One

And so, then I start saying to myself, and I say,

1 well, okay, I am not going to do that. I am not doing that 2 to myself anymore because -- you know what, this has 3 happened to me so I am not an expert on this, but you would 4 think, I mean, you know, we are all -- we are all going to 5 be better if we self-monitor ourselves, right? I mean, б rather than some top down program that tries to tell us 7 everything. And even glucose -- I mean, your body is going to be different than my body. 8

9 So, what my high level should be is going to be 10 different than yours, right. So, I am just saying, put the 11 information in there. It is a real simple mode. Give it 12 to everybody. Let them start following it on their own, 13 and then they can easy -- you know, like on those 14 -- anybody can connect.

You can say, oh, I am going to allow this person to connect. There is a company out there that allows people to do that now that -- it is call levels and they are doing they are doing -- I think they have 50,000 people or so on a study, where they are doing it on their own as a private sector, just with everybody voluntarily putting their data in there.

22 Mr. Williams: Sir, if I may, one of the most 23 important things -- and what you are speaking about is 24 really and truly precision medicine and targeted therapy to 25 the individual. It is very variable for each individual



1 who has had a TBI, the symptoms that they have.

And so, one of the things that the past NDAA said was that the DOD needed to partner with private industry and private organizations to improve research and to improve treatment. And that is one of the things that we want to look at, is look at what type of modalities are out there, or that can be developed to allow patient to focus on their individual symptoms.

9 But we have to know what that baseline is first for 10 that individual. Biofeedback is something that we do in 11 the Defense Intrepid network, at NICO, we help patients 12 understand how to control their own individual symptoms.

But if each person is different, then that is going to be a challenging, should I say, monitoring to create, but it is possible. And so, as we continue to do research, I think we can come -- we can get there soon.

17 Senator Scott: So, to me, I just gave you my answer, 18 that is a big government answer. Okay, just my -- you 19 might be absolutely right. Just, I am not saying you are 20 wrong. I am just -- I just actually do believe that I will 21 do a better job of monitoring my health than anybody else 22 will ever do my health. I don't care what the study is, I 23 will do a better job.

I think -- if I started -- I can just say personally, if I eat something and I don't feel good, I am never



1 touching it again, all right, period. And I assume -- I
2 mean, it is smart -- these are smart kids going in the
3 service.

4 And I mean, just look at these sports. All these 5 people are getting smarter about this and saying, I am not б doing this to my brain. And so, I just think we ought to 7 do exactly all the things you are doing, but it is pretty simple to set up a program to give and, you know -- let the 8 9 person monitor themselves because their body is going to be 10 totally different than everybody else's. Like your blood 11 glucose level is different than mine, I guarantee you.

Mr. Williams: So, I totally agree with you, sir. And as an internist and a pediatrician, I always listen to the patient. I always listen to the parents, right. It is very important.

16 [Technical problems.]

Mr. Williams: But I do want to say, though, I agree with you. I think as a medical professional though, and even as a researcher, we want to come up with a pathway forward for the patients to monitor their own.

And so, that means we need to come up with baselines, with normals, which we just don't have at this point in time for TBI in general. When we move towards blood biomarkers, when we move towards much more concrete evidence, I think we can come up with the tool that you are



1 talking about, that can allow a patient to monitor

2 themselves.

3 Senator Scott: Thank you.

4 Senator Warren: Good. So, I want to thank you all
5 for being here -- absolutely.

6 Senator Budd: Thank you all for being here. So, 7 North Carolina universities, including East Carolina 8 University, ECU, UNC Chapel Hill, and health care providers 9 like Atrium Health have prioritized research, care, and 10 support for servicemembers and veterans diagnosed with 11 TBIS.

I was able to see that when I was in the State last week. So again, I appreciate this hearing. Further understanding the cause of TBI will significantly improve that care that they offer, and I look forward to supporting their ongoing efforts.

Dr. Martinez, in the longitudinal study on blast pressure exposure of members of the armed services that you published in December, one of the key findings is a greater likelihood of TBI -- can you explain what you mean by a greater likelihood and quantify the increased likelihood of TBI? So, what percentage of people were exposed to what level blaster, likely to develop TBI?

Dr. Martinez Lopez: Senator, I will defer to me Ms.
Lee for the answer.



1 Senator Budd: Certainly. Thank you.

Ms. Lee: So, the Section 734 longitudinal study that you are referencing, where we looked at monitoring and documenting blast exposure and then also offerings a review of weapon systems, which we codified as 15 weapon systems that were most commonly used, and we went deep to figure out what all the safety regulations were about those.

And under the safety rubric as well, we looked at what the health and performance effects are, the brain health effects from all this blast overpressure stuff. And in the report, we were able to -- we reviewed 40 studies. 26 of those studies were funded by the Department of Defense.

And we looked at what type of effects happen when you are doing blast overpressure, and then where do you have concerns about traumatic brain injury. And most of the areas that we found correlations were in the neurocognitive and thinking areas.

Also, in some health care utilization areas. We looked at blood biomarkers and proteins to try to see if there was any correlations, and we believe that that will bear fruit, but right now there is no clear trends in that regard.

23 So, we are relying on the symptom reporting as being 24 the most indicative of someone that would have had a 25 traumatic brain injury, and again, early detection of that



through evaluation of multiple domains like their balance and their eye movements, and their thinking skills, and their symptom reporting.

Senator Budd: Thank you for that. Dr. Williams, what
recommendations would you make to improve the Department's
ability to diagnose and treat military personnel who are
repeatedly exposed to low level blast?

8 Mr. Williams: Thank you for the question, Senator. 9 As we spoke earlier in terms of baselining early. So, it 10 has been stated several times, and when you know better, 11 you do better. One of the most important things we can do 12 is baseline our members from the moment they come into the 13 military.

And so, that means before they start the military training. And that allows us to follow them over time. I admit we have to find the right baselining tool. Right now, we use ANAM. ANAM focuses on cognition and that is an appropriate component, but we can do more. We can do better. And our goal is to, again, start early so we can continue to monitor.

21 Senator Budd: Thank you. So, North Carolina is the 22 proud home of the Kennedy Special Warfare Center and School 23 at Fort Liberty. And research suggests that Special 24 Operations forces experience higher rates of blast exposure 25 in training and combat than other military personnel, and



1 thus are at an elevated risk for repeated blast exposure 2 related brain injury. So, does that track with your 3 research?

4 Ms. Lee: Absolutely.

5 Senator Budd: All three of you?

6 Mr. Williams: Yes.

Senator Budd: Thank you. While we certainly need to conduct more research, we have to also do a better job protecting our servicemembers with what we know today.
That lines up with Dr. Williams, with what you were just sharing.

So, I am concerned that the Department is not moving quickly enough to address these TBI risks. So, there is tested, FDA approved devices that can limit TBIs, including some like neck collars that are currently being used by Special Operators and just like you see in the NFL.

Now, I am hearing, however, that there is still years of DOD testing that need to take place before they can be fielded for the broader force.

So, for the panel, for each of you, why aren't we expanding the fielding, FDA approved wearable devices now to keep our warfighters safer from TBI inducing head trauma and overpressure protection, rather than waiting for duplicative testing to be completed within Department? And how can we expedite those devices, the use of those



1 devices?

2 Mr. Williams: You know, I would start with a simple 3 answer, our goal is to do no harm. And so, right now we 4 need more information for some of these devices to 5 determine if they would do harm in the operational setting. 6 Senator Budd: Even, doctor, if they are already FDA 7 approved devices? 8 Mr. Williams: I totally understand. FDA approval, 9 oftentimes, is not tested in our population, and that is a 10 different story. So, we realize now that a lot of times 11 this research is being done and is not inclusive of 12 operators, especially high level operators that we are 13 caring for. And so, I think our goal is to make sure we do 14 no harm to that general population. 15 Senator Budd: Thank you. Secretary. 16 Dr. Martinez Lopez: We may have to look at the data and we look at the size, if it is sound. Even in our 17 18 study, we will adopt it. If it is really going to make a 19 difference, we will. But we will put them through our 20 internal processes. And that is true for every 21 intervention we do have with our patients. 22 Senator Budd: And Ms. Lee. 23 Ms. Lee: Senator, the jugular vein compression 24 devices that you are speaking about had mainly been studied 25 in head impact in the sports community. So, pivoting to



blast overpressure, which has a different mechanism of injury, is worth a look -- definitely worth more than a look -- to do more research to make sure that it is safe and effective in both the military population, as well as blast overpressure as the mechanism.

6 Senator Budd: Understood. Thank you all. I yield. 7 Senator Warren: Thank you, Senator Budd. I want to 8 thank you all, all of our witnesses for being here today. 9 I want to thank you for the work you do every day. My 10 takeaways from this are that the Department of Defense 11 needs to do better.

We need to identify those who were most at risk for TBI because of the particular work they do. And we need to collect better data, and we need to do all of this on a much faster timetable.

16 Congress also needs to do better. We need to make 17 sure that you have the resources to do your work, and we 18 also need to make sure that those who are treating TBI like 19 Home Base have the resources they need.

It is shameful that there are active duty military who have what appears to be TBI and they cannot be treated because the resources simply are not there. A waiting list at a place like Home Base is our failure.

We need those resources, and we need that capacity to be able to treat those who have suffered brain injuries



1	because of their service to our nation. We owe that to our
2	servicemembers. So, again, thank you all for being here.
3	I want to thank the senators who have been here.
4	I want to thank my partner, Senator Scott, in this.
5	And this will be an issue we will take up during the next
6	round of NDAA negotiations. Thank you.
7	[Whereupon, at 5:02 p.m., the hearing was adjourned.]
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



WORD INDEX	2022 7:10, 12	60 18: <i>14</i>	abuse 5:20
	66: <i>11</i> 72: <i>11</i>	00 10.17	24:14 26:16
< \$ >	2023 3:12 39:2	<7>	academia 45:25
\$3 24:13	48:1 66:21	70 74:10	academic 19:8
ψο 21.13	78:18 79:14	71.9 18:8	access 19:9
<1>	2024 1:4 78:3	72 74:17 75:3	accomplish 10:5
1 18:16 32:14	79:6	734 79:2 80:2	accomplished
50:2	22 18:15	87:2	22:2
1,000 18:6	222 1: <i>12</i>	75 26:13	account 72:25
10 71: <i>13</i>	23 24:12 78:17		accurate 42:1
10,000 66:23	23rd 21:20	< 8 >	59:24 70:16
100 43:23 44:5	24 74:14	80 54:14	accurately 69:19
126 82:16	26 87:11	81 52:4, 6	achieves 10:17
14 61: <i>4</i>	278 18:15	84 7:14	acknowledged
14th 78:1	28 1:4		54:5
15 11:8 16: <i>14</i>		< 9 >	acquire 53:6
17:16 26:20	< 3 >	9.6 65:16	acquisition 79:11
87:5	3 63:23	9/11 24: <i>13</i>	Act 3:22 8:13
17.5 64:5	32 12:4	96 74:10	40:6 43:5
178 18:10	33,000 66:23		action 8:12 14:9
18 60:21 75:15	36 21: <i>19</i>	< A >	30:12 32:24
18th 48:17		abandoning	67:19 79:19
1st 21:18	< 4 >	22:21	actions 22:22
	4 18:16 63:24	ability 9:7 56:2	67: <i>15</i> 80:8, <i>14</i>
< 2 >	40 17:5 23:10	66:1 88:6	active 18:5, 8, 10,
2:59 1:11	87:11	able 42:17, 21	11 52:4 59:7
20 22:1 34:14	400,000 17: <i>4</i>	44:4 54:24 57:2	91:20
77:6	47 18: <i>13</i>	59:17 70:20	active-duty 21:13
20,000 7:10, 12	485,553 66:21	72:2 73:3, 10, 14	activity 41:6
2000 17: <i>3</i> 66:2 <i>1</i> ,		74:6 78:6 86:12	actual 31:22
23	< 5 >	87: <i>11</i> 91:25	ad 46: <i>3</i>
2008 36:12	5:02 92:7	abnormal 82:16	Add 27:8 46:12
2009 36:12	50,000 3:13	abrupt 22:19	47:5 71: <i>17</i>
2011 26:12	83:18	absolute 43:25	81:18
66:24	500 27:24	absolutely 30:13	addition 28:21
2016 48:4	53 18: <i>14</i>	43:14 44:13	additional 3:24
2017 21:20 48:4	54 18: <i>16</i>	50:18 51:9	13:12
2018 3:22 8:12		69:25 70:19	Additionally
17:22 46:17, 22	< 6 >	73:6 77:2 84:19	12:20 28:24
2019 13:10	6 18:15	86:5 89:4	
2020 3:25		abstract 80:20	



1

- J.J	0.16 40.17	41.7 54.24	
address 4:18, 25	ago 8:16 40:17	41:7 54:24	approach 67:8,
23:3 65:11	43:17 63:3	77:18, 20, 21, 22,	16
66: <i>13</i> , <i>19</i> 89: <i>13</i>	agree 35:16	25 78:10, 15, 25	approached
Addressing 14:8	47:4 52:16 56:5	79:24	17:22
adhering 40:5	85:12, 17	analyze 8:23	appropriate 39:8
adjourned 92:7	agreed 51:9	ANAM 42:1,6	55:1 88:18
administered	63:16	88:17	approval 60:17
42:8	Air 49:10	anecdotes 54:1	90:8
Administration	Alaska 18:16	80:18	approved 89:14,
21:16	49:7	annoying 38:4,	21 90:7
admit 88:16	alert 55:24	21	April 21:20
adopt 90:18	alerted 36:18	annual 66:22	area 9:15 30:1
advance 67:13	alerts 55:22	71:5, 24	54:9 60:10
advanced 14:5	aligned 22:14	annually 72:6	areas 31:12
26:12	41:8	answer 19:21	64: <i>15</i> 87: <i>16</i> , <i>17</i> ,
adversarial 57:21	alive 54:25	33:22 43:21	18
advice 60:23	allies 45:13	63:1 84:17, 18	Arizona 17:13
advisability 9:1	46: <i>11, 16</i> 47:8,	86:25 90: <i>3</i>	Armed 1:8 65:8
advocate 47:9	10	answering 27:1	77:4 86:18
56:15, 20 57:5	allow 13:22	answers 24:17	armored 36:15,
Affairs 6:18	53:9 74:19	anxiety 22:11	16
65:2	83:15 84:7 86:1	anybody 32:5,	Army 49:10
affect 3:8 35:18	allowable 72:12,	18 36:8 37:5	arranging 65:16
67:24	24 73:7	83:14 84:21	article 48:1
Afghanistan 3:6	allowing 17:18	anymore 82:4	49:7 50:19
4:11 22:8 26:6,	allows 83:16	83:2	51:10, 22 52:12
14 36:5 45:10	88:15	app 34:1	53:25
Africa 45:18	alongside 14:12	apparent 50:4	articles 51:23
African 63:5, 9,	alternative 67:13	appear 16:25	artillery 4:4,6
15	alternatives	appearing 6:5	16:20 28:10
afternoon 11:4	42:14	appears 48:8	52:3, 22 54:2
16: <i>4</i> 39:23	amazing 63:3	74:12 91:21	asked 48:17
Agency 26:12	America 51:7	appointment	61:8 63:22
59:17 78:19	American 4:6	75:3	aspects 70:2
agenda 4:25	7:9 46:18 63:5	appreciate 3:18	assess 8:18, 25
ages 64:12	Americans 63:9,	5:22 26:1 44:8,	13:23 71:18
aggressively	15	20 58:3, 4 60:1	assessing 71:3
61:18	amount 48:8	68:3 69:1,2	assessment
agile 18:18	Analysis 6:9	73:20 80:16	41:25 49:1,6
aging 31:15	9:14, 17 11:2	86:13	70:14, 22, 24
00	32:21 34:13		



aggaggmanta	59:15 63:22	haberianal 17.2	84:10
assessments 22:22 69:6	74:12	behavioral 17:2, 9 23:3 37:3	biological 53:15
assigned 4:5	bad 7:14 37:10	believe 9:18, 19	biomarkers 32:9
assistance 24:15	balance 88:1	43:13 50:23	33:12 34:19
Assistant 6:18	Base 5:7, 10, 14,	60:15 62:6	85:24 87:19
65:2 80:2	<i>16,23</i> 6:12 16:2,	67:18 73:10	Biomedical 11:6
associated 69:3	10, 25, 0.12, 10.2, 15, 17:11, 20, 22	79:20 84:20	bit 29:18 34:22
assume 85:1	18:6 19:3, 14	87:20	39:14 42:16
AT4 12:2	58:6, 11 59:4	believed 43:10	47:24 56:25
athletes 18:3	91:19, 23	63: <i>13</i>	58:10 71:21
atmospheric 3:4	based 5:7 19:2	beneficiaries	73:20
Atrium 86:9	32:9 33:12	65:17	black 34:22
attack 55:22	34:19 58:23	benefit 74:15	BLAST 1:2 2:6
attempted 37:5	75:16 77:9	Berkeley 11:9	3:3, 15, 21, 23, 25
attention 13:17	79:12	best 2:21 10:5	4:8, 15, 22 5:2, 3
28:4 38:25	baseline 70:14,	24:16 51:7	6:8 7:23, 25 8:9,
47:22 68:9	24 84:9 88:12	55:14, 15 57:6	10, 14, 23, 24 9:1,
81:16	baselines 85:21	71:16 74:4 81:9	5, 8, 12, 23, 24
Austin 48:17	Baselining 70:2	Bethesda 41:6	11:12, 15, 18, 23
49:17	88:9, <i>16</i>	betrayed 24:11	12:8, 10, 14, 19,
Authorization	basic 4:21	better 8:8 9:22	25 13:5, 15, 18
3:22 8:13	basically 82:7	10:1, 2, 4 13:14,	16:7, 18 19:10,
authorized 78:18	basis 28:22	22 14:14 43:4	15, 18 21:25
automated 41:25	batteries 4:4	44:17 50:14	23:7 24:1, 20
auxiliary 58:15	battery 71:18	57:19 61:15	26:13, 15, 19, 21
available 78:21	battle 63:15	64:2, 6, 10, 16	27:21, 24 28:2, 5,
79:9	battlefield 2:11,	67:6 71:23 74:4	9, 17 29:23, 25
average 39:3, 15,	20 22:6 24:24	75:11 81:15	30:3, 11 31:3, 16
16	45:14 53:13	83:5 84:21,23	32:3 36:12, 16,
avoid 73:9 80:8	66:2	88:10, 11, 19	19 37:23 39:13
awaiting 78:24	BCA 78:24	89:8 91:11, 14,	41:8,9 42:18
aware 28:20	bear 47:25	16	52:20, 24 53:7,
awful 63:8	58:21 87:21	big 37:19 45:21	16,23 55:6
64:11	began 22:9	48:22 49:9, 10	60:15 65:20
awfully 62:10	begins 69:22	52:7 62:5 75:25	66:13 68:9 69:7,
	begun 69:2	84:18	18, 20 70:21
< B >	behave 33:14, 15	bigger 16:22	71:4 72:9, 14, 16
back 27:2 30:19	37:21	56:23	73:4,9 74:20
35:9 36:12 45:9	behavior 37:21	billion 24:13	77:16, 19 78:20
47:16 53:1, 4, 24	38:1 42:9 58:24	Biofeedback	79:3, 6 80:8, 11
			82:24 86:17



[
87:4, 10, 14 88:7,	18, 22 44:3, 15	Building 1:12	66:6 67:2, 5
<i>24</i> 89: <i>1</i> 91: <i>1</i> , 5	45:4 51:18	buildings 16:21	76:2, 21 77:11,
blaster 86:23	54:23 60:11, 14,	built 41:12	13, 14 84:22
blasts 4:11 7:20	25 65:4, 11, 19	52:19 72:18	86:8, 9, 15 87:18
8:7 10:2 11: <i>14</i> ,	66:3, 5, 10, 14, 16,	bunch 33:23	cared 18:12
18 12:5 14:6,9	17, 19 67:7, 20	bureaucratic	career 4:12
27:5 33:5 36:7	68:6, 9 69:4, 7, 8,	48:23	16:8 27:6 51:2 <i>1</i>
37:9 82:2 <i>3</i>	20 70:16 72:16,	burst 72:19	59:23
blood 32:9	21, 23, 25 73:4, 5,	business 9:14, 17	carefully 31:23
33:12 34:19	15, 17 77:7	76:4 77:17, 20,	caring 7:5
35:11 55:21	79:16 80:5 85:6	25 78:9, 15, 25	76:24 90:13
82:16 85:10, 23	87:9, 15, 25 89:2	79:24	Carl 12:2
87:19	91:25		Carlos 6:20 65:5
blown 79:2	breacher 22:4	< C >	Carolina 18:17
Blumenthal 1:15	41:3	California 11:9,	86:7 88:21
blunt 11: <i>11</i>	breaching 11:25	10	carried 16:20
Bobby 33:15	12:5 16:2 <i>1</i>	Call 6:14 21:3	case 9:14, 17
body 23:18	break 24:10	36:2 64:23	23:25 77:17, 20,
36:20 41:2 83:7,	breakthroughs	83:17	25 78:9, 15, 25
8 85:9	38:11	called 66:10	79:24
bolus 59:23	bridge 45:19	calling 53:12	cases 7:23 44:5
boot 32:3, 18	59:22	64:18	casualties 2:12,
boots 28:22	bridges 17:20	camp 32:4, 18	20 45:17
BOP 68: <i>3</i>	Brigham 16:14	Campbell 81:13,	CAT 41:19
Boston 5:11	17:19	14	catastrophic
box 34:22 35:24	brilliantly 58:19	cancer 35:11, 12,	36:23 56:7 72:4
boys 75:18	bring 13:17	13	Caucasian 39:16
brag 5:9	35:17 45:24	capabilities 57:18	Caucasians 63:10
BRAIN 1:1 3:1	58:20	capability 46:1	cause 7:23 8:1
4:3 5:4,16 6:11,	brings 58:7	capacity 91:24	10:3 11:20 12:8,
19 7:8, 13 9:20	broader 67:11	Captain 6:20	20 23:4 26:10,
11: <i>11</i> 16:2, 7, 9,	89:19	65:4 69: <i>13</i>	19 27:23 38:4
<i>15</i> 17:5, 24 18:1	broken 23:16	76:10 81:17	70:4 72:19
19:9, 15, 18	24:9	captured 58:19	86:14
21:25 23:22, 25	brought 45:17	cardiac 17:8	caused 3:4 4:15
24:20 26:4, 10	49:21	CARE 1:2 2:21	26:8 27:3, 4
28:3 31:4, 7, 13,	Budd 1:16 86:6	5:5, 8, 12 8:9	53:15
<i>15, 17</i> 33: <i>13</i>	87:1 88:4, 21	16:7 17: <i>17</i> , 21	causes 12:15
34:21 37:10, 24	89:5, 7 90:6, 15,	18: <i>5</i> , <i>19</i> 19: <i>1</i> , <i>9</i>	65:19
38:1 40:2, 18, 24	22 91:6, 7	24:19 51:8	causing 3:9
41:3, 5, 8 43:16,		62:12 65:16	



26:22	changes 4:17, 18	closing 62:21	76:4 84:16
cell 34:1	22:10 33:11, 12	CNS 46:22	85:19, 21, 25
cemented 22:5	37:3 42:2 44:16	codified 87:5	88:12
Center 6:21, 22	62:4 64:2, 10	codify 66:11	comes 3:1 27:2
9:20 23:24	67: <i>9</i> 69:8 70: <i>17</i>	cognition 42:2	43:22 51:16
46:18 65:5	71:7 72:2 80:19	88:17	53:1 78:5
69:14 88:22	changing 51:24	cognitive 17:2	coming 26:14
centered 16:8	Chapel 86:8	22:12 37:3	29:13 44:20
centers 19:8	characterize	40:11 65:22	53:13 74:20
certain 12:25	21:22	66: <i>15</i> 69:6, 9, 21	Command 61:19
34:16, 17 43:11	charge 72:22	70:7, 9, 21, 24	70:25 71:21
certainly 31:17	Charlestown	71:5, 7 74:10	79:8
35:7 39:5 46:10	17:11	cognitively 37:22	commander
51:1, 8, 21 60:11	Chief 6:10, 12	collaboration	62:24
61:25 87:1 89:7	16: <i>1</i> , <i>12</i> 21: <i>1</i>	14:9	commanders
CG 81: <i>14</i>	child 60:21	collar 60:5, 12	65:15
Chair 16:10	children 61:8	collars 89:15	Commanding
39:20 44:22	76:12 77:1	colleague 43:1	61:20 63:12, 21
47:19 52:1	choice 62: <i>13</i>	colleagues 31:8	comment 49:11
53:17 75:13	choose 29:6	34:21 47:5 56:4	62:21
chairman 1: <i>13</i>	chosen 41:21	collect 33:2	comments 6:24
chairman's 49: <i>16</i>	chronic 37:16	37:5 56:11	41:1 47:4 58:4
chairwoman 7:4,	circumstances	91: <i>14</i>	commercially
6 11:3 16:4	63:15	collected 52:20	78:21 79:9
18:2 <i>3</i> 39:2 <i>3</i>	civilian 65:14	collecting 29:19	commitment
44:8 60:3 65:7	Class 21:18 64:9	72:5 74:6	19:20 65:11
challenge 14:7	classified 7:15	collective 67:19	committed 4:9
27:14 35:1	clear 9:6 30:2	Columbia 18:13	9:19 27:1 64:15
challenges 23:4	76:7 87:21	combat 8:25	68:2 74:23
42:9 45:14	clearly 13:12	10:1, 12 11:24	Committee 1:8
challenging	55:2 71:22 75:4	14:3 17:25 18:8,	3:20 7:4 8:16
29:22 84:14	clinical 17:17, 21	12, 18 22:7, 9	11:4 19:22 21:4
chance 28:14	23:13 67:1,9	24:7 28:12	65:9, 18
29:3 71:6	69:10	29:23 40:3, 10	common 7:9
change 30:1	clinically 7:21	48:6, 7 54:18	47:9, 10
33:2, 3 34:17	49:25 50:4	66:25 88:25	commonly 7:15
43:8 54:8 63:23	clinicians 23:3	combatant 65:15	73:8 87:6
64:7 67:24	clinics 5:14	come 2:4 3:14	communicate
76:16	close 14:12	21:21 24:23	67:17
changed 63:25	closest 10:12	30:19 35:8	communications
80:21		64:20 73:12	45:19



	1	1	
communities	concerns 17:9	considering	country 45:3
28:23 78:8, 9	50:7 63:20	46:16	75:24
79:7	72:17 75:2	consist 6:6, 15	course 3:12
community	87:15	consistency 42:9	4:12 23:9 27:6
13:12 14:10	concrete 85:24	consistently 75:3	37:24 48:12
27:1 28:10	concussion 7:16,	constantly 30:5	cover 49:22
29:13 39:15	17, 21	constituents 49:7	74:22
57:23 61:17	concussive 31:11	contact 62:2	craft 53:9
79:13 80:11	condition 22:15	context 13:20	crazy 22:25
90:25	71:18	continue 9:25	24:2 41:16
co-morbid 17:6	conditions 5:20	14:4 29:24 33:1	creams 23:11
companies 82:8	17:6 37:12 38:9,	41:14 42:14	create 5:3 40:8
company 83:16	25	84:15 88:20	84:14
compare 52:12	conduct 8:14	continued 14:12	created 22:19
compelled 32:25	9:16 10:9 70:25	41:13 68:4, 8	36:16
completed 77:21	77:17 78:20	contract 78:2	creating 36:13
78:14, 15 80:4	89:8	contribute 59:16	creation 13:18
89:24	conducted 78:1	contributes 51:12	credible 77:8
completely 47:6	conducting 77:20	control 9:11	credit 49:16
52:16	confident 42:20	54:21 56:14	crew 73:11
complex 17:23	confirm 54:19	84:12	criteria 53:8
21:22	conflicts 45:18	conventional	critical 32:10
complicated	Congress 4:24	61:23	39:2 69:11
21:24 22:15	6:3 8:13 10:7	conversation	70:19 76:3
complicates	18:23 42:22	72:11	critically 31:19
12:17 27:7	77:16 91:16	co-occurring	70:1
component 88:18	Congressional	5:19	criticize 31:10
comprehensive	68:9	coordinate 67:9	culture 51: <i>11</i> , <i>15</i> ,
5:16 17:24 18:1	connect 34:24	coordinated 46:4	23
compressed	83:14, 16	coordination 8:4	cumulative 12:7,
18:19	Connecticut	18:5	16
compression	18:15	Corporation 11:7	cure 38:8
60:13 90:23	connection 13:24	Corps 28:10	current 13:11
computer 55:5	consequences	48:21 49:9 54:2	67:16 72:18
concentration 8:2	54:10	correct 41:24	currently 16:10
concern 36:12	consider 19:4	43:14 73:11	18:10 21:9
37:25 56:15	46:24	correlation 32:1	28:16 71:1
74:8	consideration	correlations	89:15
concerned 30:7	6:2 46:15	87:16, 20	cut 24:10
45:22 89:12	considered 26:5	council 81: <i>3</i>	
concerning 7:22		countries 45:20	



			_
< D >	decisions 10:5	demonstrated	detectable 11:21
D.C 1:9	66:2 79:5, 10	55:2	detecting 42:2
dad 23:19	deck 24:18	density 50:8	detection 61:2
damage 55:24	decline 70:21	Department 2:5	74:23 87:25
damaged 41:14	declining 19:12	5:6 6:16 8:14,	determine 9:14
damaging 16:22	22:12	22 9:3, 6, 16, 18	13:24 90:5
data 7:19 8:8,	decorated 22:2	10:8 16:11, 12	determined
23 19:10 27:24	decreased 8:3	17:3 19:4 21:15	26:13 82:19
28:1 29:19 30:6,	dedicated 65:13	36:10 61:21	develop 13:25
16 31:25 32:6,	deep 22:19 87:6	65:3, 4, 10, 24	14:2 19:5, 11, 12,
17,20 33:2	deeper 49:14	66: <i>1</i> 9 71:9 75: <i>1</i>	14 37:12 57:18
34:12 35:6 37:5	deeply 61:17	77:17, 22 79:4	58:24 75:24
43:8 45:21 46:1,	defaulted 23:4	87:12 89:12, 24	86:23
5 47:9, 10 52:20,	Defeat 45:9	91: <i>10</i>	developed 17:24
25 53:8 56:11	defend 65:25	departments	18:3 84:7
60: <i>16</i> 71: <i>12</i> , <i>23</i>	77:3	78:4, 7 80: <i>13</i>	development
72:1,6 74:6	Defense 3:22	Department's	42:19 65:21
80:5, 10 83:20	5:6 6:16, 18	8:17, 19 9:11	develops 57:18
90: <i>16</i> 91: <i>14</i>	8: <i>13</i> , <i>14</i> 10:8	65:18 77:16	devices 9:10
daughter 61:5	17:3 19:4 21:16	88:5	16:21 26:7
64: <i>4</i> 75: <i>14</i>	23:2, 9 26:12	depended 57:22	42:17 89:14, 21,
Day 6:14 18:19	36:10 61:21	depends 35:13	25 90:1, 4, 7, 24
21:3 40:5 58:10	65:2, <i>3</i> , <i>4</i> , <i>10</i>	deployed 4:10	diagnosable 7:21
62:14 63:24	78: <i>19</i> 80: <i>3</i>	48:4	diagnose 27:14
91:9	84:11 87:12	deployment	42:18 54:10
daylights 7:18	91:10	16:20	56:10, 12 88:6
days 16:25	Defense's 2:6	deployments	diagnosed 7:10,
deal 8:6	65:10, 24 75:1	40:3, 10	13 10:13 26:18
dealing 63:8	defer 77:23	depression 3:11	66:22 86:10
death 23:15	86:24	17:9	diagnoses 44:17
deaths 4:9	deficits 74:11	describes 13:10	diagnosis 12:13,
debate 60:10	define 19: <i>11</i>	describing 79:15	22 23:13 32:11
62:1	definitely 91:2	designed 5:17	42:3 53:14
debilitating 38:5	degree 59:11	desire 41:1	56:20 66:20
decade 34:16	deliver 4:19	despite 23:6	diagnostic 18:21
December 9:6	75:10	26:17	didn 22:16
86:19	delivered 13:8	details 46:22	die 24:8
decide 82:20, 21	delivering 65:16	detect 26:21	died 4:12 24:6
decided 74:2	demand 19:1	66:17 70:20	difference 61:19
decision 61:6, 7	dementia 26:16	71:6, 7, 25 72:2	62:5 76:1 90:19
64:4, 5 79:1			differences 74:19



1.66	P 1 1 1 1	71.11.16 75.0	27.10
different 13:6	disorder 21:23,	71:11, 16 75:8	drives 37:19
23:10 31:11	24	79:11 80:9 83:1,	driving 37:24
33:16 34:23	disproportionatel	17, 18, 19 85:6, 7	drugs 23:12
35:18, 19 36:18	y 31:12	87:14	due 11:25 70:21
46:3 48:11, 19	disrupt 8:5	domains 21:11	79:17
52:21, 23 53:12	disruptions 43:25	88:1	duplicative 89:24
76:22 79:18	distinguished	donated 23:18,	duties 11:16
83:8, 10 84:13	65:8	22 41:3, 5 54:23	duty 18:5, 8, 9,
85:10, 11 90:10	District 18:13	dosimeter 53:2	11 52:5 59:7, 12
91:1	disturbed 49:8	doubt 12:25	91:20
differential 58:16	dive 49:14	Doug 63: <i>3</i> , <i>10</i> , <i>19</i>	dynamic 43:6
difficult 26:21	doable 47:14	downloaded 55:6	dynamics 43:24
27:10 40:20	Doctor 50:16	Dr 5:22 6:9, 10,	dysfunction 17:9
63:15	90:6	<i>17</i> 11: <i>3</i> , <i>6</i> 14: <i>17</i>	37:4
difficulty 12:13	doctrine 67:24	16:4, 6 19:7, 23	
22:10	documentation	20:1 26:20, 24	< E >
dig 47:25	44:16 54:6	28:14, 20 29:4	ear 37:16 52:9
dimensions 67:5	documenting	30:4, 13, 20 31:3,	73:16
direct 13:5	87:4	8 32:8, <i>23</i> 33:9,	eardrum 72:19
46:22 48:6	DOD 2:25 3:12	17,20 34:15	earlier 71:7
directed 72:12	4:1, 17, 24 5:3, 5,	35:5, 16 37:13,	72:11 88:9
directing 16:15	24 6:3, 7, 16, 20	19 39:4, 10	early 34:17
direction 66:12	13:14, 22 14:10,	41:23, 24 42:4,	54:11 56:3, 6, 12
73:14, 23 74:21	<i>13</i> 19: <i>13</i> 23:22	23, 25 43:13	59:22, 24 70:19
80:12	24:13 27:24	46:12, 14 47:2, 3	74:23 87:25
Director 6:19, 20	28:15 29:2, 21,	48:25 49:19	88:9, 19
65:3, 5 78:19	24 30:4, 15 42:1,	50:18 51:1, 6, 9	ears 37:12
dirt 24:18	22 45:9 46:8	52:17 58:5, 13	38:13 52:9 73:1
discover 47:8	51:12 58:15	59:6, 9 60:1, 3, 6,	easier 56:11
discovered 40:24	66:6, 8, 24 69:2,	9, 16, 23, 24	easily 19:9
discuss 4:14	11 71:1,4 72:8,	61:24, 25 65:7	East 86:7
65:10 67:15	11,24 74:13	68: <i>11</i> 69:2 71: <i>3</i> ,	easy 35:14, 23
68:5	75:5 81:2 84:3	9 75:18 77:23	83:13
discussed 47:25	89:18	80:21, 22 82:13	eat 84:25
69:16	DOD's 72:22	86:17, 24 88:4	ECU 86:8
discussing 29:5	74:9	89:10 90:16	educate 57:12
discussion 39:25	doing 2:11, 19	drafted 63:6	effect 12:7, 16
disease 17:8	29:2 31:5, 21	draw 35:7	31:14, 15 36:19,
38:12 56:7	32:5 34:10	driven 67:10	20 37:24
disenfranchised	44:20 57:19	driver 37:19	
57:20	58:2 64:14, 18		
		1	1



- ff ac 4	am attam al 12.21	14.1 66.16	and better 5(.)
effective 13:8 39: <i>3</i> 66:2 71:8	emotional 13:21	14:1 66:16	evolution 56:3 evolved 37:2
	employs 45: <i>3</i> enable 67: <i>18</i>	enzymes 55:23	
72:10 91:4		epidemic 21:12	exactly 33:5
effectively 67:7	enables 66:3	episode 49:6	34:3 35:3 41:17
effectiveness	encourage 76:18	equipment 10:16	46:23 82:15
24:24 66:3	encouraged	39:6, 8, 18	85:7
67:11	76: <i>13</i>	equipping 81:8	example 38:3
effects 9:23	ended 22:23	Ernst 1:15 3:19,	exceeded 12:6
12:9 13:21	endless 23:1	23 39:21, 22	exceeds 3:4
16:25 26:14	endocrine 17:8	41:17 42:13	Excellence 6:21
37:25 40:8 45:4	endured 38:24	43:13 44:11	9:20 59:22 65:6
71:4 73:17 87:9,	enduring 14:6	48:16	69:14
10, 13	38:24 67:1	erring 72:5	excellent 9:21
efficient 18:18	enemy 23:8	especially 18:23	33:10 37:15
effort 23:23	31:9 43:2 45:16	28:5 59:10 77:7	42:4, 25 46:15
46:1 66:9 79:20	engaged 44:14	90:12	49:19 58:14
80:23	Engineer 11:6	establish 5:1	60:10 73:6
efforts 2:6	enhancing 59:21	72:12, 24 78:11	excuse 29:24
14:12 46:4	62:10	established 40:6	exercise 81:2, 22
52:21 66:12, 19	enlist 60:22	66:8	exercised 81:12
86:16	75:16	establishing	exercises 11:25
eight 62:24	enlisted 32:4	72:10 73:21	40:4 79:12
75:21	enlisting 32:4	74:16	existing 18:2
either 45:6	60:24	esteemed 47:4	expand 18:20
48:14 62:8	ensure 10:8, 14,	estimate 54:14	74:18
EKG 55:25	15 19:8 69:19	74:4	expanding 89:21
elected 63:5	73:11	evaluates 19:17	expansion 67:1
elements 8:21	ensuring 77:9	evaluating 43:7	expect 77:21
47:9 50:10	enterprise 55:21	evaluation 18:4	expected 67:12
elevated 17:7	enterprises 24:4	58:21 88:1	expecting 78:2
89:1	enthusiasm 60:18	event 12:15 27:4	expedient 66:1
eliminate 62:4, 6	entire 3:17 27:6	everybody 46:6	expedite 89:25
elite 18:3	entities 34:19	63: <i>13</i> 67:21	experience 19:2
Elizabeth 1:13	entitled 48:2	82:11 83:12, 20	45:6 46:11, 25
2:1	entrusting 76:20	85:10	47:13 48:24
else's 85:10	environment	everyday 21:9	88:24
emanates 11:19	33:1 43:6 54:20	evidence 5:7	experienced
emerge 58:23	58:23 70:11	11:17 12:12	11:15 12:5 17:4
emerging 9:4	77:6 80:14, 15	85:25	experiencing
66:16	environments	evident 4:18	22:9 45:13 54:1,



3	69:7, 20 70:21	family 46:7, 10	find 33:21
experiment 23:14	71:5 73:9 78:20	55:1 76:13	42:14 43:21
expert 83:3	86:18 87:4	77:11	44:4,6 88:16
expertise 56:21	88:24 89:1	far 27:23 33:8	finding 41:11, 14
experts 72:17	exposures 9:8	36:12, 22 42:11	findings 39:11
explain 31:3	11:15 13:20	56:8	67:15 86:19
86:20	23:7 31:16	fast 31:25	fine 72:2
explosion 11:20	32:13 35:21	faster 28:12	finished 80:2
16:22	36:13 47:11	75:9 91: <i>15</i>	fire 6:1 7:25
explosions 16:19	54:20 65:20	fatalities 45:17	48:12 72:13, 25
explosive 16:21	67:22 68:3, 9	father 4:14	81:10
26:7, 11	80:9	FDA 89:14, 21	fired 4:5 52:3, 6
explosives 8:1	expressed 41:1	90:6, 8	firing 3:2 12:1
22:4 38:16	extended 6:2	fear 51:15, 20	40:7 46:19, 20
expose 29:24	8:20	feasibility 8:25	48:8 52:8 69:22
exposed 7:20	extensively 78:4	feasible 9:12	74:11
8:10, 24 11:17	external 42:10	feature 56:13	firmly 74:22
13:1 27:21 28:9,	extraordinary	February 1:4	First 4:25 6:6,
19 36:4 54:6	59:10	78:1	13 7:3 8:22
69:17 86:22	extreme 50:1	feel 47:12 52:8	21:2 29:20
88:7	eye 88:2	71:20 73:19	30:22 62:3 63:4
EXPOSURE 1:2	Eyes 45:13 76:5	81:24 84:25	64:8 72:16
3:15 4:22 5:3		felt 22:20 80:5	74:14 80:22
6:2 7:22, 25 8:7,	< F >	fetch 76:9	82:13 84:9
10, 15, 23 9:1, 5,	faced 4:23	field 19:3 36:10	Fiscal 3:25
13, 23, 24 11:14,	facing 17:23	60:11	78:18 79:14
23, 25 12:3, 6, 8,	fact 12:15 23:21	fielded 89:19	fits 57:16
10, 12, 14, 16, 19,	27:4, 8 35:23	fielding 79:12	fitted 9:9
24 13:5, 19, 20,	36:19 51:11	89:21	fittest 50:3
21, 22, 25 14:1, 8	factors 77:22	fighting 4:4	five 18:19 36:2
16:7 19: <i>10</i> , <i>19</i>	faculty 17:19	18:10 22:1	45:13 71:2, 12,
21:25 24:1, 20	failure 54:10	59:12 66:4	22 72:7 77:1
26:11, 13, 19	91:2 <i>3</i>	figure 71:15	79:20
27:5, 11, 24 28:2,	fairly 36:25	87:6	flaws 42:7
6, 11, 24 29:23	63: <i>13</i>	fill 29:21	Florida 5:15
30:3 31:18	fallen 5:14	final 8:17 9:5	7:2 17:13 18:15
32:11 34:2	falling 6:7	finalized 66:11	Floridian 17:12
35:20 36:7 41:9,	familiar 38:8	finally 40:17	focus 29:17
10 42:18 46:25	families 4:16	financial 18:24	66:6 84:7
50:8, 9, 14 54:14	5:13 10:14 40:1		focused 11:10
55:6 62:4,6	44:1,7 58:1		54:12



			1
focuses 66:14	forth 37:4	fundamentally	glucose 31:24
88:17	52:24 55:23	54:8	33:24 81:20, 22,
folks 28:21	forward 9:15	funded 87:12	24 82:8, 14 83:7
36:24 56:2	10:20 14:16	funding 19:7	85:11
57:10, 14	27:18 28:15	further 12:22	go 10:15 29:19
follow 37:8	42:22 57:10	86: <i>13</i>	31:5 35:11
41:20 53:9	68:10 77:19	furthered 22:4	38:18 40:4
61:2 <i>3</i> 73:17	85:20 86:15	future 43:8	41:18 43:25
75:3 76:17	found 12:4 26:9	67:23	47:10 53:24
88:15	28:10 55:5 74:9		56:17 57:1 58:5
followed 8:20	87:16	< G >	59:15 62:18
37:11	Foundation 6:13	gab 67:9	63:22 75:15, 16
following 19:4	21:2	gap 17:20 29:21	76:9 82:7,9
22:7 34:15	founded 5:10	39:2	goal 59:17
83:12	Four 4:4 13:16	gaps 14:13	88:19 90:3, 13
follows 14:17	22:7 64:9 80:7	gauge 14:3	God 62:14
19:23 25:3 34:6	frame 75:4	gauges 4:22	goes 30:4 81:24
68:12	Frank 4:14	52:24	going 5:8 6:5
food 81:23	6:12 21:1 40:16	General 5:11	23:16, 20 30:8
foolproof 67:18	frankly 71:23	16:13 17:18, 19	32:3, 19 33:13,
force 3:17	Freedom 66:25	61:20 75:1	15 34:5 35:4, 12
18: <i>10</i> 21: <i>13</i>	67:1	85:23 90:14	43:9, 11, 21
24:22 45:24	freedoms 62:12	Generally 16:22	44:24 46:4
49:10 59:12	frequency 8:9	getting 9:19	52:14, 15, 18
60:14 62:10	13:23 71:16	29:20 31:18	55:10, 25 57:13,
66:4 78:12	frequently 7:20	53:23 61:1 85:5	18 63:10,17
89:19	13:1	give 24:16	64:15 70:17
forces 4:22	friends 76:13	27:21 28:14	73:21 74:13
61:23 66:9 67:2	front 10:12	53:25 59:17	75:15 77:9,11
80:23 88:24	25:1 32:20 58:9	64:25 69:21	79:25 80:17
forcing 74:5	70:17	74:7 81:8 82:9,	81:1, 10 82:13
foreign 45:25	frontline 10:1	10 83:11 85:8	83:1, 4, 7, 9, 15
forgive 43:18	fruit 87:21	given 24:12	84:13 85:2,9
form 11:18 66:5	frustrated 71:21	29:3 49:15 69:5	90:18
formal 21:5	frustration 73:20	72:3	gold 42:5, 15
formally 37:5	fulfilling 11:15	gives 58:7 73:10	Good 2:15, 24
former 21:7	65:25	glad 53:20 69:11	11:4 16:4 28:4
63:4	full 79:2	global 22:1	30:25 31:8,9
Fort 81: <i>13</i> , <i>14</i>	function 40:11	50:10	39:22 43:1, 2
88:23	66:18 69:9 71:7	globe 67: <i>3</i>	62:10 72:15
	functions 22:12		



79:14 81:18	guaranteed 61:3	head 22:25	heart 55:22, 23
84:25 86:4	guess 62:1 79:17	89:22 90:25	56:1 76:18
goodbye 62:16	guidance 80:3, 6,	headache 37:20	heavily 78:9
gosh 82:2	13 81:4,9	82:24	heavy 8:1 9:4
gotten 36:15	guidelines 40:6	headaches 3:9	22:7 40:7 74:11
41: <i>11</i>	72:20 74:13	8:3 37:17 54:4	height 45:10
Government	Gustaf 12:2	82:2	heightened 4:3
45:24 49:4	guy 63:10	headed 74:21	help 5:8 10:10
84:18	guys 23:20, 22	79:20	21:16 22:13, 17
Governor 63:4, 5	81:20	health 2:8, 17	30:15 53:23
grader 57:25	gyro 45:24	3:15 5:5, 16, 20	55:12 56:16, 22
Graduate 6:10		6:11, 18, 19 8:9	59:5, 23 69:6, 7,
11:2	< H >	9:20 13:6, 25	9,10 71:6 84:11
grandchild	hallucinations	14:4, 14 16:2	helpful 69:21
60:21 77:2	3:10	17:6, 19 18:1	hey 55:24 82:22
grandkids 30:23	hand 57:2	19:12, 14 23:2, 5,	high 13:23
75:21 76:8	hand-eye 8:3	9 24:14,20	23:12 28:5, 11
grappling 64:3, 5	handicapped	26:18 30:11	46:9 53:11
grateful 18:22	46:5	34:6 36:13 45:4	59:11, 16, 19
41:21 56:21	handicaps 56:2	47:15 48:6	83:9 90:12
75:7	handle 36:22	53:14 59:18	higher 88:24
gray 31:13	happen 27:5	62:9, <i>10</i> 65:2, <i>4</i> ,	highest 70:10
great 8:6 26:24	33:5 35:4 87:13	11, 14, 16 66:3, 6,	highlight 13:16
33:20 39:10	happened 23:17	10, 14 68:6 69:7,	highly 18:18
45:21 47:3	31:22 41:2	20 70:16, 24	22:2
50:16 62:1	54:23, 25 83:3	72:23 73:4 75:2	Hill 86:8
75:22	happening 31:2	78:19 79:16	Hirono 1:15
greater 86:19, 21	36:8 39:9, 11	80:6 84:21, 22	35:25 36:2 37:1,
greatest 12:24	happens 27:16	86:8, 9 87:9, 18	7, 18 38:3, 17, 19,
76:14	30:25 33:5, 7	hear 6:16 10:7	21 39:19
grew 66:22	54:9	53:4	historically 73:15
grossly 18:20	happy 19:21	heard 16:18	history 9:1
ground 4:19	39: <i>13</i> 59:9	44: <i>1</i> 61:2 63: <i>3</i>	hoc 46:4
28:2 <i>3</i> 54: <i>1</i>	hard 33:18, 19	73:24	holding 2:22, 24
73:22 80:18, 20	34:7 35:15	hearing 2:3, 5,	7:7 47:20
groups 32:8	56:10 75:7	22, 25 6:24 7:7	home 3:8 5:7,
growing 19:2	harm 4:15 90:3,	8:17 9:15 44:23	10, 14, 16, 22
grown 55:9	5, 14	47:20 64:20	6:12 16:2, 15
Guam 18: <i>13</i>	harm's 10:15	86:13 89:17	17:11, 20, 22
guarantee 85:11	Harvard 16:11	92:7	18:6 19: <i>3</i> , <i>13</i>
	Hawaii 18:15		45:17 58:6, 11



50.2.00.22		· · · · ·	I 10.7
59:3 88:22	identification	implemented	Increase 19:7
91: <i>19</i> , <i>23</i>	66:15	29:16 67:16	71:6
homeland 77:3	identified 12:20	78:6	increased 3:10
Hon 1:12 2:1	26:19	implementing	26:16 86:21
7:1 65:1	identify 27:22	14:10	increasing 55:3
honor 76:14	91:12	implications 72:4	66:24
honorably 22:23	IED 36:10 45:9,	importance 54:7	increasingly
honored 16:6	15	59:4 70:18	12:1 14:5 27:10
65:13	IEDs 23:8 26:7	important 7:7	incredible 34:22
hope 9:21 30:25	36:4	9:18 19:20	43:24
42:13 51:24	Illinois 18:16	26:10 27:14	incredibly 40:20
59:20 62:17	illness 23:5	31:19 37:7 39:5,	44:2 47:14
64:3, 13 67:14	58:20	11, 19, 25 40:21	incubator 17:16
70:5 74:25	imagine 30:23	44:2, 24 46:11	incurred 3:7
hormonal 17:8	imaging 18:21	47:14, 17, 21, 22	index 14:3
Hospital 5:11	33:12 34:20	51:5 58:16	indicative 51:14
16:13, 14 17:18	immediate 37:20	66:18 68:5	87:24
hostile 58:8	47:12	69:12, 24 70:1	indisputable
hour 75:3	immediately	72:23 75:5, 24	41:15
hours 74:10, 14,	11:21 12:18	83:23 85:15	individual 3:16
17	16:25 27:9	88:11	35:17 42:10
howitzer 48:9, 12	66:17	importantly	79:13 83:25
howitzers 52:7	impact 11:11	30:17	84:8, 10, 12
huge 51:21 61:1	13:5 24:17, 23	improve 51:24	individuals 8:20
human 36:20	31:6, 12 32:13	65:22 84:4	10:11 35:19
39:4 43:18	37:8 40:1 44:6	86:14 88:5	42:10 50:2
75:23	65:19 66:4	improving 16:8	individual's 14:3
hundred 9:9	67:16 69:19	68:2 71:4	induced 13:15
hung 22:21	90:25	improvised	39: <i>13</i>
hurt 23:22 24:2,	impactful 17:14	16:21 26:7	inducing 89:22
9 52:9	impacts 17:2	incidence 38:6	industry 45:25
hypertension	19:12 26:17	include 8:21	84:3
17:8	69:6	13:19 72:16	infantry 32:19
hypervigilance	impairments	79:7	62:24
22:11	40:11	Included 80:7	influenced 21:12
hypotheses 13:3	imperative 73:7	includes 6:1	inform 65:18, 21
	80:5	including 8:2	67:23 77:18
< I >	implement 4:1	9:1 18:14 26:15	information 9:13,
idea 29:18 30:7	29:10, 15 82:10	32:9 42:6 77:22	22 10:4 27:22
52:25 53:5	implementation	86:7 89:14	28:2 29:20 33:4
	79:16 81:10	inclusive 90:11	46:23 57:1 80:4



	1	1	1
82:22 83:11	57:15 59:1	interventions	92:5
90:4	60:12, 15, 17, 25	19:13	issued 4:22
inherent 76:19	61: <i>1</i> , <i>2</i> , <i>3</i> 66: <i>5</i> ,	Intrepid 6:21	issues 8:4 9:11
initial 70:7	17,19 69:4	65:5 69: <i>14</i>	38:7 44:15
Initiative 6:14	72:14, 16, 21, 25	84:11	47:23 48:6, 18
21:3 66:10, 11,	73:1, 5 77:7	introduce 3:23	49:2 <i>1</i> 50: <i>13</i>
14, 18 73:25	87:15, 25 89:2	invades 37:21	65:11 68:5 82:4
79:17	91:2	Invest 19:5, 17	item 5:23
Initiatives 9:21	innovative 5:7,	67:12	iterative 67:8
65: <i>12</i> , <i>19</i>	19 17:17	invested 78:9	its 2:25 6:3 9:7
injured 2:22	inside 23:16	investigated	10:9 16:15
41:15	insight 67:4	50:20	60:15 66:5
injuries 3:1, 2, 3,	insights 67:17	investigators	77:18, 22
7, 21, 25 4:8 7:9	Inspector 75:1	60:18	
10:4 11:21	instances 39:16	investment 62:8	< J >
19:18 24:6 26:5,	institutions 24:11	67:10	January 48:17
8 28:12 31:11	instructors 12:5	invisible 3:8	JIEDO 36:11
35:18 40:2, 18	28:8 74:1	5:12 21:10, 22	job 7:6 74:3
41:18, 22 43:16	integrate 5:5	24:9 69:15	76:19 84:21,23
51:13, 16, 20	67:22	invitation 39:24	89:8
52:16 54:5	integrated 18:3	inviting 68:4	join 70:6 76:14
65:20 67:7, 22	integrity 77:8	involve 4:21	77:3
68:10 69:8	intellectual 46:1	involved 10:18	joined 32:2
91:25	intense 58:21	40:18 78:4	joining 5:23
INJURY 1:1	intensive 18:7	involving 67:9	joins 32:18
4:3 5:4 6:11	intent 21:15	Iraq 3:5 4:10	Joint 36:10
7:8, <i>13</i> 11: <i>11</i>	interact 28:22	22:7 26:6 36:5	45:9 65:1 66:9
12:12, 17, 20	interest 60:11	45:10	68: <i>11</i> 80:2 <i>3</i>
13:15 16:2, 7, 9,	interested 38:10	Iraqi 66:25	jugular 90:23
16 17:5, 24 19:9,	interesting 42:11	irritability 8:3	justify 22:22
15, 16 21:23, 25	interfaces 31:13	ISIS 4:4	
23:25 24:5	interfere 29:7	isolated 27:4	< K >
26:10 27:2, 3, 7,	interferes 38:22	isolating 70:19	Kaine 1:15
15 28:3 31:17	interim 80: <i>3</i>	issue 3:18 6:17	44:21, 22 46:7
33:13 34:25	internal 42:9	7:5 9:18 12:15,	47:2, 16 64:17
36:23 37:10	81:6 90:20	22 14:8 26:20	Kaine's 48:10
39:13 40:24	internist 85:13	35:17 51:6	Kathy 6:19 65:3
41:3, 8, 9 43:10,	interval 72:2	52:17 67:8	keep 2:11, 19
22 44:3, 15	intervention	69:25 80:22	22:25 62:25
51:18 53:15	13:7 59:24 71:8	81:5, 7, 8 82:17	89:22
55:19 56:8	90:21		



keeping 18:10	8 67:20 69:15	59:25 61:10, 11	21:12 24:4, 18
54:8	70:4,9 71:11,24	77:12	27:5 41:8 55:19
Kennedy 88:22	72:3 73:23	late 47:24	57:15 59:16
key 24:20 29:6	75:17, 20 76:18,	Laughter 50:25	80:18 83:9
86:19	23 81:9, 21, 24	law 44:16	85:11 86:23
kickoff 77:25	82:3, 8, 15, 18	lead 6:13 21:2	88:7 90:12
kid 62:23	83:2, 4, 13 84:9	28:12 40:11	levels 29:25
kidding 51:3	85:8 88:10 89:9	42:2 44:17	34:8 64:8 83:17
kids 7:17 30:23	90:2	leader 36:9 45:9	leverage 17:19
62:2 <i>3</i> 76:6 85:2	Knowing 60:19,	leaders 68:1	liberties 62:16
killing 23:20	20	leadership 57:12	Liberty 88:23
kind 37:7 38:10	knowledge 14:13	80:24, 25	life 8:5 21:20
39:7 47:11	37:4 55:17	leading 5:18	22:24 50:10
48:10, 19 50:10	67:19 81:9	54:2 61:20 68:8	76:20
58: <i>12</i> 71: <i>17</i>	known 4:19	learn 3:14 9:16	lifelong 31:18
81: <i>11</i>	7:16 41:22	39:13 43:3, 9	32:13 50:9
kinds 35:21	66:15	45:5 50:12	lifetime 76:15
38:7 47:11, 13	Korea 48:11	45:5° 50:12 67:20	light 9:4
52:6	Korean 63:6	learned 23:24	likelihood 86:20,
King 62:20, 21		45:5 77:18	21
knew 76:6 82:2	< L >	learning 33:1	limit 12:6 29:22
know 7:25 8:7	labels 22:21	43:6	89:14
23:19 27:19, 20	lack 12:11, 23	leave 24:19	limitations 73:25
30:10, 14, 25	13:4 24:16 46:5	Lee 6:19 65:3	limits 6:2 46:19,
	lacked 55:16	68:12 72:22	20 72:10, 16
3, 4, 12, 17, 19, 21	laid 63:19 81:4	73:2 74:15, 18	73:21 74:7, 9, 16
33:6, 25 34:6, 13,	large 33:14	76:25 77:1, 24,	line 2:9, 17
20 35:2, 3, 9, 10	35:6 59:19	25 78:15, 24	10:12 81:12
36:22 38:1, 5, 10,	Larkin 4:14	79:19 80:1	lines 58:9 79:20
11, 19 43:3	6: <i>12</i> 20: <i>1</i> 21: <i>1</i> ,	86:25 87:2 89:4	89:10
45:12, 19 46:8, 9,	4, 19 25:3 26:1	90:22, 23	link 27:10
16 48:20, 22	29:5 30:20, 22	legislation 3:23	linked 12:10
49:12 51:8 52:1,	36:9 37:2, 14	4:1 8:19	19:10 37:25
6, 8, 14, 18, 23	38:15, 18, 20	lessons 77:18	38:1
53:3, 5, 19 54:22	40:7, 12, 16, 23,	Lester 6:17 65:1	linking 12:12
55:13, 14, 22, 23,	25 41:17 44:1	lethality 24:24	list 91:22
25 56:4, 7, 24	45:8 51:25	letter 48:17	listen 57:9, 10
57:4, 11, 16, 21,	52:14, 23 53:21	level 7:20, 22, 25	58:24 85:13, 14
25 58:14, 18	54:11,17 55:4	9:24 11:14, 15	listening 22:25
60:20 61:7, 8, 22	57:7,8 58:18	12:3, 8, 10, 14, 19,	literally 45:16
62:3, 17, 22 64:6,		25 13:5 14:5	



1:44 12.2 22.5	lashad 26.25	1	
little 13:2 23:5	looked 36:25	lung 73:16	MARTINEZ-
29:18 42:16	78:3 87:3, 8, 13,		LOPEZ 65:1
47:24 55:17	19	< M >	Mass 16:13
56:24 58:10	looking 27:3	Ma'am 71:9	17:18, 19
59:8 71:21	29:8, 15 32:9	74:18 80:22	MASSACHUSET
73:20	35:5 71:10, 13,	Madam 39:20	TS 2:2 5:11, 14
live 23:16 38:20	15 73:16 74:18	47:19 52:1	17:11 18:14
lives 2:8, 16	78:3 79:8	53:17	match 46:1
16:8	looks 27:15	main 51:10	matter 31:13
living 7:18 24:5	Lopez 6:18	maintenance	maximizes 74:24
33:1 55:19, 20	64:24 65:7	13:18	maximum 5:25
79:10	68: <i>11</i> 71:9	majority 7:14	72:12, 24
located 17:11	75:18 77:23	18:9 54:19	McBirney 6:9
locations 17:13	80:22 82:13	making 43:8	11:1, 3, 6 14:17
locked 55:8	86:24 90:16	male 39:4, 15, 16	26:20, 24 28:14,
logs 5:4, 5 28:3	lose 30:23	man 40:21	20 29:4 30:4, 13
long 3:21 19:14,	loss 22:11 26:3,	maneuvering	33:18, 20 39:4,
18 23:16 26:11	15	45:14	10 46:12, 14
29:10 30:19	lot 4:24 28:1, 21	manifest 12:18	50:16, 18 51:1, 9
31:14 34:14	29:12 30:2	27:9	52:17 60:1, 16,
37:9, 15 40:8	34:20 36:3, 24	manifested 22:10	23, 24
43:17 47:11	37:11 38:23	manner 10:17	mean 34:8, 9
49:24, 25 50:12	43:9, 14 46:19	manuals 46:24	35:3, 13 37:9
62:8 63:3 66:6	48:17 49:6	manufacturers	43:24 46:8 48:7
74:12	50:12 51:15	53:7 74:5	55:17 60:24
longer 74:16	55:14 62:7 63:8	Marine 28:9	62:11 82:4, 5, 7
75:4	64:11, 12, 13	48:21 49:9 54:2	83:4, 5, 7 85:2, 4
longitudinal	90:10	57:3 62:23, 24	86:20
19:14 77:16	lotions 23:11	77:4	means 54:11
86:17 87:2	loud 38:22	Marines 9:9	59:21 65:25
look 10:20	52:10	48:4, 13, 23	72:17 85:21
14:16 32:7	love 22:5 44:25	49:12 51:3 52:5	88:14
52:11 55:23	48:21	marker 55:21	measurable
58:17 67:10	loved 22:4 61:13	markers 34:17	24:17
68: <i>10</i> 71: <i>13</i>	low 7:20, 22, 25	Martinez 6:17	measure 19:5
73:15 75:7	9:24 11:14, 15	64:24 65:7	70:16 74:8
78:11 81:16	12:8, 10, 14, 19,	68: <i>11</i> 69:2 71: <i>3</i> ,	measures 4:21
82:21 84:6 85:4	25 13:5 14:5	9 75:18 77:15,	42:6
86:15 90:16, 17	27:5 88:7	23 80:21, 22	mechanism 91: <i>1</i> ,
91:2, 3	loyalty 22:5	82:13 86:17, 24	5
71.2, 3	10yany 22.3		-
	1	90:16	medic 22:3



Medical 6:22	mentioned 11:24	minimum 18:20	months 3:12
8:14 9:2, 13	12:11 27:9	minus 24:16	8:16 23:24
16:12 19:8	55:17 73:15	minute 5:9	58:22 79:24, 25
23:23 24:3 26:8	met 1:11	minutes 36:2	mood 23:12
27:21 55:21	method 42:1	Missing 7:19	moral 21:23
57:18 65:14,21	methods 19:11	28:1 45:21	morale 3:17
66:9 69:8 80:24	66:17	mission 10:6	morning 21:20
81:9 85:18	metrics 41:25	65:24, 25 67:25	mortar 52:4, 6, 8
medications 23:2,	micro 43:15	77:13	MOSs 28:7
11 55:7	microscopic	mitigate 8:9	move 70:5 75:9
Medicine 16:11,	23:25 24:5 41:7	14:1 28:16 44:6	85:23, 24
13 34:7 57:17	43:10 59:1	72:13	moved 61:18
68: <i>4</i> 70: <i>3</i> , <i>12</i>	mid-career 59:22	mitigating 46:25	70:5
83:24	middle 63:14	66:4 68:2 69: <i>3</i>	movement 45:15
meeting 2:25	mild 7:15 26:9	mitigation 5:1	movements 88:2
39:12 78:1 81:3	75:4	modalities 70:13	moving 73:14,
Member 3:19	militaries 45:6	84:6	23 89:12
4:9 5:2, 15 6:23	military 4:5	modals 70:4	MRI's 41:19
8:24 11:3 16:5	5:13 6:22 7:10,	mode 83:11	multi-disciplinary
32:17 65:7	13 8:8 9:8, 22	model 18:19	18:4
69:22	11:14, 16 12:23	32:7	multiple 31:16
Members 1:14	14:6 19:21	modeled 18:2	58:20 88:1
3:20 4:7 7:12	23:23 26:8 27:6	models 17:17	multi-system
11:4 16:5 19:21	28:7, 18 47:23	31: <i>10</i> 39: <i>3</i>	19: <i>15</i> 37:23
48:11 62:9 65:8	48:11 49:15	modest 60:18	munitions 53:6
66:22 68:8 70:6	51:21 59:7 62:2	modify 67:12	muscle 55:24
86:18 88:12	63:6,7 65: <i>13</i> , <i>14</i>	moment 27:16	
member's 70:16	66:12, 16 67:2	88:12	< N >
memo 80:1, 4, 7,	68: <i>1</i> , <i>4</i> 70: <i>6</i> , <i>7</i> ,	money 52:15	name 11:5 16:5
8, 10	10 75:2, 19	monitor 8:22	53: <i>13</i>
memory 8:2	76:14 77:3 78:4,	9:8 31:25 69:6	named 17:25
22:12 26:15	7 80:13 88:6, 13,	78:20 81:21, 22	narrative 41:11
men 40:4 42:23	14, 25 91:4, 20	82:11, 17 85:9,	nation 2:10, 19
51:6, 14 76:23	millimeter 52:4,	20 86:1 88:20	17:15 21:8 22:9
mental 5:20	6	monitoring	40:22 65:25
13:21 17:2	million 65:16	69: <i>19</i> 77: <i>19</i>	92:1
21:10 23:5	mind 51:23	78: <i>11</i> 79: <i>3</i>	National 3:22
24:13, 14 53:14	77:23 78:23	84: <i>14</i> , <i>21</i> 87: <i>3</i>	6:13, 20, 21 8:13
mention 23:6	mindset 67:14	monitors 33:24	21:2 23:23
	mine 85:11	month 61:4	24:21 65:5



69: <i>13</i>	needed 4:23	nonprofit 5:10	offer 53:5 64:13
nations 45:6	9:22 22:14	11:7	86:15
native 17:12	36:20 55:2 67:5	normal 3:4	offered 22:13
NATO 45:13	84:3	36:25	offerings 87:4
nature 12:14	needs 10:9	normals 85:22	Office 1:12 65:9
27:2, 15	13:13 19:10	North 18:16	73:24
Naval 61:16	28:16 29:12, 21	86:7 88:21	Officer 6:12
Navy 4:10	37:11 49:14	noted 17:7 39:2	21:2 54:2 63:12,
17:22, 23 21:7,	51:24 57:9	notice 1:11	21
18 22:2, 18, 23	58:12 72:8	noticed 82:23	officials 6:15
40:15 41:12	91: <i>11, 16</i>	notion 56:25	oftentimes 90:9
NDAA 3:25	negative 51:20	novel 19:11 53:5	Oh 79:22 83:15
5:21 64:18	negotiations 92:6	November 48:1	Okay 29:1
78:18 79:14	nephews 76:12	number 5:25	33:17 34:2 35:9,
84:2 92:6	nerd 30:6	10:2 13:19	25 48:15 60:7,
near 49:25 66:6	nested 17:18	30:15, 17 31:11	19 63:19 70:14
nearly 3:13 18:6	network 84:11	32:8 42:6 57:8	78:17 79:22
necessarily 46:20	networks 34:24	72:13, 24 73:7	80:16 81:18, 21
necessary 8:11	neurocognitive	82:23, 24	83:1 84:18
9:25 78:10	87:16	numbers 47:6	old 21:19 43:17
necessitates 14:9	neuroimaging	59: <i>19</i>	60:21 61:4
neck 60:13	32:10	numerous 55:6	72:20 75:15
89:15	neuropsychologic		oldest 17:14
need 4:25 5:8,	al 41:25	< 0 >	once 70:6
24 24:17, 25	never 23:13	obfuscating	ones 50:13
27:19, 20, 22	43:22 53:1	12:21	one's 37:20
28:4 29:20 30:2,	84:25	objective 10:17	ongoing 3:15
5 31:20 38:1	New 4:2 32:18	obviously 28:1	65:20 86:16
40:17 43:21	36:16 46:18	30:1 49:4	onset 16:19
44:5, 18 45:23	48:1,2 49:2	occupation 53:11	oops 2:12
50:12 51:8	50:19, 23 51:22	occupational	open 6:24 76:5
52:11 53:23	53:25 57:3 69:5	11:14, 16 12:24	OPENING 2:1
56:16 57:1,11,	NFL 60:4 89:16	13:1, 6, 24 14:6	20:2 64:25
12 59:23 63:23	NICO 84:11	28:7, 18	operates 17:13
66:1 69:10	nieces 76:13	occur 11:23	Operating 6:12
70:23 71:13	night 23:15	17:1 44:4, 5	21:1
75:6, 11 76:3, 4	nightmares 22:11	occurred 54:15	Operation 66:25
77:8 85:21 89:7,	nine 18:20	occurrence 13:23	operational 23:6
18 90:4 91:12,	nodes 34:24	occurring 16:19	24:24 66:9 78:8
13, 14, 16, 18, 19,	nonpartisan 11:7	odds 35:10	80:14, 23, 25
24	_		90:5



ananationally	67.14	77.12	25.10 27.16 21
operationally 66:4	67:14	77:12	35:18 37:16,21 43:11 50:1 54:1
	overlook 49:13	participants 18:8 participate 39:24	43.11 50.1 54.1 55:14 57:1 58:7,
operations 4:21 5:17 22:3 24:7	overpressure 2:7	particular 10:5	,
	3:3, 21, 24, 25	▲	10 59:7, 17, 19,
28:9 61:22	4:8, 15 5:2 6:8	47:1 49:6 91:13	23 62:7, 15 64:3,
70:25 71:21	11:12, 18, 23	particularly 9:7	5, 8, 11, 12 74:12
79:8 88:24	16: <i>18</i> 26: <i>15</i> , 21	28:11	76:20 77:13
Operator 21:18	27:21 28:17	partner 5:6	81:25 83:16, 18
52:19 55:20	29:25 30:11	19:13 58:15	85:5 86:22
operators 18:5, 6,	53:8, 16, 23	75:9 84:3 92:4	percent 7:15
11, 13 59:11	66:13 69:18	partners 45:13,	12:5 17:5 18:8
89:16 90:12	72:9, 14 73:4	25	26:13 43:23
opinion 61:21	74:20 87:10, 14	partnership	44:5 50:2, 3
opportunity 8:18	89:23 91:1, 5	18:24	54:14
11:5 19:19 21:5	overpressures	partnerships	percentage 86:22
25:1 32:2 44:9	23:7	19:7	perception 37:20
47:7 56:4, 5	overseeing 72:22	pass 63:17	perfect 29:10
69:24 73:10	oversight 81:3	passed 55:5	35:13 42:12
74:23 75:22	overwhelming	passing 41:13	51:5 56:25
option 60:24	18:9	patchwork 56:17	57:24
options 19:4	owe 10:14 92:1	path 42:22	perfection 29:7
order 2:4 56:22		paths 57:14	31:9 43:2
organ 43:24	< P >	pathway 85:19	perfectly 13:7, 10
organization	p.m 1:12 92:7	patient 56:19	perform 37:22
5:10 36:11 45:9	pace 19:2	84:7 85:14 86:1	performance
69:13	packed 44:14	patients 16:16	65:23 66:15
organizations	Pain 37:19, 21	17:10 18:14, 19	87:9
48:22 84:4	38:4	19:3 58:24 75:4	period 6:2 8:20
organized 58:11	pan 81:1	84:11 85:20	32:7 33:7 50:11
organizing 66:18	panel 6:6, 15	90:21	74:22 79:6 85:1
original 74:12	45:2 46:8 49:5	patient's 56:20	periods 74:16
80:1	64:23 89:20	pattern 41:9	permanent 10:3
osmosis 64:7	panels 6:5 44:25	pay 28:4 38:25	person 31:23
ought 72:5 85:6	paralyzed 45:15	81:16	32:13 50:15
outcome 74:24	Pardee 6:10	peak 66:23	57:5 58:17, 21
78:5	11:2	pediatrician	59:13 76:1
outcomes 13:25	parent 61:19	85: <i>13</i>	83:15 84:13
outlined 14:11	parents 85:14	Pentagon 48:3	85:9
outside 6:6	part 6:3 45:21	people 16:8	personal 67:25
overarching	50:13 58:5	26:25 32:10	personally 61:8
		33:3, 14 34:5, 15	84:24



	42.21.40.10		
Personnel 1:7	42:21 48:10	PPE 39:3	prevents 31:1
7:10 9:8 27:22	49:20 51:6 56:3,	precautions 9:4	primarily 48:7
88:6, 25	7 59:25 61:25	precision 57:17	primary 65:24
perspective 6:7	62:15, 17 76:12	70:12 83:24	principal 37:24
12:3 49:20	85:22	predict 35:4	prior 23:15
pertinent 18:21	points 51:4	prediction 35:6	29:5 70:3, 4
pervasive 51:11	policies 67:10	predictive 32:21	priorities 66:8
PET 41:19	73:14, 18 74:21	34:12 35:12	prioritized 86:9
phenotype 31:22	policy 4:17 6:9,	prejudice 63:8	priority 46:9
phones 34:1	19 11:1 33:2	preliminary	66:5
physical 13:20	65:4 66:11 67:9,	60:17	private 17:14
16:11, 12, 24	14,24 72:23	premature 31:15	64:8 83: <i>19</i> 84: <i>3</i> ,
17:1 21:10	80:19 81:5	preparation 40:9	4
65:22 66:15	polytrauma	prepared 14:17	probably 31:12,
physiologic 16:24	17:25	19:23 25:3	14 36:6 51:4
pick 27:13, 17	population 33:14	68:11	79:5
53:21 56:9 57:9	50:1 62:7 90:9,	prescribed 23:2,	problem 4:25
piece 49:21	<i>14</i> 91: <i>4</i>	10	23:21 34:14
51:5 57:4	populations	presence 49:9, 10	38:13 45:11, 20
pieces 73:12	21:14	Present 1:14	57:12 70:19
pilot 78:20 79:1	position 56:19	presents 8:18	82:14
pivotal 67:15	64:4 73:11, 12	President 16:10	problems 2:3, 9,
pivoting 90:25	positive 17:6	presiding 1:13,	13, 23 4:2 8:2
place 58:6	43:6, 8	15	10:23 16:3
62:10 89:18	possible 2:21	pressing 49:17	17:23 19:6
91:23	8:10 57:6 75:10	pressure 3:3	26:16, 18 32:22
plan 58:25	76:24 84:15	8: <i>15</i> , <i>23</i> 11: <i>19</i> ,	34:13 36:1, 3
78:22 79:16, 19	possibly 31:15	20 16:19, 23	50:4 57:3, 4
planned 10:9	post 21:22	71:4 72:17	72:15 82:3, 12,
planning 71:1	postmortem	86:18	14 85:16
plans 9:16 77:17	41:6 54:24	pretty 7:14	process 56:8, 13
plate 24:18	potential 10:3	31:25 34:12	57:15
platoon 52:4	14:4 26:19 69:6	35:14 54:19	processes 90:20
players 60:4	potentially 24:21	85:7	procure 53:6
pleas 22:17	40:10 53:10	prevent 2:11, 20	produced 80:1
please 64:25	potions 23:11	44:3, 4 45:7	produces 35:22
pleased 2:4 65:9	pounce 21:15	preventative	products 79:9
plus 22:1 24:16	power 47:6, 7	52:15	professional
point 27:14	powerful 12:1	prevention 24:14	67:25 85:18
30:4 31:9 32:24	14:5	preventive 13:7	professionals
36:15 37:15			65:14, 21



	1	1	
Professor 6:9	proud 17:12	35:23 52:14	quote 13:10
11:1	59:3 75:20 76:7	56:19 62:14	43:17
profile 23:6	88:22	80:3 81:21, 22	quoted 54:3
profound 2:10,	prove 55:11	82:21 83:10	57:3
18	provide 2:21	90:19	
program 5:17	6:6 9:22 12:3	puts 40:21	< R >
16: <i>15, 16</i> 17: <i>18</i> ,	16:6 39:5 42:22	putting 10:21	racial 63:8
25 18:1, 12, 18,	53:7 59:20	83:20	radiation 53:2
24 19:1 33:18	67:23 80:12		raise 57:2
78:12, 20 79:3	provided 3:13	< Q >	raised 7:17
83:6 85:8	18:25	qualify 11:22	32:24 51:5
programmatic	providers 8:9	quality 8:18	72:17 75:1
58:25 59:21	18:20 68:1 69:8	9:11	raises 50:7
programs 5:7	75:2 86:8	quantification	raising 50:19
6:11 16:2 17:14	provides 18:3	31:18	RAND 6:10
18:2, 7 24:15	74:23	quantify 10:2	11:2, 7, 8 13:10
33:2 53:10	providing 65:15	86:21	39:2
program's 5:22	75:3	quantifying	range 16:24
progress 29:8	proximity 73:12	50:14	Ranking 3:19
Projects 26:12	PSA 80:7	question 26:24,	5:15 6:23 11:3
promise 23:17	psychiatric 23:5	25 30:9 33:11,	16:5 65:7
promised 24:18	53:14	20 39:1, 10	rapid 67:1
Promoting 66:3	psychological	40:25 42:5 43:1	rarely 26:19
proper 12:22	17:6 58:20	44:24, 25 45:1	rate 66:24
properly 57:13	psychotropic	46:14 47:4	rates 28:11
proposed 22:16	23:12	49:20 53:24	59:19 88:24
protect 2:6	PTSD 24:14	58:14 60:10, 25	reach 43:23
13:14 30:2 39:5	48:5 66:20	61:5 62:22 63:1,	read 48:14 49:7
67:6	public 49:22	2 69:23 73:3,6	51:10, 22
protecting 6:8	published 46:17	75:14 76:11	readiness 3:17
14:14 42:23	86:19	79:23 88:8	14:3 24:22 80:3
72:9 89:9	Puerto 18:14	questions 14:16	ready 64:24
protection 52:10	pursuant 1:11	19:21 25:2	real 50:7 51:25
89:23	pursue 44:2	48:18 53:19	83:11
protective 10:16	push 28:15 72:6	68:10	realize 53:15
39:6, 7	81:5	quick 51:25	57:24 64:6
proteins 87:19	pushed 22:17	quickly 17:24	90:10
protocols 53:10	put 2:8, 16, 17	34:12 35:8 69:9	really 27:1, 6, 13
65:22	10:11 30:8, 9	75:10 89:13	29:5, 9 43:8
	31:25 32:6	quite 39:14 49:8	44:8, 23 45:23
	33:18 34:3	quotation 46:22	46:11, 24 47:21,



22 48:5, 8, 14	23:23 41:6	repetitive 9:23	41:4 42:19 67:2,
49:21 51:12, 23	referencing 87:3	31:16	4, 5, 10, 13, 15
59:4, 23 63:14	refine 67:11	replace 28:13	71:14, 15 80:11
72:6 76:8 77:8	regard 87:22	replacements	84:4, 15 86:9
81:16 83:24	region 4:5	28:13	88:23 89:3, 8
90:18	regular 28:22	report 8:17 9:5,	90:11 91:3
realm 77:7	69:5 70:2 <i>3</i>	12 13:10 39:2	researched 36:21
reason 12:13	regulations 87:7	46:17, 22 48:15	researcher 85:19
13:11 76:22	Rehabilitation	49:1 51:19	researchers 68:1
reasons 51:19	16:11, 13	77:16 79:15	80:12
RECEIVE 1:1	reinforced 23:1	87:11	researching 55:7
2:5	relate 48:19	reported 4:3	reserves 75:19
received 8:16	related 18:21	50:20 51:4	reservist 62:25
23:13 26:4	24:1 38:13 50:8,	reporting 49:1	resiliency 24:22
60:17	9 60:15, 16	51:16 52:18	resilient 50:5
recognition	66:19 89:2	64: <i>19</i> 87:23	resolve 74:10
12:17 27:7	relating 48:18	88: <i>3</i>	resources 10:9
recognize 67:4	relationship	represent 39:3	44:17 64:19
69:8	32:14, 15	65: <i>9</i> , <i>13</i>	78:10 91:17, 19,
recognizes 75:5	relationships	representative	22, 24
recoilless 12:2	34:24 35:7	33:13	responded 66:24
recommend 19:3,	relative 32:15	represents 24:21	responds 67:21
13	relatively 59:2	reputation 41:14	response 58:7
recommendations	relevant 61:5	request 76:17	responsibilities
13:16 14:11	rely 81:4	require 5:3	3:1 43:5
42:20, 21 67:23	relying 87:23	42:19 78:19	responsibility
78:6 88:5	remain 14:6	required 8:8, 13,	2:10, 18 56:21,
recommended	remains 8:6	19 9:14 79:15	23 76:21
12:6	12:11 13:4	requirement	responsible 71:3
reconsider 61:6,	remember 9:24	76:16	rested 53:14
9	34:18	requirements	result 3:2 4:7
record 2:15	reminded 43:16	3:24 79:12	7:21 11:11 17:1
8:22 9:2, 8, 12,	repeated 7:22	research 8:8	30:25 33:6 35:3,
13 21:6 50:23	11:13 12:8, 12,	9:5 11:8 12:23	23 60:14
54:8	16, 19 13:5 14:8	13:2, 12, 13	resulting 13:21
records 5:6	19:18 23:7 24:1	14:10, 12 16:17	results 78:2, 25
13:19 14:2	27:4, 10 89:1	17:7, 17, 20	retest 71:1
recount 63:2	repeatedly 22:24	19:17 23:19, 23	retired 48:20
Red 5:11	69:16 88:7	26:9, 12 27:1	return 2:9, 18
Reed 6:16, 21	repercussions	29:12, 13, 14	18:9 59:7, 11, 12,
	51:20	30:5, 6, 7 39:14	



	1	1	
19 62:7	24:25 26:16	< S >	47:20 53:19
returned 48:5	28:5, 11, 23	sacrifice 77:14	60:2, 3, 7, 19
revealed 4:17	36:13 53:11	safe 2:11, 20	61:10, 24 62:11,
41:7	61:1 62:16, 18	5:25 91:3	22 65:8 68:7
review 9: <i>3</i>	67:6 68:2 70:4,	safely 6:1	75:12, 13 76:10,
67:12 77:18	10 72:14, 16	safer 80:14	25 77:15 78:13,
78:10 87:4	89: <i>1</i> 91: <i>12</i>	89:22	17 79:14, 22
reviewed 87:11	risks 3:11 4:3,	safety 4:20, 21	81:19, 20 82:20
reviewing 9:5	18 14:4 28:17	9:3 40:5,6	84:17 86:3 92:4
46:18	30:11 69:3	67:24 72:10, 18	Scott's 5:15
revisit 46:23	76: <i>19</i> 89: <i>13</i>	74:9, 16 81:3	screened 17:5
revisited 46:20	risky 76:4	87:7, 8	18:11
Richmond 46:9	role 45:8 60:15	Samantha 6:9	screening 42:11
RICK 7:1	roles 5:2	11:1,6	SEAL 4:10 21:7,
Rico 18:14	Room 1:12	satellite 17:12	18 22:3, 4, 17
rifle 12:2	root 23:3	saying 37:23	40:15 61:13, 17
right 2:14 9:19	Ross 6:10 16:1,	51:5 54:3 61:12	SEALs 17:24
10:16 24:3, 8	6	64:9 69:25	searching 42:5
26:4 29:8 30:14,	round 53:18	71:24 76:22	Second 5:3 6:15
17 31:24 32:1,	92:6	82:25 83:10	7:11 8:25 29:18
22, 23 33:8, 9, 17,	rounds 5:25	84:19 85:5	45:2 64:23
24 34:1, 8, 14	48:9, 12 52:3, 13	says 30:5 71:22	70:22
35:8, 25 41:23	72:13, 24 73:7	77:17	Secret 48:2
46:4 47:6 54:10,	routine 40: <i>3</i>	scans 41:19	Secretary 6:18
15 56:1,14	rubric 21:22	scares 7:17	48:17 49:17
57:14 58:2 59:1	87:8	schedule 44:14	64:24 65:2, 10
60:19 61:20	Rules 62:4	scheduled 18:12	77:15 80:2
64:1,24 69:12	64:19	School 6:10	90:15
73:23 75:12	running 36:10	11:2 16:12	Section 79:2
76:5 77:10	Russell 1:12	88:22	80:2 87:2
78:17 79:8, 10,	Ryan 4:10	science 34:23	sector 17:14
21, 22 81:17	21:18 22:2, 8, 23,		80:24 83:20
82:6 83:5, 10	24 23:22, 24	57:17 71:12	secure 3:24
84:19 85:1,14	24:1 25:2 26:3	scientific 12:12	secured 3:21
87:21 88:16	40:13, 24 41:1,	score 14:3	security 24:21
90:3	12, 21 54:23, 25	Scott 1:16 3:19	46:18
ringing 37:12, 16	55:2, 4 56:22	6:23 7:1,3 11:4	see 13:12 16:16
38:12	57:20 61:11, 23	12:11 16:5	18:19 24:4
risk 5:2 9:25	Ryan's 61:17	30:21, 22 31:24	27:17 33:6
10:18 12:24		32:16 33:4, 17,	34:16 37:3 41:9
13:23 17:7		23 35:2, 8, 25	49:13 51:13



55:18, 19, 24	54:17, 22 56:9	seriously 7:6	Services 1:8 6:7
58:9, 14 59:21	58: <i>3</i> , <i>13</i> 59: <i>3</i> , <i>9</i> ,	55:18	65:8 72:12
62:1,2 64:15	24 60:2, 3, 7, 9,	serve 16:10	75:22 77:4 81:4,
67:15 71:25	<i>19</i> 61: <i>10</i> , <i>24</i>	47:8 76:2, 3, 9,	5, 6, 7, 8 86:18
72:15 81:24	62:11, 20, 21, 22	15	serving 59:22
86: <i>12</i> 87: <i>19</i>	64:17 68:7 69:1,	served 16:15	sessions 40:9
89:16	23 70:14 71:20	17:16 75:19	set 17:23 35:6
seeing 34:11	73:2, 19 74:25	service 5:1 8:23	85:8
50:4 58:12	75:12, 13 76:10,	30:24 32:3, 16	sets 5:24 47:10
seek 67:22	25 77:15 78:13,	40:14, 15 59:8,	setting 73:25
seen 48:13	17 79:14, 22, 23	20 60:20 69:21	90:5
49: <i>13</i> , <i>25</i> 77:6	80:16 81:17, 18,	70:16 75:20, 24	settings 52:24
segue 79:2	20 82:20 84:17	77:5 78:2, 8	seven 79:24, 25
seizures 3:9	86:3, 4, 6, 24	85:3 92:1	severe 7:23
54:4	87:1 88:4, 8, 21	servicemember	23:25 54:3
selected 50:5	89:5, 7 90:6, 15,	10:13 27:20	severely 38:4
self-monitor 83:5	22, 23 91:6, 7	29:2 <i>3</i> 58:8, 9	severity 26:17
Senate 1:6, 12	92:4	servicemembers	shaking 60:14
44: <i>13</i> 65:8	Senators 1:14	2:6, 8, 16 3:9, 13,	shameful 91:20
SENATOR 2:2,	48:16 49:16	16 4:16 5:4, 8,	share 40:23 55:4
3, 14, 24 3:19, 23	92:3	13, 18 6:1, 8 7:9,	shared 40:12
7:1,3 10:21,22	senior 36:9 45:8	<i>19</i> 11: <i>17</i> 12: <i>4</i> ,	67:19
12:10, 11 15:1	sense 52:11	21 13:6, 14 14:7,	sharing 26:2
20:1 26:1, 24	56:17	<i>15</i> 17:4 19:8	40:16, 19 46:5,
27:12 29:1, 4, 17	sensors 78:22, 23	26:18, 23 27:25	10 89:11
30:14, 20, 22	79:7	28:3, 5 29:24	shelf 78:21
31:19, 24 32:16	sensory 17:2	30:3, 11 33:25	shock 3:4 4:19
33:4, 10, 17, 23	sent 56:18 80:7	36:4, 6 38:24	shoot 31:5
35:2, 6, 8, 16, 25	separated 22:23	39:6 40:1 43:7,	short 6:8 30:13
36:2 37:1, 7, 14,	separation 22:19	15 45:4 46:25	34: <i>13</i> 50: <i>13</i>
<i>18</i> 38: <i>3</i> , <i>15</i> , <i>17</i> ,	September 78:3,	51:15, 19 53:22	71:15 75:25
19, 21 39:19, 21,	16, 17, 25 79:6	65:2 <i>3</i> 66:7, 2 <i>1</i>	shot 34:4 52:13
22 40:25 41:17	sequalae 19:18	69:10, 14, 20	shotgun 31:5
42:4, 13, 25	sequelae 37:15	70:23 71:6, 19	shoulder 16:20
43:13 44:10, 11,	43:12 47:12	72:9, 13, 25 73:9,	show 33:11
21, 22 45:8 46:7,	49:24	24 74:10, 15	72:1,8
<i>15</i> 47:2, <i>3</i> , <i>16</i> , <i>17</i> ,	series 33:11	75:10 77:14	shown 12:7
19,20 48:10	35:20 49:21	86:10 89:9 92:2	18:23
49:19 50:16, 22	51:22	servicemember's	side 58:8 72:5
51:2, 25 52:22	serious 4:8 8:5	9:2, 13	signature 3:5
53:17, 18, 19	54:6 60:25 72:8		21:25 26:5



•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		11 01 10 00 0	00.05 00.10
significant 4:2,	soldiers 9:9	11 21:18 22:3	82:25 83:12
11 10:3 14:13	71:18	28:4 44:10	88:14, 19 90:2
24:21 28:24	solution 29:9, 11	59:11 61:16, 22	started 2:16
42:7 43:12 48:8	43:23 67:18	70:25 71:21	37:3 74:5 84:24
50:11 69:17	solutions 22:16	79:7 88:22, 23	starting 53:15
significantly	24:23 29:15	89:16	56:25 69:5
3:10 28:8 86:14	67: <i>13</i> , 22	specialist 18:4	70:15
signs 16:25	solve 45:20 46:2,	specialists 58:20	starts 32:18
70:20	<i>3</i>	specialties 12:24	70:22
Silence 48:3	somebodies 8:5	13:1, 7, 24 28:7,	State 5:15
similar 7:23	Somebody 31:1	18 	13:11 39:12
12:9 67:21	47:12 58:19	specific 5:1	49:10 74:13
simple 43:18, 19	62:14	8:20, 21 28:17	82:13 86:12
81:15, 25 82:6	someplace 56:18	32:12 48:18	stated 40:7
83:11 85:8 90:2	son 21:17, 19	specifically 5:17	88:10
simplest 34:10	24:6 26:3 30:24	30:9 39:16	STATEMENT
simply 91:22	40:13, 24 75:14	spent 24:13	2:1 7:1 11:1
single 12:15	son's 40:15, 16	spirit 61:11	14: <i>17</i> 16: <i>1</i>
27:16 40:5 57:1	54:14	spiritual 21:11	19:23 20:2 21:1
sink 43:20	soon 74:25	spoke 88:9	25:3 64:25 65:1
sir 60:6 75:18	84:16	spoken 42:16	68: <i>11</i>
76:11 77:23	sorry 21:12	sport 60:16 62:2	States 18:13
78:24 83:22	26:2, 3 52:1	sports 62:4 85:4	36:18
85:12	sort 81:23	90:25	status 36:7
sitting 61:4	sought 22:13	stabilizing 23:12	steady 19:2
six 80:8	sound 90:17	stand 63:11	74:13
size 57:16 90:17	sounds 38:6	standard 42:5,	step 29:20
skills 88:2	source 11:19	15 58:22 79:3	57:10 69:11
sleep 82:24	Southern 11:10	standardized	steps 13:13
sleeping 22:11	Sox 5:11	78:11	30:15, 17 32:24
38:22 82:3	space 29:2 34:25	standards 40:6	49:3 69:3 82:14
slight 60:13	Spalding 16:10	standing 64:9	stood 63:18 64:1
small 54:4	span 19:14	star 64:9	Stop 7:11 57:14
smaller 33:11	spans 19:12	start 28:4 30:12	stopped 22:12
smart 85:2	speak 11:13	32:17 34:10, 11	stories 73:24
smarter 24:25	21:5 54:25	48:25 56:12	story 26:2
85:5	56:24 57:6	57:15 60:23	34:18 40:12, 16,
smiling 22:13	speaking 64:15	62:10 69:25	20 48:15 54:3
sniper 22:3	83:23 90:24	70:7 72:15	61:17 63:3
society 63:7	special 4:21	73:23 74:6	90:10
SOCOM 18:25	5:17 17:23 18:6,		



Strange 48:2	40:23 42:16	support 3:18	45:16 52:20
strategic 67:8	44:12, 23 68:8	5:21 13:2 18:22,	57:2, 9, 21, 22
strategies 5:1	sub-concussive	24 34:21 55:1,9	65:15 75:2
13:25 28:16, 21,	11:20	65:15, 20 66:8,	systems 8:1
25 45:7	subject 4:11	12 67:2 68:4	12:1 14:4 23:8
strategy 79:15,	25:2 39:17	77:2 86:10	46:19, 23 47:15
19	submitted 9:6	supporter 29:14	53:6 73:8 87:5
stream 23:1	21:5	supporting	55.0 75.0 07.5
stress 21:23	subsequent 41:13	19:20 86:15	< T >
50:10	subsequently	supposed 63:25	tackling 6:17
strict 53:8	41:5	sure 2:10, 19	take 5:12 13:14
strides 14:13	substance 5:20	5:24 29:3, 9	14:13 16:25
striving 43:21	21:23 24:14	39:7, 15 41:24	23:20 24:18
strong 35:7	26:16	46:14 48:23	27:17, 19 30:15,
strongly 61:6	substantial 14:12	51:3 56:15	17 32:2 34:6, 14
structure 34:22	succeed 21:17	70:20 76:19, 23	45:1 51:8 58:17,
struggle 41:12	successful 19:1	80: <i>17</i> 90: <i>13</i>	22 59:5 69:3
struggled 48:5,	sudden 16:18	91: <i>3, 17, 18</i>	73:25 77:11, 13,
14	63:24	surely 64:20	14 89:18 92:5
struggling 21:9	Sue 33:15	surrounding 68:6	takeaway 29:6
studied 26:20	suffer 9:10	surveillance	takeaways 51:10
36:20 90:24	suffered 24:6	53:10 70:3	91:10
Studies 12:7	91:25	surviving 36:16	taken 8:12
18:21 33:11	suffering 21:21	sustained 7:9	21:20 55:18
51:17 55:6 74:9	28:12	43:15	takes 64:7 72:25
80:11 87:11, 12	sugar 34:8	swiftest 50:3	talk 54:9, 17
study 3:21 8:14,	sugars 82:16	symptom 87:23	58:10 63:17
17, 19, 25 12:4	suggest 80:18	88:3	69:24 73:4
19:14 26:9	suggests 11:17	symptomatic	80:19
29:15 77:17	88:23	35:5	talked 30:19
83:19 84:22	suicide 3:11 4:9,	symptoms 7:23	31:19 49:24
86:17 87:2	13 24:14 66:20	8:2 12:8, 18	54:7 70:18
90:18	suicides 21:13	23:3 26:22 27:8,	81: <i>13</i>
studying 38:14	suit 73:17	10, 23 31:22	talking 26:22
stuff 31:5 33:24	suitability 79:11	32:13 59:2 84:1,	45:22 53:21
34:8 87:10	Sullivan 1:15	8, 12 Syndromo 22, 18	61:12 86:1
sub 31:10	47:18, 19 50:16,	Syndrome 23:18	targeted 83:24
Subcommittee	22 51:2, 25	41:4 Syria 1.1 18.1	task 45:24
1:7, 11, 13, 14 7:4 16:5 39:24	52:22 53:17 summary 18:22	Syria 4:4 48:4 System 17:20	TBI 3:13 7:8,
1.4 10.3 39.24	summary 18:22	System 17:20	11, 24 9:19 10:13 11:11 22
		22:16, 21 23:20	10:13 11:11, 22



12:9 16:24 23:6	49:24, 25 50:13	68:7 69:1, 24	18 59:10, 13, 21,
24:15 42:2, 18	62:9 66:6 71:15	73:2 75:13	25 60:8 61:25
48:19 55:6, 17	terms 30:18	76:11, 25 79:22	62:12 63:2
58:10 66:13, 22,	41:16 79:6 88:9	86:3, 4, 6 87:1	64:11,12 71:10
25 67:1 68:3	terrible 26:15	88:4, 8, 21 89:7	75:23 76:8
70:13 72:4 84:1	Terror 22:1	90:15 91:6, 7, 8,	78:13, 23 83:4,
85:23 86:14, 20,	test 35:11 39:17	9 92:2, 3, 4, 6	18 84:16, 24
22, 23 89:13, 22	42:1 69:21	thanks 61:23	85:6, 18, 25
91:13, 18, 21	71:16,24 72:7	69:2 <i>3</i> 73: <i>3</i>	90:13
TBI-Breacher	74:14	77:15	thinking 62:22,
23:18	tested 89:14	theory 60:12	25 87:17 88:2
TBIs 69:15	90:9	therapy 83:24	Third 5:6
70:10 75:4	testify 11:5	thing 31:20	thought 50:19
86:11 89:14	19:19	33:14, 16 34:10	thoughts 42:23
team 22:18	TESTIMONY	47:5 55:4 58:2	49:11, 18
58:23 62:9	1:1 2:5 10:20	70:22	thousands 3:8
77:12	13:17 14:11	things 31:11	48:12 52:3, 13
teammates 22:5,	16:6 21:5 27:20	32:12 34:17	threat 3:15
20 24:10 55:10,	46:2 <i>1</i> 54:13	36:19 37:25	24:20, 22
12 56:22 59:15	testing 70:8, 9	40:10 42:19	threats 4:23
tears 43:16	71:5, 17 89:18,	43:3,7 47:14	66: <i>16</i>
Technical 2:3, 9,	24	49:22 53:3, 4	three 3:12 8:21
13, 23 10:23	tests 70:23, 25	55:25 56:8 59:5,	62:2 <i>3</i> 71: <i>1</i> , <i>11</i> ,
16: <i>3</i> 19:6 36: <i>1</i> ,	thank 2:12 6:4	10 63:23 64:2, 6,	23 72:1 75:18
3 82:12, 14	7:3, 5, 6 10:19,	7 72:18 81:11	79:9 89:5
85:16	21, 22 11:5	83:23 84:2, 5	threshold 5:24
technology 46:2	14: <i>16</i> 15: <i>1</i>	85:7 88:11	82:18, 19
82:5, 10	19:19 20:1 21:4	think 7:11 26:4	thresholds 4:20
tell 36:11 38:15	25:1 26:1 29:4	27:13 29:4, 6, 8,	72:18 82:15
40:20 60:7	30:24 33:10	10 30:10 31:8	tighten 64:19
61: <i>13</i> 75: <i>14</i> , <i>16</i> ,	37:14 39:19, 20,	32:8, 23, 24 33:9	till 56:6
21 76:7, 17 83:6	21, 22, 23 40:14,	34:15, 16, 21	Tillis 3:20 48:16
telling 22:25	<i>19</i> , <i>22</i> , <i>25</i> 41: <i>1</i>	35:6 37:7, 9, 11,	time 6:3 8:21
tells 55:25	42:4, 25 43:13	15 38:23 42:5	12:17 13:8
ten 17:7	44:8, 11, 20, 22	43:1, 5, 9, 14, 20	27:16 29:16
tenure 36:4	46: <i>14</i> 47: <i>3</i> , <i>16</i> ,	45:23 47:10, 20	30:16 32:7, 21
term 3:21 19:14,	17, 19, 21 49:19	49:3, 14, 20	33:3,7 34:2,14
18 26:11 31:14	50:18 53:17, 18	50:12, 13, 21	35:4 36:24 37:2
34:13 37:9, 15	58:13 59:9 60:1,	51:9, <i>13</i> , <i>21</i>	43:12 46:17, 21
40:8 47:11	3,9 62:11,13,19	54:13 55:14	50:11, 15 63:7
	64:16, 17, 22, 23	57:8, 17 58:14,	70:17 74:17, 22



75:4, 25 79:6	Tracking 28:2	74:24 88:6	28:15 55:14
80:6 85:23	36:5, 7 38:6, 14	91:25	turn 6:23
88:15	tracks 71:4	treated 18:6	turned 23:19
timeframe 74:19	trade 61: <i>14</i>	48:2 <i>3</i> 51: <i>14</i>	57:21
times 3:14 4:3,	tragedy 4:10	63:13, 16, 20	two 6:5 8:16
16 48:1 49:2	trained 10:16	91:21	23:9, 24 36:18
50:6, 19, 23	22:3 28:13	treating 19:3	45:17 52:4
51:22 53:25	54:18	91:18	72:15 75:18
57:4 88:10	training 2:12, 20	treatment 3:13	82:8
90:10	3:7 4:20 8:24	18: <i>1</i> 19: <i>12</i> 26: <i>3</i>	type 10:2 84:6
timetable 91:15	9:4 11:24, 25	30:8, 18 35:22	87:13
tinnitus 37:16	14:1 16:20 24:6	38:11 44:18	types 26:22
38:3, 12	28:8, 9 29:25	57:6, 15 58:5	35:18 40:9
today 4:14 5:23	32:19 40:4,9	61:2 64:20	typically 7:21
6:5 10:20 11:5,	47:1 53:10	65:21 68:3 70:4	12:18 27:8
13 13:11 16:7	54:15, 20 62:8	84:5	
21:7, 15, 19 29:7	65:20 69:18, 22	treatments 5:19	< U >
32:18 33:5	70:7, 10, 15, 22	18:4	U.S 1:6 2:1 4:3
39:24 44:9, 19	74:1 80:15 81:7	treats 19:17	7:1 17:4 21:18
57:24 61:2, 11	88:15, 25	trenches 45:12	45:11
64:22 68:5	transcend 21:10,	trends 87:21	ultimate 57:25
69: <i>16</i> 73: <i>3</i>	11	triage 56:2	ultimately 3:10
75:16 76:22	trauma 5:16	tribes 24:11	14:2 53:9 56:23
89:9 91:8	89:22	tries 58:6 83:6	unaware 28:24
today's 2:5	TRAUMATIC	triggers 76:9	UNC 86:8
togetherness 47:7	1:1 3:1 5:4	troops 4:4 6:13	uncharacteristic
told 81:14	6:11 7:8, 13	10:1 21:2 26:9,	22:10
tool 42:11 85:25	11:10 16:1, 7, 9	<i>13</i> 69:5, <i>17</i> 71: <i>1</i>	unclear 60:16
88:16	19:9, 15 21:23,	74:1 75:11	uncomplicated
tools 19:5	24 26:4, 9 28:3	trouble 2:14	34:18
top 66:5 83:6	31:17 37:10	55: <i>3</i>	underlying 27:23
topic 7:7 19:20	40:2, 18, 24 41:3	true 90:20	underreported
39:11 49:5	43:22 44:3, 15	truly 83:24	12:21
total 27:24	51:18 60:11, 25	trust 52:17, 19	underreporting
totally 85:10, 12	66:5 69:4 72:21	53:22 77:5, 9	51: <i>13</i> , <i>18</i>
90:8	73:5 77:7 87:15,	trusted 22:21	underscore 59:4,
touching 85:1	25	try 37:8 45:20	6
tours 22:7	treat 8:10 27:23	58:18, 23 61:18	understand 3:14
track 3:24 4:23	33:3 44:6 45:7	80:5, 8 87:19	9:23 19:11
13:22 31:23	58:25 59:1, 2	trying 27:14	29:21, 22 30:16
81:23 89:2	66:17 67:7		32:11 33:3 37:8



40:12 41:18	updating 46:19,	veteran 18:5	wanted 23:18
43:19 55:9	24 80:10	21:13 24:5 36:6	28:14 41:2
56:10, 12 57:11	urge 61:6 74:4	veterans 5:12, 18,	44:19 70:11
58:18 65:19	urges 75:9	19 21:16 54:18	wants 60:21
67:6 76:3 84:12	usage 73:11	58:1 61:7 86:10	War 4:6 22:1
90:8	use 10:4 21:23	Vietnam 4:6	48:2 63:6 69:15
understanding	38:16 70:12	48:11	Warfare 17:23
10:18 13:4	72:10 74:9,16	view 49:2 50:17	61:16 88:22
34:23 86:14	78:21 88:17	51:7 52:2	Warfighter 6:19
understood	89:25	Virginia 18:16	9:20 24:5, 15
40:17 54:16	useful 13:9	63:4	53:1 55:20
91:6	usher 57:13	visible 21:9	60:22 65:3, 11
undetected 41:19	USN 65:5	36:24	66:10, 14 72:23
undiagnosed	USSOCOM	voice 21:7 25:1	73:4 75:15
21:24 23:25	61: <i>19</i>	voluntarily 83:20	79:16
41:7	usually 36:23	-	warfighters
Unfortunately	utilization 87:18	< W >	24:18 58:1 66:1
8:6 9:10 24:3	utilize 70:2, 3, 4	wait 29:10 56:6	67:6 68:6 89:22
33:21 51:12	utilized 10:17	waiting 18:11	Warren 1:13, 14
61:7		59:20 72:7	2:1, 3, 14, 24 7:3,
unified 66:12	< V >	89:23 91:22	<i>6</i> 10:2 <i>1</i> , 22 11:3
uniform 10:11	VA 5:5 23:2, 10	wakes 23:21	12:10 15:1 16:4
21:8 22:9 40:22	46:8, 9	walking 23:13	18:23 20:1 26:1,
62:14 76:15, 23	valuable 34:16	Walter 6:16, 21	25 27:12 29:1,
uniforms 2:17	70:11	23:23 41:6	17 30:14 31:19
unique 36:13	value 71:10	want 5:21 7:3,6	39:21 44:10, 22
uniquely 24:1	75:23	10:19, 21 27:12,	47:17 48:16
41:8	values 3:5	17 29:1, 3, 9	51:2 53:18
unit 54:2 63:9,	variable 83:25	30:6 32:12	54:22 56:9 58:3
14,16 64:1	variation 34:20	40:14 41:17, 24	59:3, 24 62:20
units 4:7	variety 8:1 12:9	44:10 46:12	64:17 65:7 68:7
unity 45:25	51:19 52:21, 23	47:21, 25 53:19,	69: <i>1</i> 70: <i>14</i>
universities 86:7	various 43:15	20 54:9 56:24	71:20 73:2, 19
University 11:9,	79:7	58:5 59:4, 13, 15	74:25 79:23
10 86:8	vascular 31:14	60:23 63:12	80:16 81:17
unnecessary	vast 7:14	71:20 72:6	86:4 91:7
29:25 62:4,6	vastly 12:20	73:19 75:8	Warrior 6:13
73:9 80:8	vehicle 36:17, 18	76:2 <i>3</i> 81: <i>1</i> 8	21:2 24:20
unqualified 33:21	vehicles 36:16, 25	84:5 85:17, 19	52:20
unsolicited 45:23	vein 90:23	86:4 91:7, 9	warriors 24:8
untreated 72:4		92:3, 4	36:14 52:25



	Wadnagday 1.4	20.8	
wars 3:5 26:5	Wednesday 1:4	women 39:8	wrong 22:24
48:11 52:2, 12	week 86:13	40:4 42:23	55:11, 15, 25
Washington 1:9	weeks 17:1	76:23	84:20
wasn 23:15	63:24	Women's 16:14	wrote 49:7
wave 3:4 11:19,	weeping 22:19	wondering 48:24	WWI 53:13
20 16:19	welcome 2:4 6:4	word 57:8	WWII 48:11
waves 4:19	well 4:19 10:15	work 5:21, 22	
way 9:14 10:15	16:16 30:22	8:19 9:7 10:9	< Y >
35:19 37:22	31:8 34:5 38:3	22:16 42:14	Year 3:25 4:2
42:8 50:14, 22	42:17 48:24	58:6 59:3 60:1	17:7 22:22
51:14 55:16	49:1 50:20 53:3	63:22 67:10, 12	34:14 62:24
56:11 57:1	58:13 59:2, 15,	75:8 79:2 81:1,	66:23, 24 69:5
58:16 61:21	25 61:9 63:16	21 91:9, 13, 17	70:5 71:11, 13
63:20 64:9	73:1 74:25 83:1	worked 3:23	78:18 79:14, 17
67:21 72:8	87:8 91:4	working 4:1	years 11:8
76:24 77:18	well-being 3:16	42:16 44:15	16:14 17:16
79:21 80:17	10: <i>10</i> 14: <i>14</i>	73:21 77:6	21:19 22:1 23:9
81:2 82:2 <i>1</i>	Wellness 6:11	78:23	24:12 26:20
ways 42:14 44:3,	16:2	works 60:7	35:20 40:17
4, 6 49:23 50:5	went 55:11	69:14	44:14 47:13
69:17	81:13 87:6	world 47:8	52:4 58:22
WBHI 66:18	white 31:13	57:24 79:11	60:21 64:5 71:1,
67:17	wide 16:24	worn 21:8 22:8	2, 11, 12, 22 72:1,
weapon 12:1	66:19 76:5	52:24	7 75:15 77:7
14:4 45:16	widely 4:20	worried 54:4	89:17
46:19,23 72:10	width 16:23	worry 48:20	year's 5:21
73:8 81:11 87:5	Wilder 63:4	worse 82:19	yield 47:16 91:6
weaponized	Williams 6:20	worth 35:20	York 4:2 48:1
22:16	65:5 68:12	91:2	49:2 50:19, 23
weapons 3:2	69:13, 23 76:11	wound 22:20	51:22 53:25
4:5, 12, 19 8:1	81:17 83:22	26:5	57:3
9:4 23:7 26:11,	85:12, 17 88:4, 8	wounds 3:5	young 40:21
14 38:16 40:7	89:6, 10 90:2, 8	5:12 21:10, 11,	51:6 64:11, 12
45:3 53:6 69:22	willing 10:11	12, 22 24:9 48:3	youngest 17:10
74:5, 9, 11, 16	62: <i>14</i>	69:15	joungest 17.10
wear 53:3	wisdom 64:13	writes 50:24	< Z >
wearable 9:10	witnesses 6:4, 6	written 13:16	Zafonte 5:22
42:17 78:21	10:7, 19 47:22	14:11 46:21	6:10 16:1, 4, 6
89:21	49:4 91:8	50:20 54:13	19:7, 23 20:1
wearing 60:4	woman 40:21		30:20 31:3, 8
			32:8, 23 33:9
	1	1	52.0, 25 55.7



34:15 35:5, 16 37:13, 19 41:23, 24 42:4, 24, 25 43:13 46:12 47:2, 3 48:25 49:19 58:5, 13 59:7, 9 60:3, 6, 9 61:24, 25 Zafonte's 51:6		

