## St enographic Transcript Before the

Subcommittee on Personnel

COMM TTEE ON ARMED SERVICES

## UNI TED STATES SENATE

TO RECEI VE TESTI MONY ON MILITARY AND CIVILIAN PERSONNEL PROGRAMS IN THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE IN REVIEW OF THE DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION REQUEST FOR FISCAL YEAR 2025 AND THE FUTURE YEARS DEFENSE PROGRAM

WEDNESDAY, MAY 8, 2024

Washington, DC

ALDERS ON COURT REPORTI NG
1029 VER MONT AVE, NW
10TH FLOOR
WAS HI NGTON, DC 20005
(202) 289-2260
www. al dersonreporting com

1	TO RECEIVE TESTIMONY ON MILITARY AND CIVILIAN PERSONNEL
2	PROGRAMS IN THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE IN REVIEW OF THE
3	DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION REQUEST FOR FISCAL YEAR 2025 AND THE
4	FUTURE YEARS DEFENSE PROGRAM
5	
6	Wednesday, May 8, 2024
7	
8	U.S. Senate
9	Committee on Armed Services
10	Subcommittee on Personnel
11	Washington, D.C.
12	
13	The committee met, pursuant to notice, at 3:00 p.m. in
14	Room SD-G50, Dirksen Senate Office Building, Hon. Elizabeth
15	Warren, chairman of the subcommittee, presiding.
16	Committee Members Present: Senators Warren
17	[presiding], Blumenthal, Hirono, Kaine, Duckworth, Scott,
18	Sullivan, and Budd.
19	Also Present: Senator King.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



- 1 OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. ELIZABETH WARREN, U.S.
- 2 SENATOR FROM MASSACHUSETTS
- 3 Senator Warren: This hearing will come to order.
- 4 Good afternoon and welcome to the Personnel
- 5 Subcommittee's hearing to receive testimony on military and
- 6 civilian personnel programs in the Department of Defense and
- 7 military services. Our annual posture hearing provides the
- 8 Department and military services the opportunity to discuss
- 9 their personnel priorities for the coming year.
- 10 It is also a chance for the members of this
- 11 subcommittee to continue to address the major challenges
- 12 confronting our all-volunteer force. I am pleased to see
- the military services are making progress in addressing
- 14 their recruiting challenges since our last posture hearing.
- 15 Nice work. It is critical that we welcome and support
- 16 anyone who wants to serve their country.
- 17 There are several topics I want to focus on today with
- 18 our witnesses. First, if we want to continue to have the
- 19 most powerful military in the world, we need to be smarter
- 20 about resources. Last July, this subcommittee held a
- 21 hearing looking at opportunities to better manage the DoD
- 22 workforce and, at the same time, to reduce costs.
- Now, while most people think DoD workforce is made up
- 24 only of military and civilian employees, there is also a
- 25 huge band of contractors, providing consulting, legal, and



- 1 accounting services. Witnesses from the Congressional
- 2 Budget Office and the Government Accountability Office told
- 3 us that we do not know how many are on the payroll, or even
- 4 how much we are paying. In September, the GAO released a
- 5 report describing how we are missing out on billions of
- 6 dollars' worth of opportunities to be smarter about how we
- 7 manage this contractor workforce.
- If we can be smarter with our resources it could save
- 9 billions of dollars that could then be used to support
- 10 servicemembers and their families.
- 11 There are two areas on this in particular that I would
- 12 like to focus on. First is military housing. I have worked
- 13 with my colleagues on this committee to address significant
- 14 shortfalls in the quality of housing that we provide to our
- 15 servicemembers, and we will keep working on that. But we
- 16 also have another huge housing problem. There simply is not
- 17 enough housing for active duty military.
- By some estimates, we are short at least 7 million
- 19 housing units nationwide, and that shortage has a big impact
- 20 on military families. You know, every few years, sometimes
- 21 every few months, we ask families to pack their bags and
- 22 move, often to communities where there are not nearly enough
- 23 affordable homes. These housing shortages force military
- 24 families to strain their budgets and pay prices they cannot
- 25 afford or to undergo long commutes. Those higher housing



- and commuting costs should be covered by the basic housing
- 2 allowance that DoD provides to military families. But the
- 3 most recent Blue Star Families survey found that nearly
- 4 three-quarters of military families living in civilian
- 5 housing are paying more than \$200 out of pocket.
- 6 Congress acknowledged this problem in fiscal year 2019.
- 7 It created the Defense Community Infrastructure Program,
- 8 DCIP, because we have to have an acronym for everything, to
- 9 help communities address community infrastructure shortfalls
- 10 near military installations. Now, DoD made clear from this
- 11 program's inception, that its top priority -- top priority
- 12 -- was, quote, "military quality of life, military
- 13 resilience, and military value in that order, " close quote.
- 14 I look forward to hearing from our witnesses about how this
- program could be used to help address our military's housing
- 16 crisis.
- 17 Another area we should be investing in our military
- 18 personnel is childcare. Accessing childcare remains a
- 19 problem for all families, military and civilian. Two-thirds
- 20 of active duty military families have children living at
- 21 home. We must modernize and improve the way that DoD
- 22 ensures that servicemembers and their families have access
- 23 to affordable, high-quality childcare.
- I know that this is a top priority for many of the
- 25 members of this committee. I have very much appreciated



- 1 Ranking Member Scott's partnership and commitment on this
- 2 issue. Right now, there are thousands of vacancies for
- 3 childcare workers across DoD Child Care Development Centers,
- 4 which means fewer available childcare spots for military
- 5 families. The Department has put together a plan to finally
- 6 update the pay scale for childcare workers. I am
- 7 particularly interested in hearing from our witnesses from
- 8 the military services about how increasing childcare
- 9 capacity could help us continue to recruit and retain the
- 10 best in the military world.
- We must do better to support our military families.
- 12 And so I want to say to our witnesses, welcome. Thank you
- 13 for appearing.
- We are going to have two panels today. The first panel
- 15 consists of officials from the Office of the Secretary of
- 16 Defense who cover the full range of military and civilian
- 17 personnel programs.
- 18 The Honorable Ashish Vari, Variani -- Varizani. I know
- 19 I know how to do this. Did I get close? Vazirani. It just
- 20 took me a minute to look. I apologize. Acting
- 21 Undersecretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness.
- 22 Thank you, Mr. Secretary.
- The Honorable Robert Keohane, Assistant Secretary of
- 24 Defense for Manpower and Reserve Affairs.
- Dr. Lester Martinez-Lopez, Assistant Secretary of



Defense for Health Affairs. And Beth Foster, Executive Director of the Office of Force Resiliency. The second panel will consist of the personnel leaders for the military services. I will introduce them when they sit down. And now I will turn to Senator Scott for his opening statement. 



- OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. RICK SCOTT, U.S. SENATOR
- 2 FROM FLORIDA
- 3 Senator Scott: First I want to thank Chair Warren and
- 4 her team for this hearing today, and I want to thank Chair
- 5 Warren for her commitment to trying to do everything we can
- 6 to improve the opportunity for our servicemembers to live a
- 7 very fruitful, enjoyable career.
- I joined the Navy at 18, and I can tell you, back then
- 9 it was \$125 difference in what they paid in BAQ to our
- 10 apartment, and I was only getting paid \$334 a month. So it
- 11 was a problem then, and it is still a problem today. But I
- 12 did want the chair to care about this.
- In preparation for our upcoming work on the National
- 14 Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2025, this hearing
- is an opportunity for the Department of Defense senior
- leaders to identify areas where we, in the Congress, can
- 17 help take care of our military's most valuable asset. It is
- 18 the one committee I am on that actually -- we were just
- 19 talking about this -- it actually works well together, it is
- 20 a bipartisan committee, and you can have an amendment and
- 21 you get your best shot at trying to get it in there.
- 22 So as well know, the military's most valuable asset is
- the people who volunteer to serve our country, in and out of
- 24 uniform. Military recruiting continues to be an area of
- 25 concern, but we have heard about a lot of success lately.



- 1 At the end of March, the active duty recruitment recruited
- 2 approximately 1,200 more recruits this year than it did the
- 3 same time last year, which is a positive. Most of the
- 4 services are expressing cautious optimism about meeting
- 5 recruiting goals this year, which is great. That is great.
- 6 Unfortunately, we reduced our goals this year, so our goals
- 7 this year are lower than last year.
- B Despite modest progress, we cannot let this issue fade.
- 9 We have got to continue to find great men and women that
- 10 want to serve, and there are great men and women all across
- 11 our country, including my state, that want to serve.
- I am concerned about the MHS GENESIS implementation and
- 13 how this is impacting recruiting, and we are hearing that
- 14 around my state. We hear a lot that GENESIS makes the
- 15 process too long and disqualifies candidates too quickly for
- 16 issues that can and should be waived. It is not helping our
- 17 recruiting efforts, and we still have them.
- 18 As Secretary Martinez-Lopez has heard, I cannot
- understand for the life of me why we buy anything from
- 20 China. Whether it is computers, drugs, whatever it is -- we
- 21 had a good subcommittee hearing I guess last week, and I
- think we had a good conversation about how we can try to
- 23 figure out how we can improve that. So I want to thank
- 24 everybody that is focused on that, but I want to buy nothing
- 25 from China, absolutely nothing. They want to destroy each



- of our ways of life. They kill our citizens through
- 2 fentanyl. They do all sorts of bad things. So I know the
- 3 chair has the same goal that we do. We have got to figure
- 4 out how to reduce our dependence on China to nothing.
- We have heard a lot of concerns about the Department
- 6 positioning itself to compete for civilian talent. There
- 7 are lots of people that are complaining about that. You
- 8 know, what people are saying is it is not just a failure to
- 9 compete in the private sector but also are losing out to
- 10 other Federal agencies. And I think our most important
- 11 agency is Defense, and the most important thing we do in the
- 12 Federal Government is defense. This committee has provided
- 13 new hiring, pay, and management authorities for civilian
- 14 employees every year in the NDAA. These were requested by
- 15 component within the Defense Department because the former
- 16 process is blocked in the Pentagon and at the Office of
- 17 Personnel Management.
- 18 So clearly we have got to do something better. I want
- 19 to continue to find out ways that we can improve civilian
- 20 hiring to make sure that, you know, what we can do to
- 21 improve hiring compensation, management skills,
- 22 accountability, all these things.
- Any of us that have come, and I think we all have had
- 24 time in the private sector, you know you have got to find
- 25 the best people and you have got to hold them accountable,



1 so we have got to keep doing that better. 2 These three broad areas -- recruiting, health care, and 3 civil service reform -- will improve overall military 4 readiness but also have the additional benefit of improving 5 military quality of life. More recruits will help lighten 6 the load for those already in uniform because we are clearly 7 understaffed right now. Better aligned resources for the 8 military health system will improve quality and access to 9 care for servicemembers and their families, and a reformed 10 civil service will help ensure a high quality civilian 11 workforce who provides administrative support for our men 12 and women in uniform and our military families. 13 Thanks to all the witnesses for being here, and I look 14 forward to working with you. And again, I want to thank the 15 chair. Senator Warren: Thank you, Ranking Member Scott. 16 17 Secretary Vazirani, you are recognized for an opening 18 statement. 19 20 21 22 23 24



25

- JOINT STATEMENT OF THE HON. ASHISH S. VAZIRANI, ACTING
- 2 UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR PERSONNEL AND READINESS; THE
- 3 HON. RONALD T. KEOHANE, ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR
- 4 MANPOWER AND RESERVE AFFAIRS; THE HON. LESTER MARTINEZ-
- 5 LOPEZ, ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR HEALTH AFFAIRS;
- 6 ELIZABETH B. FOSTER, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE OFFICE OF
- 7 FORCE RESILIENCY
- 8 Mr. Vazirani: Good afternoon, Chair Warren, Ranking
- 9 Member Scott, distinguished members of the Personnel
- 10 Subcommittee. Thank you for the opportunity to testify
- 11 before you today, along with my Personnel and Readiness
- 12 colleagues. We are proud to support the members of our
- 13 total force, the 2.3 million active and reserve
- 14 servicemembers, their 2.6 million family members, and the
- 15 900,000 civilian employees of the Department of Defense.
- 16 They are the true inspiration for our commitment to this
- work.
- We are here to testify that the President's fiscal year
- 19 2025 budget request enables the Department of Defense to
- 20 execute the National Defense Strategy. We are addressing
- 21 our current and future national security challenges in a
- 22 complex global environment while taking care of our people
- 23 and sustaining the finest fighting force in the world.
- 24 The value proposition we offer to our servicemembers
- 25 currently serving, and those considering joining, is that



- 1 our people will be the most valued component of our national
- 2 security. Our value proposition includes a meaningful
- 3 mission, quality training and education, robust pay,
- 4 compensation, and a benefits package that includes
- 5 retirement and world-class health care to servicemembers and
- 6 their families.
- 7 The fiscal year 2025 budget includes a 4.5 percent
- 8 basic pay raise that, if approved, would deliver nearly 15
- 9 percent in military pay raises over 3 years. The 14th
- 10 Quadrennial Review of Military Compensation is reviewing
- 11 additional ways to improve the model across our ranks.
- We are working to promote a culture of safety for our
- 13 men and women in uniform. Our focus on eliminating
- 14 unnecessary risk is integral to our training and operations.
- 15 To this end, we are updating our policy and implementation
- 16 guidance to mitigate the risks of blast overpressure and
- 17 continuing to prioritize solutions that minimize mishaps and
- 18 other hazards to the well-being of our total force.
- 19 Likewise, to maintain trust in our institution, the
- 20 military service must be free of harmful, readiness-
- 21 detracting behaviors. Sexual assault, harassment, and other
- 22 destructive behaviors have no place in the Department. One
- 23 instance is one too many.
- 24 Preventing suicide and increasing access to mental
- 25 health care remain areas of focus and investment. As



- 1 directed by the Secretary, we are taking deliberate and
- 2 specific actions in line with the findings and
- 3 recommendations of the Independent Review Commission on
- 4 Sexual Assault in the Military and the Suicide Prevention
- 5 and Response Independent Review Committee. These actions,
- 6 to include the primary prevention workforce, will continue
- 7 to move us toward healthier command climates.
- We believe service is a family commitment. Therefore,
- 9 the Department continues to vest in programs and services
- 10 that support military families. Numerous partnerships and
- 11 programs support the employment of military spouses and the
- 12 financial security, stability, and the overall quality of
- 13 life for military families.
- With the fiscal year 2025 budget request, the
- 15 Department has taken steps to improve access to and
- 16 availability of childcare. In addition, the Department of
- 17 Defense Education Activity, which operates the highest-
- 18 performing school system in the nation, will now include
- 19 universal pre-kindergarten, thanks to Congress' fiscal year
- 20 2024 appropriation.
- Our value proposition extends beyond military service.
- 22 We support servicemembers and their families in their
- 23 transition to civilian life. The Transition Assistance
- 24 Program is a robust, individualized experience to give
- 25 servicemembers the tools they need for continued success



- 1 after their service in uniform.
- Finally, I want to highlight the Department's dedicated
- 3 civilian workforce. Their importance and contributions to
- 4 our mission cannot be overstated. They are the key to
- 5 readiness, health, resilience, and safety of our fighting
- 6 force, essential to the defense of our nation. The
- 7 viability of our all-volunteer force is dependent on the
- 8 contributions of our civilian talent and their dedication to
- 9 the national security mission.
- 10 It will take a whole-of-nation and a whole-of-
- 11 government approach to promote a national call to service
- 12 that inspires young people to give back to their country.
- 13 As we continue to collaborate with this subcommittee and the
- 14 rest of Congress we will ask for your help and support in
- 15 amplifying these messages.
- Thank you for your continued support, and we look
- 17 forward to your questions.
- 18 [The joint prepared statement of Mr. Vazirani, Mr.
- 19 Ronald T. Keohane, Mr. Martinez-Lopez, and Ms. Foster
- 20 follows:]

21

22

23

24

25



- 1 Senator Warren: Thank you very much, Mr. Secretary.
- 2 So this year the Pentagon requested \$850 billion in
- funding, and some of my colleagues are howling that that is
- 4 not enough. But before we talk about spending more money,
- 5 we should examine opportunities for DoD to save billions of
- 6 dollars.
- 7 When we talk about defense contractors, as I was saying
- 8 earlier, most people think about Lockheed Martin or Boeing,
- 9 but DoD also relies on service contractors, which are
- 10 contractors like Booz Allen, McKinsey, and CACI, hired to do
- 11 things like consulting, accounting, and strategic analysis.
- 12 DoD's Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation Office found
- 13 that, in some cases, those contractors can cost two or three
- 14 times as much as a civilian employee doing the exact same
- 15 work.
- Secretary Vazirani, you oversee DoD's entire workforce,
- 17 including the contractors. When determining the right mix
- 18 of employees, servicemembers, and civilians, how important
- 19 is it to have good cost data?
- 20 Mr. Vazirani: Senator, as stewards of the taxpayer
- 21 dollars, it is very important that we have good cost data,
- 22 and we do our very best to get accurate cost data as we
- 23 develop our budgets.

24

Senator Warren: I am glad to hear you say that and I



- 1 agree with you entirely.
- 2 You know, the Congressional Budget Office and the
- 3 Government Accountability Office told this committee last
- 4 year that DoD does not know how many contractors it hires,
- 5 does not have reliable data to determine if hiring a
- 6 contractor will cost more money or less money than a Federal
- 7 employee doing the same job, and that these decisions make
- 8 it possible about -- it makes about service contractors pose
- 9 one of the highest risks in government of taxpayers getting
- 10 cheated.
- 11 The GAO released a report in September that found the
- 12 military services are failing to collect and evaluate the
- workforce data that they need to clearly set priorities and
- 14 identify efficiencies. The result is DoD is missing
- 15 essential potential cost savings on service contractors,
- 16 quote, "totaling billions of dollars."
- 17 Secretary Vazirani, spending on service contractors has
- 18 more than doubled in just the past 25 years. The GAO
- 19 estimates that we -- estimates -- that we spend about \$200
- 20 billion on these contractors, though the CBO thinks it is
- 21 closer to \$300 billion that we are spending. So do you
- think we ought to know how much we are actually paying?
- Mr. Vazirani: Senator, I think it is very important
- 24 that we know how much we are spending on these contracts and
- 25 on these contractors. I --



- 1 Senator Warren: I appreciate your saying this, and I
- 2 know that it may feel like the answer is, "Well, duh." But
- 3 it is important that we get this on the record. And you are
- 4 the one that is trying to run these programs, and it is
- 5 critical that we get this information and have it when we
- 6 are evaluating the decisions we make.
- 7 Now one of the types of contracts that DoD uses for
- 8 these services is called an "indefinite delivery contract,"
- 9 which is used when DoD does not know the time frame, or does
- 10 not even know how many people that it needs to hire under
- 11 these contracts.
- 12 So, Secretary Vazirani, about how often do you think
- 13 that DoD uses an indefinite delivery contract to hire
- 14 consultants and other contractors?
- Mr. Vazirani: Senator, an indefinite delivery contract
- is one of many contracted vehicles available to the
- 17 Department. They are typically used when there are emerging
- 18 missions and we need that flexibility. It is my
- understanding that we utilize those contracts about 50
- 20 percent of the time.
- 21 Senator Warren: Yeah, I think the GAO says it is 54
- 22 percent of the time.
- You know, I understand these contracts in extraordinary
- 24 circumstances. But it cannot be a majority of the time that
- 25 we are using contractors and still call it a surprise in any



- 1 way. More than half the time that we are signing these
- 2 contracts we are not sure what we want, or when we want it,
- 3 but we are committing to pay whatever is charged.
- 4 The civilian employees working alongside these
- 5 contractors are under a whole lot more scrutiny, and we
- 6 thank them for their service by asking them to endure pay
- 7 cuts and freezes. As Ranking Member Wicker has pointed out,
- 8 quote, "The Department also does not pay competitive
- 9 salaries."
- 10 So, Secretary Vazirani, would you agree that failing to
- 11 pay competitive salaries makes it challenging for DoD to
- 12 build the civilian workforce that we need to protect our
- 13 national security?
- Mr. Vazirani: Senator, the civilian component of the
- 15 total force is critical to our mission. We do need to
- 16 ensure that we can compete. Over the last several years the
- 17 Congress has given us the ability to increase pay for
- 18 civilian employees. This year, given the total, the fiscal
- 19 constraints, we have had to make some hard choices. But we
- 20 will continue to use the flexibilities provided by Congress
- 21 to ensure that we can enhance our competitiveness. We also
- 22 understand and support what is in the President's budget
- 23 with regard to changing some of the structure and ensuring
- 24 that we can address issues like pay compression to ensure
- 25 that we are --



- 1 Senator Warren: I just, I just want to underscore here
- 2 though, Secretary Vazirani, I appreciate that you are doing
- 3 the best with what you are given. And I am not quarreling
- 4 about that.
- I just want to get on the record that failing to pay
- 6 competitive salaries makes it really challenging for DoD to
- 7 build the civilian workforce that it needs in order to
- 8 protect our national security. Do you agree with that?
- 9 Mr. Vazirani: Senator, I agree that as we are
- 10 competing in a very tight labor market, we need to have a
- 11 competitive pay structure.
- 12 Senator Warren: Good.
- 13 The Federal Salary Council has found that Federal
- 14 employees make 27.5 percent less than their private sector
- 15 counterparts. This year's budget does not even keep their
- 16 salaries in line with inflation.
- So, Secretary Vazirani, I want to work with you and the
- 18 rest of DoD to build the workforce we need to keep Americans
- 19 safe. But that has to start with looking at where we can
- 20 save billions of dollars, and investing in enduring capacity
- 21 to keep DoD at the cutting edge that we need to do to
- 22 protect our national security.
- Thank you. Ranking Member Scott.
- Senator Scott: Thank you, Chair. You know, I do not
- 25 think you are responsible for this but when I was governor



- 1 we had hurricanes in Florida. I am from Florida. The
- 2 contract that the Army Corps had with the same companies to
- 3 do debris pickup was ten times higher as what our counties
- 4 and cities contracted. So we have got a lot of work to do
- 5 at the Federal Government.
- 6 Secretary Vazirani, can you talk a little bit about the
- 7 state of military recruiting. How do you think you are
- 8 doing? Do you think your marketing is working? Can you
- 9 just give me an update?
- 10 Mr. Vazirani: Senator, thank you very much. We
- 11 continue to focus on recruiting from the breadth of America
- 12 and ensuring that we have the talent we need to execute the
- 13 National Defense Strategy. We are starting to see progress
- 14 and advances in our recruiting efforts.
- The Department is really focused on three particular
- 16 efforts in this area. One is ensuring that we increase
- 17 propensity, and that is really this national call to
- 18 service, making a connection with young people who want to
- 19 serve, making sure they understand the opportunities that
- 20 military service provides.
- 21 The second is expanding eligibility, focusing on
- 22 ensuring that those who want to serve can make through the
- 23 accession process but also helping those who want to serve
- 24 and maybe do not meet the standards, then raise themselves
- 25 so that they can meet those standards. We are also looking



- 1 at the medical accession requirements, to ensure that those
- who are physically qualified can make it through the process
- 3 and serve.
- 4 And then the last area is really making resource
- 5 investments into our recruiting infrastructure, each of the
- 6 services focused on their recruiting structure and the
- 7 talent that they have in the field. And as a result we are
- 8 starting to see improvement in our recruiting results.
- 9 Senator Scott: Thank you. So last week we had a
- 10 subcommittee hearing on buying Chinese drugs. It was part
- of it. It is what I talked about a lot. Secretary
- 12 Martinez-Lopez, I think we had a good conversation. What
- 13 surprised me was that there were not any solutions. We did
- 14 not come up with, okay, we know it is a problem, because why
- should our military be taking Chinese drugs when we know
- they want to kill us, and they are already killing 70,000
- 17 people with fentanyl.
- 18 Secretary Vazirani, what are you doing to make sure
- 19 -- I mean, talk about whether it is drugs, computers,
- 20 whatever -- what are doing to make sure we buy nothing from
- 21 China? Communist China wants to destroy our way of life.
- Mr. Vazirani: Senator, one of the things that we are
- 23 doing is we are ensuring that we are testing the supply
- 24 chain and ensuring that we have the quality that we need in
- our pharmaceutical products. I will turn to Dr. Martinez.



- 1 He can talk a little bit more about what we are specifically
- 2 doing to address the supply chain issues.
- 3 Dr. Martinez-López: Senator, since the last time we
- 4 met, I pursue this very seriously because it is a national
- 5 security issue. I am reaching to the HHS. They have the
- 6 lead on drugs and security of the supply chain. So I am
- 7 talking to the leadership of HHS for us to partner. But I
- 8 am going to take the liberty of offering you an offer of
- 9 help in the form of a letter to them, as well, to see if
- 10 they welcome that now, and I hope they do.
- But my message is, this is a whole-of-government. We
- 12 have to take a whole-of-government approach. It is too
- 13 serious of an issue for just DoD to answer. I think this is
- one of those where we are going to need the help of the
- 15 committee, but also we are going to need the help of other
- 16 departments.
- 17 Senator Scott: I think the chair is in the same
- 18 position as I am, that this is a big issue, and so the more
- 19 specific you are about what we can do. But I believe just
- 20 what the DoD buys, we can have a dramatic impact and get
- 21 American-made products. I mean, I think all of us are in
- 22 the position that we have got to get American-made products
- 23 in everything. So thank you for that.
- 24 Secretary Vazirani, is this something that, in your
- 25 management meetings, do you talk about making sure we do not



- 1 buy Chinese whatever, since we know they want to destroy us?
- 2 Mr. Vazirani: Senator, as we look at, and particularly
- 3 in the area of health care, that we are taking care of our
- 4 people, we are looking at the supply chains, we are ensuring
- 5 that our supply chains are stable, and we look at sourcing
- 6 of products, as well.
- 7 Senator Scott: Okay. I think Chair Warren is in the
- 8 same position as I am, that we have heard from people in our
- 9 states about access to mental health services. So Secretary
- 10 Martinez-López, there is a provider in Florida who says
- 11 -- she does telehealth appointments. But once these
- 12 servicemembers move overseas they cannot continue. That
- 13 does not make sense, does it?
- Dr. Martinez-López: Senator, that is a very good
- 15 question. You remember a few days in the health care
- 16 industry. The issue is not technology. The issue is a
- 17 licensing process. So it is not just overseas. I mean, if
- 18 a patient moves to other states you will be exactly in the
- 19 same boat.
- 20 So the good news for us, Title 10 allows for us, if the
- 21 provider is in the Military Health System, there is
- 22 portability of that license. In other words, we can take
- 23 care of using telemedicine either abroad or to other states.
- 24 But that does not translate to a private enterprise
- 25 physician working in Florida.



- 1 So maybe in the future, as we expand our digital health
- 2 strategy and apply more telemedicine, maybe we may have to
- 3 come back to you and see if there is a way that we can get
- 4 help.
- 5 Senator Scott: I think we are both in the same
- 6 position. I mean, you know the process here. To get
- 7 something in the NDAA we have got to get started, right.
- 8 But if there is something on that, I think all of us up here
- 9 probably all care about the same -- this is a big issue,
- 10 mental health services. So if you have ideas, we would love
- 11 to hear them so we can try to solve the problem.
- Dr. Martinez-López: Sir, I will talk to your staff.
- 13 Senator Scott: Thank you.
- 14 Senator Warren: Senator Hirono.
- 15 Senator Hirono: Thank you, Madam Chair. Secretary
- 16 Vazirani, thank you very much for your recent response to my
- 17 letter regarding the investigation of and accountability for
- 18 major incidents like the Red Hill fuel spill that impacted
- 19 the aguifer that Oahu residents rely on for their drinking
- 20 water, basically, and the fire on USS Bonhomme Richard.
- 21 While I appreciate the work your team has put into
- 22 improving and standardizing how the Department investigates,
- including establishing the high-level accidents category, I
- 24 still strongly believe an additional higher threshold
- 25 incident category is necessary for catastrophic incident and



- 1 to hold appropriate people accountable.
- 2 So I only recently learned that there was a provision
- 3 in the NDAA '22 that asked for the creation of an Accident
- 4 Investigation Review Board. You recently -- to me,
- 5 recently, because I do not even know if my office received a
- 6 copy of this report that was put out in October of 2023, and
- 7 the letter that I sent to DoD was after this report had come
- 8 out.
- 9 But I think the idea or the concern is similar in that
- 10 we want to know how investigations of what I would call
- 11 major incident, where \$1 billion in property damage, or we
- 12 are talking about \$1 billion in costs that would arise,
- 13 which is definitely Red Hill, in my letter to you I would
- 14 define major incidents as the loss of lives, and in the case
- 15 of the letter I said five.
- Now, what you all came up with is not how you will
- 17 proceed in an independent, basically, investigation of these
- 18 kinds of major incident, but I realize that is what the NDAA
- 19 '22 asked for, a review board. And what this board would
- 20 do, over a 3-year time span or review, is to see whether the
- 21 services appropriately investigated and held people
- 22 responsible.
- That is not what I am talking about. I am talking
- 24 about in these major incidents where it would not be up to
- 25 the services themselves basically to investigate themselves,



- 1 but that there should be an independent entity rising up to
- 2 the level of the Secretary of Defense to do it.
- 3 So I am just wondering, having received your response,
- 4 why is the Department so resistant to establishing my
- 5 proposed major incidents category? Why just stick with
- 6 these -- what is your category? What is the category in
- 7 your report?
- 8 Mr. Vazirani: Senator, we are taking an approach that
- 9 looks at high-interest --
- 10 Senator Hirono: Oh, high interest. That is about
- 11 everything, because you define high interest very, very
- 12 broadly, and pretty much that would be just about everything
- that is resulting in an investigation by any of the
- 14 services. Would you agree with that?
- Mr. Vazirani: Senator, we have taken a broad
- 16 definition with high interest, when there is loss of life or
- there is major loss of property, or it could have high
- interest for our stakeholders, the Congress, the media, et
- 19 cetera.
- 20 As we have looked at that, we do believe that whether
- 21 it is a loss of one life or five, we have an obligation to
- 22 ensure that we have quality, objective, timely, and
- 23 transparent investigations.
- 24 Senator Hirono: Of course. So I am not talking about
- 25 the need to investigate any incident that can be deemed high



- 1 interest, which is just about everything because your
- 2 definition is very broad. What I am saying is that I am
- 3 very interested in how the DoD investigates the kind of
- 4 situations such as the Bonhomme Richard, where we lose a
- 5 ship that is worth, I do not even know how many billions of
- 6 dollars, goes up in flames. Red Hill, 98,000 or so people
- 7 impacted by the quality of water. People had to go live
- 8 with relatives, leave the state, live in hotels. And we are
- 9 still going to see the aftermath because we have had to
- 10 create, thankfully, the Secretary of Defense decided, at his
- 11 level, to close Red Hill, which was not scheduled to be
- 12 closed, by the way, not in that time frame. So I am talking
- 13 about that level of what I call major incidents.
- 14 You know, needless to say, I am not satisfied with the
- 15 Department's answer on why we should not have a mandatory,
- 16 for example, separation processing policy for those found
- 17 culpable in the catastrophic incidents that I am talking
- 18 about. This has happened before. Former Secretary Gates
- 19 relieved the Air Force Secretary and the Chief of Staff in
- 20 2008, after mistakes involving the safety and security of
- 21 nuclear weapons. So I know that this authority exists.
- Let us just say that I am not looking for a report of
- 23 an investigation that was done. I am looking for how these
- 24 investigations will be conducted in the first instance, in
- 25 what I would call major incidents. So we will have further



- 1 discussions about this.
- 2 Madam Chair, I have some other questions that I will
- 3 submit for the record. Thank you very much.
- 4 Senator Warren: Senator Budd.
- 5 Senator Budd: Thank you, Chair, Ranking Member, and
- 6 the panel for being here today. Mr. Vazirani, good to see
- 7 you again. We had a good conversation a few weeks ago in my
- 8 office, and we talked about the selection for the World
- 9 Military Games of 2027. My understanding is it is down
- 10 between North Carolina, my own state, and South Africa, that
- 11 are being considered for potentially hosting these games.
- So as part of the bid I am understanding that DoD needs
- 13 to endorse the bid for the International Military Sports
- 14 Council, and that armed forces sports falls under your
- 15 responsibility. Am I correct so far?
- Mr. Vazirani: Senator, that is correct.
- 17 Senator Budd: Have you received the North Carolina
- 18 proposal for this?
- 19 Mr. Vazirani: Senator, I understand that that proposal
- 20 has come in to the P&R office.
- 21 Senator Budd: Well, I think that we are strategically
- 22 suited to host World Military Games. We have got the
- venues, the transportation, the colleges to do this. Do you
- 24 know the status of this proposal?
- 25 Mr. Vazirani: Senator, I understand that that proposal



- 1 came in earlier this week, and the team is starting to
- 2 review that. I am looking forward to seeing the
- 3 recommendation coming from the team. And Senator, I commit
- 4 to ensuring that we pass that recommendation forward.
- 5 Senator Budd: Thank you. I hope that DoD, yourself,
- 6 would give this all the due consideration, as it is between
- 7 the United States and another country, South Africa at this
- 8 point. So, I mean, what does it say to our military
- 9 athletes and communities that we do not even attempt to
- 10 secure the games? So thank you for your best effort there
- 11 and forwarding this along.
- Mr. Vazirani, last year's NDAA, it included a provision
- 13 that required the Secretary of Defense to issue regulations
- 14 requiring military accessions and promotions to be, and I
- 15 quote, "be based on individual merit and demonstrated
- 16 performance, end quote.
- 17 Here is kind of the question behind that. The
- 18 Department informed the committee staff, right here, that it
- 19 would not be issuing any new or updated regulations, even
- 20 though there was new law in the NDAA about merit and
- 21 performance. So why didn't the Department of Defense update
- the guidance as a result of last year's legislation?
- Mr. Vazirani: Senator, I think you are referring to
- 24 the MERIT Act --
- 25 Senator Budd: Yes.



- 1 Mr. Vazirani: -- and Senator, the Department has
- 2 determined that we are in compliance with the MERIT Act and
- 3 therefore did not need to issue new policy.
- 4 Senator Budd: You know, that is my concern is it was
- 5 Senator Wicker's bill, the MERIT Act, and it would have, for
- 6 the first time, prohibited consideration of race in all DoD
- 7 personnel actions. So I have been, and continue to be
- 8 concerned that the DoD is pushing race as a factor for
- 9 service academy admissions and officer promotions. And I
- 10 hope that perhaps the guidance and regulations would align
- 11 with the MERIT Act that passed as law.
- 12 So thank you. I yield back.
- 13 Senator Warren: Thank you, Senator Budd.
- Ms. Foster, I understand now that we have an integrated
- 15 primary prevention workforce, and that it was designed to
- 16 try to deal with a lot of interpersonal issues of sexual
- 17 assault in the military, child abuse, other kinds of issues,
- 18 and that you have been trying to hire nationwide for this.
- 19 Can you just give us an update on where you are, what kind
- of challenges you faced, and what you see over a sort of
- 21 near-term horizon for this, for this workforce?
- Ms. Foster: Senator, absolutely. Thank you for the
- 23 question. The Integrated Primary Prevention Workforce is a
- 24 key focus of ours in preventing harmful behaviors at the
- 25 Department of Defense. What I can tell you is that the



- 1 Department has hired over 1,000 of those personnel. Our
- 2 goal is to have in place 2,500 personnel across the globe,
- 3 at every installation across the world. We have been really
- 4 focused on making sure that this is a truly professional
- 5 workforce. So that means that we have to ensure that this
- 6 workforce receives adequate training to do their difficult
- 7 work. One of the things that we have done is created a
- 8 first-of-its-kind prevention credential. And we are in the
- 9 process of ensuring that those personnel that we have hired
- 10 are getting that credential and getting that appropriate
- 11 training.
- 12 Senator Warren: I appreciate the update.
- 13 So, another issue I would like to talk about, and I
- 14 said I would talk about at the beginning, is about housing.
- 15 Families all across this country, military and non-military,
- 16 are struggling because of our nation's housing crisis, but
- 17 this is hitting the military really hard. Nearly two-thirds
- of servicemembers live off base. Seventy-three percent of
- 19 those troops are paying well over \$200 a month in out-of-
- 20 pocket housing costs, despite the military providing them a
- 21 basic housing allowance that, allegedly, covers their
- 22 housing needs.
- In Florida, a housing shortage led to a shortage of air
- 24 traffic controllers at a base in Key West when sailors
- 25 refused their assignments to the base because of its



- 1 reputation for housing issues. Shortages have also forced
- 2 some Navy sailors, who served on tour for months, to live on
- 3 ships when they return home because there is no place on
- 4 land for them to live.
- 5 Secretary Vazirani, when there is not enough housing to
- 6 go around, how does that affect military readiness and
- 7 personnel?
- 8 Mr. Vazirani: Senator, quality of housing certainly
- 9 impacts quality of service and quality of life. And the
- 10 quality of life for a military family affects military
- 11 family readiness and overall readiness.
- 12 Senator Warren: So in fiscal year 2019, Congress
- 13 created a pilot program called the Defense Community
- 14 Infrastructure Program, DCIP, to help state and local
- 15 governments improve, quote, "deficiencies in community
- 16 infrastructures supportive of a military installation." In
- other words, we can spend money to help out in the community
- if it would help our military base.
- Now, DCIP has been so successful that Congress made
- 20 this program permanent in last year's NDAA. And in the last
- 21 year alone, these grants helped to replace aging stormwater
- 22 infrastructure in the Port of Alaska and Norfolk, Virginia,
- 23 to construct a new fire station in Florida, and create a
- 24 multi-agency emergency command center in Louisiana.
- 25 Secretary Vazirani, have DCIP investments in off-base



- 1 community infrastructure paid off for servicemembers and
- 2 their families, in your opinion?
- 3 Mr. Vazirani: Senator, I think the DCIP program has
- 4 been an effective program in investing in those communities
- 5 where there are significant numbers of military.
- 6 Senator Warren: And investing in the communities in a
- 7 way that benefits the military. This is not just, "you got
- 8 lucky and won the lottery, you have a military base." It is
- 9 that it actually helps the people who are serving on base,
- 10 is that right? I just want to make sure I have got this
- 11 right.
- Mr. Vazirani: Senator, that is correct.
- Senator Warren: And the reason I want to push on this
- issue is because I want to build on the success of DCIP.
- 15 According to a recent survey, shortages in off-base housing
- is one of the top issues facing servicemembers and their
- 17 families. Expanding DCIP to prioritize projects that will
- increase the housing supply for families who cannot live on
- 19 base, or who do not want to live on base, would be a good
- 20 first step.
- So, Secretary Vazirani, would more funding for off-base
- 22 communities to build more housing to improve the readiness
- and quality of life for servicemembers and their families?
- Mr. Vazirani: Senator, access to affordable housing
- 25 certainly will improve the quality of life for military



- 1 families. We are very focused on that. As we look at, for
- 2 example, the BAH, we evaluate that yearly, we look at what
- 3 is available housing, we look at the quality of the housing
- 4 level. We focus on areas where there is high quality
- 5 housing, where there is low crime. And we also look at
- 6 where our military families are currently living as we set
- 7 those BAH rates.
- 8 Senator Warren: Good. I appreciate that.
- 9 I want to work with Senator Scott and other members of
- 10 this committee to see if we can't get more help through the
- 11 DCIP program.
- 12 You know, there is a lot we need to do to improve
- 13 current military housing, from getting rid of mold and
- 14 abusive non-disclosure agreements, to fixing up conditions
- in barracks. And I am going to be pushing hard to do that
- in this year's NDAA, and I know I am going to get a lot of
- 17 help here.
- But at the end of the day, there is just no substitute
- 19 for more housing. Congress should build on the success of
- 20 the DCIP program by expanding DCIP to help tackle one of the
- 21 worst problems we are facing, and that is the housing
- 22 shortage for our military personnel.
- So, thank you. Senator Blumenthal. Is that right.
- 24 Oh, sorry. Senator Scott. You are next. No, go ahead.
- 25 You are next. My fault. Senator Scott.



- 1 Senator Scott: Sure. Okay. Thank you, Chair.
- 2 Mr. Vazirani, can you talk a little bit about, the
- 3 chairwoman brought up the childcare system. It is my
- 4 understanding that the Department has completed a detailed
- 5 study on how to improve the recruitment and retention of
- 6 childcare providers. Can you talk about those
- 7 recommendations, what you have implemented, and what you
- 8 have decided not to implement, or what you are in the
- 9 process of implementing?
- 10 Mr. Vazirani: Senator Scott, thank you. Childcare is
- 11 a key enabler of readiness. It is an enabler of military
- 12 spouse employment. So have placed some significant
- investments in our childcare workforce and in our capacity.
- 14 In the President's budgets there is significant investment
- in building capacity in childcare centers, and we have made
- investments, as well, in the childcare workforce and
- 17 restructuring that workforce so that we can recruit and
- 18 retain that workforce.
- I will turn to Secretary Keohane, and he can give you a
- 20 little bit more detail on that plan.
- Mr. Keohane: Thank you, sir. Senator, with 160,000
- 22 children that go through the Childcare Center every year we
- 23 certainly know that we, as the Department, are the largest
- 24 childcare provider in the nation, employer provided.
- We have done a number of things to improve the



- 1 programs. One of the things that we are working on,
- 2 certainly as Secretary Vazirani mentioned, is compensation.
- 3 This is all part of the President's recommendation for his
- 4 2025 budget. Additionally, that would allow us to be more
- 5 competitive with our civilian counterparts, or our public
- 6 counterparts, as well as the ability to hire new positions,
- 7 for example, lead educator position, which would allow our
- 8 childcare workers that want to progress through the system
- 9 to move up to management if they so decide to do so.
- 10 Senator Scott: When I was governor of Florida, we had
- 11 21 military bases and 3 unified commands, and so I met with
- 12 all the base commanders about every 120 days. And we did
- 13 not focus on Federal issues. We focused on state issues.
- 14 But childcare is a state issue, right. I mean, all this
- 15 stuff that impacted the servicemembers' decision if they
- 16 wanted to serve in Florida was a big deal to me because I
- 17 wanted our military bases to be able to fulfill their
- 18 mission.
- Do you all do that? Do you guys meet with the base
- 20 commanders, state by state, and see what -- because in my
- 21 state, what I did is I brought in all of the agency heads.
- 22 So if the base commander says, "I am having a problem with
- encroachment or "I am having a problem with health care,"
- 24 blah-blah, whatever it was, then my goal was by the
- 25 time of the next meeting we solved that. Do you do anything



- 1 like that, state by state?
- 2 Mr. Vazirani: Senator, we engage with the military
- 3 services and at the installation level and then the
- 4 installation commanders. And I think our colleagues from
- 5 the services can talk about what the installation commanders
- 6 do to engage their local community.
- 7 I think in addition to the things that Secretary
- 8 Keohane outlined around building capacity and capability,
- 9 the other thing that we have been very focused on is
- 10 ensuring affordability of childcare. And so we have made
- 11 change to our childcare rates so that our lower earners are
- 12 actually paying an equitable amount of their income.
- We have also implemented dependent care flexible
- 14 spending accounts, which will allow active duty
- 15 servicemembers and their families to use pretax dollars to
- 16 pay for their childcare.
- 17 Senator Scott: You know, another thing we did was we
- 18 tried to find best practices for everything we were doing
- 19 with the military, and then we put out a pamphlet to show
- 20 that we were hopefully better than everybody else. Not
- 21 always, right. It never works out that way.
- Have you done anything like that, where you can show,
- you know, every state that you have military, how the state
- 24 is doing? Because the state could be part of this. The
- 25 state regulations have an impact. State reimbursement has



- 1 an impact. All of these things. Because you are not going
- 2 to be able to provide all of the childcare yourself, right.
- 3 The Department Secretary is going to have to do some of it.
- 4 Have you gone and looked at what the states are doing and
- 5 find out the states that are not helpful and states that are
- 6 more helpful, and put that out there?
- 7 Mr. Vazirani: Senator, an area that we have even
- 8 engaged with the states is in implementing our Military
- 9 Child Care in Your Neighborhood Plus program. That is
- 10 really focused on creating more programs that are civilian
- 11 programs that we can then use our fee assistance, while
- 12 those programs are focused on ensuring that they meet the
- 13 quality standards. So that is one of the ways that we have
- 14 been engaging with the states.
- 15 Senator Scott: Thank you. If we have more time I have
- 16 another question.
- 17 Senator Warren: You are welcome to it now, if you
- 18 want.
- 19 Senator Scott: Secretary Martinez-Lopez, are you
- 20 overall responsible for TRICARE?
- 21 Dr. Martinez-López: Yes, Senator.
- 22 Senator Scott: Okay. How do you think it is doing?
- 23 What are you hearing? And what sort of measurement do you
- 24 do in the TRICARE program to understand what is people's
- 25 perception? Because back when I was in the hospital



- 1 business we bid on TRICARE contract. But I can just say, we
- 2 did not get any of the contracts because you really need to
- 3 be on the insurance side of it. But are you finding
- 4 differences, depending on where they are in the country?
- Dr. Martinez-López: Sir, I mean, it is one of the
- 6 largest health care insurances in the country, very complex
- 7 to regions. We just renegotiated the contracts. Hopefully
- 8 they will come in line next year. We look at access. We
- 9 look at the satisfaction of the patient, just like the rest
- 10 of the industry.
- 11 Access is an issue for us all along because we thought
- 12 that the network outside everywhere was going to be very
- 13 robust, and now we are finding out that not to be true. You
- 14 know, there are parts of the country where the network is
- 15 not that robust, and may have to come out with a different
- 16 way to address the patients' needs. Telemedicine is one of
- 17 those ways that we need to leverage.
- 18 So yes, sir, we are looking at the feedback from the
- 19 patients. We are learning. I hope the new generation
- 20 contracts will be more on target. In the old days, for
- 21 example, the contractor would just have network names, and
- 22 all these doctors that were in the network. Well, it did
- 23 not do anything to me as a patient because I would keep
- 24 calling and I could not get an appointment. So the new
- 25 contract is not about names. The new contract is about



- 1 availability of appointments for our patient.
- 2 So we are learning. We are making it better. It is
- 3 not online yet. It is going to take us a year to get there.
- 4 But I am very optimistic that we are moving in the right
- 5 direction.
- 6 Senator Scott: Thank you.
- 7 Senator Warren: Senator Blumenthal.
- 8 Senator Blumenthal: Thank you, Madam Chair. I want to
- 9 come back to the recruiting issue. I understand Senator
- 10 Scott raised it. Thank you, Secretary Vazirani, for coming
- 11 to see us recently. Maybe you could give us an update on
- 12 how recruiting is going.
- Mr. Vazirani: Senator, we have focused heavily on the
- 14 recruiting challenges. The services are making good
- 15 progress. We have been focused in three particular areas.
- 16 One is increasing propensity. So that is driving a greater
- 17 connection with young people who have an interest in
- 18 serving. Oftentimes we find that young people do not know
- 19 what the opportunities are in military service.
- 20 Senator Blumenthal: So let me ask you, and I apologize
- 21 for interrupting but we all have limited time. What do the
- 22 numbers look like for this year?
- Mr. Vazirani: Senator, we are seeing that the Marine
- 24 Corps, the Air Force, and the Space Force on the active
- 25 component are meeting their goals. The Army is doing better



- 1 than they had been year-over-year. The Navy is still
- 2 falling short of their goals. And the Reserve component,
- 3 three of the elements of the Reserve component are also
- 4 meeting their goals.
- 5 Senator Blumenthal: So it looks like the Marine Corps,
- 6 Space Force, and Cyber will meet their goals --
- 7 Mr. Vazirani: Marine Corps, Air Force, and Space
- 8 Force.
- 9 Senator Blumenthal: But the Army is falling short and
- 10 the Navy is shorter?
- 11 Mr. Vazirani: The Army is doing significantly better
- than they had last year, and is just short of goal. I think
- 13 that our service components can talk a little bit more, but
- 14 I think they are cautiously optimistic that they may be able
- 15 to meet goal. The Navy still has some work to do.
- 16 Senator Blumenthal: When we last spoke, one of the
- 17 topics that Senator Sullivan and I were working on was
- 18 access to high schools, if you recall, and I think the same
- 19 question applies to colleges and universities. Are you
- 20 finding any progress on those efforts?
- Mr. Vazirani: Senator, we are seeing improved access
- 22 certainly after COVID. But the level of access that we have
- is that we are getting the required level of access, not
- 24 necessarily the desired level of access. Certainly if we
- 25 had a greater frequency and more in-depth opportunity to



- 1 engage with young people, not only in the high schools but
- in college, or those maybe who are no longer in college, we
- 3 think that would give us greater opportunity.
- We have submitted a legislative proposal to that effect
- 5 to help us increase that level of access. So that would
- 6 bring us up to a minimum, while we would at least be
- 7 comparable with what the high schools are offering to other
- 8 employers, or to colleges, at least a minimum of four times
- 9 per year. And it would also allow us to have access to
- 10 lists from colleges or universities, particularly for those
- 11 students who may have left the college or university. And
- 12 this would give us an opportunity to present them with
- 13 options that the military can provide.
- 14 Senator Blumenthal: Do you find resistance on the part
- of some high schools or school boards?
- Mr. Vazirani: Senator, I think we find that there are
- 17 not any high schools or school boards that are not meeting
- 18 that minimum requirement, but there are schools and school
- 19 districts that are only providing the minimum requirement,
- and we would appreciate greater access.
- 21 Senator Blumenthal: Is there anything we can do to
- 22 help with that effort?
- Mr. Vazirani: Senator, I think that proposal that we
- 24 have submitted, I think if the Personnel Subcommittee were
- 25 to look at that and consider that, that would be helpful to



- 1 us.
- 2 Senator Blumenthal: I want to ask you just very
- 3 briefly about the Xavier Sandor Act, anyone who would like
- 4 to respond. As you may know, I and others led the passage
- of a bill named after a sailor, Xavier Sandor, who died by
- 6 suicide on the USS George Washington. He was a native of
- 7 Connecticut. He took his own life 2 years after living in
- 8 really abysmal conditions on a ship that was in for
- 9 maintenance. And there was noise. There was no air
- 10 conditioning. There were no really good facilities. This
- 11 kind of problem evidently was fairly common among ships that
- were doing maintenance over apparently a fairly lengthy
- 13 period of time, and that is fairly common.
- Do you know how many sailors assigned to ships in long-
- 15 term maintenance billets have been authorized to live off
- 16 post since December of last year when we passed this
- 17 measure, which authorized that kind of off-post billet? Any
- 18 of you. Thank you.
- 19 Mr. Vazirani: Senator, I do not have that information.
- 20 I will take that question for the record.
- 21 Senator Blumenthal: Thank you. Thank you all.
- 22 Senator Warren: Senator Sullivan.
- Senator Sullivan: Thank you, Madam Chair. Mr.
- 24 Secretary, I want to follow up on the work that Senator
- 25 Blumenthal and I, and you, have been doing on recruiting. I



- 1 think it is a really important issue. And look, it should
- 2 not be controversial if a university or an American high
- 3 school has misguided administrators who say, "Hey, we don't
- 4 want the military around our students." It is a disservice
- 5 to students to give them access and understanding. It is a
- 6 disservice to our nation.
- 7 So I think we need to be a little bit more forward
- 8 leaning and hopefully the legislative language that you are
- 9 talking about will have some things.
- 10 Let me give you one example. You mentioned minimal
- 11 requirement. In a hearing on this committee last year, one
- of the Air Force senior officers described what you called
- 13 "minimal requirement." They called it "suboptimal access,"
- 14 and here is how he described it. "An example experienced by
- 15 many Air Force recruiters is a school that allows a
- 16 lunchroom setup during non-optimal hours" -- so not during
- 17 lunch -- "typically displaced into a section of the
- 18 lunchroom away from the students, and told by school
- 19 authorities that they are not to engage students unless the
- 20 students engage them first," and they are provided this
- 21 access once or twice a year. And that school supposedly
- 22 meets the standard. Okay, whatever the standard is, that
- 23 should not be the standard.
- That school, that example, we should, in my view,
- legislate that is not acceptable. So if you can work



- 1 with us -- do you think that is acceptable, the way that Air
- 2 Force recruiter described it in this committee last year?
- Mr. Vazirani: Senator, I would agree that that is
- 4 suboptimal.
- 5 Senator Sullivan: That is suboptimal. But that is
- 6 legal, is it not?
- 7 Mr. Vazirani: Senator, that is correct. That would
- 8 meet the definition of required access, and that is why we
- 9 are coming forward and asking --
- 10 Senator Sullivan: Yeah. So I think it would be really
- important that we do this work to fix that. I mean, that is
- 12 just not acceptable, and shame on those schools for doing
- 13 that. But maybe they are led by people who are misguided,
- do not know a lot about the military, maybe had a bad
- experience with the military, so they are not providing.
- So I think one of the things that we can do here, in
- 17 this NDAA, is address that. And we want to work with you on
- 18 that, so thanks.
- 19 Let me turn to, Mr. Secretary, you and Dr. Martinez-
- 20 Lopez, the MHS GENESIS system is getting all kinds of bad
- 21 press, all kinds of mentions. We had a full committee with
- 22 the Secretary, and the chairman came up. Senator Cotton was
- 23 pressing them on that. It does seem to be something that
- 24 kind of digs deep, and maybe too deep, if you have a young
- 25 -- you know, I have heard of a story, well, a real-life



- 1 story, of a young woman, really good athlete. She had taken
- 2 antidepressants like when she was 12, 13. And the Air Force
- 3 was telling her, "Hey, sorry. We don't want you." A
- 4 straight A student, you know, top university. We don't want
- 5 you -- why?
- 6 And it is kind of this Catch-22. Once you are in the
- 7 military we want to take care of servicemembers' mental
- 8 health, but right now there are a lot of services that say
- 9 if you had a mental health issue when you were 12, you are
- 10 disqualified.
- 11 So I think that needs to be fixed, as well, because
- 12 think about that young girl. She has either got to lie and
- 13 say, "No, I never had a problem," or if she tells the truth
- 14 then she does not get accepted.
- What are we doing on the MHS GENESIS health records,
- 16 and do you believe it has been a challenge to our recruiting
- 17 because it is bringing up things that in my view probably
- 18 are not that relevant to whether you want to serve your
- 19 country as a 20-year-old, when you were 13 and you took
- 20 antidepressants.
- 21 Mr. Vazirani: Senator, as we think about accessing
- 22 people into the military, young people into the military, it
- is important that we have a good understanding of their
- 24 health, for two purposes. One is we know that they are
- 25 physically qualified to be able to make it through that



- 1 basic military training, which is very rigorous, and then
- 2 through that first contract period and to ensure that they
- 3 are deployable.
- 4 What that MHS GENESIS system has done for us is given
- 5 us that ability through the health information exchange to
- 6 have that rich history. So it is providing us with --
- 7 Senator Sullivan: You call it rich history. Some
- 8 might call it probing, deeply irrelevant. But keep going.
- 9 Mr. Vazirani: I think having that data informs us.
- 10 What it is also allowing us to do is to get a better
- 11 understanding of where we can adjust our accession
- 12 standards. So we have the medical accession review pilot,
- which is allowing us, rather than going back and looking for
- 14 a complete history, you can go back and look 1, 3 or 5
- 15 years, or through 7 years.
- And so, for example, in the area of attention deficit
- and hyperactivity disorder, we have been able to adjust that
- 18 standard, so now we only have a one-year lookback period if
- 19 an individual is stable and off medication.
- 20 So having that history is allowing us to use the
- 21 science to be able to adjust the standards.
- 22 Senator Sullivan: If you could submit the final answer
- 23 to that for the record, and particularly if there is no
- 24 -- you do not see any issues with MHS GENESIS. It is a
- 25 getting a lot of criticism, part of the problem, on



- 1 recruiting. But if you can submit that for the record.
- 2 Madam Chair, I just have one really quick final
- 3 question for the Secretary. I know I am out of time, but it
- 4 is an important one, and maybe you could submit it for the
- 5 record, Mr. Secretary.
- As you indicate in your testimony, dependent education
- 7 is a top priority for servicemembers as they are assigned to
- 8 duty stations all over the world. Last month, at a base in
- 9 Alaska that is really growing -- this is Eielson Air Force
- 10 Base. We now have two squadrons of F-35s there, and tankers
- 11 that are going to be stationed there -- the middle school,
- 12 high school at Eielson Air Force Base had to close. One of
- 13 the main reasons was funding.
- 14 My question, and maybe you can submit it to me for the
- 15 record, how does the Department determine DoD impact aid and
- 16 how is that distributed to states? Once it is distributed
- 17 to states, is there any way to guarantee that it goes to
- 18 schools that directly serve the base populations? And is
- 19 there anything you can do on this Eielson Air Force Base
- 20 closure issue that the Air Force can look into that?
- 21 And maybe, Madam Chair, if we can take that for the
- 22 record, but try to get that back to us soon.
- Mr. Vazirani: Senator, I would be happy to take that
- 24 for the record.
- 25 Senator Sullivan: Great. Thank you, Madam Chair.



- 1 Senator Warren: Thank you. Senator Duckworth.
- 2 Senator Duckworth: Thank you, Madam Chair, and good
- 3 afternoon to our witnesses.
- 4 Mr. Vazirani, following our conversation during your
- 5 visit to my office last week, I want to reiterate my
- 6 expectation study regarding special and incentive pays
- 7 should be accelerated. I do not want to be slow-rolled, and
- 8 the timeline for the study to take potentially another year
- 9 is unacceptable to me, especially since this issue has
- 10 already been studied within the last 2 years.
- It has been 3 years since I first introduced
- 12 legislation to make sure that Reserve and National Guard
- 13 servicemembers are fairly compensated for maintaining
- 14 critical skills and qualifications needed to accomplish
- 15 their jobs.
- Now I do not know why my colleague from Alaska would
- 17 voluntarily jump out of a perfectly good aircraft, but if he
- 18 voluntarily jumps out of an aircraft three time in one month
- 19 as a reservist, he should get the same pay as an active duty
- 20 person who jumps out of an aircraft three times in the same
- 21 month, in terms of incentive pay.
- 22 At present, our servicemembers in the Reserve and Guard
- 23 only receive a fraction of what their active duty
- 24 counterparts are paid in special and incentive pay to
- 25 maintain the same skills. My update in the fiscal year 2024



- 1 NDAA requires the service secretaries to go back and
- 2 individually review each type of special incentive pay and
- 3 certify certain types to be paid equally to members of the
- 4 active and reserve components if those special and incentive
- 5 pays are intended to encourage reservists to maintain a
- 6 skill or proficiency identical -- identical -- to that
- 7 required of active component members, or to compensate them
- 8 for identical exposure to hazards or risks, and if doing so
- 9 will not negatively impact retention.
- 10 Last year's update to the NDAA 2024 was meant to
- 11 clarify Congress' intent and provide flexibility. It was
- 12 not intended to further delay implementation and slow-roll
- 13 Congress. You need to fix this disparity between active
- 14 duty and reserve components now.
- During our meeting last week, you mentioned that the
- 16 RAND study regarding special and incentive pays will not be
- 17 completed until the end of this year or early next year.
- 18 This is unacceptable.
- Can you commit to working with me to ensure that this
- 20 study is prioritized and at least some of the findings be
- 21 completed in the next 90 days so our nation's Reserve and
- 22 National Guard servicemembers receive the incentive and
- 23 special pay that they deserve and that Congress intended for
- 24 them to receive?
- 25 Mr. Vazirani: Senator, I appreciate the conversation



- 1 that we had, and certainly value the contributions of the
- 2 Reserve component as part of the total force.
- We have prioritized the study. We are working through
- 4 that. I can commit that within 90 days to come and provide
- 5 an update on where we are on the study. I am not sure if
- 6 there will be element that will be completed in 90 days, but
- 7 I certainly will provide you an update on where we are.
- I think as we are conducting that study we are
- 9 developing a framework that will allow us to understand
- 10 -- and the Congress did give us the opportunity to implement
- 11 those special incentive pays in a targeted manner. And so
- 12 the study will help us understand whether or not we meet the
- objectives, the retention or recruiting objectives by
- 14 specialty or type of pay, and that is the intent of the
- 15 study.
- 16 Senator Duckworth: What clarification do you need to
- 17 say that a National Guardsman or Reserve force's paratrooper
- 18 who jumps out of an aircraft the same number of times in a
- 19 month as an active duty troop should get the same jump pay
- 20 as an active duty troop? What further study do you need?
- 21 Mr. Vazirani: Senator, the initial study that we did
- 22 was to certify for the '22 NDAA whether or not there would
- 23 be impacts to the active component. The study that we are
- 24 doing now is to understand the impacts those will have on
- 25 the recruiting or retention objectives in the Reserve



- 1 component.
- 2 Senator Duckworth: You are slow-rolling me, and it is
- 3 not acceptable.
- 4 Mr. Vazirani: Senator, I promise you we are not slow-
- 5 rolling. We are really trying to be deliberate. It is a
- 6 complex issue. We want to do it in a deliberate and
- 7 thorough manner. There are a number of different pays that
- 8 can be affected, and we want to make sure that we are doing
- 9 this in the correct way.
- 10 Senator Duckworth: You can start with key ones that
- 11 are very clear. I will tell you that the guy or gal who is
- 12 jumping out of that aircraft, it is very clear to him, and
- 13 it is pretty simple.
- 14 Mr. Vazirani: Senator --
- 15 Senator Duckworth: And to them you are slow-rolling.
- Now I understand that last year's NDAA included report
- 17 language requiring DoD to establish a framework for special
- and incentive pay, which was the trigger for the RAND
- 19 report. I will note that this framework is not required.
- 20 It is not required in order to certify special and incentive
- 21 pays in line with the provisions that I mentioned before.
- 22 Don't use it as a way to slow-roll. That framework is not
- 23 required. You can actually start certifying some of those
- 24 skills and those specialties.
- 25 So since DoD has decided to enter into an agreement



- 1 with RAND to produce this framework, can you explain and
- 2 clarify why a new study could take more than a year to
- deliver when this issue has already been studied by RAND
- 4 within the last 24 months?
- 5 Mr. Vazirani: Senator, the study that was conducted
- 6 for the '22 NDAA was to understand if there were impacts to
- 7 the active component. The study that we are conducting now
- 8 is to understand if we are meeting the objectives, the
- 9 retention, the recruitment objectives for the Reserve
- 10 component, and looking at it by specific pay, as you pointed
- 11 out. And it gives us then the opportunity to prioritize
- 12 certain pays, whether it is aviation pay, jump pay, dive
- 13 pay, special operations pay.
- 14 Senator Duckworth: So if you are waiting for this
- 15 study, what is your timeline for developing an actual
- implementation plan, and if you have a working group set up
- 17 to address this issue, what steps will you take to think
- 18 through the issues of implementation now in order to
- 19 expedite this entire process?
- 20 Mr. Vazirani: Senator, we are working with our
- 21 colleagues in the military departments. Once we have this
- 22 framework that will allow for and inform a very robust
- 23 discussion, once we carry out that discussion and understand
- 24 what decisions will be made, that is how we will proceed.
- Given the complexity of this issue, I cannot commit to



- 1 a particular timeline on that, but I can commit to ensuring
- 2 that we inform you of those decisions, when the framework is
- 3 completed and as we make decisions along the way.
- 4 Senator Duckworth: So you have no timeline. You do
- 5 not know when the RAND study is going to be done, maybe this
- 6 year, maybe next year, for a study that was already done.
- 7 And yet you have the authority to start making decisions on
- 8 some of the special incentive pay. I mean this is all,
- 9 what, and you are saying you are not slow-rolling. It seems
- 10 to me like you are doing this on purpose so that you do not
- 11 have to pay these troops, especially the ones that have very
- 12 clear, simple requirements that are exactly the same as
- 13 active duty troops.
- Madam Chair, I have an additional question that I am
- 15 going to ask to be entered in the record on foreign medical
- 16 facilities and medical readiness in the Indo-Pacific region.
- 17 Senator Warren: Without objection.
- 18 Senator Duckworth: Thank you, Madam Chair.
- 19 Senator Warren: Senator Hirono.
- 20 Senator Hirono: Thank you very much, Madam Chair.
- 21 Secretary Vazirani, last month I joined several of my
- 22 colleagues on a letter asking the Department to address
- 23 recent news reports regarding failures to appropriately
- 24 respond to childcare abuse at military Child Development
- 25 Centers. My office just received your response this morning



- 1 regarding this situation.
- 2 Can you provide an update, briefly, on this issue, and
- 3 confirm that the Department has referred this matter to the
- 4 DoD IG, that your office is conducting its own review of the
- 5 applicable service policies to ensure consistent and
- 6 effective oversight of the CDCs? With 160,000 children in
- 7 your CDCs it is pretty important that they be safe. Can you
- 8 confirm?
- 9 Mr. Vazirani: Senator, we take the safety of those
- 10 children that we have under our care very seriously. When
- 11 the article did come out I did refer this to the inspector
- 12 general, so I can confirm that that has been submitted to
- 13 the inspector general. I also directed our team to work
- 14 with the military departments to understand and evaluate our
- 15 policies and understand if there is ambiguity and where that
- 16 needs to be resolved.
- I can turn to Mr. Keohane to give you an update on
- where we are in addressing those policies.
- 19 Senator Hirono: And these reviews will be made
- 20 available to our committee.
- Mr. Vazirani: Senator, as we go through those reviews
- 22 and as we adjust policies, as necessary, I certainly commit
- 23 to working with your staff --
- 24 Senator Hirono: Thank you.
- Mr. Vazirani: -- and the committee on the direction



- 1 that we will go.
- 2 Senator Hirono: So Dr. Martinez-Lopez, in October
- 3 2023, my colleagues and I led a letter regarding
- 4 servicemembers' access and coverage to Opill, the first FDA-
- 5 approved oral contraceptive available without a
- 6 prescription. The Defense Health Agency's Pharmacy and
- 7 Therapeutics Committee ruled that it would cover Opill in
- 8 its uniform formulary if it was cost effective, which it has
- 9 since been deemed. And, of course, with the chaos following
- 10 the Dobbs decision, it is very important that our
- 11 servicemembers have access to reproductive care including
- 12 contraception.
- So while we appreciate that childcare now covers Opill,
- 14 does the Department require servicemembers to obtain a
- 15 prescription?
- Dr. Martinez-López: Senator, thank you for the
- 17 question. I will take it for the record. I do not know the
- 18 answer, in particular, to that one.
- 19 Senator Hirono: Well, the FDA has said that this is
- 20 safe without a prescription, so if the Department is saying
- 21 that a prescription is required, I would say that you should
- 22 eliminate that requirement.
- Dr. Martinez-López: I understand, ma'am.
- 24 Senator Hirono: And will DoD direct the military
- 25 service exchanges to stock the over-the-counter



- 1 contraceptive without burdensome restrictions or delays?
- Dr. Martinez-López: Ma'am, right now we are very proud
- 3 that 130 of our MTFs, medical treatment facilities, offer
- 4 walk-in contraceptive clinics and reproductive health
- 5 clinics. So that talks about our commitment to make
- 6 possible what you are asking. So I do not know the details,
- 7 the specifics of this particular drug and about the
- 8 requirements of the prescription, but I can tell you about
- 9 our commitment to make sure all our servicemembers get the
- 10 reproductive health care they need.
- 11 Senator Hirono: Yep. This is really important, of
- 12 course, because as I said, with the chaos following the
- 13 Dobbs decision we have servicemembers who are deployed to
- 14 places where they are not going to be able to receive the
- 15 reproductive services that they deserve. And you have
- 16 situations where they may be in states where unless they are
- 17 practically on death's door they will not receive emergency
- 18 care.
- 19 So we need to make sure that whatever they can have
- 20 access to, i.e., oral contraceptives, that they have that
- 21 access.
- For you again, I applaud the Department of Defense's
- 23 development of walk-in contraceptive clinics and removal of
- 24 contraceptive care co-pays. However, there was a provision
- 25 in the 2016 NDAA that all armed services members must



- 1 receive comprehensive contraception counseling at all
- 2 military treatment facilities at least once every year. A
- 3 2022 RAND report found that only 25 percent of active duty
- 4 service women received counseling and only 18 percent of
- 5 active duty service women received counseling from a
- 6 military health system provider prior to deployment.
- 7 Do you have any updates on the implementation of the
- 8 congressional mandate in the 2016 NDAA for all
- 9 servicemembers to receive comprehensive contraceptive
- 10 counseling at least once a year and prior to deployment?
- 11 Again, especially important with the chaos following the
- 12 Dobbs decision.
- Dr. Martinez-López: Senator, we committed again to
- 14 provide the best care to all our servicemembers. The
- decision or when or how to have a family is unique to every
- 16 servicemember, so we owe them that. I owe you, for the
- 17 record, the actual number of the implementation, but I can
- 18 tell you our commitment to make sure that they do receive
- 19 that counseling as we move forward.
- 20 Senator Hirono: Yes. This has got to be an
- 21 intentional commitment. So yes, I will check back with you
- 22 as to whether or not we are increasing this percentage,
- 23 because obviously the majority of your female servicemembers
- 24 are not getting this kind of information.
- Thank you, Madam Chair.



- 1 Senator Warren: Thank you, Senator Hirono.
- I want to thank our panel for being with us. I
- 3 appreciate it. There may be additional questions that will
- 4 come in, and we will be sure to get those to you.
- 5 And with that I will excuse you and ask the second
- 6 panel to come up.
- 7 Mr. Vazirani: Thank you, Senator.
- 8 Senator Warren: Thank you.
- 9 [Pause.]
- 10 Senator Warren: The second panel will consist of the
- 11 personnel leaders for the military services. Lieutenant
- 12 General Douglas F. Stitt, Deputy Chief of Staff for
- 13 Personnel for the Army. General Stitt, I understand you are
- 14 retiring soon, and this will be your last hearing before
- 15 this committee. Let me say from both of us, thank you for
- 16 your service.
- 17 Vice Admiral Rick Cheeseman, Deputy Chief of Naval
- 18 Operations for Personnel, Manpower, and Training. Welcome.
- 19 Lieutenant General James F. Glynn, Deputy Commandant,
- 20 Manpower and Reserve Affairs.
- Lieutenant General Caroline Miller, Deputy Chief of
- 22 Staff for Manpower, Personnel, and Services for the Air
- 23 Force.
- 24 And Ms. Kate Kelley, Deputy Chief of Space Operations
- 25 for Human Capital.



```
1
          So thank you all for being here, and we will hear from
     each of you, starting with Lieutenant General Stitt.
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



- 1 STATEMENT OF LIEUTENANT GENERAL DOUGLAS F. STITT, USA,
- 2 DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF FOR PERSONNEL, G-1, UNITED STATES ARMY
- General Stitt: Good afternoon, Chair Warren, Ranking
- 4 Member Scott, distinguished members of this committee.
- 5 Thank you for the opportunity to appear before you on behalf
- of the soldiers of the United States Army. I submitted a
- 7 statement for the record, and want to highlight a few points
- 8 from it now.
- 9 The United States Army is amidst a fundamental
- 10 transformation. We are adapting and changing our
- 11 capabilities, force structure, and accessions enterprise.
- 12 These collective efforts combine to enable ready combat
- 13 formations while ensuring the care of our people and their
- 14 quality of life is commensurate with their service.
- The Army's senior leadership directed necessary changes
- 16 to improve the effectiveness of our accessions formation in
- 17 the face of a challenging recruiting environment. These
- 18 transformative efforts include enhancements of our
- 19 recruiting workforce, engaging across the totality of the
- labor market, and a streamlined reporting structure.
- 21 Compared to the same point last year, we are ahead in our
- 22 accessions mission, and the Army is on pace to meet its
- targeted fiscal year 2024 end strength of 445,000.
- The Army remains a great place to serve, as
- 25 demonstrated by our historically high retention rates. In



- 1 fiscal year 2023, we achieved 102 percent of our retention
- 2 mission, and we are on glide path to exceed our retention
- 3 mission again in 2024.
- We are focused on critical programs that get upstream
- 5 and prevent harmful behaviors from entering our formations.
- 6 Our civilian workforce is a readiness multiplier across
- 7 the total Army, and our investments in their capabilities
- 8 are crucial for meeting the needs of the Army of the future.
- 9 All of these efforts contribute to Army readiness and
- 10 are vital for retaining our soldiers, civilians, and their
- 11 families.
- For Army families, we program \$1.6 billion across
- 13 fiscal years 2024 to 2028 to improve the Army family housing
- 14 inventory. For our unaccompanied soldiers, we invested over
- 15 \$2.1 billion annually in the construction, sustainment,
- 16 restoration, and modernization of barracks across fiscal
- 17 years 2025 through 2029.
- With congressional support, we will keep the Army on a
- 19 sustainable strategic path as we transform for the future.
- 20 We will deliver ready combat formations with advanced
- 21 capabilities to defend our nation and its interests. We
- 22 understand the critical importance of preserving the all-
- 23 volunteer force. We will continue investments in the
- 24 quality of life for our soldiers, our civilians, and their
- 25 families. We will maintain and build upon cohesive teams



```
1
    and foster climates of trust, grounded in our shared Army
 2
    values.
          Chair Warren, Ranking Member Scott, members of this
 3
    committee, I thank you for your generous and unwavering
 4
    support for our talented soldiers, civilians, and their
 5
    families. I look forward to your questions. Thank you.
 6
 7
          [The prepared statement of General Stitt follows:]
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



```
Senator Warren: Thank you, Lieutenant General Stitt.
1
 2
    Appreciate it.
          Now we are ready. Vice Admiral Cheeseman.
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```



- 1 STATEMENT OF VICE ADMIRAL RICHARD J. CHEESEMAN, JR.,
- 2 USN, DEPUTY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS FOR PERSONNEL,
- 3 MANPOWER, AND TRAINING, N1, UNITED STATES NAVY
- 4 Admiral Cheeseman: Chair Warren, Ranking Member Scott,
- 5 and distinguished subcommittee members, thank you for the
- 6 opportunity to appear before you today. I am honored to be
- 7 here to serve and represent the sailors of our United States
- 8 Navy, a high-quality, motivated workforce comprised of the
- 9 best America has to offer.
- The Navy's budget request for fiscal year 2025 funds
- 11 holistic effort to support our warfighters and the
- 12 foundation that supports them. It prioritizes our people
- 13 with increases in military pay and basic allowance and
- 14 additional funds for recruiting and retention bonuses. The
- 15 budget also prioritizes several quality-of-life and service
- 16 initiatives, including tools and education for our
- 17 leadership specifically to address mental health, suicide,
- and other harmful behaviors that build upon quality-of-
- 19 service investments from the fiscal year 2024 appropriation.
- 20 And while I am grateful for your continued support of
- 21 our sailors and their families, I must stress that our Navy
- 22 remains most lethal and effective when we are able to
- 23 execute under a full year budget.
- We remain in a very challenging recruiting environment.
- Our current projected miss is 6,200 against an increased



- 1 mission of 40,600 sailors, which is significantly better
- 2 than what we anticipated at the beginning of the fiscal
- 3 year, and I remain optimistic that investments in marketing
- 4 and data analytics will show additional progress. As we
- 5 position ourselves for success in the coming year, I look
- 6 forward to sharing our continued performance improvement and
- 7 to discussing these details today.
- 8 With recruiting challenges there is a need for greater
- 9 retention. I appreciate your steadfast support in funding
- 10 sailors' special incentive pays and bonuses, which are vital
- 11 to targeting complex skill sets. These monetary incentives,
- 12 coupled with several other initiatives, have helped us
- 13 maintain our historically healthy high retention levels.
- 14 Last month, we rolled out Culture of Excellence 2.0,
- which focuses on building great people, great leaders, and
- 16 great teams, and prepares our warfighters to dominate in
- 17 combat, innovate and solve hard problems, and prevent
- 18 harmful behaviors. It simplifies, streamlines, and aligns
- 19 several programs and concepts into an actionable approach to
- 20 building great culture while providing tools for commanders
- 21 to better understand and act on the needs of their people.
- Our women and men of the United States Navy -- active,
- 23 reserve, and civilian -- along with their families, give our
- 24 Navy the decisive edge. We must build strong warfighting
- 25 teams, recruit and retain talented people from all across



Τ	America, and provide them world-class training and
2	education, and then ensure they are prepared for when their
3	Navy story ends, whether it be a single enlistment or a full
4	career.
5	You and every American can be proud of your sailors and
6	their families. I appreciate your continued support, and I
7	look forward to your questions.
8	[The prepared statement of Admiral Cheeseman follows:]
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



```
1
          Senator Warren: Thank you, Vice Admiral. Lieutenant
 2
     General Glynn.
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



- 1 STATEMENT OF LIEUTENANT GENERAL JAMES F. GLYNN, USMC,
- 2 DEPUTY COMMANDANT FOR MANPOWER AND RESERVE AFFAIRS, UNITED
- 3 STATES MARINE CORPS
- 4 General Glynn: Chair Warren, Ranking Member Scott, and
- 5 distinguished members of the subcommittee, it is my
- 6 privilege to appear before you today, along with Sergeant
- 7 Major Reiff, sitting behind me, to provide an overview of
- 8 our Marine Corps personnel.
- 9 Your Marine Corps and your Marines remain strong.
- 10 Despite headwinds, we met the recruiting and the retention
- 11 missions in the last year, and we expect to do so again this
- 12 year. We have done so while maintaining top quality,
- 13 something on which we will never compromise. The high
- 14 caliber of Marines directly contributes to the warfighting
- 15 capability and readiness of your United States Marine Corps.
- Despite our success, recruiting still presents
- 17 challenges, including a shrinking pool of eligible youth,
- who are increasingly unfamiliar with the value of military
- 19 service. To counter these trends we are modernizing how we
- 20 recruit and working to increase access to schools, and we
- 21 ask for your continued support of these efforts. Together
- 22 we can and must ensure the health of the all-volunteer
- 23 force.
- I am pleased to report that we also continue to retain
- 25 Marines at a historic pace, both in number and in quality.



- 1 It reinforces that once one becomes a Marine, they desire to
- 2 remain a Marine. The desire for continued service speaks to
- 3 our ethos -- being part of a team, accomplishing the
- 4 mission, taking care of one another, and serving something
- 5 bigger than yourself. It also shows to us that Marines
- 6 believe in their leaders and the processes that assign,
- 7 promote, and award in a fair and transparent manner.
- 8 Our talent management efforts remain foundational to
- 9 the force design that you have heard much about and the
- 10 future success of our Corps. Our overarching goal remains
- 11 to increase Marine Corps warfighting capability and sustain
- our lethality, as well as maintaining our responsibility to
- 13 be the nation's premier expeditionary force-in-readiness.
- 14 In fact, to that end, more than 30,000 Marines are forward
- deployed or forward stationed, prepared to respond right
- 16 now.
- Warfighting readiness is built on trust, including
- 18 trust in Marine Corps support to its families. Family
- 19 readiness is quite simply readiness, whether it is a
- 20 childcare issue, health care, housing -- these things matter
- 21 to Marines, they matter to our families. Consequently, they
- 22 matter to me.
- We continue to work hard to prevent destructive
- 24 behaviors and increase resilience. In the past few months
- 25 we have emphasized Marine Corps total fitness as our



1	innovative, holistic, and integrated strategy that is being
2	implemented right now. It strives to enhance Marine
3	resilience and readiness across all domains, with specific
4	emphasis on mental, spiritual, social, and physical. I look
5	forward to our dialogue on these topics so we can continue
6	to provide Marines with the highest quality of life.
7	It is my honor to represent your Marine Corps today,
8	and I look forward to your questions.
9	[The prepared statement of General Glynn follows:]
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	



25

```
Senator Warren: Thank you, Lieutenant General Glynn.
1
 2
     I appreciate those comments. Lieutenant General Miller.
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



- 1 STATEMENT OF LIEUTENANT GENERAL CAROLINE M. MILLER,
- 2 USAF, DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF FOR MANPOWER, PERSONNEL, AND
- 3 SERVICES, A1, UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
- 4 General Miller: Yes, Chair Warren, Ranking Member
- 5 Scott, and distinguished members of the subcommittee. I am
- 6 honored to have the opportunity to appear before you with my
- 7 fellow service personnel colleagues.
- 8 The Department of the Air Force is committed to
- 9 ensuring we are postured to deter, and if necessary, prevail
- in an era of great power competition against two
- 11 sophisticated peer competitors, the People's Republic of
- 12 China and Russia. To maintain our competitive advantage it
- is imperative we continue to grow and sustain the force we
- 14 need today and in the future. We are dedicated to
- developing airmen with specialized skills for strategic
- 16 solutions and creating alternative career paths focused on
- 17 technical expertise, to include reestablishing warrant
- 18 officers and develop specialized technical tracks.
- We have established human capital management guiding
- 20 principles for our civilian workforce to focus on our
- 21 priorities in talent acquisitions and foster agility and
- 22 innovation. These efforts enable our forces to better adapt
- 23 to an evolving and uncertain future.
- To assist in identifying and retaining our talented
- 25 performers, we continue to transform our legacy human



- 1 resource information technology systems. For the first time
- 2 in department history, we administered the Weighted Airman
- 3 Promotion System electronically in February, and
- 4 successfully implemented a complete overhaul of our officer
- 5 and enlisted performance evaluation systems to ensure we
- 6 capture performance characteristics critically important to
- 7 define, develop, and incentivize our airmen.
- 8 We continue to focus on retaining our rated force and
- 9 have two active duty aviation bonus programs implemented in
- 10 fiscal year 2023, the Expedited Aviator Retention Incentive
- and the Demonstration Bonus, the Demo. Although implemented
- 12 late in the year, both programs proved to be highly
- 13 effective, retaining an additional 380 pilots compared to
- 14 the previous years.
- While those numbers are encouraging, the Air Force has
- 16 experienced a slight decrease in retention rate, which we
- 17 are countering with increasing recruiting effort and
- 18 quality-of-life initiatives. The regular Air Force remains
- on track to meet our fiscal year 2024 recruiting goal of
- 20 27.2 thousand personnel, but we will be short slightly of
- 21 our end strength.
- Our force management programs have increased our
- 23 recruiting pool and positively impacted our overall
- 24 recruiting numbers. Our commitment to quality-of-life
- 25 initiatives for military members, their spouses, and



1 families remains strong. Available, affordable, and quality 2 childcare remains a critical readiness factor, as does 3 spouse employment. I am looking forward to sharing our many successes in these and other quality-of-life efforts with 4 5 you today. 6 We have also focused significant efforts to build 7 resilience within the force. Across the department, we 8 initiated the Wingman Guardian Connect Instruction Program, 9 targeting first-term enlisted airmen and guardians. The 10 initial pilot showed a significant increase in social 11 connections, a decrease in causes of depression and suicide 12 risks. 13 As the Department of the Air Force looks to the future 14 to maintain our competitive advantage and reoptimize for the 15 great power competition, we remain committed to recruit, 16 retain, and develop our most critical weapons system, our 17 airmen. I am excited to share our successes with you today, 18 and look forward to your questions. 19 [The prepared statement of General Miller follows:] 20 21 22

TP One

23

24

```
1
          Senator Warren: Thank you, Lieutenant General Miller.
 2
     Ms. Kelley.
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```



- 1 STATEMENT OF KATHARINE KELLEY, DEPUTY CHIEF OF SPACE
- 2 OPERATIONS FOR HUMAN CAPITAL, UNITED STATES SPACE FORCE
- Ms. Kelley: Chair Warren, Ranking Member Scott, and
- 4 distinguished members of this subcommittee, thank you for
- 5 the opportunity to appear before you today representing the
- 6 over 14,000 uniformed and civilian Guardians of your Space
- 7 Force.
- 8 Our military and our nation's modern way of life
- 9 depends on your Space Force successfully securing our
- 10 nation's interest in, from, and to space. And while
- 11 advanced technology is a vital component of our
- 12 capabilities, our Guardians are the foundation of our
- 13 ability to maintain freedom of action in space.
- Building and maintaining this force starts with winning
- 15 the fight for talent. In an era where opportunities to work
- in the growing space economy are expanding at an
- 17 unprecedented pace, the Space Force must be seen as an
- 18 employer of choice, and we continue to focus on that.
- To continue attracting the talent we need we must offer
- 20 a robust and competitive compensation package, compelling
- 21 and rewarding career opportunities that speak to today's
- 22 youth and strong support for spouses and their families.
- 23 The Space Force recognizes that quality-of-life issues like
- 24 pay and compensation, spouse employment, and available
- 25 childcare are significant factors in the family decision to



- 1 enter and continue in a military service and a military way
- of life. We are focused on initiatives to ensure all
- 3 Guardians are appropriately compensated for their service
- 4 and are prioritizing childcare and spouse employment in our
- 5 efforts to sustain quality of life for all.
- 6 Ultimately, we must implement strong branding and
- 7 talent acquisition efforts to ensure our target recruitment
- 8 populations understand the value proposition as our nation's
- 9 newest military service.
- 10 Our work does not stop with attracting talent. We must
- 11 continue to focus on keeping the highly trained and
- 12 experienced Guardians we have invested in. Thanks to your
- 13 strong partnership we continue to make progress serving as a
- 14 pathfinder in modernizing the department's approach to
- 15 talent management, starting with enhanced space-focused
- 16 education. We have established a refreshed Officer Training
- 17 Course, which will expose new officers to the full spectrum
- of mission sets before they arrive at their first duty
- 19 station. We have also partnered with some of our nation's
- 20 top universities to provide new models of intermediate and
- 21 advanced professional military education. This is for both
- 22 uniformed and civilian Guardians.
- We are revamping our performance assessment and
- leadership selection processes, drawing on best practices
- 25 from inside and outside the department, to build high-



1	performing teams and strong leaders. But perhaps most
2	importantly, with the tremendous support of this
3	subcommittee, we are working to build a first-of-its-kind,
4	flexible military service model as envisioned in the
5	recently passed Space Force Personnel Management Act.
6	We are doing these things, and much more, not just to
7	take care of Guardians and their families, because it is the
8	right thing to do it and it certainly is, but because taking
9	care of Guardians is what is required to win.
10	Warfighting success in space starts not with the
11	technology on orbit but with the people who develop the
12	technology, who launch it, operate it, and exploit it in
13	defense of our nation. Your support enables our Guardians
14	to stand ready to face any challenge in the space domain.
15	Thank you for the privilege of representing them today,
16	and I look forward to your questions.
17	[The prepared statement of Ms. Kelley follows:]
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	



- 1 Senator Warren: Thank you, Ms. Kelley.
- 2 Senator King.
- 3 Senator King: Thank you, Madam Chair. I appreciate
- 4 it. If any of you have a really good software scheduling
- 5 package let us know. I am scheduled in four subcommittee
- 6 meetings right now, and in fact in 10 minutes, when I have
- 7 to chair. So I apologize for not being able to stay with
- 8 you because what you are doing is incredibly important.
- 9 Here is an issue that I am really focused on that I
- 10 hope you will think about and take back, and that is the
- 11 transition between active duty and veteran status. I talk
- 12 to veterans all the time in Maine, talk to active duty
- 13 members. Everybody identifies this as a problem. The most
- 14 dangerous moment for veterans -- and we are all concerned
- 15 about veteran suicide -- is in the transition, is in the 2
- or 3 years during the period that that takes place.
- 17 So part of it is renewed emphasis within the officer
- 18 corps on the TAP program, the Transition Assistance Program,
- 19 and I am afraid sometimes it is an afterthought. The rule
- 20 is it is supposed to be done a year before the military
- 21 member leaves, and the statistics are pretty dire. I cannot
- 22 remember, but it is like 20 percent actually meet that
- 23 deadline.
- But here is a specific question, and I am going to
- 25 pursue this with Mr. Vazirani. On the form -- well, let me



- 1 back up. One of the ways to make that transition better is
- 2 to supply the outgoing military personnel's contact
- 3 information to the State Veterans Affairs Office where they
- 4 are going, so they can be met at the airport, so they can be
- 5 put in connection with all the benefits that are available
- 6 to them, so they can meet somebody. Ideally, in my case, in
- 7 my suggestion, to have a buddy who will meet them and help
- 8 guide them through the maze of programs and benefit that are
- 9 available to them.
- 10 The problem is under the law the Defense Department
- 11 cannot forward the contact information to the State Veterans
- 12 Office without the servicemember checking a box that says
- 13 yes, go and do so. And the problem is only 18 percent check
- 14 that box. And my belief is that they do not really realize
- 15 what the implications are.
- So we have tried to get the Defense Department to
- 17 change it to an opt-in rather than an opt-in. They tell us
- 18 they cannot do that, under the law. We are talking about an
- amendment in the Defense Bill to make it an opt-out instead
- 20 of an opt-in.
- But here is one thing I hope you will discuss, and that
- is I want to know what the question is next to the box.
- Does it say, "Shall we submit your personal information to
- 24 the State Veterans Office?" That is sort of scary. Or
- 25 maybe it ought to say, "Shall we submit your contact



- 1 information so that the State Veterans Office where you are
- 2 bound can assist you in accessing all the benefits that you
- 3 have obtained?" Do you see what I mean? How the question
- 4 is asked is important.
- 5 So I am trying to determine exactly what it says on
- 6 that form. So I would really appreciate it if you all would
- 7 give some thought to this, A, to beefing up the TAP program,
- 8 and, B, to think about how to change the form in such a way
- 9 that it still comports with the law but allows the military
- 10 personnel to have a better sense that there is nothing back-
- 11 handed about this. This is for their benefit.
- 12 So I hope you will all take some cognizance of this.
- 13 Admiral, you were nodding. I take it you think that this is
- 14 important, as well.
- 15 Admiral Cheeseman: Sir, it sounds like a very good
- idea and I am very willing to work with Secretary Vazirani
- and others to enable your vision, sir.
- 18 Senator King: Thank you. I am going to pursue this
- 19 with the Secretary, and I will also be pursuing it with the
- 20 committee as we take up the Defense Bill this year.
- 21 Thank you very much, Madam Chair. And I apologize for
- 22 my absence, but the other hearing will not even happen
- 23 unless I get there.
- 24 Senator Warren: Thank you, Senator King, and I
- 25 appreciate you being here, and good luck on making it to



- 1 your other meeting.
- 2 Senator Scott.
- 3 Senator Scott: So let me completely agree with Senator
- 4 King. This does not make any sense. We had the same issue
- 5 when I was governor, and I think we have about 1.5 million
- 6 veterans, and they just would not give us any information.
- 7 We had a whole veterans group that are trying to do
- 8 everything they can to provide.
- 9 Senator King: [Inaudible.]
- 10 Senator Scott: Absolutely. I agree with what you are
- 11 talking about.
- Okay. So all of you guys are working on recruitment,
- 13 so thank you for what you are doing. What if you each had
- 14 \$4 billion? By the way, General Stitt, congratulations on
- 15 your service. Thank you for all of it. I hope you enjoy
- 16 your retirement, and I hope you move to Florida, like
- 17 everybody else does. It is cold in Massachusetts, just so
- 18 you know, just in case you are thinking about it.
- 19 If each of you had \$4 billion to improve recruitment,
- 20 how would you spend it? Would you spend on wages? How
- 21 would you do it? General Stitt, do you want to start?
- General Stitt: Senator Scott, it is a rare question
- that we are often proffered \$4 billion, so thank you for
- 24 setting the conditions with \$4 billion. Looking at
- 25 recruiting, how do we look at marketing, and the success



- 1 that we are seeing with the Be All You Can Be campaign, the
- 2 recent advertisements that we saw during the men's and
- 3 women's Final Four with the addition of the Coach Krzyzewski
- 4 ad as a small reflection of what we are seeing for continued
- 5 research, that brought us to the unveiling of the Be All You
- 6 Can Be campaign, the data that we are collecting from it,
- 7 and the further refinement.
- 8 Certainly investing across the totality of human
- 9 capital and understanding that it is an individual that
- 10 provides the United States Army their competitive advantage,
- 11 be that a soldier or a civilian. So working with our
- 12 counterparts in DoD, assessing the totality of compensation
- with the Quadrennial Review of Military Compensation, and
- 14 looking at that and providing our input I think provides us
- another means, potentially, to examine where we could put
- 16 resources coming forward.
- 17 Senator Scott: Admiral, Go Navy.
- 18 Admiral Cheeseman: Senator, Go Navy, and thank you for
- 19 the time in your office last week. I enjoyed our
- 20 conversation.
- Sir, any last dollar that the United States Navy could
- 22 get in this area would go towards marketing and advertising
- and other activities that would increase the propensity to
- 24 serve in the United States Navy and in the military
- 25 services. We know through our data analytic efforts that



- 1 every 1 percent increase in propensity equals about 1,400
- 2 additional contracts, and in previous years when propensity
- 3 was around 14 percent, we generally had no issues making our
- 4 recruiting goals.
- 5 Propensity right now is about 9 percent, but that is
- 6 not enabled by influencer that could help those young folks,
- 7 those young future sailors, join the Navy. I get plenty of
- 8 young sailors in the target demographic between 18 and 24,
- 9 filling out forms, showing initial interest. It is whether
- 10 their interest wanes once they leave the initial contact
- 11 with their recruiter or whether it is enabled by a mentor
- 12 that understands what military service is all about.
- So my answer, in short, is anything that would increase
- 14 propensity in this country, and not necessarily with the 18-
- 15 to 24-year-olds but among the influencers, you know,
- 16 coaches, guidance counselors, student administrators,
- 17 clergy. Somebody that could offer a conversation about what
- 18 the military has to offer, that is what I would do with that
- 19 money, sir. Thank you for your time.
- 20 Senator Scott: General Glynn?
- General Glynn: Senator, thank you, and anticipating
- 22 that my colleagues would go right after the recruiting thing
- 23 I tried to shape it. First and foremost, any investment in
- 24 young folks and their influencers is valuable, regardless of
- 25 if it is \$1 or \$4 billion, any investment. Because in my



- 1 opening comments I suggested that we increasingly find
- 2 -- and you and I discussed previously, that young folks are
- 3 not even aware of the opportunities so they are not even
- 4 being considered as often as they might have been in the
- 5 past. That is number one.
- 6 But I would not put all my money there. I would put a
- 7 good chunk of it -- and I might be biased by our Executive
- 8 Safety Council that we held last night -- I would put a lot
- 9 of it into our training and simulation, because that can be
- 10 an attraction to young folks. The folks that are going to
- 11 leave the service after one stint in uniform can go out and
- tell folks about the high quality and the investment that
- 13 they made in their life and their livelihood going forward.
- 14 So I think I would put a significant amount into training
- 15 and simulation.
- And lastly, to your earlier panel, we cannot invest
- 17 enough in the quality of life that is an expectation, not
- 18 just for our servicemembers but for our families. We hear
- 19 about it all the time. It is the tipping point in their
- 20 decision to stay or go, a perception that things might be
- 21 better, different somewhere else than in the military.
- 22 Oftentimes we know it is not. And I think earlier you
- 23 rightfully focused on an area where I would invest in
- 24 military health care. It is an expectation of our families,
- 25 and we hear about it.



- General Miller: Yes, Senator. Thank you for the
- 2 question. I mean, we have all been thinking about it a lot
- 3 over the last several years. But if there were \$4 billion,
- 4 I mean, similar to my colleagues here, well, there are two
- 5 things I think that I would do. I would bring individuals
- 6 to the installations, from all over the country, you know,
- 7 however we are going to do that. Charter aircrafts. Get
- 8 them onto the installation, show them what we can do. A lot
- 9 of the discussion is the fact that they are not exposed
- 10 because there are decreasing military veterans in our
- 11 country. But when you actually bring them -- and you need
- 12 to bring them younger, and bring them in and say any job
- 13 that you want, or anything that you are passionate about, we
- 14 have it in the military.
- But I would bring them to the installations. We have
- 16 to break down the barriers. Once we had 9/11 it was very
- 17 difficult to get on and off the installations, so even if
- 18 you in the neighborhood you have to bring them on there. So
- 19 I would do that across the country.
- The second thing I would do would be, well, there are
- 21 two things. The infrastructure, absolutely we have got to
- invest in, I mean, because they come and you have got
- 23 hangars falling down. And so we have taken risk over the
- 24 multiple years on infrastructure so we can go after the
- 25 shiny platforms. But we have to demonstrate that we do care



- 1 for the families -- dorms, housing, childcare facilities.
- 2 And then finally, the medical system just has to be
- 3 addressed. The medical system is a barrier to service
- 4 because people hear about it. And I know that MHS GENESIS,
- 5 we are working through that, the DHA. However, there are
- 6 still multiple stories of families not able to get access to
- 7 care. So we have got to increase our ability to provide
- 8 access to care for military members, spouses, children.
- 9 And then, you know, CONUS is not as bad, but overseas,
- 10 there are some countries that military families cannot get
- 11 access to care.
- 12 Senator Scott: Thank you.
- 13 Ms. Kelley: Senator, thank you for the question. I
- 14 certainly agree with all of the comments my colleagues have
- 15 made. I would offer a couple of thoughts from the Space
- 16 Force perspective, which is a little bit unique, by some
- 17 benchmarks.
- First, the Space Force absolutely is very excited that
- 19 we are making our recruiting numbers, but they are really
- 20 small numbers. What we know, though, is we definitely have
- 21 a brand awareness issue, and the National Call to Service
- 22 campaign that was discussed a little bit on Panel 1 and kind
- of discussed here today is really important to the Space
- 24 Force, as well.
- We think that the data that we have received thus far,



- 1 year over year, really bears out the fact that the American
- 2 people really do not know about the Space Force writ large,
- 3 and even if they do they might not understand what we do.
- 4 So my first emphasis would be on making sure that the value
- 5 proposition of the service is known to the potential group
- 6 of young people who may join.
- 7 The second thing I would offer is that we really do
- 8 have a unique opportunity with the Personnel Management Act
- 9 to really recharacterize how people can serve inside of the
- 10 Space Force in full- and part-time work roles, and allow for
- 11 them to take advantage of things like academic time or
- working in a private company, without sacrificing the
- 13 administrative barriers going between on active duty or into
- 14 the Reserves or into the Guard. So there is something to be
- 15 said there about reducing that barrier structure, which
- then, I believe, has the likelihood of allowing people to
- 17 want to remain with the military instead of being forced to
- 18 make a choice to leave.
- And the last point I would make on this is the quality
- of life and the care for families, to not make that a choice
- 21 against remaining with the military service has got to be an
- 22 emphasis. And I know it is for all of us that are here
- 23 today, at this panel.
- 24 Senator Scott: Thanks. Thanks, Chair.
- 25 Senator Warren: Thank you. Thank you, Senator Scott.



- 1 So recruitment, we hear a lot about that. I want to
- 2 focus in again on an issue we have talked about that is the
- 3 retention issue.
- 4 Two-thirds of active duty military families have
- 5 children living at home -- two-thirds. The number one issue
- 6 for many, many parents is, "Where will my children be? Who
- 7 is taking care of them when I have to be at work?" Now,
- 8 this is why the Department of Defense runs the largest
- 9 employer-sponsored childcare program in the entire United
- 10 States. Our childcare is affordable, it is high quality, so
- 11 that our servicemembers can show up to work, protect our
- 12 country, and know that their children are safe and well
- 13 cared for.
- Here is the good news. The DoD program is known for
- 15 being one of the best childcare programs in the country.
- 16 But here is the bad news. DoD cannot find enough workers.
- 17 There were 12,000 children on DoD's waitlists as of last
- 18 year, waiting for childcare. Think about what that means.
- 19 That is more than 12,000 parents struggling to find out how
- 20 to meet their military obligations when they have small
- 21 children at home that need care.
- 22 So today we have the deputy chiefs, and I just want to
- 23 get this on the record. And I will start with you,
- 24 Lieutenant General Miller.
- Is childcare critical to the Air Force's readiness and



- 1 retention, and therefore to national security? Or let me
- 2 ask it another way. How important is childcare to being
- 3 able to retain the military that you have invested in? You
- 4 have paid for their training. These are the people who not
- 5 only can do the job, the people who are doing the job. How
- 6 much do you need childcare?
- 7 General Miller: Oh, it is absolutely critical to
- 8 readiness. The first thing you do when you get a PCS
- 9 assignment is you look at, if you have children, where are
- 10 my children going to go? What is the access to the
- 11 childcare? You know, what is available to me? How do I get
- on the list as soon as possible? So it is absolutely, it is
- 13 a mission ready -- I mean, it is mission readiness.
- 14 Senator Warren: Mission readiness. Lieutenant General
- 15 Glynn?
- General Glynn: Yeah, I would, I would echo Lieutenant
- 17 General Miller's comment. It continues to be a
- 18 consideration for every family. And what families seek, we
- 19 hear over and over again, is predictability. And so can I
- 20 predictably, in this instance, predictably, take care of my
- 21 children? Do I know what school system? Are there after-
- 22 school activities available? And all the things related to.
- 23 Yes, Senator, it is very important.
- 24 Senator Warren: Okay. "Can I count on this," really
- 25 important. Vice Admiral Cheeseman?



- 1 Admiral Cheeseman: Senator, same answer for the Navy.
- 2 It is absolutely mission critical to be able to take care of
- 3 our sailors' children. And we are making every effort we
- 4 can to increase capacity, all those childhood development
- 5 centers that you are talking about.
- 6 Senator Warren: And Lieutenant General Stitt?
- 7 General Stitt: Senator Warren, critical to the overall
- 8 quality of life and therefore critical to the care of our
- 9 soldiers and families. We want to make sure that when the
- 10 soldier comes in to work they are focused on the mission,
- 11 and that they know that their child is cared for
- 12 appropriately.
- 13 Senator Warren: And Ms. Kelley?
- 14 Ms. Kelley: Senator Warren, it is absolutely crucial
- in the Space Force, because of the uniqueness of some of the
- 16 mission sets that we do and some of that focus around-the-
- 17 clock type of scenario.
- 18 Senator Warren: You know, that is the thing about it,
- 19 too. It is the kind of work you do.
- I do not know how to keep underscoring this. Twelve
- 21 thousand children are on your waitlists right now, and I
- 22 have to assume the demand would be even greater, except
- there are some people who just give up and do not even put a
- 24 child on the waitlist. They just say "the list already is
- 25 too hard."



- 1 And here is the problem. Since the start of the
- 2 pandemic, recruiting and retention of DoD childcare workers
- 3 has been a challenge. The shortages and the waitlist for
- 4 military families are not getting better. You all are
- 5 talking about your recruiting is getting better across the
- 6 services. It is not getting better for your childcare
- 7 workers.
- In March, DoD reported it was still short 3,900
- 9 caregivers needed to match our existing childcare needs. In
- 10 other words, the DoD centers are there. This is the part
- 11 that is really shocking. We have got the physical
- 12 facilities for it, but the staffing shortages right now are
- 13 so bad that centers are accepting 30 percent fewer children
- 14 than they could if they had full staffing.
- And I know there are a lot of ideas about how to
- 16 improve childcare access for military families, but clearly
- 17 staffing up has to be the number one focus. Hiring more
- 18 people would let us increase the overall capacity, literally
- 19 by tens of thousands of children, if we just hire up to all
- of the spots we have got.
- 21 So there is good news again, and that is this year's
- 22 DoD's budget request includes funding for proposals from a
- 23 special task force to address the childcare staffing
- 24 shortage. Number one on the list is reworking the pay scale
- 25 for childcare workers. We will be doing this for the first



- 1 time in 30 years. We need to give these caregivers the
- 2 critical pay raises that they need.
- 3 DoD has asked for \$33.5 million to invest in childcare
- 4 for military families. I would just like anybody who wants
- 5 to, to make the case to get it on the record, why DoD should
- 6 get its full \$33.5 million from this Senate, and we will
- 7 fight for it over in the House, so that, at a minimum, we
- 8 can start to staff up the facilities that we have got.
- 9 Anyone want to swing at that ball?
- 10 General Miller: Senator, I will take that. We have
- 11 got to get the additional funding to do this. Right now we
- 12 have, in the Air Force we have initiated many things to
- increase that, and we have seen an increase, but we are
- 14 still sitting at about 80 percent childcare providers. So
- 15 we have a 20 percent deficit. And so anything we can do. I
- 16 will tell you that we are also looking at modifying the PDs
- of the childcare, so it was more of an educational type
- 18 thing, so we can pay them more.
- But absolutely, we need that because it is a readiness
- 20 issue, and right now we are facing peer competitors that we
- 21 have not seen since probably World War II, and Russia, you
- 22 know, during the Cold War, so it is critical now.
- Senator Warren: Good. Anybody have anything they want
- 24 to add on this?
- 25 General Stitt: I look forward to working with the



- 1 committee and the Department of Defense on a way forward.
- We need to find the right people and compensate them
- 3 appropriately.
- 4 Senator Warren: Thank you, Lieutenant General Stitt.
- 5 General Glynn: Senator?
- 6 Senator Warren: Lieutenant General Glynn?
- 7 General Glynn: Yeah, just, one, I think, one positive
- 8 note in all of what you just said, and then reinforcing it
- 9 is, thanks to the support we have gotten in the past -- the
- 10 fee assistance program, right? -- it gives me much more
- 11 comfort about where and what our children are up to, because
- 12 we do not have a waiting list for fee assistance. The
- 13 assistance is being fully utilized and maximized.
- And so what it does speak to is what, I believe, you
- said earlier, which is the quality of the care and the
- 16 confidence that families have in the on-installation
- 17 childcare. So we have folks waiting for their opportunity
- 18 to come out of, you know, something, not on an installation,
- onto it. And I am optimistic, and we appreciate the
- 20 continued support there.
- But, you know, to your point, you know, the funding of
- it, there are many quality-of-life discussions and issues
- that many of them came up in Panel 1. We collectively talk
- 24 about this all the time. There are not too many we would
- 25 argue against. But we have to have the top-line funding to



- 1 afford them. There are things in what you are suggesting
- 2 here that we would all do right now.
- We have different levels of assistance for folks, for
- 4 their first child that enrolls between 50 and 100 percent.
- 5 Talking between us, we would all have 100 percent if we
- 6 could all afford 100 percent. And so we have to have the top
- 7 line to afford these programs.
- 8 Senator Warren: And our job is to make sure you can
- 9 afford 100 percent.
- Did you want to add something, Vice Admiral Cheeseman?
- 11 Admiral Cheeseman: Senator, yes, ma'am. I cannot not
- 12 go on record after all my colleagues did.
- 13 Senator Warren: Absolutely.
- 14 Admiral Cheeseman: So I appreciate the time. So to
- 15 your point about childcare, in the Navy, and I imagine it is
- in the rest of the services as well, there are CDCs within
- 17 the budget to be built. A lot of emphasis has been put
- 18 there, in the Navy, to give it the foundational support that
- 19 our sailors need. Any assistance we could have from
- 20 Congress to accelerate that or to help us with the hiring,
- 21 the future hiring that we anticipate, will be greatly
- 22 appreciated.
- Senator Warren: Okay, I appreciate that. Miss Kelley,
- 24 you do not want to be left behind here.
- Ms. Kelley: Not at all. Certainly not on this topic,



- 1 ma'am. What I would add is also that there are other
- 2 programs as well that we have to take advantage of that
- 3 cover that round-the-clock care that we discussed --
- 4 Senator Warren: Yes.
- 5 Ms. Kelly: -- and also leveraging childcare in your
- 6 home scenarios in the community partnerships that are so
- 7 important. So clearly an additional top line to cover
- 8 increased pay is crucial, as is taking advantage of some of
- 9 the other options that are out there, because the situations
- 10 are so unique for individual, at least in my case,
- 11 Guardians, that we want to make sure that we have got
- 12 multiple options to try to combat this issue.
- 13 Senator Warren: I am looking forward to the day when
- 14 every single servicemember with a small child who is
- thinking about whether to sign up for another tour of duty
- is saying, "You know, if we don't though, we are going to
- 17 lose this first-rate, top-notch, affordable, available child
- 18 care. That is one more good reason to stay in the service."
- 19 So that is the day we are looking forward to.
- I want to thank you all. Do you have a closing
- 21 statement, anything more you want to say?
- I want to thank all of our witnesses for your testimony
- 23 today. I also want to thank John Clark, Gary Leeling, Andy
- 24 Scott, Noah Sisk, Katie Magnus, and Sean O'Keefe for their
- work in putting today's hearing together.



```
1
          Our people are our greatest strength as a nation, and
 2
    we need to do better for them. We have got a lot of people
    who are committed to doing well. We need to make sure you
 3
 4
    have got the resources to do even better. That is our job
 5
    here.
 6
          I want to thank you all for being here. Senators have
    until Friday, May 9, to submit additional questions for the
 7
 8
    record.
 9
          With that, this hearing is adjourned.
10
          [Whereupon, at 5:00 p.m., the subcommittee was
11
    adjourned.]
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```



## **WORD INDEX**

<\$>\$1 25:11, 12 85:25 \$1.6 62:12 \$125 7:9 \$2.1 62:15 \$200 4:5 16:19 31:19 \$300 16:21 \$33.5 94:3, 6 \$334 7:10 \$4 83:14, 19, 23, 24 85:25 87:3 \$850 15:2

<1> **1** 47:14 85:1 88:22 95:23 **1.000** 31:*1* **1,200** 8:2 **1,400** 85:*1* **1.5** 83:5 **10** 23:20 80:6 **100** 96:4, 5, 6, 9 **102** 62:*1* **12** 46:2, 9 **12,000** 90:17, 19 **120** 36:12 **13** 46:2, *19* **130** 57:*3* **14** 85:*3* **14,000** 77:6 **14th** 12:9 **15** 12:8 **160,000** 35:21 55:6

<2> **2** 43:7 49:10 80:15 **2,500** 31:2 **2.0** 66:*14* **2.3** 11:*13* **2.6** 11:*14* **20** 80:22 94:15 **2008** 27:20 **2016** 57:25 58:8 **2019** 4:6 32:12 **2022** 58:*3* **2023** 25:6 56:3 62:1 74:10 **2024** 1:6 13:20 49:25 50:10 61:23 62:3, 13 65:19 74:19 **2025** 1:3 7:14 11:19 12:7 13:14 36:4 62:17 65:10 **2027** 28:9 **2028** 62:*13* **2029** 62:*17* **20-year-old** 46:*19* **21** 36:11 **22** 25:3, 19 51:22 53:6 **24** 53:4 85:8 24-year-olds 85:15 **25** 16:18 58:3 **27.2** 74:20 **27.5** 19:*14* <3>

**3** 12:9 36:11

47:14 49:11

80:16 **3,900** 93:8 **3:00** 1:*13* **30** 93:13 94:1 **30,000** 70:14 **380** 74:13 **3-year** 25:20 <4> **4.5** 12:7 **40,600** 66:*1* **445,000** 61:23 < 5 > **5** 47:*14* **5:00** 98:*10* **50** 17:19 96:4 **54** 17:21 < 6 > **6,200** 65:25 <7> **7** 3:18 47:15 **70,000** 21:*16* < 8 > **8** 1:6 **80** 94:*14* <9> **9** 85:5 98:7 **9/11** 87:*16* **90** 50:21 51:4, 6 **900,000** 11:*15* **98,000** 27:6 < A > **A1** 73:3

**ability** 18:17 36:6 47:5 77:13 88:7 able 36:17 38:2 41:14 46:25 47:17, 21 57:14 65:22 80:7 88:6 91:3 92:2 **abroad** 23:23 absence 82:22 absolutely 8:25 30:22 83:10 87:21 88:18 91:7, 12 92:2, 14 94:19 96:13 abuse 30:17 54:24 **abusive** 34:14 abvsmal 43:8 academic 89:11 academy 30:9 accelerate 96:20 accelerated 49:7 acceptable 44:25 45:1, 12 52:3 accepted 46:14 accepting 93:13 access 4:22 10:8 12:24 13:15 23:9 33:24 39:8, 11 41:18, 21, 22, 23, 24 42:5, 9, 20 44:5, 13, 21 45:8 56:4, 11 57:20, 21 69:20 88:6, 8, 11 91:10 93:16 Accessing 4:18 46:21 82:2 accession 20:23 21:1 47:11, 12

**18** 7:8 58:4 81:*13* 85:8, *14* 

accessions 29:14 61:11, 16, 22 Accident 25:3 accidents 24:23 accomplish 49:14 accomplishing 70:3 **Accountability** 3:2 9:22 16:3 24:17 accountable 9:25 25:1 accounting 3:1 15:11 accounts 37:14 accurate 15:22 achieved 62:1 acknowledged 4:6 acquisition 78:7 acquisitions 73:21 acronym 4:8 Act 7:14 29:24 30:2, 5, 11 43:3 66:21 79:5 89:8 **Acting** 5:20 11:1 action 77:13 actionable 66:19 actions 13:2, 5 30:7 active 3:17 4:20 8:1 11:13 37:14 40:24 49:19, 23 50:4, 7, 13 51:19, 20, 23 53:7 54:13 58:3, 5 66:22 74:9 80:11, 12 89:13 90:4

activities 84:23 91:22 **Activity** 13:17 actual 53:15 58:17 **ad** 84:4 adapt 73:22 **adapting** 61:10 add 94:24 96:10 97:1 **addition** 13:16 37:7 84:3 additional 10:4 12:11 24:24 54:14 59:3 65:14 66:4 74:13 85:2 94:11 97:7 98:7 Additionally 36:4 address 2:11 3:13 4:9, 15 18:24 22:2 39:16 45:17 53:17 54:22 65:17 93:23 addressed 88:3 addressing 2:13 11:20 55:18 adequate 31:6 adjourned 98:9, 11 adjust 47:11, 17, 21 55:22 administered 74:2 administrative 10:11 89:13 administrators 44:3 85:16

**Admiral** 59:17

64:3 65:1, 4

67:8 68:1 82:13, *15* 84:*17*, *18* 91:25 92:1 96:10, 11, 14 admissions 30:9 **advanced** 62:20 77:11 78:21 advances 20:14 advantage 73:12 75:14 84:10 89:11 97:2, 8 advertisements 84:2 advertising 84:22 **Affairs** 5:24 6:1 11:4, 5 59:20 69:2 81:3 affect 32:6 **afford** 3:25 96:1, 6, 7, 9 affordability 37:10 affordable 3:23 4:23 33:24 75:1 90:10 97:17 **afraid** 80:19 **Africa** 28:10 29:7 aftermath 27:9 afternoon 2:4 11:8 49:3 61:3 afterthought 80:19 agencies 9:10 **agency** 9:11 36:21 Agency's 56:6 **agility** 73:21 aging 32:21 ago 28:7

**agree** 16:1 18:10 19:8, 9 26:14 45:3 83:3, 10 88:14 agreement 52:25 agreements 34:14 **ahead** 34:24 61:21 aid 48:15 **Air** 27:19 31:23 40:24 41:7 43:9 44:12, 15 45:1 46:2 48:9, 12, 19, 20 59:22 73:3, 8 74:15, 18 75:13 90:25 94:12 aircraft 49:17, 18, 20 51:18 52:12 aircrafts 87:7 Airman 74:2 airmen 73:15 74:7 75:9, 17 airport 81:4 **Alaska** 32:22 48:9 49:16 **align** 30:10 aligned 10:7 aligns 66:18 allegedly 31:21 **Allen** 15:10 **allow** 36:4, 7 37:14 42:9 51:9 53:22 89:10 allowance 4:2 31:21 65:13 allowing 47:10, 13, 20 89:16 **allows** 23:20 44:15 82:9



all-volunteer
2:12 14:7 69:22
alongside 18:4
alternative 73:16
ambiguity 55:15
amendment 7:20
81: <i>19</i>
<b>America</b> 20:11
65:9 67: <i>1</i>
American 44:2
67:5 89: <i>1</i>
American-made
22:21, 22
<b>Americans</b> 19: <i>18</i>
amidst 61:9
amount 37:12
86: <i>14</i>
amplifying 14:15
analysis 15:11
analytic 84:25
analytics 66:4
Andy 97:23
annual 2:7
annually 62:15
answer 17:2
22:13 27:15
47:22 56:18
85:13 92:1
anticipate 96:21
anticipated 66:2
anticipating
85:2 <i>1</i>
antidepressants
46:2, 20
<b>anybody</b> 94:4, 23
apartment 7:10
apologize 5:20
40:20 80:7
82:2 <i>1</i>
apparently 43:12

**appear** 61:5 65:6 69:6 73:6 77:5 appearing 5:13 **applaud** 57:22 applicable 55:5 **applies** 41:19 **apply** 24:2 appointment 39:24 appointments 23:11 40:1 appreciate 17:1 19:2 24:21 31:12 34:8 42:20 50:25 56:13 59:3 64:2 66:9 67:6 72:2 80:3 82:6, 25 95:19 96:14, 23 appreciated 4:25 96:22 **approach** 14:11 22:12 26:8 66:19 78:14 appropriate 25:1 31:10 appropriately 25:21 54:23 78:3 92:12 95:3 appropriation 13:20 65:19 approved 12:8 56:5 approximately 8:2 **aquifer** 24:19 area 4:17 7:24 20:16 21:4 23:3

38:7 47:16

84:22 86:23

areas 3:11 7:16 10:2 12:25 34:4 40:15 **argue** 95:25 Armed 1:9 28:14 57:25 **Army** 20:2 40:25 41:9, 11 59:13 61:2, 6, 9, 22, 24 62:7, 8, 9, 12, 13, 18 63:1 84:10 **Armv's** 61:*15* around-the 92:16 **arrive** 78:18 **article** 55:11 **Ashish** 5:18 11:1 **asked** 25:3, 19 82:4 94:3 asking 18:6 45:9 54:22 57:6 **assault** 12:21 13:4 30:17 assessing 84:12 Assessment 15:12 78:23 asset 7:17, 22 assign 70:6 assigned 43:14 48:7 assignment 91:9 assignments 31:25 assist 73:24 82:2 Assistance 13:23 38:11 80:18 95:10, 12, 13 96:3, 19 Assistant 5:23,

25 11:3, 5 **assume** 92:22 athlete 46:1 athletes 29:9 attempt 29:9 attention 47:16 attracting 77:19 78:10 attraction 86:10 authorities 9:13 44:19 authority 27:21 54:7 **AUTHORIZATI ON** 1:3 7:14 authorized 43:15. 17 availability 13:16 40:1 available 5:4 17:16 34:3 55:20 56:5 75:1 77:24 81:5, 9 91:11, 22 97:17 aviation 53:12 74:9 **Aviator** 74:10 **award** 70:7 **aware** 86:3 awareness 88:21 < B > **back** 7:8 14:12 24:3 30:12 38:25 40:9 47:13, 14 48:22 50:1 58:21 80:10 81:1 82:10

<b>bad</b> 9:2 45:14,
20 88:9 90:16
93: <i>13</i>
<b>bags</b> 3:21
<b>BAH</b> 34:2, 7
<b>ball</b> 94:9
<b>band</b> 2:25
<b>BAQ</b> 7:9
barracks 34:15
62:16
<b>barrier</b> 88: <i>3</i>
89:15
barriers 87:16
89:13
base 31:18, 24,
25 32:18 33:8, 9,
19 36:12, 19, 22
48:8, <i>10</i> , <i>12</i> , <i>18</i> , <i>19</i>
<b>based</b> 29:15
bases 36:11, 17
basic 4:1 12:8
31:21 47:1
65:13
basically 24:20
25:17, 25
bears 89:1
beefing 82:7
beginning 31:14
66:2
<b>behalf</b> 61:5
<b>behaviors</b> 12:21,
22 30:24 62:5
65:18 66:18
70:24
<b>belief</b> 81: <i>14</i>
believe 13:8
22:19 24:24
26:20 46:16
70:6 89:16
95: <i>14</i>

benchmarks
88:17
benefit 10:4
81:8 82:11
benefits 12:4
33:7 81:5 82:2
<b>best</b> 5:10 7:21
9:25 15:22 19:3
29:10 37:18
58:14 65:9
78:24 90: <i>15</i>
<b>Beth</b> 6:2
better 2:21 5:11
9:18 10:1, 7
37:20 40:2, 25
41:11 47:10
66:1, 21 73:22
81:1 82:10
86:21 93:4, 5, 6
98:2, <i>4</i>
beyond 13:21
biased 86:7
<b>bid</b> 28:12, 13
39:1
big 3:19 22:18
24:9 36:16
<b>bigger</b> 70:5
<b>bill</b> 30:5 43:5
81:19 82:20
<b>billet</b> 43:17
<b>billets</b> 43:15
billion 15:2
16:20, 21   25:11,
<i>12</i> 62: <i>12</i> , <i>15</i>
83:14, 19, 23, 24
85:25 87:3
<b>billions</b> 3:5, 9
15:5 16: <i>16</i>
19:20 27:5
bipartisan 7:20

<b>bit</b> 20:6 22:1
35:2, <i>20</i> 41: <i>13</i>
44:7 88:16, 22
blah-blah-blah
36:24
<b>blast</b> 12:16
<b>blocked</b> 9:16
<b>Blue</b> 4:3
<b>Blumenthal</b> 1:17
34:23 40:7, 8, 20
41:5, 9, 16 42:14,
21 43:2, 21, 25
<b>Board</b> 25:4, 19
boards 42:15, 17
<b>boat</b> 23:19
<b>Boeing</b> 15:8
Bonhomme
24:20 27:4
<b>bonus</b> 74:9, 11
<b>bonuses</b> 65:14
66:10
<b>Booz</b> 15:10
<b>bound</b> 82:2
<b>box</b> 81:12, 14, 22
<b>brand</b> 88:21
<b>branding</b> 78:6
<b>breadth</b> 20:11
<b>break</b> 87:16
<b>briefly</b> 43:3 55:2
bring 42:6 87:5,
11, 12, 15, 18
<b>bringing</b> 46:17
<b>broad</b> 10:2
26:15 27:2
<b>broadly</b> 26:12
brought 35:3
36:21 84:5
<b>Budd</b> 1:18 28:4,
5, 17, 21 29:5, 25
30:4, 13
buddy 81.7

Budget 3:2 11:19 12:7 13:14 16:2 18:22 19:15 36:4 65:10, 15, 23 93:22 96:17 budgets 3:24 15:23 35:14 **build** 18:*12* 19:7, 18 33:14, 22 34:19 62:25 65:18 66:24 75:6 78:25 79:3 **Building** 1:14 35:15 37:8 66:15, 20 77:14 **built** 70:17 96:17 burdensome 57:1 business 39:1 buy 8:19, 24 21:20 23:1 **buying** 21:10 buys 22:20 < C > **CACI** 15:10 **caliber** 69:14 **call** 14:11 17:25 20:17 25:10 27:13, 25 47:7, 8 88:21 **called** 17:8 32:13 44:12, 13 **calling** 39:24 campaign 84:1, 6 88:22 candidates 8:15 capabilities 61:11 62:7, 21

77:12

capability 37:8 69:15 70:11 capacity 5:9 19:20 35:13, 15 37:8 92:4 93:18 **Capital** 59:25 73:19 77:2 84:9 capture 74:6 Care 5:3 7:12, 17 10:2, 9 11:22 12:5, 25 23:3, 15, 23 24:9 36:23 37:13 38:9 39:6 46:7 55:10 56:11 57:10, 18, 24 58:14 61:13 70:4, 20 79:7, 9 86:24 87:25 88:7, 8, 11 89:20 90:7, 21 91:20 92:2, 8 95:15 97:3, 18 **cared** 90:13 92:11 career 7:7 67:4 73:16 77:21 caregivers 93:9 94:1 **Carolina** 28:10, 17 Caroline 59:21 73:1 carry 53:23 case 25:14 81:6 83:18 94:5 97:10 cases 15:13 catastrophic 24:25 27:17 Catch-22 46:6

category 24:23, *25* 26:5, *6* causes 75:11 cautious 8:4 cautiously 41:14 **CBO** 16:20 CDCs 55:6, 7 96:16 **center** 32:24 35:22 Centers 5:3 35:15 54:25 92:5 93:10, 13 **certain** 50:*3* 53:12 certainly 32:8 33:25 35:23 36:2 41:22, 24 51:1, 7 55:22 79:8 84:8 88:14 96:25 **certify** 50:*3* 51:22 52:20 certifying 52:23 **cetera** 26:19 **chain** 21:24 22:2, 6 chains 23:4, 5 Chair 7:3, 4, 12 9:3 10:15 11:8 19:24 22:17 23:7 24:15 28:2, 5 35:1 40:8 43:23 48:2, 21, *25* 49:2 54:*14*, 18, 20 58:25 61:3 63:3 65:4 69:4 73:4 77:3 80:3, 7 82:21 89:24

chairman 1:15 45:22 chairwoman 35:3 challenge 46:16 79:14 93:3 challenges 2:11, *14* 11:2*1* 30:20 40:14 66:8 69:17 challenging 18:11 19:6 61:17 65:24 **chance** 2:10 **change** 37:11 81:17 82:8 **changes** 61:*15* changing 18:23 61:10 **chaos** 56:9 57:12 58:11 characteristics 74:6 charged 18:3 Charter 87:7 **cheated** 16:10 **check** 58:21 81:13 checking 81:12 Cheeseman 59:17 64:3 65:1, 4 67:8 82:15 84:18 91:25 92:1 96:10, 11, 14 **Chief** 27:19 59:12, 17, 21, 24 61:2 65:2 73:2 77:1 **chiefs** 90:22 **Child** 5:3 30:17 38:9 54:24

92:11, 24 96:4 97:14, 17 childcare 4:18, 23 5:3, 4, 6, 8 13:16 35:3, 6, 10, 13, 15, 16, 22, 24 36:8, 14 37:10, 11, 16 38:2 54:24 56:13 70:20 75:2 77:25 78:4 88:1 90:9, 10, 15, 18, 25 91:2, 6, 11 93:2, 6, 9, 16, 23, *25* 94:*3*, *14*, *17* 95:17 96:15 97:5 childhood 92:4 children 4:20 35:22 55:6, 10 88:8 90:5, 6, 12, 17, 21 91:9, 10, 21 92:3, 21 93:13, 19 95:11 China 8:20, 25 9:4 21:21 73:12 Chinese 21:10, 15 23:1 **choice** 77:18 89:18, 20 **choices** 18:19 **chunk** 86:7 circumstances 17:24 **cities** 20:4 citizens 9:1 civil 10:3, 10 CIVILIAN 1:1 2:6, 24 4:4, 19 5:16 9:6, 13, 19 10:10 11:15

13:23 14:3, 8
15:14 18:4, 12,
14, 18 19:7 36:5
38:10 62:6
66:23 73:20
77:6 78:22
84:11
civilians 15:18
62:10, 24 63:5
clarification
51:16
<b>clarify</b> 50:11
53:2
<b>Clark</b> 97:23
clear 4:10 52:11,
12 54:12
clearly 9:18
10:6 16:13
93:16 97:7
clergy 85:17
climates 13:7
63:1
clinics 57:4, 5, 23
clock 92:17
close 4:13 5:19
27:11 48:12
<b>closed</b> 27:12
<b>closer</b> 16:21
closing 97:20
closure 48:20
<b>Coach</b> 84: <i>3</i>
coaches 85:16
cognizance 82:12
cohesive 62:25
<b>cold</b> 83: <i>17</i> 94: <i>22</i>
collaborate 14:13
colleague 49:16
colleagues 3:13
11:12 15:3 37:4
53:21 54:22
56:3 73:7 85:22

87:4 88:14 96:12 **collect** 16:12 collecting 84:6 collective 61:12 collectively 95:23 college 42:2, 11 **colleges** 28:23 41:19 42:8, 10 **combat** 61:*12* 62:20 66:17 97:12 **combine** 61:*12* come 2:3 9:23 21:14 24:3 25:7 28:20 39:8, 15 40:9 51:4 55:11 59:4, 6 87:22 95:18 comes 92:10 **comfort** 95:11 **coming** 2:9 29:3 40:10 45:9 66:5 84:16 command 13:7 32:24 Commandant 59:19 69:2 commander 36:22 commanders 36:12, 20 37:4, 5 66:20 **commands** 36:11 commensurate 61:14 **comment** 91:17 comments 72:2 86:1 88:14 Commission 13:3

**commit** 29:*3* 50:19 51:4 53:25 54:1 55:22 commitment 5:1 7:5 11:16 13:8 57:5, 9 58:18, 21 74:24 committed 58:13 73:8 75:15 98:3 Committee 1:9, 13, 16 3:13 4:25 7:18, 20 9:12 13:5 16:3 22:15 29:18 34:10 44:11 45:2, 21 55:20, 25 56:7 59:15 61:4 63:4 82:20 95:1 committing 18:3 **common** 43:11, 13 **Communist** 21:21 communities 3:22 4:9 29:9 33:4, 6, 22 Community 4:7, 9 32:13, 15, 17 33:1 37:6 97:6 commutes 3:25 commuting 4:1 companies 20:2 **company** 89:12 comparable 42:7 **Compared** 61:21 74:13 compelling 77:20 compensate 50:7 95:2

compensated 49:13 78:3 compensation 9:21 12:4, 10 36:2 77:20, 24 84:12, 13 **compete** 9:6, 9 18:16 competing 19:10 competition 73:10 75:15 competitive 18:8, *11* 19:6, *11* 36:5 73:12 75:14 77:20 84:10 competitiveness 18:2*1* competitors 73:11 94:20 complaining 9:7 **complete** 47:*14* 74:4 completed 35:4 50:17, 21 51:6 54:3 completely 83:3 **complex** 11:22 39:6 52:6 66:11 complexity 53:25 compliance 30:2 component 9:15 12:1 18:14 40:25 41:2, 3 50:7 51:2, 23 52:1 53:7, 10 77:11 components 41:13 50:4, 14 comports 82:9 comprehensive 58:1, 9

compression
18:24
comprised 65:8
compromise
69:13
computers 8:20
21:19
concepts 66:19
concern 7:25
25:9 30:4
concerned 8:12
30:8 80:14
concerns 9:5
conditioning
43:10
conditions 34:14
43:8 83:24
conducted 27:24
53:5
conducting 51:8
53:7 55:4
confidence 95:16
<b>confirm</b> 55:3, 8,
12
confronting 2:12
congratulations
83:14
Congress 4:6
7:16 13:19
14:14 18:17, 20
26:18 32:12, 19
34:19 50:11, 13,
23 51:10 96:20
Congressional
3:1 16:2 58:8
62:18
Connect 75:8
Connecticut 43:7
connection 20:18
40: <i>17</i> 81: <i>5</i>

connections 75:11
Consequently
70:2 <i>1</i>
consider 42:25
consideration
29:6 30:6 91: <i>18</i>
considered 28:11
86:4
001.
considering 11:25
<b>consist</b> 6:4
59:10
consistent 55:5
consists 5:15
constraints 18:19
construct 32:23
construction
62:15
consultants 17:14
consulting 2:25
15:11
contact 81:2, 11,
25 85:10
continue 2:11,
18 5:9 8:9 9:19
13:6 14: <i>13</i>
18: <i>20</i> 20: <i>11</i>
23:12 30:7
62:23 69:24
70:23 71:5
73:13, 25 74:8
77:18, 19 78:1,
11, 13
continued 13:25
14:16 65:20
66:6 67:6 69:21
70:2 84:4 95:20
continues 7:24
13:9 91: <i>17</i>
continuing 12:17

contraception
56:12 58:1
contraceptive
56:5 57:1, 4, 23,
24 58:9
contraceptives
57:20
<b>contract</b> 17:8, 13,
<i>15</i> 20:2 39:1, 25
47:2
contracted 17:16
20:4
contractor 3:7
16:6 39:2 <i>1</i>
contractors 2:25
15:7, 9, 10, 13, 17
16:4, 8, 15, 17, 20,
25 17:14, 25
18:5
contracts 16:24
17:7, 11, 19, 23
18:2 39:2, 7, 20
85:2
contribute 62:9
contributes 69:14
contributions
14: <i>3</i> , <i>8</i> 51: <i>1</i>
controllers 31:24
controversial
44:2
<b>CONUS</b> 88:9
conversation
8:22 21:12 28:7
49:4 50:25
84:20 85:17
<b>co-pays</b> 57:24
<b>copy</b> 25:6
<b>Corps</b> 20:2
40:24 41:5, 7
69:3, 8, 9, 15

70:10, 11, 18, 25 71:7 80:18 correct 28:15, 16 33:12 45:7 52:9 Cost 15:12, 13, 19, 21, 22 16:6, *15* 56:8 costs 2:22 4:1 25:12 31:20 **Cotton** 45:22 **Council** 19:*13* 28:14 86:8 counseling 58:1, 4, 5, 10, 19 counselors 85:16 **count** 91:24 **counter** 69:19 countering 74:17 counterparts 19:15 36:5, 6 49:24 84:12 counties 20:3 countries 88:10 **country** 2:16 7:23 8:11 14:12 29:7 31:15 39:4, 6, 14 46:19 85:14 87:6, 11, 19 90:12, 15 **couple** 88:*15* **coupled** 66:*12* **course** 26:24 56:9 57:12 78:17 cover 5:16 56:7 97:3, 7 coverage 56:4 covered 4:1 **covers** 31:21 56:13 **COVID** 41:22

**create** 27:10 32:23 created 4:7 31:7 32:13 creating 38:10 73:16 creation 25:3 credential 31:8, 10 **crime** 34:5 crisis 4:16 31:16 critical 2:15 17:5 18:15 49:14 62:4, 22 75:2, 16 90:25 91:7 92:2, 7, 8 94:2, 22 critically 74:6 criticism 47:25 crucial 62:8 92:14 97:8 culpable 27:17 **culture** 12:12 66:14, 20 **current** 11:2*1* 34:13 65:25 currently 11:25 34:6 **cuts** 18:7 **cutting** 19:21 **Cyber** 41:6 < D > **D.C** 1:11 damage 25:11

<D> **D.C** 1:11 **damage** 25:11 **dangerous** 80:14 **data** 15:19, 21,
22 16:5, 13 47:9
66:4 84:6, 25
88:25

day 34:18 97:13, 19 days 23:15 36:12 39:20 50:21 51:4, 6 **DCIP** 4:8 32:14, 19, 25 33:3, 14, 17 34:11, 20 **deadline** 80:23 **deal** 30:16 36:16 **death's** 57:17 **debris** 20:*3* **December** 43:16 decide 36:9 **decided** 27:10 35:8 52:25 **decision** 36:15 56:10 57:13 58:12, 15 77:25 86:20 decisions 16:7 17:6 53:24 54:2, 3, 7 decisive 66:24 decrease 74:16 75:11 decreasing 87:10 dedicated 14:2 73:14 **dedication** 14:8 **deemed** 26:25 56:9 deep 45:24 **deeply** 47:8 **defend** 62:21 **DEFENSE** 1:2, 3, 4 2:6 4:7 5:16, 21, 24 6:1 7:14, 15 9:11, 12, 15 11:2, 3, 5, 15, 19,

20 13:17 14:6

15:7 20:13 26:2 27:10 29:13, 21 30:25 32:13 56:6 79:13 81:10, 16, 19 82:20 90:8 95:1 **Defense's** 57:22 deficiencies 32:15 **deficit** 47:16 94:15 **define** 25:14 26:11 74:7 definitely 25:13 88:20 definition 26:16 27:2 45:8 delay 50:12 **delays** 57:1 deliberate 13:1 52:5, 6 deliver 12:8 53:3 62:20 **delivery** 17:8, 13, 15 **demand** 92:22 **Demo** 74:11 demographic 85:8 demonstrate 87:25 demonstrated 29:15 61:25 **Demonstration** 74:11 **DEPARTMENT** 1:2 2:6, 8 5:5 7:15 9:5, 15 11:15, 19 12:22 13:9, 15, 16 17:17 18:8 20:15 24:22

26:4 29:18, 21 30:1, 25 31:1 35:4, 23 38:3 48:15 54:22 55:3 56:14, 20 57:22 73:8 74:2 75:7, 13 78:25 81:10, 16 90:8 95:*1* departments 22:16 53:21 55:14 **Department's** 14:2 27:15 78:14 dependence 9:4 dependent 14:7 37:13 48:6 depending 39:4 depends 77:9 deployable 47:3 **deployed** 57:13 70:15 deployment 58:6, 10 depression 75:11 **Deputy** 59:12, 17, 19, 21, 24 61:2 65:2 69:2 73:2 77:1 90:22 described 44:12. *14* 45:2 describing 3:5 **deserve** 50:23 57:15 design 70:9 designed 30:15 desire 70:1, 2 **desired** 41:24 **Despite** 8:8 31:20 69:10, 16

destroy 8:25 21:21 23:1 destructive 12:22 70:23 **detail** 35:20 detailed 35:4 **details** 57:6 66:7 **deter** 73:9 determine 16:5 48:15 82:5 determined 30:2 determining 15:17 detracting 12:21 **develop** 15:23 73:18 74:7 75:16 79:11 developing 51:9 53:15 73:15 **Development** 5:3 54:24 57:23 92:4 **DHA** 88:5 dialogue 71:5 **died** 43:5 difference 7:9 differences 39:4 different 39:15 52:7 86:21 96:3 difficult 31:6 87:17 digital 24:1 digs 45:24 dire 80:21 direct 56:24 directed 13:1 55:13 61:15 direction 40:5 55:25 **directly** 48:18 69:14

**Director** 6:2 11:6 **Dirksen** 1:14 discuss 2:8 81:21 discussed 86:2 88:22, 23 97:3 discussing 66:7 discussion 53:23 87:9 discussions 28:1 95:22 **disorder** 47:17 disparity 50:13 displaced 44:17 disqualified 46:10 disqualifies 8:15 disservice 44:4, 6 distinguished 11:9 61:4 65:5 69:5 73:5 77:4 distributed 48:16 districts 42:19 dive 53:12 **Dobbs** 56:10 57:13 58:12 **doctors** 39:22 **DoD** 2:21, 23 4:2, 10, 21 5:3 15:5, 9 16:4, 14 17:7, 9, 13 18:11 19:6, 18, 21 22:13, 20 25:7 27:3 28:12 29:5 30:6, 8 48:15 52:17, 25 55:4 56:24 84:12 90:14, 16 93:2, 8, 10 94:3, 5

**DoD's** 15:12, 16 90:17 93:22 **doing** 10:1 15:14 16:7 19:2 20:8 21:18, 20, 23 22:2 37:18, 24 38:4, 22 40:25 41:11 43:12, 25 45:12 46:15 50:8 51:24 52:8 54:10 79:6 80:8 83:13 91:5 93:25 98:3 **dollar** 84:21 **dollars** 3:6, 9 15:6, 21 16:16 19:20 27:6 37:15 domain 79:14 domains 71:3 **dominate** 66:16 door 57:17 **dorms** 88:1 **doubled** 16:18 **Douglas** 59:12 61:1 **Dr** 5:25 21:25 22:3 23:14 24:12 38:21 39:5 45:19 56:2, 16, 23 57:2 58:13 dramatic 22:20 **drawing** 78:24 drinking 24:19 **driving** 40:16 **drug** 57:7 drugs 8:20 21:10, 15, 19 22:6

Duckworth 1:17
49:1, 2 51:16
52:2, 10, 15
53:14 54:4, 18
due 29:6
duh 17:2
duty 3:17 4:20
8:1 37:14 48:8
49:19, 23 50:14
51:19, 20 54:13
58:3, 5 74:9
78:18 80:11, 12
89:13 90:4
97:15
<E>

earlier 15:8

early 50:17

95:15

29:1 86:16, 22

earners 37:11 **echo** 91:16 **economy** 77:16 **edge** 19:21 66:24 education 12:3 13:17 48:6 65:16 67:2 78:16, 21 educational 94:17 educator 36:7 **effect** 42:4 effective 33:4 55:6 56:8 65:22 74:13 effectiveness 61:16 efficiencies 16:14



<b>effort</b> 29:10
42:22 65:11
74:17 92:3
efforts 8:17
20:14, 16 41:20
61:12, 18 62:9
69:21 70:8
73:22 75:4, 6
78:5, 7 84:25
<b>Eielson</b> 48:9, 12,
19
either 23:23
46:12
electronically
74:3
element 51:6
elements 41:3
eligibility 20:21
eligible 69:17
eliminate 56:22
eliminating 12:13
Elizabeth 1:14
2:1 11:6
emergency 32:24
57:17
emerging 17:17
emphasis 71:4
80:17 89:4, 22
96:17
emphasized
70:25
<b>employee</b> 15:14
16:7
employees 2:24
9:14 11:15
15:18 18:4, 18
19:14
employer 35:24
77:18
employers 42:8

employersponsored 90:9 employment 13:11 35:12 75:3 77:24 78:4 **enable** 61:*12* 73:22 82:17 enabled 85:6, 11 **enabler** 35:11 **enables** 11:19 79:13 encourage 50:5 encouraging 74:15 encroachment 36:23 **endorse** 28:13 **ends** 67:3 **endure** 18:6 **enduring** 19:20 **engage** 37:2, 6 42:1 44:19, 20 engaged 38:8 engaging 38:14 61:19 **enhance** 18:21 71:2 **enhanced** 78:15 enhancements 61:18 **enjoy** 83:15 enjoyable 7:7 **enjoyed** 84:19 enlisted 74:5 75:9 enlistment 67:3 enrolls 96:4 **ensure** 10:10 18:16, 21, 24 21:1 26:22 31:5 47:2 50:19 55:5

67:2 69:22 74:5 78:2, 7 ensures 4:22 **ensuring** 18:23 20:12, 16, 22 21:23, 24 23:4 29:4 31:9 37:10 38:12 54:1 61:13 73:9 enter 52:25 78:1 **entered** 54:15 entering 62:5 enterprise 23:24 61:11 **entire** 15:16 53:19 90:9 **entirely** 16:*1* **entity** 26:1 environment 11:22 61:17 65:24 envisioned 79:4 equally 50:3 **equals** 85:1 equitable 37:12 **era** 73:10 77:15 especially 49:9 54:11 58:11 essential 14:6 16:15 establish 52:17 established 73:19 78:16 establishing 24:23 26:4 estimates 3:18 16:19 et 26:18 **ethos** 70:*3* **evaluate** 16:*12* 

34:2 55:14 evaluating 17:6 **Evaluation** 15:12 74:5 everybody 8:24 37:20 80:13 83:17 evidently 43:11 **evolving** 73:23 exact 15:14 **exactly** 23:18 54:12 82:5 examine 15:5 84:15 **example** 27:16 34:2 36:7 39:21 44:10, 14, 24 47:16 exceed 62:2 Excellence 66:14 exchange 47:5 exchanges 56:25 **excited** 75:17 88:18 **excuse** 59:5 execute 11:20 20:12 65:23 Executive 6:2 11:6 86:7 existing 93:9 **exists** 27:21 **expand** 24:*1* expanding 20:21 33:17 34:20 77:16 **expect** 69:11 expectation 49:6 86:17, 24 expedite 53:19 Expedited 74:10



expeditionary 70:13 experience 13:24 45:15 experienced 44:14 74:16 78:12 expertise 73:17 **explain** 53:1 **exploit** 79:12 **expose** 78:17 exposed 87:9 exposure 50:8 expressing 8:4 **extends** 13:21 extraordinary 17:23

< F > **F-35s** 48:10 **face** 61:17 79:14 **faced** 30:20 facilities 43:10 54:16 57:3 58:2 88:1 93:12 94:8 **facing** 33:16 34:21 94:20 fact 70:14 80:6 87:9 89:1 **factor** 30:8 75:2 **factors** 77:25 **fade** 8:8 **failing** 16:12 18:10 19:5 failure 9:8 **failures** 54:23 **fair** 70:7 fairly 43:11, 12, 13 49:13 **falling** 41:2, 9

87:23 **falls** 28:14 families 3:10, 20, 21, 24 4:2, 3, 4, 19, 20, 22 5:5, 11 10:9, 12 12:6 13:10, 13, 22 31:15 33:2, 17, 18, 23 34:1, 6 37:15 62:11, 12, 25 63:6 65:21 66:23 67:6 70:18, 21 75:1 77:22 79:7 86:18, 24 88:1, 6, 10 89:20 90:4 91:18 92:9 93:4, 16 94:4 95:16 **family** 11:14 13:8 32:10, 11 58:15 62:13 70:18 77:25 91:18 **far** 28:15 88:25 **fault** 34:25 **FDA** 56:4, 19 February 74:3 Federal 9:10, 12 16:6 19:13 20:5 36:13 fee 38:11 95:10, 12 feedback 39:18 **feel** 17:2 **fellow** 73:7 **female** 58:23 fentanyl 9:2 21:17 **fewer** 5:4 93:13 **field** 21:7

**fight** 77:15 94:7

**fighting** 11:23 14:5 **figure** 8:23 9:3 **filling** 85:9 **final** 47:22 48:2 84:3 **finally** 5:5 14:2 88:2 financial 13:12 **find** 8:9 9:19. 24 37:18 38:5 40:18 42:14, 16 86:1 90:16, 19 95:2 **finding** 39:3, 13 41:20 findings 13:2 50:20 **finest** 11:23 **fire** 24:20 32:23 **First** 2:18 3:12 5:14 7:3 27:24 30:6 33:20 44:20 47:2 49:11 56:4 74:1 78:18 85:23 88:18 89:4 91:8 93:25 96:4 first-of-its-kind 31:8 79:3 first-rate 97:17 first-term 75:9 FISCAL 1:3 4:6 7:14 11:18 12:7 13:14, 19 18:18 32:12 49:25 61:23 62:1, 13, 16 65:10, 19 66:2 74:10, 19

**fitness** 70:25 **five** 25:15 26:21 **fix** 45:11 50:13 **fixed** 46:11 **fixing** 34:14 **flames** 27:6 flexibilities 18:20 flexibility 17:18 50:11 **flexible** 37:*13* 79:4 FLORIDA 7:2 20:1 23:10, 25 31:23 32:23 36:10, 16 83:16 focus 2:17 3:12 12:13, 25 20:11 30:24 34:4 36:13 73:20 74:8 77:18 78:11 90:2 92:16 93:17 focused 8:24 20:15 21:6 31:4 34:1 36:13 37:9 38:10, 12 40:13, 15 62:4 73:16 75:6 78:2 80:9 86:23 92:10 **focuses** 66:15 **focusing** 20:21 folks 85:6, 24 86:2, 10, 12 95:17 96:3 **follow** 43:24 following 49:4 56:9 57:12 58:11 **follows** 14:20 63:7 67:8 71:9 75:19 79:17

<b>force</b> 2:12 3:23
6:3 11:7, 13, 23
12:18 14:6, 7
18:15 27:19
40:24 41:6, 7, 8
44:12, 15 45:2
46:2 48:9, 12, 19,
20 51:2 59:23
61:11 62:23
69:23 70:9 73:3,
8, 13 74:8, 15, 18,
22 75:7, 13 77:2,
7, 9, 14, 17, 23
79:5 88:16, 18,
24 89:2, 10
92:15 93:23
94:12
<b>forced</b> 32:1
89: <i>17</i>
force-in-readiness
70:13
<b>forces</b> 28:14
73:22
<b>force's</b> 51: <i>17</i>
90:25
<b>foreign</b> 54:15
foremost 85:23
<b>form</b> 22:9 80:25
82:6, 8
<b>formation</b> 61: <i>16</i>
formations
61:13 62:5, 20
<b>former</b> 9:15
27:18
<b>forms</b> 85:9
formulary 56:8
<b>forward</b> 4: <i>14</i>
10: <i>14</i> 14: <i>17</i>
29:2, 4 44:7
45:9 58:19 63:6
66:6 67:7 70:14,

<i>15</i> 71:5, 8 75:3,
<i>18</i> 79: <i>16</i> 81: <i>11</i>
84:16 86:13
94:25 95:1
97:13, 19
forwarding 29:11
<b>Foster</b> 6:2 11:6
14:19 30:14, 22
63:1 73:21
<b>found</b> 4:3 15:12
16: <i>11</i> 19: <i>13</i>
27:16 58:3
foundation
65:12 77:12
foundational
70:8 96:18
<b>four</b> 42:8 80:5
84:3
<b>fraction</b> 49:23
<b>frame</b> 17:9
27:12
framework 51:9
52:17, 19, 22
53:1, 22 54:2
<b>free</b> 12:20
<b>freedom</b> 77:13
freezes 18:7
frequency 41:25
Friday 98:7
fruitful 7:7
<b>fuel</b> 24:18
<b>fulfill</b> 36:17
<b>full</b> 5:16 45:21
65:23 67:3
78:17 89:10
93:14 94:6
<b>fully</b> 95:13
fundamental
61:9
<b>funding</b> 15: <i>3</i>
33:21 48:13

66:9 93:22 94:11 95:21, 25 <b>funds</b> 65:10, 14 <b>further</b> 27:25 50:12 51:20 84:7 <b>FUTURE</b> 1:4 11:21 24:1 62:8 19 70:10 73:14, 23 75:13 85:7 96:21
<g>&gt; G-1 61:2 gal 52:11 Games 28:9, 11, 22 29:10 GAO 3:4 16:11, 18 17:21 Gary 97:23 Gates 27:18 general 55:12, 13 59:12, 13, 19, 21 60:2 61:1, 3 63:7 64:1 68:2 69:1, 4 71:9 72:1, 2 73:1, 4 75:19 76:1 83:14, 21, 22 85:20, 21 87:1 90:24 91:7, 14, 16, 17 92:6, 7 94:10, 25 95:4, 5 6, 7 generally 85:3 generation 39:19</g>
generation 39:19 generous 63:4 GENESIS 8:12, 14 45:20 46:15
47:4, 24 88:4 <b>George</b> 43:6

getting 7:10
16:9 31: <i>10</i>
34:13 41:23
45:20 47:25
58:24 93:4, 5, 6
<b>girl</b> 46:12
<b>give</b> 13:24
14:12 20:9 29:6
30:19 35:19
40:11 42:3, 12
44:5, 10 51:10
55:17 66:23
82:7 83:6 92:23
94:1 96:18
<b>given</b> 18:17, 18
19:3 47:4 53:25
<b>gives</b> 53:11
95:10
<b>glad</b> 15:25
<b>glide</b> 62:2
global 11:22
<b>globe</b> 31:2
<b>Glynn</b> 59:19
68:2 69: <i>1</i> , <i>4</i>
71:9 72:1 85:20,
21 91:15, 16
95:5, 6, 7
<b>go</b> 27:7 32:6
34:24 35:22
47:14 50:1
55:21 56:1
81:13 84:17, 18,
22 85:22 86:11,
20 87:24 91:10
96:12
<b>goal</b> 9:3 31:2
36:24 41:12, 15
70:10 74:19
<b>goals</b> 8:5, 6
40:25 41:2, 4, 6
, ,

85:4
goes 27:6 48:17
<b>going</b> 5:14 22:8
14, 15 27:9
34:15, 16 38:1, 3
39:12 40:3, 12
47:8, 13 48:11
54:5, 15 57:14
80:24 81:4
82:18 86:10, 13
87:7 89:13
91:10 97:16
Good 2:4 8:21,
22 11:8 15:19,
21 19:12 21:12
23:14, 20 28:6, 7
33:19 34:8
40:14 43:10
46:1, 23 49:2, 17 61:3 80:4 82:15
25 86:7 90:14
93:21 94:23
97:18
gotten 95:9
Government 3:2
9:12 14:11 16:3
9 20:5
governments
32:15
governor 19:25
36:10 83:5
<b>grants</b> 32:21
grateful 65:20
great 8:5, 9, 10
48:25 61:24
66:15, 16, 20
73:10 75:15
greater 40:16
41:25 42:3, 20
66:8 92:22

greatest 98:1 **greatly** 96:21 grounded 63:1 **group** 53:16 83:7 89:5 **grow** 73:13 growing 48:9 77:16 guarantee 48:17 **Guard** 49:12, 22 50:22 89:14 Guardian 75:8 guardians 75:9 77:6, 12 78:3, 12, 22 79:7, 9, 13 97:11 Guardsman 51:17 **guess** 8:21 **guidance** 12:16 29:22 30:10 85:16 **guide** 81:8 **guiding** 73:19 **guy** 52:11 **guys** 36:19 83:12 <H> **half** 18:1 **handed** 82:11 **hangars** 87:23 **happen** 82:22 **happened** 27:18 **happy** 48:23

harassment 12:2*1* **hard** 18:19 31:17 34:15 66:17 70:23 92:25

**harmful** 12:20 30:24 62:5 65:18 66:18 **hazards** 12:*18* 50:8 heads 36:21 headwinds 69:10 **Health** 6:1 10:2, 8 11:5 12:5, 25 14:5 23:3, 9, 15, 21 24:1, 10 36:23 39:6 46:8, 9, 15, 24 47:5 56:6 57:4, 10 58:6 65:17 69:22 70:20 86:24 healthier 13:7 **healthv** 66:13 **hear** 8:14 15:25 24:11 60:1 86:18, 25 88:4 90:1 91:19 **heard** 7:25 8:18 9:5 23:8 45:25 70:9 hearing 2:3, 5, 7, 14, 21 4:14 5:7 7:4, 14 8:13, 21 21:10 38:23 44:11 59:14 82:22 97:25 98:9 **heavily** 40:13 **held** 2:20 25:21 86:8

**help** 4:9, 15 5:9

7:17 10:5, 10

14:14 22:9, 14,

15 24:4 32:14,

*17*, *18* 34:*10*, *17*,

20 42:5, 22 51:12 81:7 85:6 96:20 **helped** 32:21 66:12 **helpful** 38:5, 6 42:25 **helping** 8:16 20:23 **helps** 33:9 **Hey** 44:3 46:3 **HHS** 22:5, 7 **high** 10:10 26:10, 11, 16, 17, 25 34:4 41:18 42:1, 7, 15, 17 44:2 48:12 61:25 66:13 69:13 78:25 86:12 90:10 **higher** 3:25 20:3 24:24 **highest** 13:17 16:9 71:6 high-interest 26:9 high-level 24:23 highlight 14:2 61:7 **highly** 74:12 78:11 high-quality 4:23 65:8 Hill 24:18 25:13 27:6, 11 hire 17:10, 13 30:18 36:6 93:19 hired 15:10 31:1, 9 **hires** 16:4

hiring 9:13, 20, 21 16:5 93:17 96:20, 21 **Hirono** 1:17 24:14, 15 26:10, 24 54:19, 20 55:19, 24 56:2, 19, 24 57:11 58:20 59:1 historic 69:25 historically 61:25 66:13 history 47:6, 7, *14*, *20* 74:2 **hitting** 31:17 **hold** 9:25 25:1 holistic 65:11 71:1 home 4:21 32:3 90:5, 21 97:6 **homes** 3:23 **Hon** 1:14 2:1 7:1 11:1, 3, 4 **honor** 71:7 Honorable 5:18, 23 honored 65:6 73:6 hope 22:10 29:5 30:10 39:19 80:10 81:21 82:12 83:15, 16 hopefully 37:20 39:7 44:8 **horizon** 30:21 hospital 38:25 host 28:22 **hosting** 28:11 **hotels** 27:8 hours 44:16 **House** 94:7

housing 3:12, 14, 16, 17, 19, 23, 25 4:1, 5, 15 31:14, 16, 20, 21, 22, 23 32:1, 5, 8 33:15, 18, 22, 24 34:3, 5, 13, 19, 21 62:13 70:20 88:1 **howling** 15:*3* huge 2:25 3:16 **Human** 59:25 73:19, 25 77:2 84:8 hurricanes 20:1 hyperactivity 47:17

< I > **i.e** 57:20 idea 25:9 82:16 Ideally 81:6 ideas 24:10 93:15 **identical** 50:6, 8 identifies 80:13 identify 7:16 16:14 identifying 73:24 **IG** 55:4 II 94:21 **imagine** 96:15 **impact** 3:19 22:20 37:25 38:1 48:15 50:9 **impacted** 24:18 27:7 36:15 74:23 impacting 8:13 impacts 32:9 51:23, 24 53:6

imperative 73:13

implement 35:8 51:10 78:6 implementation 8:12 12:15 50:12 53:16, 18 58:7, 17 implemented 35:7 37:13 71:2 74:4, 9, 11 implementing 35:9 38:8 **implications** 81:15 importance 14:3 62:22 important 9:10, 11 15:18, 21 16:2*3* 17:*3* 44:*1* 45:11 46:23 48:4 55:7 56:10 57:11 58:11 74:6 80:8 82:4, *14* 88:23 91:2, 23, 25 97:7 importantly 79:2 **improve** 4:21 7:6 8:23 9:19, *21* 10:3, 8 12:*11* 13:15 32:15 33:22, 25 34:12 35:5, 25 61:16 62:13 83:19 93:16 **improved** 41:21 improvement 21:8 66:6 improving 10:4 24:22 Inaudible 83:9 incentive 49:6,

22 51:11 52:18, 20 54:8 66:10 74:10 incentives 66:11 incentivize 74:7 inception 4:11 incident 24:25 25:11, 18 26:25 incidents 24:18 25:14, 24 26:5 27:13, 17, 25 include 13:6, 18 61:18 73:17 **included** 29:12 52:16 includes 12:2, 4, 7 93:22 including 8:11 15:17 24:23 56:11 65:16 69:17 70:17 income 37:12 **increase** 18:*17* 20:16 33:18 42:5 69:20 70:11, 24 75:10 84:23 85:1, 13 88:7 92:4 93:18 94:13 increased 65:25 74:22 97:8 increases 65:13 increasing 5:8 12:24 40:16 58:22 74:17 increasingly 69:18 86:1 incredibly 80:8 indefinite 17:8, 13, 15

21, 24 50:2, 4, 16,

Independent	78:2	interpersonal	4
13:3, 5 25:17	<b>innovate</b> 66:17	30:16	
26:1	innovation 73:22	interrupting	4
<b>in-depth</b> 41:25	innovative 71:1	40:21	1
indicate 48:6	input 84: <i>14</i>	introduce 6:5	8
individual 29:15	<b>inside</b> 78:25	introduced 49:11	9
47:19 84:9	89:9	inventory 62:14	is
97:10	inspector 55:11,	invest 86:16, 23	
individualized	13	87:22 94:3	
13:24	inspiration 11:16	invested 62:14	
individually 50:2	<b>inspires</b> 14: <i>12</i>	78:12 91:3	4
individuals 87:5	installation 31:3	investigate 25:25	1
Indo-Pacific	32:16 37:3, 4, 5	26:25	9
54:16	87:8 95:18	investigated	is
industry 23:16	installations	25:21	i
39:10	4:10 87:6, 15, 17	investigates	4
<b>inflation</b> 19: <i>16</i>	instance 12:23	24:22 27:3	(
influencer 85:6	27:24 91:20	investigation	9
influencers	institution 12:19	24:17 25:4, 17	
85:15, 24	<b>Instruction</b> 75:8	26:13 27:23	<
<b>inform</b> 53:22	insurance 39:3	investigations	J
54:2	insurances 39:6	25:10 26:23	(
information 17:5	integral 12:14	27:24	j
43:19 47:5	integrated 30:14,	investing 4:17	9
58:2 <i>4</i> 74: <i>1</i> 81: <i>3</i> ,	23 71:1	19:20 33:4, 6	j
11, 23 82:1 83:6	intended 50:5,	84:8	J
informed 29:18	12, 23	investment	j
informs 47:9	<b>intent</b> 50:11	12:25 35:14	j
Infrastructure	51:14	85:23, 25 86:12	j
4:7, 9 21:5	intentional 58:21	investments 21:5	J
32:14, 22 33:1	interest 26:10,	32:25 35:13, 16	
87:21, 24	11, 16, 18 27:1	62:7, 23 65:19	J
infrastructures	40:17 77:10	66:3	J
32:16	85:9, 10	involving 27:20	j
<b>initial</b> 51:21	interested 5:7	irrelevant 47:8	4
75:10 85:9, 10	27:3	issue 5:2 8:8	j
initiated 75:8	interests 62:21	22:5, 13, 18	j
94:12	intermediate	23:16 24:9	4
initiatives 65:16	78:20	29:13 30:3	
66:12 74:18, 25	International	31:13 33:14	<
00.12 74.10, 23	28:13	36:14 39:11	

40:9 44:1 46:9 48:20 49:9 52:6 53:3, 17, 25 55:2 70:20 80:9 83:4 88:21 90:2, 3, 5 94:20 97:12 issues 8:16 18:24 22:2 30:16, 17 32:1 33:16 36:13 47:24 53:18 77:23 85:3 95:22 **issuing** 29:19 its 4:11 31:25 55:4 56:8 61:22 62:21 70:18 94:6 < J > **James** 59:19 69:1 iob 16:7 87:*12* 91:5 96:8 98:4 jobs 49:*15* **John** 97:23 ioin 85:7 89:6 **ioined** 7:8 54:21 **joining** 11:25 **JOINT** 11:*1* 14:18 **JR** 65:1 July 2:20 jump 49:*17* 51:19 53:12 **jumping** 52:*12* jumps 49:18, 20 51:18 < K >



<b>Kaine</b> 1: <i>17</i>
<b>Kate</b> 59:24
KATHARINE
77:1
<b>Katie</b> 97:24
<b>keep</b> 3:15 10:1
19:15, 18, 21
39:23 47:8
62:18 92:20
keeping 78:11
<b>Kelley</b> 59:24
<b>Kelley</b> 59:24 76:2 77:1, 3
79: <i>17</i> 80: <i>1</i>
88:13 92:13, 14
96:23, 25
<b>Kelly</b> 97:5
Keohane 5:23
11: <i>3</i> 14: <i>19</i>
35:19, 21 37:8
55:17
<b>key</b> 14:4 30:24
31:24 35:11
52:10
<b>kill</b> 9: <i>1</i> 21: <i>16</i>
<b>killing</b> 21: <i>16</i>
<b>kind</b> 27:3 29:17
30:19 43:11, 17
45:2 <i>4</i> 46: <i>6</i>
58:24 88:22
92:19
kinds 25:18
30:17 45:20, 21
<b>King</b> 1:19 80:2,
3 82:18, 24 83:4,
9
<b>know</b> 3:3, 20
4:24 5:18, 19
7:22 9:2, 8, 20,
24 16:2, 4, 22, 24
17:2, 9, 10, 23
19:24 21:14, 15

23:1 24:6 25:5, 10 27:5, 14, 21 28:24 30:4 34:12, 16 35:23 37:17, 23 39:14
40:18 43:4, 14 45:14, 25 46:4, 24 48:3 49:16
54:5 56:17 57:6 80:5 81:22 83:18 84:25
85: <i>15</i> 86:22 87: <i>6</i> 88: <i>4</i> , <i>9</i> , <i>20</i>
89:2, 22 90:12 91:11, 21 92:11, 18, 20 93:15
94:22 95:18, 21 97:16 <b>known</b> 89:5
90: <i>14</i> <b>Krzyzewski</b> 84: <i>3</i>
<b>J</b>
<l></l>
<l> labor 19:10 61:20</l>
<l> labor 19:10 61:20 land 32:4</l>
<l> labor 19:10 61:20 land 32:4 language 44:8 52:17</l>
<l> labor 19:10 61:20 land 32:4 language 44:8 52:17 large 89:2</l>
<l> labor 19:10 61:20 land 32:4 language 44:8 52:17</l>
<l> labor 19:10 61:20 land 32:4 language 44:8 52:17 large 89:2 largest 35:23 39:6 90:8 lastly 86:16</l>
<l> labor 19:10 61:20 land 32:4 language 44:8 52:17 large 89:2 largest 35:23 39:6 90:8 lastly 86:16 late 74:12</l>
<l> labor 19:10 61:20 land 32:4 language 44:8 52:17 large 89:2 largest 35:23 39:6 90:8 lastly 86:16 late 74:12 lately 7:25</l>
<l> labor 19:10 61:20 land 32:4 language 44:8 52:17 large 89:2 largest 35:23 39:6 90:8 lastly 86:16 late 74:12</l>
<l> labor 19:10 61:20 land 32:4 language 44:8 52:17 large 89:2 largest 35:23 39:6 90:8 lastly 86:16 late 74:12 lately 7:25 launch 79:12 law 29:20 30:11 81:10, 18 82:9</l>
<l> labor 19:10 61:20 land 32:4 language 44:8 52:17 large 89:2 largest 35:23 39:6 90:8 lastly 86:16 late 74:12 lately 7:25 launch 79:12 law 29:20 30:11 81:10, 18 82:9 lead 22:6 36:7</l>
<l> labor 19:10 61:20 land 32:4 language 44:8 52:17 large 89:2 largest 35:23 39:6 90:8 lastly 86:16 late 74:12 lately 7:25 launch 79:12 law 29:20 30:11 81:10, 18 82:9</l>

leadership 22:7
61:15 65:17
78:24
leaning 44:8
learned 25:2
learning 39:19
40:2
leave 27:8
85:10 86:11
89:18
leaves 80:21
led 31:23 43:4
45: <i>13</i> 56: <i>3</i>
<b>Leeling</b> 97:23
left 42:11 96:24
legacy 73:25
legal 2:25 45:6
legislate 44:25
legislation 29:22
49: <i>12</i>
legislative 42:4
44:8
lengthy 43:12
Lester 5:25 11:4
lethal 65:22
lethality 70:12
letter 22:9
24:17 25:7, 13,
15 54:22 56:3
level 26:2 27:11,
13 34:4 37:3
41:22, 23, 24
42:5
levels 66:13 96:3
leverage 39:17
leveraging 97:5
liberty 22:8
license 23:22
licensing 23:17
lie 46:12
10.12

Lieutenant
59:11, 19, 21
60:2 61: <i>1</i> 64: <i>1</i>
68:1 69:1 72:1,
2 73:1 76:1
90:24 91:14, 16
92:6 95:4, 6
<b>life</b> 4:12 8:19
9: <i>1</i> 10: <i>5</i> 13: <i>13</i> ,
23 21:21 26:16,
21 32:9, 10
33:23, 25 43:7
61:14 62:24
71:6 77:8 78:2,
5 86:13, 17
89:20 92:8
lighten 10:5
likelihood 89:16
Likewise 12:19
<b>limited</b> 40:21
<b>line</b> 13:2 19:16
39:8 52:21 96:7
97:7
<b>list</b> 91:12 92:24
93:24 95:12
<b>lists</b> 42:10
literally 93:18
little 20:6 22:1
35:2, <i>20</i> 41: <i>13</i>
44:7 88:16, 22
<b>live</b> 7:6 27:7, 8
31:18 32:2, 4
33:18, 19 43:15
livelihood 86:13
lives 25:14
living 4:4, 20
<b>living</b> 4:4, 20 34:6 43:7 90:5
<b>load</b> 10:6
local 32:14 37:6
Lockheed 15:8

long 3:25 8:15 43:14 **longer** 42:2 look 4:14 5:20 10:13 14:16 23:2, 5 34:1, 2, 3, 5 39:8, 9 40:22 42:25 44:1 47:14 48:20 63:6 66:5 67:7 71:4, 8 75:18 79:16 83:25 91:9 94:25 lookback 47:18 **looked** 26:20 38:4 **looking** 2:21 19:19 20:25 23:4 27:22, 23 29:2 39:18 47:13 53:10 75:3 83:24 84:14 94:16 97:13, 19 **looks** 26:9 41:5 75:13 **LOPEZ** 11:5 45:20 lose 27:4 97:17 losing 9:9 loss 25:14 26:16, 17, 21 **lot** 7:25 8:14 9:5 18:5 20:4 21:11 30:16 34:12, 16 45:14 46:8 47:25 86:8 87:2, 8 90:1 93:15 96:17 98:2

lots 9:7 lottery 33:8 Louisiana 32:24 love 24:10 low 34:5 lower 8:7 37:11 luck 82:25 lucky 33:8 lunch 44:17 lunchroom 44:16, 18

< M >ma'am 56:23 57:2 96:11 97:1 **Madam** 24:15 28:2 40:8 43:23 48:2, 21, 25 49:2 54:14, 18, 20 58:25 80:3 82:21 **Magnus** 97:24 main 48:13 Maine 80:12 **maintain** 12:19 49:25 50:5 62:25 66:13 73:12 75:14 77:13 maintaining 49:13 69:12 70:12 77:14 maintenance 43:9, 12, 15 **major** 2:11 24:18 25:11, 14, 18, 24 26:5, 17 27:13, 25 69:7 majority 17:24

58:23

**making** 2:13 20:18, 19 21:4 22:25 31:4 40:2, *14* 54:7 82:25 85:3 88:19 89:4 92:3 manage 2:21 3:7 management 9:13, 17, 21 22:25 36:9 70:8 73:19 74:22 78:*15* 79:*5* 89:*8* mandate 58:8 mandatory 27:15 manner 51:11 52:7 70:7 Manpower 5:24 11:4 59:18, 20, 22 65:3 69:2 73:2 **March** 8:1 93:8 **Marine** 40:23 41:5, 7 69:3, 8, 9, 15 70:1, 2, 11, 18, 25 71:2, 7 Marines 69:9, 14, 25 70:5, 14, 21 71:6 market 19:10 61:20 marketing 20:8 66:3 83:25 84:22 **Martin** 15:8 **MARTINEZ** 11:4 21:25 45:19 **Martinez-Lopez** 5:25 8:18 14:19 21:12 38:19 56:2

Martinez-López 22:3 23:10, 14 24:12 38:21 39:5 56:16, 23 57:2 58:13 **MASSACHUSET TS** 2:2 83:17 **match** 93:9 **matter** 55:3 70:20, 21, 22 **maximized** 95:*13* **maze** 81:8 **McKinsev** 15:10 mean 21:19 22:21 23:17 24:6 29:8 36:*14* 39:5 45:11 54:8 82:3 87:2, 4, 22 91:13 meaningful 12:2 means 5:4 31:5 84:15 90:18 meant 50:10 **measure** 43:17 measurement 38:23 media 26:18 medical 21:1 47:12 54:15, 16 57:3 88:2, 3 medication 47:19 meet 20:24, 25 36:19 38:12 41:6, 15 45:8 51:12 61:22 74:19 80:22 81:6, 7 90:20 meeting 8:4 36:25 40:25 41:4 42:17

50:15 53:8 62:8
83:1
meetings 22:25
80:6
meets 44:22
Member 5:1
10:16 11:9 18:7
19:23 28:5 61:4
63:3 65:4 69:4
73:4 77:3 80:21
Members 1:16
2:10 4:25 11:9,
<i>12, 14</i> 34:9 50: <i>3</i> ,
7 57:25 61: <i>4</i>
63:3 65:5 69:5
73:5 74:25 77:4
80:13 88:8
men 8:9, 10
10:11 12:13
66:22
men's 84:2
mental 12:24
23:9 24:10 46:7,
9 65:17 71:4
mentioned 36:2
44:10 50:15
52:21
mentions 45:21
mentor 85:11
merit 29:15, 20,
24 30:2, 5, 11
message 22:11
messages 14:15
met 1:13 22:4
36:11 69:10
81:4
MHS 8:12
45:20 46:15
47:4, 24 88:4
<b>middle</b> 48:11

MILITARY 1:1 2:5, 7, 8, 13, 19, 24 3:12, 17, 20, 23 4:2, 4, 10, 12, 13, 17, 19, 20 5:4, 8, 10, 11, 16 6:5 7:24 10:3, 5, 8, 12 12:9, 10, 20 13:4, 10, 11, 13, 21 16:12 20:7, 20 21:15 23:21 28:9, 13, 22 29:8, 14 30:17 31:15, 17, 20 32:6, 10, 16, 18 33:5, 7, 8, 25 34:6, 13, 22 35:11 36:11, 17 37:2, 19, 23 38:8 40:19 42:13 44:4 45:14, 15 46:7, 22 47:1 53:21 54:24 55:14 56:24 58:2, 6 59:11 65:13 69:18 74:25 77:8 78:1, 9, 21 79:4 80:20 81:2 82:9 84:13, 24 85:12, 18 86:21, 24 87:10, 14 88:8, 10 89:17, 21 90:4, 20 91:3 93:4, 16 94:4 military's 4:15 7:17, 22 Miller 59:21 72:2 73:1, 4 75:19 76:1 87:1 90:24 91:7

94:10 Miller's 91:17 **million** 3:18 11:13, 14 83:5 94:3, 6 minimal 44:10, 13 **minimize** 12:17 **minimum** 42:6, 8, 18, 19 94:7 **minute** 5:20 minutes 80:6 misguided 44:3 45:13 **mishaps** 12:17 missing 3:5 16:14 mission 12:3 14:4, 9 18:15 36:18 61:22 62:2, 3 66:1 70:4 78:18 91:13, 14 92:2, 10, 16 **missions** 17:18 69:11 mistakes 27:20 mitigate 12:16 mix 15:17 **model** 12:11 79:4 **models** 78:20 **modern** 77:8 modernization 62:16 modernize 4:21 modernizing 69:19 78:14 modest 8:8 modifying 94:16 **mold** 34:13 moment 80:14 monetary 66:11 money 15:4 16:6 32:17 85:19 86:6 **month** 7:10 31:19 48:8 49:18, 21 51:19 54:21 66:14 **months** 3:21 32:2 53:4 70:24 **morning** 54:25 motivated 65:8 move 3:22 13:7 23:12 36:9 58:19 83:16 moves 23:18 **moving** 40:4 **MTFs** 57:3 multi-agency 32:24 **multiple** 87:24 88:6 97:12 multiplier 62:6 < N >

named 43:5
names 39:21, 25
nation 13:18
14:6 35:24 44:6
62:21 79:13
98:1
National 7:13
11:20, 21 12:1
14:9, 11 18:13
19:8, 22 20:13,
17 22:4 49:12
50:22 51:17
88:21 91:1

N1 65:3



**nation's** 31:16 50:21 70:13 77:8, 10 78:8, 19 nationwide 3:19 30:18 **native** 43:6 Naval 59:17 65:2 Navy 7:8 32:2 41:1, 10, 15 65:3, 8, 21 66:22, 24 67:3 84:17, 18, 21, 24 85:7 92:1 96:15, 18 Navy's 65:10 **NDAA** 9:14 24:7 25:3, 18 29:12, 20 32:20 34:16 45:17 50:1, 10 51:22 52:16 53:6 57:25 58:8 near 4:10 **nearly** 3:22 4:3 12:8 31:17 **near-term** 30:21 necessarily 41:24 85:14 necessary 24:25 55:22 61:15 73:9 **need** 2:19 13:25 16:13 17:18 18:12, 15 19:10, 18, 21 20:12 21:24 22:14, 15 26:25 30:3 34:12 39:2, 17 44:7 50:13 51:16, 20 57:10, 19 66:8 73:14

77:19 87:11 90:21 91:6 94:1, 2, 19 95:2 96:19 98:2, 3 needed 49:14 93:9 needless 27:14 needs 17:10 19:7 28:12 31:22 39:16 46:11 55:16 62:8 66:21 93:9 negatively 50:9 Neighborhood 38:9 87:18 network 39:12, 14, 21, 22 never 37:21 46:13 69:13 new 9:13 29:19, 20 30:3 32:23 36:6 39:19, 24, 25 53:2 78:17, 20 newest 78:9 news 23:20 54:23 90:14, 16 93:21 Nice 2:15 **night** 86:8 **Noah** 97:24 **nodding** 82:*13* **noise** 43:9 non-disclosure 34:14 non-military 31:15 non-optimal 44:16

Norfolk 32:22

North 28:10, 17 note 52:19 95:8 **notice** 1:13 **nuclear** 27:21 **number** 35:25 51:18 52:7 58:17 69:25 86:5 90:5 93:17, 24 numbers 33:5 40:22 74:15, 24 88:19, 20 **Numerous** 13:*10* < 0 > **Oahu** 24:19 objection 54:17 objective 26:22 objectives 51:13, 25 53:8, 9 obligation 26:21 obligations 90:20 **obtain** 56:14 obtained 82:3 obviously 58:23 October 25:6 56:2 **off-base** 32:25 33:15, 21 offer 11:24 22:8 57:3 65:9 77:19 85:17, 18 88:15 89:7 offering 22:8 42:7

**Office** 1:14 3:2

11:6 15:12 16:2,

49:5 54:25 55:4

5:15 6:2 9:16

3 25:5 28:8, 20

81:3, 12, 24 82:1 84:19 **officer** 30:9 74:4 78:16 80:17 officers 44:12 73:18 78:17 officials 5:15 **off-post** 43:17 **Oftentimes** 40:18 86:22 **Oh** 26:10 34:24 91:7 okay 21:14 23:7 35:1 38:22 44:22 83:12 91:24 96:23 **O'Keefe** 97:24 **old** 39:20 once 23:11 44:21 46:6 48:16 53:21, 23 58:2, 10 70:1 85:10 87:16 ones 52:10 54:11 **one-year** 47:18 on-installation 95:16 **online** 40:*3* OPENING 2:1 6:7 7:1 10:17 86:1 **operate** 79:12 **operates** 13:*17* operations 12:14 53:13 59:18, 24 65:2 77:2 **Opill** 56:4, 7, 13 opinion 33:2

opportunities
2:21 3:6 15:5
20:19 40:19
77:15, 21 86:3
opportunity 2:8
7:6, 15 11:10
41:25 42:3, 12
51:10 53:11
61:5 65:6 73:6
77:5 89:8 95:17
optimism 8:4
optimistic 40:4
41:14 66:3
95:19
<b>opt-in</b> 81: <i>17</i> , <i>20</i>
<b>options</b> 42:13
97:9, 12
<b>opt-out</b> 81:19
<b>oral</b> 56:5 57:20
<b>orbit</b> 79:11
<b>order</b> 2:3 4:13
19:7 52:20
53:18
<b>ought</b> 16:22
81:25
outgoing 81:2
outlined 37:8
<b>out-of</b> 31:19
<b>outside</b> 39: <i>12</i>
78:25
overall 10:3
13:12 32:11
38:20 74:23
92:7 93:18
overarching
70:10
overhaul 74:4
overpressure
12:16
overseas 23:12,

17 88:9
<b>oversee</b> 15:16
oversight 55:6
overstated 14:4
over-the-counter
56:25
overview 69:7
owe 58:16
<b>50.1</b> 0
< P >
P&R 28:20
<b>p.m</b> 1:13 98:10
pace 61:22
69:25 77:17
pack 3:21
-
package 12:4
77:20 80:5
paid 7:9, 10
33:1 49:24 50:3
91:4
pamphlet 37:19
pandemic 93:2
<b>panel</b> 5:14 6:4
28:6 59:2, 6, 10
86:16 88:22
89:23 95:23
<b>panels</b> 5:14
paratrooper
51:17
parents 90:6, 19
part 21:10
28:12 36:3
37:24 42: <i>14</i>
47:25 51:2 70:3
80:17 93:10
particular 3:11
20:15 40:15
54:1 56:18 57:7
particularly 5:7
23:2 42:10

47:23
partner 22:7
partnered 78:19
partnership 5:1
78:13
partnerships
13:10 97:6
<b>parts</b> 39:14
<b>part-time</b> 89: <i>10</i>
pass 29:4
passage 43:4
<b>passed</b> 30:11
43:16 79:5
passionate 87:13
<b>path</b> 62:2, 19
pathfinder 78:14
<b>paths</b> 73:16
<b>patient</b> 23:18
39:9, <i>23</i> 40: <i>1</i>
patients 39:16, 19
<b>Pause</b> 59:9
<b>pay</b> 3:24 5:6
9:13 12:3, 8, 9
18:3, 6, 8, 11, 17,
24 19:5, 11
37:16 49:19, 21,
24 50:2, 23
51:14, 19 52:18
53:10, 12, 13
54:8, 11 65:13
77:24 93:24
94:2, 18 97:8
paying 3:4 4:5
16:22 31:19
37:12
payroll 3:3
pays 49:6 50:5,
<i>16</i> 51: <i>11</i> 52:7,
21 53:12 66:10
<b>PCS</b> 91:8
<b>PDs</b> 94:16

**peer** 73:11 94:20 Pentagon 9:16 15:2 **people** 2:23 7:23 9:7, 8, 25 11:22 12:*1* 14:12 15:8 17:10 20:18 21:17 23:4, 8 25:1, 21 27:6, 7 33:9 40:17, 18 42:1 45:13 46:22 61:13 65:12 66:15, 21, 25 79:11 88:4 89:2, 6, 9, 16 91:4, 5 92:23 93:18 95:2 98:1, **people's** 38:24 73:11 **percent** 12:7, 9 17:20, 22 19:14 31:18 58:3, 4 62:1 80:22 81:13 85:1, 3, 5 93:13 94:14, 15 96:4, 5, 6, 9 percentage 58:22 perception 38:25 86:20 perfectly 49:17 performance 29:16, 21 66:6 74:5, 6 78:23 performers 73:25 performing 13:18 79:1 **period** 43:13

47:2, 18 80:16 permanent 32:20 **person** 49:20 personal 81:23 **PERSONNEL** 1:1, 10 2:4, 6, 9 4:18 5:17, 21 6:4 9:17 11:2, 9, 11 30:7 31:1, 2, 9 32:7 34:22 42:24 59:11, 13, 18, 22 61:2 65:2 69:8 73:2, 7 74:20 79:5 82:10 89:8 personnel's 81:2 perspective 88:16 pharmaceutical 21:25 Pharmacy 56:6 physical 71:4 93:11 physically 21:2 46:25 physician 23:25 **pickup** 20:*3* **pilot** 32:13 47:12 75:10 **pilots** 74:13 **place** 12:22 31:2 32:3 61:24 80:16 **placed** 35:12 **places** 57:14 **plan** 5:5 35:20 53:16 platforms 87:25 pleased 2:12 69:24 **plenty** 85:7

**Plus** 38:9 **pocket** 4:5 31:20 **point** 29:8 61:21 86:19 89:19 95:21 96:15 pointed 18:7 53:10 **points** 61:7 policies 55:5, 15, 18, 22 **policy** 12:15 27:16 30:3 **pool** 69:17 74:23 populations 48:18 78:8 **Port** 32:22 portability 23:22 **pose** 16:8 **position** 22:18, 22 23:8 24:6 36:7 66:5 positioning 9:6 positions 36:6 **positive** 8:3 95:7 positively 74:23 possible 16:8 57:6 91:12 post 43:16 posture 2:7, 14 postured 73:9 potential 16:15 89:5 potentially 28:11 49:8 84:15 **power** 73:10 75:15 powerful 2:19 practically 57:17 practices 37:18

predictability 91:19 predictably 91:20 pre-kindergarten 13:19 **premier** 70:*13* preparation 7:13 **prepared** 14:18 63:7 67:2, 8 70:15 71:9 75:19 79:17 **prepares** 66:16 prescription 56:6, 15, 20, 21 57:8 **Present** 1:16, 19 42:12 49:22 presents 69:16 preserving 62:22 **President's** 11:18 18:22 35:14 36:3 presiding 1:15, 17 press 45:21 pressing 45:23 **pretax** 37:15 **pretty** 26:12 52:13 55:7 80:21 prevail 73:9 prevent 62:5 66:17 70:23 **Preventing** 12:24 30:24 Prevention 13:4, 6 30:15, 23 31:8 **previous** 74:14 85:2 previously 86:2 **prices** 3:24

primary 13:6 30:15, 23 principles 73:20 prior 58:6, 10 priorities 2:9 16:13 73:21 prioritize 12:17 33:17 53:11 prioritized 50:20 51:3 prioritizes 65:12, 15 prioritizing 78:4 priority 4:11, 24 48:7 private 9:9, 24 19:14 23:24 89:12 privilege 69:6 79:15 probably 24:9 46:17 94:21 probing 47:8 **problem** 3:16 4:6, 19 7:11 21:14 24:11 36:22, 23 43:11 46:13 47:25 80:13 81:10, 13 93:1 **problems** 34:21 66:17 **proceed** 25:17 53:24 **process** 8:15 9:16 20:23 21:2 23:17 24:6 31:9 35:9 53:19 processes 70:6 78:24

78:24

processing 27:16 produce 53:1 products 21:25 22:21, 22 23:6 professional 31:4 78:21 proffered 83:23 proficiency 50:6 PROGRAM 1:4 4:7, 15 13:24 15:12 32:13, 14, 20 33:3, 4 34:11, 20 38:9, 24 62:12 75:8 80:18 82:7 90:9, 14 95:10 **PROGRAMS** 1:2 2:6 5:17 13:9, 11 17:4 36:1 38:10, 11, 12 62:4 66:19 74:9, 12, 22 81:8 90:15 96:7 97:2 program's 4:11 progress 2:13 8:8 20:13 36:8 40:15 41:20 66:4 78:13 prohibited 30:6 projected 65:25 projects 33:17 promise 52:4 **promote** 12:12 14:11 70:7 **Promotion** 74:3 promotions 29:14 30:9 propensity 20:17

**property** 25:11 26:17 proposal 28:18, 19, 24, 25 42:4, 23 proposals 93:22 proposed 26:5 proposition 11:24 12:2 13:21 78:8 89:5 **protect** 18:12 19:8, 22 90:11 **proud** 11:12 57:2 67:5 **proved** 74:12 provide 3:14 38:2 42:13 50:11 51:4, 7 55:2 58:14 67:1 69:7 71:6 78:20 83:8 88:7 provided 9:12 18:20 35:24 44:20 **provider** 23:10, 21 35:24 58:6 providers 35:6 94:14 provides 2:7 4:2 10:11 20:20 84:10, 14 providing 2:25 31:20 42:19 45:15 47:6 66:20 84:14 provision 25:2 29:12 57:24 provisions 52:21 **public** 36:5 **purpose** 54:10

purposes 46:24 pursuant 1:13 **pursue** 22:*4* 80:25 82:18 pursuing 82:19 **push** 33:13 pushing 30:8 34:15 **put** 5:5 24:21 25:6 37:19 38:6 81:5 84:15 86:6, 8, 14 92:23 96:17 **putting** 97:25 < Q > Quadrennial 12:10 84:13 qualifications 49:14 qualified 21:2 46:25 **quality** 3:14 4:12 10:5, 8, 10 12:3 13:12 21:24 26:22 27:7 32:8, 9, 10 33:23, 25 34:3, 4 38:13 61:14 62:24 69:12, 25 71:6 75:1 78:5 86:12, 17 89:19 90:10 92:8 95:15 quality-of 65:18 quality-of-life 65:15 74:18, 24 75:4 77:23 95:22 quarreling 19:3

**question** 23:15 29:17 30:23 38:16 41:19 43:20 48:3, 14 54:14 56:17 80:24 81:22 82:3 83:22 87:2 88:13 questions 14:17 28:2 59:3 63:6 67:7 71:8 75:18 79:16 98:7 **quick** 48:2 **quickly** 8:15 **quite** 70:19 quote 4:12, 13 16:16 18:8 29:15, 16 32:15 < R > race 30:6, 8

raise 12:8 20:24 raised 40:10 raises 12:9 94:2 **RAND** 50:16 52:18 53:1, 3 54:5 58:3 range 5:16 Ranking 5:1 10:16 11:8 18:7 19:23 28:5 61:3 63:3 65:4 69:4 73:4 77:3 ranks 12:11 rare 83:22 rate 74:16 **rated** 74:8 rates 34:7 37:11 61:25 reaching 22:5



40:16 84:23

85:1, 2, 5, 14

Readiness 5:21 10:4 11:2, 11 12:20 14:5 32:6, 11 33:22 35:11 54:16 62:6, 9 69:15 70:17, 19 71:3 75:2 90:25 91:8, 13, 14 94:19 **ready** 61:12 62:20 64:3 79:14 91:13 **realize** 25:18 81:14 real-life 45:25 really 19:6 20:15, 17 21:4 31:3, 17 38:10 39:2 43:8, 10 44:1 45:10 46:1 48:2, 9 52:5 57:11 80:4, 9 81:14 82:6 88:19, 23 89:1, 2, 7, 9 91:24 93:11 **reason** 33:13 97:18 **reasons** 48:*13* **recall** 41:18 **RECEIVE** 1:12:5 49:23 50:22, 24 57:14, 17 58:1, 9, 18 received 25:5 26:3 28:17 54:25 58:4, 5 88:25 receives 31:6 recharacterize 89:9

recognized 10:17 recognizes 77:23 recommendation 29:3, 4 36:3 recommendations 13:3 35:7 **record** 17:*3* 19:5 28:3 43:20 47:23 48:1, 5, 15, 22, 24 54:15 56:17 58:17 61:7 90:23 94:5 96:12 98:8 **records** 46:15 recruit 5:9 35:17 66:25 69:20 75:15 recruited 8:1 recruiter 45:2 85:11 recruiters 44:15 recruiting 2:14 7:24 8:5, 13, 17 10:2 20:7, 11, 14 21:5, 6, 8 40:9, 12, 14 43:25 46:16 48:1 51:13, 25 61:17, 19 65:14, 24 66:8 69:10, 16 74:17, 19, 23, 24 83:25 85:4, 22 88:19 93:2, 5 recruitment 8:1 35:5 53:9 78:7 83:12, 19 90:1 **recruits** 8:2 10:5 **Red** 24:18 25:13 27:6, 11 **reduce** 2:22 9:4

reduced 8:6 **reducing** 89:15 reestablishing 73:17 **refer** 55:11 referred 55:3 referring 29:23 refinement 84:7 reflection 84:4 **reform** 10:3 reformed 10:9 refreshed 78:16 **refused** 31:25 **regard** 18:23 regarding 24:17 49:6 50:16 54:23 55:1 56:3 regardless 85:24 **region** 54:16 regions 39:7 **regular** 74:18 regulations 29:13, 19 30:10 37:25 **Reiff** 69:7 reimbursement 37:25 reinforces 70:1 reinforcing 95:8 reiterate 49:5 related 91:22 relatives 27:8 released 3:4 16:11 **relevant** 46:18 reliable 16:5 relies 15:9 relieved 27:19 rely 24:19 **remain** 12:25 65:24 66:3 69:9

70:2, 8 75:15 89:17 remaining 89:21 **remains** 4:18 61:24 65:22 70:10 74:18 75:1, 2 **remember** 23:*15* 80:22 **removal** 57:23 renegotiated 39:7 **renewed** 80:17 reoptimize 75:14 **replace** 32:21 report 3:5 16:11 25:6, 7 26:7 27:22 52:16, 19 58:3 69:24 reported 93:8 reporting 61:20 **reports** 54:23 represent 65:7 71:7 representing 77:5 79:15 reproductive 56:11 57:4, 10, 15 **Republic** 73:11 reputation 32:1 **REQUEST** 1:3 11:19 13:14 65:10 93:22 requested 9:14 15:2 **require** 56:14 **required** 29:13 41:23 45:8 50:7 52:19, 20, 23 56:21 79:9

requirement 42:18, 19 44:11, *13* 56:22 requirements 21:1 54:12 57:8 requires 50:1 requiring 29:14 52:17 research 84:5 Reserve 5:24 11:4, 13 41:2, 3 49:12, 22 50:4, 14, 21 51:2, 17, 25 53:9 59:20 66:23 69:2 **Reserves** 89:14 reservist 49:19 reservists 50:5 residents 24:19 resilience 4:13 14:5 70:24 71:3 75:7 **Resiliency** 6:3 11:7 resistance 42:14 resistant 26:4 resolved 55:16 resource 21:4 74:1 resources 2:20 3:8 10:7 84:16 98:4 respond 43:4 54:24 70:15 Response 13:5 24:16 26:3 54:25 responsibility 28:15 70:12

responsible 19:25 25:22 38:20 rest 14:14 19:18 39:9 96:16 restoration 62:16 restrictions 57:1 restructuring 35:17 **result** 16:*14* 21:7 29:22 resulting 26:13 results 21:8 retain 5:9 35:18 66:25 69:24 75:16 91:3 retaining 62:10 73:24 74:8, 13 retention 35:5 50:9 51:13, 25 53:9 61:25 62:1, 2 65:14 66:9, 13 69:10 74:10, 16 90:3 91:1 93:2 retirement 12:5 83:16 **retiring** 59:14 **return** 32:*3* revamping 78:23 **REVIEW** 1:2 12:10 13:3, 5 25:4, 19, 20 29:2 47:12 50:2 55:4 84:13 reviewing 12:10 reviews 55:19, 21 rewarding 77:21 reworking 93:24 rich 47:6, 7 **Richard** 24:20

27:4 65:1 **RICK** 7:1 59:17 **rid** 34:13 **Right** 5:2 10:7 15:17 24:7 29:18 33:10, 11 34:23 36:14 37:21 38:2 40:4 46:8 57:2 70:15 71:2 79:8 80:6 85:5, 22 92:21 93:12 94:11, 20 95:2, 10 96:2 rightfully 86:23 rigorous 47:1 **rising** 26:1 risk 12:14 87:23 **risks** 12:16 16:9 50:8 75:12 **Robert** 5:23 **robust** 12:*3* 13:24 39:13, 15 53:22 77:20 roles 89:10 **rolled** 66:*14* rolling 52:5 **RONALD** 11:*3* 14:19 **Room** 1:14 round-the-clock 97:3 **rule** 80:19 **ruled** 56:7 run 17:4 runs 90:8 **Russia** 73:12 94:21 < S > sacrificing 89:12

safe 19:19 55:7 56:20 90:12 **safety** 12:12 14:5 27:20 55:9 86:8 **sailor** 43:5 **sailors** 31:24 32:2 43:14 65:7, 21 66:1, 10 67:5 85:7, 8 92:3 96:19 salaries 18:9, 11 19:6, 16 **Salary** 19:*13* **Sandor** 43:3, 5 satisfaction 39:9 satisfied 27:14 save 3:8 15:5 19:20 **savings** 16:15 saw 84:2 saying 9:8 15:7 17:1 27:2 54:9 56:20 97:16 says 17:21 23:10 36:22 81:12 82:5 scale 5:6 93:24 scary 81:24 scenario 92:17 scenarios 97:6 scheduled 27:11 80:5 scheduling 80:4 **school** 13:18 42:15, 17, 18 44:3, 15, 18, 21, 24 48:11, 12 91:21, 22 **schools** 41:18 42:1, 7, 15, 17, 18

45:12 48:18 69:20 **science** 47:21 **Scott** 1:17 6:6 7:1, 3 10:16 11:9 19:23, 24 21:9 22:17 23:7 24:5, 13 34:9, 24, 25 35:1, 10 36:10 37:17 38:15, 19, 22 40:6, 10 61:4 63:3 65:4 69:4 73:5 77:3 83:2, 3, 10, 22 84:17 85:20 88:12 89:24, 25 97:24 **Scott's** 5:1 scrutiny 18:5 **SD-G50** 1:14 **Sean** 97:24 second 6:4 20:21 59:5, 10 87:20 89:7 secretaries 50:1 Secretary 5:15, 22, 23, 25 8:18 10:17 11:2, 3, 5 13:1 15:1, 16 16:17 17:12 18:10 19:2, 17 20:6 21:11, 18 22:24 23:9 24:15 26:2 27:10, 18, 19 29:13 32:5, 25 33:21 35:19 36:2 37:7 38:3, 19 40:10 43:24 45:19, 22 48:3, 5

54:21 82:16, 19 **section** 44:17 sector 9:9, 24 19:14 secure 29:10 securing 77:9 **security** 11:21 12:2 13:12 14:9 18:13 19:8, 22 22:5, 6 27:20 91:*1* see 2:12 20:13 21:8 22:9 24:3 25:20 27:9 28:6 30:20 34:10 36:20 40:11 47:24 82:3 seeing 29:2 40:23 41:21 84:1, 4 seek 91:18 seen 77:17 94:13, 21 selection 28:8 78:24 **Senate** 1:8, 14 94:6 **Senator** 1:19 2:2, 3 6:6 7:1, 3 10:16 15:1, 20, 25 16:23 17:*1*, *15, 21* 18:*14* 19:1, 9, 12, 24 20:10 21:9, 22 22:3, 17 23:2, 7, 14 24:5, 13, 14, 15 26:8, 10, 15, 24 28:4, 5, 16, 17, 19, 21, 25 29:3, 5, 23, 25 30:1, 4, 5,

*13*, 22 31:*12* 

32:8, 12 33:3, 6, 12, 13, 24 34:8, 9, 23, 24, 25 35:1, 10, 21 36:10 37:2, 17 38:7, 15, 17, 19, 21, 22 40:6, 7, 8, 9, 13, 20, 23 41:5, 9, 16, 17, 21 42:14, 16, 21, 23 43:2, 19, 21, 22, 23, 24 45:3, 5, 7, 10, 22 46:21 47:7, 22 48:23, 25 49:1, 2 50:25 51:16, 21 52:2, 4, 10, 14, 15 53:5, 14, 20 54:4, 17, 18, 19, 20 55:9, 19, 21, 24 56:2, 16, 19, 24 57:11 58:13, 20 59:1, 7, 8, 10 64:1 68:1 72:1 76:1 80:1, 2, 3 82:18, 24 83:2, 3, 9, 10, 22 84:17, 18 85:20, 21 87:1 88:12, 13 89:24, 25 91:14, 23, 24 92:1, 6, 7, 13, 14, 18 94:10, 23 95:4, 5, 6 96:8, 11, 13, 23 97:4, 13 Senators 1:16 98:6 **senior** 7:15 44:12 61:15 sense 23:13 82:10 83:4

sent 25:7 separation 27:16 September 3:4 16:*11* Sergeant 69:6 **serious** 22:*13* seriously 22:4 55:10 **serve** 2:16 7:23 8:10, 11 20:19, 22, 23 21:3 36:16 46:18 48:18 61:24 65:7 84:24 89:9 **served** 32:2 **service** 10:3, 10 12:20 13:8, 21 14:1, 11 15:9 16:8, 15, 17 18:6 20:18, 20 30:9 32:9 40:19 41:13 50:1 55:5 56:25 58:4, 5 59:16 61:14 65:15, 19 69:19 70:2 73:7 78:1, 3, 9 79:4 83:15 85:12 86:11 88:3, 21 89:5, 21 97:18 servicemember 58:16 81:12 97:14 servicemembers 3:10, 15 4:22 7:6 10:9 11:14, 24 12:5 13:22, 25 15:18 23:12 31:18 33:1, 16, 23 36:15 37:15 46:7 48:7 49:13,

22 50:22 56:4, 11, 14 57:9, 13 58:9, 14, 23 86:18 90:11 Services 1:9 2:7, 8, 13 3:1 5:8 6:5 8:4 13:9 16:12 17:8 21:6 23:9 24:10 25:21, 25 26:14 37:3, 5 40:14 46:8 57:15, 25 59:11, 22 73:3 84:25 93:6 96:16 serving 11:25 33:9 40:18 70:4 78:13 set 16:13 34:6
53:16
sets 66:11 78:18
92:16
<b>setting</b> 83:24
<b>setup</b> 44:16
Seventy-three
31:18
Sexual 12:21
13:4 30:16
shame 45:12
shape 85:23
share 75:17
shared 63:1
<b>sharing</b> 66:6
75: <i>3</i>
shiny 87:25
<b>ship</b> 27:5 43:8
<b>ships</b> 32:3
43:11, 14
shocking 93:11

**short** 3:18 41:2, 9, 12 74:20 85:13 93:8 shortage 3:19 31:23 34:22 93:24 shortages 3:23 32:1 33:15 93:3, 12 **shorter** 41:*10* shortfalls 3:14 4:9 **shot** 7:21 show 37:19, 22 66:4 87:8 90:11 **showed** 75:10 **showing** 85:*9* **shows** 70:5 shrinking 69:17 **side** 39:*3* **sign** 97:15 significant 3:13 33:5 35:12, 14 75:6, 10 77:25 86:14 significantly 41:11 66:1 **signing** 18:*1* similar 25:9 87:4 **simple** 52:13 54:12 simplifies 66:18 **simply** 3:16 70:19 simulation 86:9, 15 **single** 67:*3* 97:14

**Sir** 24:12 35:21 39:5, 18 82:15, *17* 84:21 85:19 **Sisk** 97:24 sit 6:6 sitting 69:7 94:14 situation 55:1 situations 27:4 57:16 97:9 **skill** 50:6 66:11 **skills** 9:21 49:14, 25 52:24 73:15 **slight** 74:16 **slightly** 74:20 slow 52:4 **slow-roll** 50:12 52:22 slow-rolled 49:7 slow-rolling 52:2, *15* 54:9 **small** 84:*4* 88:20 90:20 97:14 smarter 2:19 3:6, 8 **social** 71:*4* 75:10 software 80:4 **soldier** 84:11 92:10 soldiers 61:6 62:10, 14, 24 63:5 92:9 solutions 12:17 21:13 73:16 **solve** 24:11 66:17 **solved** 36:25

somebody 81:6 85:17 soon 48:22 59:14 91:12 sophisticated 73:11 **sorry** 34:24 46:3 **sort** 30:20 38:23 81:24 **sorts** 9:2 **sounds** 82:15 sourcing 23:5 **South** 28:10 29:7 **Space** 40:24 41:6, 7 59:24 77:1, 2, 6, 9, 10, 13, 16, 17, 23 79:5, 10, 14 88:15, 18, 23 89:2, 10 92:15 space-focused 78:15 span 25:20 **speak** 77:21 95:14 speaks 70:2 **special** 49:6, 24 50:2, 4, 16, 23 51:11 52:17, 20 53:13 54:8 66:10 93:23 specialized 73:15, 18 specialties 52:24 specialty 51:14 specific 13:2 22:19 53:10 71:3 80:24 specifically 22:1

65:17
specifics 57:7
<b>spectrum</b> 78:17
<b>spend</b> 16:19
32:17 83:20
spending 15:4
16:17, 21, 24
37:14
spill 24:18
spiritual 71:4
spoke 41:16
<b>Sports</b> 28:13, 14
spots 5:4 93:20
spouse 35:12
75:3 77:24 78:4
spouses 13:11
74:25 77:22
88:8
squadrons 48:10
_
stability 13:12 stable 23:5
47:19
staff 24:12
27:19 29:18
55:23 59:12, 22
61:2 73:2 94:8
staffing 93:12,
14, 17, 23
stakeholders
26:18
stand 79:14
standard 44:22,
23 47:18
standardizing
24:22
standards 20:24,
25 38:13 47:12,
21
<b>Star</b> 4:3
<b>start</b> 19:19
52:10, 23 54:7

83:21 90:23
93:1 94:8
started 24:7
starting 20:13
21:8 29:1 60:2
78:15
starts 77:14
79:10
state 8:11, 14
20:7 27:8 28:10
32:14 36:13, 14,
20, 21 37:1, 23,
24, 25 81:3, 11,
24 82:1
STATEMENT
2:1 6:7 7:1
10: <i>18</i> 11: <i>1</i>
14:18 61:1, 7
63:7 65:1 67:8
69: <i>1</i> 71:9 73: <i>1</i>
75:19 77:1
79:17 97:21
states 23:9, 18,
23 29:7 38:4, 5,
8, 14 48:16, 17
57:16 61:2, 6, 9
65:3, 7 66:22
69:3, 15 73:3
77:2 84:10, 21,
24 90:10
<b>station</b> 32:23
78:19
stationed 48:11
70:15
stations 48:8
statistics 80:21
status 28:24
80:11
stay 80:7 86:20
97:18

steadfast 66:9
<b>step</b> 33:20
steps 13:15
53:17
stewards 15:20
stick 26:5
<b>stint</b> 86:11
<b>Stitt</b> 59:12, 13
60:2 61:1, 3
63:7 64:1 83:14,
21, 22 92:6, 7
94:25 95:4
stock 56:25
<b>stop</b> 78:10
stories 88:6
stormwater
32:21
<b>story</b> 45:25
46:1 67:3
straight 46:4
<b>strain</b> 3:24
strategic 15:11
62:19 73:15
strategically
28:21
Strategy 11:20
20:13 24:2 71:1
streamlined
61:20
streamlines 66:18
strength 61:23
74:21 98:1
stress 65:21
strives 71:2
<b>strong</b> 66:24
69:9 75:1 77:22
78:6, <i>13</i> 79: <i>1</i>
strongly 24:24
structure 18:23
19:11 21:6
61:11, 20 89:15

struggling 31:16 90:19 **student** 46:4 85:16 students 42:11 44:4, 5, 18, 19, 20 **studied** 49:10 53:3 study 35:5 49:6, 8 50:16, 20 51:3, 5, 8, 12, 15, 20, 21, 23 53:2, 5, 7, 15 54:5, 6 **stuff** 36:15 **Subcommittee** 1:10, 15 2:11, 20 8:21 11:10 14:13 21:10 42:24 65:5 69:5 73:5 77:4 79:3 80:5 98:10 Subcommittee's 2:5 **submit** 28:3 47:22 48:1, 4, 14 81:23, 25 98:7 submitted 42:4, 24 55:12 61:6 suboptimal 44:13 45:4, 5 substitute 34:18 success 7:25 13:25 33:14 34:19 66:5 69:16 70:10 79:10 83:25 successes 75:4, 17 successful 32:19 successfully 74:4 77:9

suggested 86:1 suggesting 96:1 suggestion 81:7 **suicide** 12:24 13:4 43:6 65:17 75:11 80:15 **suited** 28:22 **Sullivan** 1:18 41:17 43:22, 23 45:5, 10 47:7, 22 48:25 **supply** 21:23 22:2, 6 23:4, 5 33:18 81:2 **support** 2:15 3:9 5:11 10:11 11:12 13:10, 11, 22 14:14, 16 18:22 62:18 63:5 65:11, 20 66:9 67:6 69:21 70:18 77:22 79:2, 13 95:9, 20 96:18 supportive 32:16 **supports** 65:12 **supposed** 80:20 supposedly 44:21 sure 9:20 18:2 20:19 21:18, 20 22:25 31:4 33:10 35:1 49:12 51:5 52:8 57:9, 19 58:18 59:4 89:4 92:9 96:8 97:11 98:3 surprise 17:25 surprised 21:13 **survey** 4:3 33:15 **sustain** 70:11

73:13 78:5
sustainable 62:19
sustaining 11:23
sustainment
62:15
swing 94:9
system 10:8
13:18 23:21
35:3 36:8 45:20
47:4 58:6 74:3
75:16 88:2, 3
91:21
systems 74:1, 5

< T > tackle 34:20 **take** 7:17 14:10 22:8, 12 23:22 40:3 43:20 46:7 48:21, 23 49:8 53:2, 17 55:9 56:17 79:7 80:10 82:12, 13, 20 89:11 91:20 92:2 94:10 97:2 **taken** 13:15 26:15 46:1 87:23 takes 80:16 **talent** 9:6 14:8 20:12 21:7 70:8 73:21 77:15, 19 78:7, 10, 15 talented 63:5 66:25 73:24 talk 15:4, 7 20:6 21:19 22:1, 25 24:12 31:13, 14 35:2, 6 37:5 41:13 80:11, 12

95:23

**talked** 21:11 28:8 90:2 **talking** 7:19 22:7 25:12, 23 26:24 27:12, 17 44:9 81:18 83:11 92:5 93:5 96:5 talks 57:5 **tankers** 48:10 **TAP** 80:18 82:7 **target** 39:20 78:7 85:8 targeted 51:11 61:23 targeting 66:11 75:9 task 93:23 taxpayer 15:20 taxpayers 16:9 team 7:4 24:21 29:1, 3 55:13 70:3 teams 62:25 66:16, 25 79:1 technical 73:17. 18 technology 23:16 74:1 77:11 79:11, 12 telehealth 23:11 telemedicine 23:23 24:2 39:16 tell 7:8 30:25 52:11 57:8 58:18 81:17 86:12 94:16 **telling** 46:*3* tells 46:13

ten 20:3 tens 93:19 term 43:15 terms 49:21 testify 11:10, 18 **TESTIMONY** 1:1 2:5 48:6 97:22 **testing** 21:23 **Thank** 5:12, 22 7:3, 4 8:23 10:14, 16 11:10 14:16 15:1 18:6 19:23, 24 20:10 21:9 22:23 24:13, 15, 16 28:3, 5 29:5, 10 30:12, 13, 22 34:23 35:1, 10, 21 38:15 40:6, 8, 10 43:18, 21, 23 48:25 49:1, 2 54:18, 20 55:24 56:16 58:25 59:1, 2, 7, 8, 15 60:1 61:5 63:4, 6 64:1 65:5 68:1 72:1 76:1 77:4 79:15 80:1, 3 82:18, 21, 24 83:13, 15, 23 84:18 85:19, 21 87:1 88:12, 13 89:25 95:4 97:20, 22, 23 98:6 thankfully 27:10 **Thanks** 10:13 13:19 45:18 78:12 89:24 95:9

Therapeutics
56:7
thing 9:11 37:9,
<i>17</i> 79:8 81:2 <i>1</i>
85:22 87:20
89:7 91:8 92:18
94:18
things 9:2, 22
15:11 21:22
31:7 35:25 36:1
37:7 38:1 44:9
45:16 46:17
70:20 79:6
86:20 87:5, 21
89:11 91:22
94:12 96:1
think 2:23 8:22
9:10, 23 15:8
16:22, 23 17:12,
21 19:25 20:7, 8
21:12 22:13, 17,
21 23:7 24:5, 8
25:9 28:21
29:23 33:3 37:4
7 38:22 41:12,
14, 18 42:3, 16,
23, 24 44:1, 7
45:1, 10, 16
46:11, 12, 21
47:9 51:8 53:17
80:10 82:8, 13
83:5 84:14
86:14, 22 87:5
88:25 90:18
95:7
thinking 83:18
87:2 97:15
thinks 16:20
thorough 52:7
thought 39:11
mought 39.11

82:7
thoughts 88:15
thousand 74:20
92:21
thousands 5:2
93:19
<b>three</b> 10:2
15: <i>13</i> 20: <i>15</i>
40:15 41:3
49:18, 20
three-quarters
4:4
threshold 24:24
<b>tight</b> 19:10
time 2:22 8:3
9:24 17:9, 20, 22,
24 18:1 22:3
25:20 27:12
30:6 36:25
38:15 40:21
43:13 48:3
49:18 74:1
80:12 84:19
85:19 86:19
89:11 94:1
95:24 96:14
timeline 49:8
53:15 54:1, 4
timely 26:22
times 15:14
20:3 42:8 49:20
51:18
<b>tipping</b> 86:19
<b>Title</b> 23:20
today 2:17 5:14
7:4, 11 11:11
28:6 65:6 66:7
69:6 71:7 73:14
75:5, <i>17</i> 77:5
79:15 88:23

89:23 90:22
97:23
today's 77:21
97:25
<b>told</b> 3:2 16:3
44:18
tools 13:25
65:16 66:20
top 4:11, 24
33:16 46:4 48:7
69:12 78:20
96:6 97:7
<b>topic</b> 96:25
<b>topics</b> 2:17
41: <i>17</i> 71: <i>5</i>
<b>top-line</b> 95:25
<b>top-notch</b> 97: <i>17</i>
<b>total</b> 11:13
12:18 18:15, 18
51:2 62:7 70:25
<b>totaling</b> 16:16
totality 61:19
84:8, 12
tour 32:2 97:15
track 74:19
tracks 73:18
traffic 31:24
<b>trained</b> 78:11 <b>training</b> 12:3, 14
31:6, 11 47:1
59:18 65:3 67:1
78: <i>16</i> 86:9, <i>14</i>
91: <i>4</i>
transform 62:19
73:25
transformation
61:10
transformative
61:18

<b>transition</b> 13:23 80:11, 15, 18
81:1
translate 23:24
transparent
26:23 70:7
transportation 28:23
treatment 57:3
58:2
tremendous 79:2
<b>trends</b> 69:19
<b>TRICARE</b> 38:20,
24 39:1
<b>tried</b> 37:18
81:16 85:23
<b>trigger</b> 52:18
<b>troop</b> 51:19, 20
<b>troops</b> 31:19
54:11, 13
true 11:16 39:13
<b>truly</b> 31:4
trust 12:19 63:1
70:17, 18
<b>truth</b> 46:13
try 8:22 24:11
30:16 48:22
97:12
trying 7:5, 21
17:4 30:18 52:5
82:5 83:7
turn 6:6 21:25
35: <i>19</i> 45: <i>19</i>
55:17
Twelve 92:20
twice 44:21
two 3:11 5:14
15: <i>13</i> 46:2 <i>4</i>
48:10 73:10
74:9 87: <i>4</i> , 21
17.7 01.7, 21

Two-thirds 4:19 31:17 90:4, 5 type 50:2 51:14 92:17 94:17 types 17:7 50:3 typically 17:17 44:17

< U > **U.S** 1:8 2:1 7:1 Ultimately 78:6 unacceptable 49:9 50:18 unaccompanied 62:14 uncertain 73:23 undergo 3:25 underscore 19:1 underscoring 92:20 **Undersecretary** 5:21 understaffed 10:7 understand 8:19 17:23 18:22 20:19 28:19, 25 30:14 38:24 40:9 51:9, 12, 24 52:16 53:6, 8, 23 55:14, 15 56:23 59:13 62:22 66:2*1* 78:8 89:*3* understanding 17:19 28:9, 12 35:4 44:5 46:23 47:11 84:9

**Unfortunately** 8:6 **unified** 36:11 **uniform** 7:24 10:6, 12 12:13 14:1 56:8 86:11 uniformed 77:6 78:22 unique 58:15 88:16 89:8 97:10 uniqueness 92:15 United 29:7 61:2, 6, 9 65:3, 7 66:22 69:2, 15 73:3 77:2 84:10, 21, 24 90:9 **units** 3:19 universal 13:19 universities 41:19 42:10 78:20 university 42:11 44:2 46:4 unnecessary 12:14 unprecedented 77:17 unveiling 84:5 unwavering 63:4 **upcoming** 7:13 **update** 5:6 20:9 29:21 30:19 31:12 40:11 49:25 50:10 51:5, 7 55:2, 17

**updated** 29:19

**updating** 12:15

upstream 62:4

updates 58:7

**USA** 61:*1* **USAF** 73:2 **use** 18:20 37:15 38:11 47:20 52:22 uses 17:7, 13 **USMC** 69:1 USN 65:2 **USS** 24:20 43:6 **utilize** 17:19 **utilized** 95:13 < V > vacancies 5:2 **valuable** 7:17, 22 85:24 **value** 4:13 11:24 12:2 13:21 51:1 69:18 78:8 89:4 **valued** 12:1 **values** 63:2 **Vari** 5:18 Variani 5:18 Varizani 5:18 Vazirani 5:19 10:17 11:1,8 14:18 15:16, 20 16:17, 23 17:12, *15* 18:*10*, *14* 19:2, 9, 17 20:6, 10 21:18, 22 22:24 23:2 24:16 26:8, 15 28:6, 16, 19, 25 29:12, 23 30:1 32:5, 8, 25 33:3, 12, 21, 24 35:2, 10 36:2 37:2 38:7 40:10, 13, 23 41:7, 11, 21

42:16, 23 43:19 45:3, 7 46:21 47:9 48:23 49:4 50:25 51:21 52:4, 14 53:5, 20 54:21 55:9, 21, 25 59:7 80:25 82:16 vehicles 17:16 **venues** 28:23 **vest** 13:9 veteran 80:11, 15 veterans 80:12, *14* 81:3, *11*, 24 82:1 83:6, 7 87:10 viability 14:7 Vice 59:17 64:3 65:*1* 68:*1* 91:*25* 96:10 view 44:24 46:17 Virginia 32:22 **vision** 82:17 visit 49:5 vital 62:10 66:10 77:11 voluntarily 49:17, 18 volunteer 7:23 62:23 < W > wages 83:20 waiting 53:14 90:18 95:12, 17

unfamiliar 69:18

understands

85:12

waitlist 92:24

waitlists 90:17

93:3

92:21

**waived** 8:16 walk-in 57:4, 23 wanes 85:10 want 2:17, 18 5:12 7:3, 4, 12 8:10, 11, 23, 24, 25 9:18 10:14 14:2 18:2 19:*1*, 5, 17 20:18, 22, 23 21:16 23:1 25:10 33:10, 13, 14, 19 34:9 36:8 38:18 40:8 43:2, 24 44:4 45:17 46:3, 4, 7, 18 49:5, 7 52:6, 8 59:2 61:7 81:22 83:21 87:13 89:17 90:1, 22 92:9 94:9, 23 96:10, 24 97:11, 20, 21, 22, 23 98:6 wanted 36:16, 17 wants 2:16 21:21 94:4 War 94:21, 22 warfighters 65:11 66:16 warfighting 66:24 69:14 70:11, 17 79:10 **warrant** 73:17 Warren 1:15, 16 2:1, 3 7:3, 5 10:*16* 11:8 15:*1*, *25* 17:*1*, *21* 19:*1*, 12 23:7 24:14 28:4 30:13 31:12 32:12 33:6, 13 34:8

38:17 40:7 43:22 49:1 54:17, 19 59:1, 8, *10* 61:*3* 63:*3* 64:1 65:4 68:1 69:4 72:1 73:4 76:1 77:3 80:1 82:24 89:25 91:14, 24 92:6, 7, *13, 14, 18* 94:23 95:4, 6 96:8, 13, 23 97:4, 13 Washington 1:11 43:6 water 24:20 27:7 way 4:21 18:1 21:21 24:3 27:12 33:7 37:21 39:16 45:1 48:17 52:9, 22 54:3 77:8 78:1 82:8 83:14 91:2 95:1 ways 9:1, 19 12:11 38:13 39:17 81:1 **weapons** 27:21 75:16 Wednesday 1:6 week 8:21 21:9 29:1 49:5 50:15 84:19 weeks 28:7 Weighted 74:2 welcome 2:4, 15 5:12 22:10 38:17 59:18 well 7:19, 22 17:2 22:9 23:6

28:21 31:19

35:16 36:6 39:22 45:25 46:11 56:19 70:12 80:25 82:14 87:4, 20 88:24 90:12 96:16 97:2 98:3 well-being 12:18 West 31:24 **whole-of** 14:*10* whole-ofgovernment 22:11, 12 whole-of-nation 14:10 **Wicker** 18:7 Wicker's 30:5 willing 82:16 **win** 79:9 Wingman 75:8 winning 77:14 witnesses 2:18 3:1 4:14 5:7, 12 10:13 49:3 97:22 **woman** 46:1 women 8:9, 10 10:12 12:13 58:4. 5 66:22 **women's** 84:*3* won 33:8 wondering 26:3 words 23:22 32:17 93:10 work 2:15 7:13 11:17 15:15 19:17 20:4 24:21 31:7 34:9 41:15 43:24 44:25 45:11, 17 55:13 70:23

77:15 78:10 82:16 89:10 90:7, 11 92:10, 19 97:25 **worked** 3:12 workers 5:3, 6 36:8 90:16 93:2, 7, 25 workforce 2:22, 23 3:7 10:11 13:6 14:3 15:16 16:13 18:12 19:7, 18 30:15, 21, 23 31:5, 6 35:13, 16, 17, 18 61:19 62:6 65:8 73:20 **working** 3:15 10:14 12:12 18:4 20:8 23:25 36:1 41:17 50:19 51:3 53:16, 20 55:23 69:20 79:3 83:12 84:11 88:5 89:12 94:25 works 7:19 37:21 world 2:19 5:10 11:23 28:8, 22 31:*3* 48:*8* 94:*21* world-class 12:5 67:1 worst 34:21 worth 3:6 27:5 writ 89:2  $\langle X \rangle$ **Xavier** 43:3, 5

< Y > **Yeah** 17:21 45:10 91:16 95:7 **YEAR** 1:3 2:9 4:6 7:14 8:2, 3, 5, 6, 7 9:14 11:18 12:7 13:14, 19 15:2 16:4 18:18 32:12, 21 35:22 39:8 40:3, 22 41:12 42:9 43:16 44:11, 21 45:2 49:8, 25 50:17 53:2 54:6 58:2, 10 61:21, 23 62:1 65:10, 19, 23 66:3, 5 69:11, 12 74:10, 12, 19 80:20 82:20 89:1 90:18 yearly 34:2 year-over-year 41:1 **YEARS** 1:4 3:20 12:9 16:18 18:16 43:7 47:15 49:10, 11 62:13, 17 74:14 80:16 85:2 87:3, 24 94:1 year's 19:15 29:12, 22 32:20 34:16 50:10 52:16 93:21 **Yep** 57:11 **yield** 30:12

young 14:12 20:18 40:17, 18 42:1 45:24 46:1, 12, 22 85:6, 7, 8, 24 86:2, 10 89:6 younger 87:12 youth 69:17 77:22

