Stenographic Transcript Before the

COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES

UNITED STATES SENATE

HEARING TO RECEIVE TESTIMONY ON U.S. POLICY AND STRATEGY IN EUROPE

Tuesday, March 21, 2017

Washington, D.C.

ALDERSON COURT REPORTING
1155 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.
SUITE 200
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036
(202) 289-2260
www.aldersonreporting.com

1	HEARING TO RECEIVE TESTIMONY ON
2	U.S. POLICY AND STRATEGY IN EUROPE
3	
4	Tuesday, March 21, 2017
5	
6	U.S. Senate
7	Committee on Armed Services
8	Washington, D.C.
9	
10	The committee met, pursuant to notice, at 9:34.m. in
11	Room SD-G50, Dirksen Senate Office Building, Hon. John
12	McCain, chairman of the committee, presiding.
13	Committee Members Present: Senators McCain
14	[presiding], Wicker, Fischer, Cotton, Rounds, Ernst,
15	Sullivan, Cruz, Strange, Reed, Nelson, McCaskill, Shaheen,
16	Gillibrand, Donnelly, Kaine, King, Warren, and Peters.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

- 1 OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. JOHN McCAIN, U.S. SENATOR
- 2 FROM ARIZONA
- 3 Chairman McCain: Good morning. The Senate Armed
- 4 Services Committee meets this morning to receive testimony
- 5 on U.S. policy and strategy in Europe.
- I would like to welcome our distinguished witnesses
- 7 this morning: General Philip Breedlove, who was relieved of
- 8 his obligation to appear before this committee when he
- 9 retired last year, yet has graciously agreed to submit
- 10 himself before us once again. I have no doubt he will soon
- 11 regret that decision and will wish for a speedy return to
- 12 Georgia Tech where he is Distinguished Professor at the Sam
- 13 Nunn School of International Affairs.
- 14 We are also pleased to be joined by Ambassador William
- 15 Burns, an old friend of this committee and of America, who
- 16 is the President of the Carnegie Endowment for International
- 17 Peace; and Ambassador Alexander Vershbow, who is a
- 18 Distinguished Fellow at the Atlantic Council's Brent
- 19 Scowcroft Center on International Security.
- 20 All three of these gentlemen combined have many, many
- 21 decades of service to this country and we are grateful they
- 22 would come and join us this morning.
- 23 Since the end of the Cold War, American policy and
- 24 strategy in Europe have been guided by the idea that Russia
- 25 was, or at least might become, a reliable security partner.

- 1 To varying degrees, each of our last three Presidents
- 2 pursued a partnership with Russia on these terms. And each
- 3 time, high hopes ended in disappointment, not for lack of
- 4 good faith or effort on the American side, but because of
- 5 the simple fact that Vladimir Putin has no interest in such
- 6 a partnership. He believes achieving his goal of restoring
- 7 Russia as a great power means diminishing American power, as
- 8 well as the values and institutions it sustains and defends.
- 9 Unfortunately, we as a country were slow to recognize
- 10 that fact. Russia invaded Georgia and Ukraine, annexed
- 11 Crimea, repeatedly threatened our NATO allies, violated the
- 12 INF Treaty, rapidly modernized its military, executed a
- 13 major military buildup along its western border, and
- 14 interfered in American elections, all before policymakers on
- 15 both sides of the aisle truly began to come to terms not
- only with the reality of Vladimir Putin's neo-imperial
- 17 ambitions, but also with the heavy price we have paid for a
- 18 policy General Breedlove once described as, quote, hugging
- 19 the bear.
- 20 Until the end of the Cold War, there were a quarter of
- 21 a million U.S. forces stationed permanently in West Germany
- 22 alone. Today we have just a quarter of that number on the
- 23 entire European continent. This drastic reduction was not
- 24 merely the product of a post-Cold War peace dividend.
- 25 Indeed, as recently as the 2 years before Russia invaded

- 1 Ukraine, the United States withdrew two brigade combat teams
- 2 from Europe. As a result, while Russian tanks rolled into
- 3 Crimea in 2014, the United States had zero tanks permanently
- 4 stationed in Europe.
- 5 Likewise, we let American intelligence on Russia's
- 6 tactical and operational capabilities languish, weakening
- our ability to quickly detect Russia's large military
- 8 movements and effectively attribute its, quote, hybrid
- 9 warfare tactics. And we unilaterally disengaged from the
- 10 information fight, allowing Putin's propaganda machine and
- 11 army of trolls and hackers to wage a war on truth with
- 12 alarming success.
- 13 The bottom line is that 3 years after the invasion of
- 14 Ukraine and annexation of Crimea, the United States has yet
- 15 to heed the wakeup call. We still have not adjusted to the
- 16 scope, scale, and severity of the new strategic reality we
- 17 face in Europe. And we continue to lack coherent policy and
- 18 strategy to deter conflict and prevent aggression in Europe
- 19 while confronting a revisionist Russia that is hostile to
- 20 our interests and our values.
- 21 The good news is we have begun to fix the damage done
- 22 by years of false assumptions and misguided policy with the
- 23 European Deterrence Initiative. But that is just a first
- 24 step. The new administration has an opportunity to turn the
- 25 page and design a new policy and strategy in Europe backed

- 1 by all elements of American power and decisive political
- 2 will. Each of our witnesses has deep experience in the
- 3 formulation and execution of national security strategy, and
- 4 I hope they can begin to describe the basic pillars and
- 5 underlying principles of such a policy and strategy.
- 6 Some of the features of a new approach in Europe are
- 7 already clear: enhancing forward presence of U.S. military
- 8 forces; increasing investments in capabilities necessary to
- 9 counter Russia's advanced anti-access, area denial threat;
- 10 following through on modernization of our nuclear triad;
- 11 devising gray zone strategies for competition below the
- 12 threshold of major conflict in domains such as cyber and
- 13 unconventional warfare; providing defensive lethal
- 14 assistance to Ukraine; and working together with allies and
- 15 partners to arm ourselves to resist Russia's war on truth,
- 16 counter Russian disinformation, and strengthen the
- 17 resiliency of our societies and institutions.
- 18 What is also clear is that no U.S. policy or strategy
- in Europe can be successful without our NATO allies.
- 20 As Chancellor Merkel reminded us years ago, the Freedom
- 21 Bell hangs in Berlin. It was a gift from the American
- 22 people, modeled after our own Liberty Bell. It rang on the
- 23 day of German reunification. But it also rang after the
- 24 September 11th attacks. 16 German citizens died when the
- 25 towers fell that day. When our NATO allies invoked article

5 of the North Atlantic Treaty for the first time in history in response to those attacks, German troops went to fight side by side with American troops in Afghanistan. 54 of them have given their lives, and nearly 1,000 are still serving there today. We must never forget or diminish the price our allies have paid in blood fighting alongside America. I thank our witnesses for their testimony this morning. Senator Reed?

- 1 STATEMENT OF HON. JACK REED, U.S. SENATOR FROM RHODE
- 2 ISLAND
- 3 Senator Reed: Well, thank you very much, Mr. Chairman,
- 4 for holding this important hearing on the security
- 5 environment in Europe.
- I also want to thank our distinguished panel for
- 7 appearing before us this morning, and thank them for their
- 8 extraordinary service to the Nation in many different
- 9 capacities over many, many years. So we look forward to
- 10 your testimony, gentlemen.
- 11 This morning's hearing provides an opportunity for the
- 12 committee to begin to examine in more detail the threat
- 13 posed by Russia's malign activities aimed at undermining the
- 14 U.S.-led international order, one where countries are
- 15 sovereign and free to make their own choices about
- 16 integrating economically and politically with the rest of
- 17 Europe, rather than being coerced into a sphere of
- 18 influence. Hopefully this morning we can also discuss what
- 19 we need to do to respond to and defend against that Russian
- 20 threat.
- 21 This threat was brought especially close to home last
- 22 year with Russia's interference in our own presidential
- 23 elections. Countering Russia's malign activities is a
- 24 matter of national security, and we have a responsibility to
- 25 ensure that any examination of such activities by Congress,

- 1 the intelligence community, or the executive branch is not
- 2 politicized. Russia's attack on American democracy is just
- 3 one part of a broader Kremlin-directed assault on the
- 4 cohesion of the NATO alliance, the European Union, and other
- 5 Western institutions and a rejection of the post-Cold War
- 6 vision of an integrated and stable Europe. Our national
- 7 security depends on our better understanding Putin's world
- 8 view and Russia's strategic aims in its aggression toward
- 9 the West. I am interested hearing our witnesses' views on
- 10 these matters.
- 11 President Putin has proven willing to use a broad range
- of military and non-military tools to advance what he sees
- 13 as Russia's strategic interests. Militarily, Putin has used
- 14 force and coercion to violate the sovereignty of Russia's
- 15 neighbors and undermine their further integration into
- 16 Europe. In the Republic of Georgia, the Russian military
- 17 has occupied two separatist regions since 2008 and Moscow
- 18 has recognized the regions' independence from Georgia,
- 19 contrary to the international community's determination that
- 20 these regions are sovereign Georgian territory.
- In Ukraine, Russia uses hybrid warfare operations by
- 22 combining influence operations with clandestine military and
- 23 financial support to separatists to seize Crimea, changing
- 24 the boundary of a European nation by force for the first
- 25 time since the end of the Cold War. Since then, Russia has

- 1 sought to consolidate its control by providing direction and
- 2 equipment, including heavy weapons, to separatist forces in
- 3 eastern Ukraine, while failing to fulfill its commitments
- 4 under the Minsk ceasefire agreements.
- 5 We have also seen Putin draw upon similar tools to prop
- 6 up the Assad regime in Syria, while seeking to mislead the
- 7 international community by stating the purpose of its
- 8 military involvement there is to counter ISIS.
- 9 Putin has even gone so far as to engage in nuclear
- 10 saber rattling, conducting nuclear exercises during the 2014
- 11 Crimea invasion. According to recent news reports, Russia
- 12 is fielding a missile system that violates the Intermediate-
- 13 range Nuclear Forces, or INF, Treaty and threatens all of
- 14 NATO. I would be interested in hearing from General
- 15 Breedlove and our other witnesses about their thoughts on
- 16 whether U.S. and NATO military forces are appropriately
- 17 postured and trained to deter Russian aggression across
- 18 Europe and to respond in the event of a crisis.
- 19 At the same time, the Kremlin's playbook also includes
- 20 a wide range of non-military tools at Putin's disposal to
- 21 influence the West. Russia employs an array of covert and
- 22 overt asymmetric weapons short of military conflict,
- 23 including cyber hacking, disinformation, propaganda,
- 24 economic leverage, corruption, and even political
- 25 assassination. General Breedlove, I would be interested in

- 1 your recommendations from your time as EUCOM Commander and
- 2 SACEUR on how to detect and respond to the appearance of
- 3 "little green men" in Ukraine and Russian disinformation
- 4 operations intended to conceal Russian aggression on the
- 5 ground.
- In addition, we need to better understand how the
- 7 Kremlin is conducting influence activities as part of a
- 8 concerted effort to harm Western cohesion and opposition to
- 9 Russia. There needs to be a recognition that Russian state-
- 10 controlled media, such as RT and Sputnik, disseminates fake
- 11 news, amplified through social media, to undermine people's
- 12 faith in democratic institutions in Europe and in the United
- 13 States. Just last week, we heard warnings in the Banking
- 14 Committee about how divisions within the EU could weaken
- 15 sanctions imposed against Russia following its seizure of
- 16 the Crimea peninsula in Ukraine.
- 17 Moreover, Russia appears to be growing bolder in its
- 18 use of influence operations to coerce its neighbors and
- 19 undermine Western opposition. The January 2017 Intelligence
- 20 Community Assessment of Russian Activities and Intentions in
- 21 Recent U.S. Elections found that Russia's influence efforts
- 22 in the 2016 U.S. presidential election reflects a
- 23 significant escalation compared to Russia's previous
- 24 information operations. The report also warned that these
- 25 cyber-enabled multifaceted influence operations that the

- 1 Kremlin used to target the U.S. democratic process likely
- 2 represent a new normal in Russian conduct toward the United
- 3 States and our European allies and partners. This pattern
- 4 of Russian interference will only continue and intensify
- 5 over time if it goes unchallenged.
- 6 Countering this national security threat will require a
- 7 whole-of-government approach that brings together the
- 8 Departments of Defense, State, Homeland Security, and
- 9 others. I would be interested in our witnesses' thoughts on
- 10 how the U.S. Government needs to be organized to counter the
- 11 Russian influence threat and how Congress might resource
- 12 such an effort. I will ask our witnesses whether they agree
- 13 that significant cuts at the State Department and other
- 14 civilian agencies would significantly hamper our ability to
- 15 use diplomacy, strategic communications, and other foreign
- 16 policy tools to counter these Russian malign activities.
- 17 Finally, what is clear is that we need a comprehensive
- 18 strategy for countering the anti-Western aggression from the
- 19 Kremlin. Such a strategy will need to be based on a clear-
- 20 eyed understanding of Russia's strategic aims and how it is
- 21 using the full range of influence operations to achieve
- 22 these goals. I intend to work with Chairman McCain to
- 23 undertake the necessary effort within this committee to
- 24 examine this question in depth. I believe we can work in a
- 25 bipartisan fashion to address this national security threat.

1	I look forward to this morning's hearing to begin to shed
2	light on this critical issue for our country and for
3	European security.
4	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
5	Chairman McCain: I welcome the witnesses. General
6	Breedlove?
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
2.5	

- 1 STATEMENT OF GENERAL PHILIP M. BREEDLOVE, USAF (RET.),
- 2 DISTINGUISHED PROFESSOR, SAM NUNN SCHOOL OF INTERNATIONAL
- 3 AFFAIRS, GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
- 4 Mr. Breedlove: Chairman McCain, Ranking Member Reed,
- 5 thank you for this invitation to testify before you again.
- 6 And it is an honor to be here to talk about U.S. strategy
- 7 and policy in Europe, and in particular, I applaud your
- 8 inquiry into U.S.-Russia issues.
- 9 U.S.-Russian relations are very much in the news these
- 10 days. I believe it is appropriate given their importance,
- 11 and I believe it is essential to look at these relations in
- 12 a thorough, dispassionate way. That is what I hope we do
- 13 today. There is much to talk about a new start with the
- 14 Kremlin, and given the right framework and circumstances, I
- 15 believe that has merit.
- 16 Our current vector in U.S.-Russian relations is not a
- 17 good one, and I believe if we do not find the right
- 18 framework for engagement, it will not improve. The key is
- 19 that framework and how we proceed.
- 20 Russia is a great power with a proud history, and they
- 21 have the world's largest country in terms of territory, and
- 22 they are a player on the world stage. Russia possesses the
- 23 world's second most powerful military: a nuclear arsenal
- 24 comparable to ours and conventional forces that are easily
- 25 the most powerful in Europe. While its economy is stagnant

- 1 and it has been hit hard by the low prices of oil and
- 2 natural gas, it is still the 12th largest in terms of
- 3 dollars. We cannot simply dismiss Russia as a declining and
- 4 regional power.
- 5 Again, given the right framework, it makes great sense
- for our government to have meaningful discussions with
- 7 Russia at a number of levels. We have much to discuss with
- 8 the Kremlin. First, we would like to make sure our
- 9 relationship does not deteriorate further. While we have
- 10 more strategic matters to discuss, we need to address shared
- 11 concerns about Moscow's current practice of flying warplanes
- dangerously close to us, at times without their transponders
- 13 on, and causing problems with American and other NATO planes
- 14 and ships. Such incidents risk fatal accidents and even a
- 15 clash between the U.S. and Russia. We need to reestablish
- 16 substantive communication between our militaries in order to
- 17 avoid such incidents and, when they occur, to move toward
- 18 deconfliction.
- 19 If -- if -- Moscow really wants to improve relations,
- 20 progress on these questions should not be hard to achieve.
- 21 And with an incremental approach and incremental successes,
- 22 we can start to look for more substantial meetings to take
- 23 on more difficult questions. Once we make progress in
- 24 deconfliction, we can address more global issues of mutual
- 25 interest. Holding a summit possibly in the future to launch

- 1 that dialogue would signal a commitment by Washington and
- 2 Moscow and would provide an important opportunity to address
- 3 an issue important for over half a century, that of nuclear
- 4 disarmament. This area has been dormant for some time now.
- 5 Of course, before we can move to new agreements on nuclear
- 6 issues, it is important that Moscow moves quickly to cease
- 7 its violation of the Intermediate-range Nuclear Forces
- 8 agreement.
- 9 Iran is another important area for discussion. Moscow
- 10 and Iran have worked together closely in Syria, and Iran has
- 11 even provided Russian warplanes a base for a brief period of
- 12 time. Yet, at the same time, Moscow worked with us and
- 13 others in persuading Tehran to sign the agreement on its
- 14 nuclear program. Our administration has indicated that it
- 15 wants to take a second look and improve the terms of that
- 16 agreement. Is Moscow really willing to partner on this, or
- 17 does it prefer good relations with Tehran at the expense of
- 18 stability in the Persian Gulf?
- 19 A third area to discuss is working with the Russians to
- 20 counter Daesh or the Islamic State of Iraq and in the Levant
- 21 and other violent extremist organizations. If Moscow were a
- 22 reliable partner against Daesh, the advantages are obvious.
- 23 The complication, though, is that Moscow's military
- 24 operation in Syria has devoted little attention to these
- 25 extremists. It has instead been directed against our

- 1 moderate allies and lately as it works with Ankara against
- 2 the Kurds.
- In addition, Moscow's saturation bombing against towns
- 4 and cities has fueled refugee flows, exacerbating the
- 5 refugee crisis in Europe. In fact, there has been very
- 6 little overlap in our strategic objectives in Syria, and
- 7 Moscow's principal objective in Syria is to shore up the
- 8 weak, yet savage Assad regime. If we back off active
- 9 opposition to Assad, which I think would be a serious
- 10 concession to Mr. Putin, can we depend on Moscow to be a
- 11 real partner in Syria and beyond against Islamic extremism?
- We can add other issues to this possible dialogue.
- 13 Cooperation in dealing with drug trafficking and space
- 14 exploration should be on the table. There is ample
- 15 opportunity that the Kremlin and the White House can achieve
- 16 a great deal when our interests are similar and we work
- 17 together.
- 18 But we must, however, be realistic and not turn our
- 19 eyes from places where Moscow is challenging our interests.
- 20 President Putin has made clear that he wants to upend the
- 21 post-Cold War order established in Europe. He and senior
- 22 Russian officials have justified aggression in Ukraine by
- 23 claiming a right to protect ethnic Russians and Russian
- 24 speakers there. And they have said that this principle
- 25 applies elsewhere. Their goal is to weaken NATO, the

- 1 European Union, and the transatlantic relationship.
- Clearly there are two sides to every story. However,
- 3 over the past 9 years, as both of you have mentioned, the
- 4 Kremlin has committed multiple acts of aggression in Georgia
- 5 in 2008, in Crimea in 2014, and since then, an ongoing, not-
- 6 so-covert war in Ukraine's east. It has agreed to two
- 7 ceasefires and violated each repeatedly.
- 8 And Moscow has indicated by actions and statements that
- 9 if it succeeds in Ukraine, there could be future targets.
- 10 All three of our NATO allies in the Baltics, Estonia,
- 11 Latvia, and Lithuania, are worried. And two of them,
- 12 Estonia and Latvia, with their large ethnic Russian
- 13 populations are concerned that Russia might try to use them
- 14 as an excuse. Moscow sent this message when it kidnapped an
- 15 Estonian intelligence official from Estonia on the same day
- 16 that the Wales NATO summit ended in September of 2014.
- 17 We have a vital interest in stopping Moscow's
- 18 revanchist policies before they move to other countries,
- 19 especially our NATO allies in the Baltics. Yes, we can
- 20 conduct negotiations with Moscow on global issues, but we
- 21 also need to continue to strengthen NATO's presence along
- 22 its eastern flank. The Warsaw NATO summit last summer took
- 23 decisions to do that. The administration should endorse
- 24 those decisions and reaffirm our Article 5 commitment to
- 25 defend each NATO member under threat, and it should take the

- 1 lead in enhancing NATO capacities to deal with hybrid war,
- 2 as you both mentioned, the appearance of disguised Russian
- 3 agents or "little green men" in allied countries as an
- 4 example. To underscore our commitment to the Alliance, I
- 5 agree with the President's plan to meet first with his NATO
- 6 colleagues before seeing Mr. Putin.
- 7 Mr. Putin understands the value of negotiating from
- 8 strength. We can demonstrate our strength by developing a
- 9 more forward defensive force and a more forward defensive
- 10 force posture to deal with the Kremlin's challenges to
- 11 Europe. Additionally, we should more fully support Ukraine
- 12 against the Kremlin's aggression. In our past, we have been
- 13 reluctant to provide Ukraine with defensive weapons so as to
- 14 better defend itself. Our team should review that decision.
- 15 Part of this is maintaining the economic pressure on
- 16 Moscow. Our and Europe's economic sanctions, which cost the
- 17 Russian economy 1 to 1.5 percent of its GDP in 2015, were
- 18 imposed as an incentive for Moscow to meet its Minsk
- 19 commitments and withdraw from Ukraine's east and as a
- 20 deterrence against any additional aggression. It would be a
- 21 sign of weakness to ease those sanctions for anything less
- than Moscow's full compliance with Minsk, which means a full
- 23 restoration of the internationally recognized border between
- 24 Russia and Ukraine. The more trouble the Kremlin has in
- 25 conducting its war in Ukraine, the less likely it is to

- 1 cause trouble for us with our eastern NATO partners.
- 2 The last 6 months have demonstrated that we must
- 3 greatly improve our cyber defense to block and deter
- 4 operations that the Kremlin has been conducting against us
- 5 and others. The latest dump of documents via Wiki is
- 6 another reminder of the need to raise our cyber defense. We
- 7 also need to consider how we can respond to future cyber
- 8 attacks in ways -- perhaps not public -- that would deter
- 9 future cyber aggression. This is another subject for
- 10 discussion with Moscow once we strengthen our position.
- 11 Finally, sir, the world and the United States have
- 12 enjoyed extraordinary peace, stability, and prosperity since
- 13 the end of World War II and the Cold War. As just one
- 14 measure, in 1970 over 2 billion of the world's 3 billion
- 15 people lived in extreme poverty. In 2015, less than 1
- 16 billion of the globe's nearly 7 billion people are in
- 17 extreme poverty. An important reason for this is the peace
- 18 and stability created by the great institutions that the
- 19 U.S., with its European partners, put together at the end of
- 20 World War II, and that, sir, includes NATO.
- We have a vital interest in maintaining a strong NATO
- 22 and a vibrant Europe.
- 23 A dialogue with Moscow is possible. So too is
- 24 cooperation. If the Kremlin is ready to work with us
- 25 against Daesh or to improve the Iranian nuclear deal, we

1	should be	ready.	But we sh	ould not	be shy or	hesitant a	about
2	defending	our inte	rests whe	n we are	under cha	llenge from	n the
3	Kremlin.	A policy	of stren	gth requ	ires nothi	ng less.	
4	[The	prepared	statemen	t of Mr.	Breedlove	follows:	
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
0							
1							
.2							
.3							
L 4							
L5							
L 6							
L7							
L8							
L9							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
5							

1	Chairman	McCain:	Ambassador	Burns,	welcome	back.
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

- 1 STATEMENT OF AMBASSADOR WILLIAM J. BURNS, PRESIDENT,
- 2 CARNEGIE ENDOWMENT FOR INTERNATIONAL PEACE
- 3 Ambassador Burns: Thank you very much. Chairman
- 4 McCain, Ranking Member Reed, members of the committee, I am
- 5 honored to be with you again, and I am honored to join
- 6 General Breedlove and Ambassador Vershbow. And I am glad to
- 7 offer a few very brief thoughts on the challenges posed by
- 8 Putin's Russia and what to do about it.
- 9 In the quarter century since the end of the Cold War,
- 10 profound grievances, misperceptions, and disappointments
- 11 have often defined the relationship between the United
- 12 States and Russia. I lived through this turbulence during
- 13 my years as a diplomat in Moscow, navigating the curious mix
- of hope and humiliation that I remember so vividly, and the
- 15 Russia Boris Yeltsin and the pugnacity and raw ambition of
- 16 Vladimir Putin's Kremlin. And I lived through it in
- 17 Washington, serving both Republican and Democratic
- 18 administrations.
- 19 There have been more than enough illusions on both
- 20 sides. The United States has oscillated between visions of
- 21 an enduring partnership with Moscow and dismissing it as a
- 22 sulking regional power in terminal decline. Russia has
- 23 moved between notions of a strategic partnership with the
- 24 United States and a later deeper desire to upend the current
- 25 international order where a dominant United States consigns

- 1 Russia to a subordinate role.
- 2 The reality in my view is that our relationship with
- 3 Russia will remain competitive and often adversarial for the
- 4 foreseeable future. At its core is a fundamental disconnect
- 5 in outlook and about each other's role in the world.
- 6 President Putin's deeply troubling interference in our
- 7 elections, like his broader foreign policy, has at least two
- 8 motivating factors.
- 9 The first is his conviction that the surest path to
- 10 restoring Russia as a great power comes at the expense of an
- 11 American-led order. Resentful of what he and many in the
- 12 Russian political elite perceive as a pattern of the West
- 13 taking advantage of Russia's moment of historic weakness,
- 14 Putin wants Russia unconstrained by Western values and
- 15 institutions, free to pursue a sphere of influence.
- 16 The second motivating factor is closely connected to
- 17 the first. The legitimacy of Putin's system of repressive
- 18 domestic control depends on the existence of external
- 19 threats. Surfing on high oil prices, he used to be able to
- 20 bolster his social contract with the Russian people through
- 21 rising standards of living. But Putin has lost that card in
- 22 a world of lower energy prices and Western sanctions and
- 23 with a one-dimensional economy in which real reform is
- 24 trumped by the imperative of political control and the
- 25 corruption that lubricates it.

- 1 The ultimate realist, Putin is not blind to Russia's
- 2 relative weakness but regularly demonstrates that declining
- 3 powers can be at least as disruptive as rising powers. He
- 4 tends to see a target-rich environment around him. If he
- 5 cannot easily build Russia up, he can take the United States
- 6 down a few pegs with his characteristic tactical agility and
- 7 willingness to play rough and take risks. If he cannot have
- 8 a deferential government in Kyiv, he can grab Crimea and try
- 9 to engineer the next best thing, a dysfunctional Ukraine.
- 10 If he cannot abide the risk of regime upheaval in Syria, he
- 11 can flex Russia's military muscle, emasculate the West, and
- 12 preserve Bashar al Assad atop the rubble of Aleppo. If he
- 13 cannot directly intimidate the European Union, he can
- 14 accelerate its unraveling by supporting anti-union
- 15 nationalists and exploiting the wave of migration spawned in
- 16 part by his own brutality. If we cannot directly confront
- 17 NATO, he can probe for fissures within it and make mischief
- 18 in the Balkans.
- 19 So what do we do about all of this? Russia is still
- 20 too big, proud, and influential to ignore and still the only
- 21 nuclear power comparable to the United States. It remains a
- 22 major player on problems from the Arctic to Iran and North
- 23 Korea. The challenge before us, it seems to me, is to
- 24 manage without illusions a difficult and combative
- 25 relationship. I would highlight five key elements of a

- 1 realistic strategy.
- 2 First, we need to sustain and, if necessary, amplify
- 3 the steps we have taken in response to Russian hacking. It
- 4 would be foolish to think that Russia's serious assault on
- 5 our election can or should be played down however
- 6 inconvenient. Russia challenged the integrity of our
- 7 democratic system, and it sees Europe's 2017 electoral
- 8 landscape as the next battlefield.
- 9 Second, we have to reassure our European allies of our
- 10 absolute commitment to NATO, as General Breedlove stressed.
- 11 In diplomacy, remembering your base is just as important as
- 12 it is in politics, and it is what should guide our policy
- 13 toward Russia. Our network of allies is not a millstone
- 14 around America's neck, but a powerful asset that sets us
- 15 apart from relatively lonely major powers like Russia and
- 16 China.
- 17 Third, we have to stay sharply focused on Ukraine, a
- 18 country's whose fate will be critical to the future of
- 19 Europe and the future of Russia over the next generation.
- 20 This is not about the distant aspirations of NATO or
- 21 European Union membership. It is about helping Ukrainian
- 22 leaders build the successful political and economic system
- 23 that Russia seeks to subvert. This is just one dramatic
- 24 example of why the administration's proposed foreign
- 25 assistance cuts are so terribly shortsighted.

- 1 Fourth, we should be wary of superficially appealing
- 2 notions like a common war on Islamic extremism or a common
- 3 effort to contain China. Russia's bloody role in Syria and
- 4 its continued attachment to Assad make the terrorist threat
- 5 worse, not better. Its long-term concerns about a rising
- 6 China to its east are real, but for now, Putin has little
- 7 inclination to sacrifice the relationship with Beijing,
- 8 critical to the more immediate objective of eroding an
- 9 American-led order.
- 10 Fifth and finally, we need to focus on critical and
- 11 practical priorities like rebuilding habits of communication
- 12 between the U.S. and Russian militaries, again as General
- 13 Breedlove stressed, to help forestall inadvertent collisions
- in Europe or in the Middle East. As former Senator Sam Nunn
- 15 has argued, we should engage in our own cold-blooded self-
- 16 interest, as well as Russia's, on issues where we can both
- 17 benefit, particularly reducing the risks of nuclear
- 18 confrontation and of nuclear or radiological materials
- 19 falling into the wrong hands. For all our profound
- 20 differences, Russia and the United States share a unique
- 21 capability and a unique responsibility to reduce nuclear
- 22 risks.
- 23 Mr. Chairman, I have no illusions about the challenge
- 24 before us. It really pays to neglect or underestimate
- 25 Russia or display gratuitous disrespect, but I am also

convinced that firmness and vigilance and a healthy grasp of the limits of the possible are the best way to deal with the combustible combination of grievance and insecurity that Vladimir Putin embodies. We have a better hand to play than he does. We should play it methodically, confident in our enduring strengths, and unapologetic about our values. Thank you very much. [The prepared statement of Ambassador Burns follows:] [COMMITTEE INSERT]

1	Chairman	McCain:	Thank	you.
2	Ambassado	or Vershbo	w?	
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

- 1 STATEMENT OF AMBASSADOR ALEXANDER R. VERSHBOW,
- 2 DISTINGUISHED FELLOW, BRENT SCOWCROFT CENTER ON
- 3 INTERNATIONAL SECURITY, ATLANTIC COUNCIL
- 4 Ambassador Vershbow: Thank you. Chairman McCain,
- 5 Ranking Member Reed, other members of the committee, it is
- 6 an honor for me to be able to speak before you today on U.S.
- 7 security strategy and policy in Europe and, in particular,
- 8 how to meet the challenge posed by an aggressive revisionist
- 9 Russia.
- I submitted a longer prepared statement in which I
- 11 describe the many dimensions of the Russian challenge. Of
- 12 course, the watershed event occurred exactly 3 years ago
- 13 with the illegal annexation of Crimea and the launching of
- 14 the ongoing campaign to destabilize other parts of Ukraine.
- 15 President Putin tore up the international rulebook, and he
- 16 ended a period, as you said, Mr. Chairman, of more than 20
- 17 years when we looked to Russia as a potential partner.
- 18 And 3 years later, the Russian challenge has become
- 19 even more serious. Not only have they continued the
- 20 aggression against Ukraine, they have engaged in political
- 21 aggression against our societies using cyber attacks,
- 22 disinformation, and influence operations to affect the
- 23 outcome of elections and undermine confidence in our
- 24 democratic institutions.
- 25 In essence, Russia is trying to undo decades of

- 1 progress toward a more stable and integrated Euro-Atlantic
- 2 community and to go back to the days when Russia dominated
- 3 its neighbors through force and coercion. It aims to weaken
- 4 and divide NATO and the European Union and to reduce their
- 5 attractiveness to other European nations. It even sponsored
- 6 an armed coup d'etat in Montenegro last year to derail that
- 7 country's accession to NATO. It wants to reduce U.S.
- 8 influence in the world. But I think the main driver of what
- 9 is going on is a determination to preserve the Putin
- 10 regime's grip on power by discrediting any Western-oriented
- 11 alternative and distracting the Russian people from the
- 12 country's economic decline. So as Bill Burns said, that
- 13 requires an external enemy.
- Of course, now the challenge to the international order
- 15 extends to the Middle East with devastating consequences for
- 16 the people of Syria while contributing little to
- 17 international efforts to defeat ISIS. All of this is
- 18 occurring against a backdrop of a massive upgrading of
- 19 Russian military forces in every domain while Russia flouts
- 20 its obligations under arms control agreements, including
- 21 violating the INF Treaty.
- 22 So while we should always seek constructive relations
- 23 with Russia, we must approach that relationship without any
- 24 illusions. Since it is Russia's actions which have
- 25 fundamentally changed our relationship, any change for the

- 1 better depends on changes in Russian behavior. To get
- 2 there, we need a comprehensive strategy that builds upon the
- 3 combined material and moral strength of our close allies and
- 4 partners in Europe and around the world. As in the Cold
- 5 War, we must engage with Russia but from a position of
- 6 strength.
- Now, what would be the elements of a comprehensive
- 8 strategy? As you know, I spent the last 5 years as Deputy
- 9 Secretary-General of NATO, and I am pleased to say that the
- 10 Alliance is in a much stronger position than it was 3 years
- 11 ago militarily and politically to meet the Russian
- 12 challenge. And General Breedlove deserves a lot of the
- 13 credit for that.
- 14 Since 2014, NATO has carried out the most significant
- 15 increase in its collective defense posture for a generation.
- 16 Allies have begun to reverse the decline in defense
- 17 spending. They have increased NATO's ability to reinforce
- 18 allies at short notice, increased the scale and frequency of
- 19 exercises, boosted cyber and missile defense, strengthened
- 20 intelligence sharing, and tried to speed up decision-making
- 21 in a crisis.
- 22 At Warsaw last July, allies decided that credible
- 23 deterrence also required additional forces on the ground.
- 24 So they agreed to deploy multinational battalions in Poland,
- 25 Lithuania, Latvia, and Estonia, and also to increase NATO's

- 1 presence in Southeastern Europe as well. So now if Russian
- 2 troops or "little green men" cross the borders, they will
- 3 immediately face troops from across the Alliance from both
- 4 sides of the Atlantic rather than just national forces.
- I am pleased to say that the U.S. is playing a very key
- 6 role in implementing these decisions, leading the battalion
- 7 in Poland and contributing additional combat capabilities
- 8 under the European Deterrence Initiative. This initiative
- 9 is critical to the credibility of NATO's defense and
- 10 deterrence posture, and I hope it will continue to receive
- 11 full support from the new administration and the Congress as
- 12 a demonstration of our unequivocal commitment to the
- 13 Alliance.
- 14 But the U.S. is not shouldering this burden alone. I
- 15 am pleased to say that the UK, Canada, and Germany are
- 16 leading NATO's battalions in the three Baltic States, and 12
- other allies have stepped up to provide units.
- 18 Nevertheless, there is a lot more that our allies need
- 19 to do in the coming years, which I spell out in my written
- 20 statement. They have to contribute more follow-on forces,
- 21 more investments in air and missile defense, precision
- 22 strike, anti-submarine warfare capabilities to counter
- 23 Russian A2/AD capabilities. And that all requires
- 24 resources, and I hope that by the time of the mini-summit in
- 25 late May, that all allies will have concrete plans to

- 1 accelerate the increasing of their defense spending to meet
- 2 the 2 percent of GDP goal.
- Now, we cannot just circle the wagons and strengthen
- 4 NATO's 28 members alone. There is also a need to do more to
- 5 bolster the capabilities of Russia's neighbors who are
- 6 directly threatened by Moscow and strengthen our
- 7 partnerships with countries like Sweden and Finland who can
- 8 help the Alliance, especially in the Baltic Sea region. Our
- 9 packages of support for Ukraine and Georgia through NATO
- 10 have helped with the defense reforms, but they would benefit
- 11 from a lot more resources.
- Bilaterally, the U.S. nonlethal defensive weapons
- 13 assistance and training has helped Ukraine's armed forces
- 14 prevent further Russian incursions in the Donbas, but we
- 15 should consider expanding the support quantitatively and
- 16 qualitatively to include lethal systems such as anti-tank
- 17 weapons, UAVs, and air defenses if Russia continues its
- 18 aggression in eastern Ukraine.
- 19 And of course, while it is not our focus today, NATO
- 20 needs to look south as well as east when it comes to
- 21 strengthening its neighbors. A bigger effort in defense
- 22 capacity building for partners in the Middle East and North
- 23 Africa could address the root causes of terrorism and
- 24 migration.
- 25 Now, Russian interference in our presidential election

- 1 and its similar efforts in Europe call for a strong response
- 2 both at the national level and through NATO and the EU. We
- 3 need to do more to ensure the integrity of our election
- 4 processes and institutions against cyber attacks and foreign
- 5 manipulation. We should devote additional resources to
- 6 detecting and analyzing Russian propaganda and influence
- 7 operations, work with the social media companies to label or
- 8 take down false stories before they go viral, and expand
- 9 radio, TV, and Internet broadcasting, especially in the
- 10 Russian language, to debunk disinformation and fake news.
- 11 We should not fight propaganda with propaganda, however, but
- 12 project a positive narrative about what the West stands for.
- 13 I think NATO could take a bigger role in the countering
- 14 influence operations and Russian active measures. These may
- 15 not be traditionally in NATO's mandate, but defending
- 16 societies is just as important as defending borders. And
- 17 here we should join forces with the European Union to forge
- 18 and integrate a strategy for countering the whole spectrum
- 19 of hybrid warfare methods since NATO does not have all the
- 20 necessary tools.
- Now, just a few words on how to engage with Russia.
- 22 First of all, I would agree that we need a unified approach
- 23 with our democratic allies, one consistent with our shared
- 24 values and principles. This means, first of all, that
- 25 engagement should address head on the fundamental reason why

- 1 relations have deteriorated in the first place: Russia's
- 2 aggression against Ukraine and its violation of the rules
- 3 that have kept the peace in Europe since the end of World
- 4 War II.
- 5 Time is of the essence. In recent days, Russia has
- 6 increased its military and political pressure on Ukraine.
- 7 The Minsk process led by Germany and France may have
- 8 prevented further deterioration up until now, but it does
- 9 not provide sufficient leverage to induce Russia to reverse
- 10 course and withdraw its forces and its proxies from the
- 11 occupied territories. So I would argue that stronger, high-
- 12 level U.S. diplomatic engagement working with Kyiv, Berlin,
- 13 and Paris may be necessary to achieve real progress and
- 14 avoid another intractable frozen conflict.
- So I would urge the Trump administration to make
- 16 solving of the conflict in eastern Ukraine the litmus test
- 17 and the essential first step in any reengagement effort with
- 18 Moscow, and as a first step, we should consult with our
- 19 allies to develop a common strategy. Yes. There may be
- 20 things to talk about with Russia on Iran, ISIS, North Korea,
- 21 but the core issue that we need to tackle head on is the
- 22 aggression in Ukraine. Any bargain with Moscow and any
- 23 easing of sanctions should be contingent on fully
- 24 implementing the Minsk agreements and restoring Ukrainian
- 25 sovereignty over the Donbas, including control over its

- 1 international borders. Anything less would reward Russian
- 2 aggression and only embolden Mr. Putin further.
- 3 Last but not least, successful pursuit of the kind of
- 4 strategy I have outlined depends on Western unity and
- 5 resolve. That unity and resolve is being tested not just by
- 6 external challengers like Putin and ISIS, it is also
- 7 threatened from within: Brexit, public dissatisfaction with
- 8 illegal migration, and slow economic growth, a Turkey that
- 9 seems to be drifting away from Western values, to name just
- 10 a few. As in the past, U.S. leadership will be essential in
- 11 holding NATO together and in ensuring that decision-making
- 12 by consensus continues to be effective. And at the same
- 13 time, the U.S. needs to demonstrate in word and deed that it
- 14 supports a strong, united Europe as an indispensable partner
- 15 in dealing with Russia and other challenges even as we work
- 16 to overcome differences on trade or refugee policy.
- 17 The perception that the Trump administration is
- 18 skeptical about the European Project could exacerbate
- 19 internal divisions within Europe and provide openings for
- 20 Russian mischief making.
- 21 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- [The prepared statement of Ambassador Vershbow
- 23 follows:

24

25

- 1 Chairman McCain: Thank you, Ambassador.
- 2 General Breedlove and Ambassador Burns, Ambassador
- 3 Vershbow just mentioned the need to provide lethal defensive
- 4 weapons to Ukraine. Do you agree with that, Ambassador
- 5 Burns?
- 6 Ambassador Burns: If Russia continues its aggression
- 7 in eastern Ukraine or stimulates another significant
- 8 escalation of fighting, I do.
- 9 I think that what is important, though, is -- all of us
- 10 I think emphasized the significance of alliance unity and to
- 11 make sure that we are working these issues with our key NATO
- 12 partners as well, as well as with the EU, because we want to
- 13 just keep our eye on the importance of sustaining sanctions
- 14 as well, the economic sanctions that exist, until there is
- 15 full implementation of Minsk.
- 16 Chairman McCain: Would you not agree that from a
- 17 morale purposes alone, much less capability, that it would
- 18 be helpful to give lethal defensive weapons to Ukrainians?
- 19 Ambassador Burns: I think it would, again especially
- 20 in the face of an escalation of Russian-inspired fighting in
- 21 eastern Ukraine.
- 22 Again, the only thing I would emphasize is the
- 23 importance of very close consultation with our allies so
- 24 that this does not become a contentious source of debate and
- 25 an opportunity for Putin to drive wedges between us and our

- 1 NATO and EU allies. That is all.
- 2 Chairman McCain: Good point.
- 3 General Breedlove?
- 4 Mr. Breedlove: Sir, I believe that every nation has a
- 5 right to defend itself, and my recommendation on this has
- 6 not changed since when I was in my previous capacity and I
- 7 do support that.
- 8 Chairman McCain: Ambassador Vershbow, there is a
- 9 little country called Montenegro. There are only 650,000
- 10 people there. As of February, 23 of the 28 member states
- 11 approved the accession of Montenegro into NATO. Why is the
- 12 accession of Montenegro so important, and why does Russia
- oppose the accession of such a small country?
- 14 Ambassador Vershbow: Well, Mr. Chairman, I think the
- 15 number is now up to 25, and I hope the U.S. will join the
- 16 ranks of those who have ratified.
- 17 I think Montenegro's accession is important, in part,
- 18 as a matter of principle that since the end of the Cold War,
- 19 we have taken the position, together with our allies, that
- 20 countries should be able to seek membership in NATO if they
- 21 can meet the criteria and contribute to stability in their
- 22 region and in Europe at large. We put them through a lot of
- 23 rigorous reforms and defense improvements to meet those
- 24 criteria. Montenegro did what we expected of them.
- 25 I think it also is a contribution to stability in the

- 1 western Balkans, which is still unfinished business. We
- 2 still see internal divisions in Bosnia. We still see
- 3 problems now in Macedonia. So I think setting an example
- 4 that countries that do do their homework, meet the criteria,
- 5 contribute to stability in their neighborhood can become
- 6 members of NATO, even if they do not bring a huge amount of
- 7 defense capability to the Alliance.
- Russia opposes this because they I think are trying to
- 9 draw a red line in the face of any further NATO enlargement.
- 10 They are most concerned about Ukraine and Georgia, but I
- 11 think they see the Balkans as an area of traditional
- 12 influence for Russia, and they are using all kinds of means,
- 13 including the coup that I mentioned, to detail Montenegro's
- 14 accession even at this late stage of the process.
- 15 Chairman McCain: Even to the point where they tried to
- 16 orchestrate a coup to overthrow the democratically elected
- 17 government.
- 18 Ambassador Vershbow: Indeed. And even Serbia, which
- 19 is ambivalent about NATO, I think was quite alarmed that
- 20 their territory was used to hatch a plot against a
- 21 neighboring state that they consider a friend and not an
- 22 enemy.
- 23 Chairman McCain: Ambassador Burns?
- 24 Ambassador Burns: No. I agree absolutely. I think it
- 25 is important for the United States to follow through and

- 1 join our other NATO allies in approving Montenegro's
- 2 accession.
- 3 Chairman McCain: Some of us believe, General
- 4 Breedlove, that Vladimir Putin may test us more by further
- 5 misbehavior in Ukraine. If that happens, which there are
- 6 some indications of that already, what should be our
- 7 response?
- 8 Mr. Breedlove: Chairman, thank you.
- 9 An axiom remains from my childhood behavior with my
- 10 father, and that is we should not reward bad behavior. And
- 11 so I believe that we should better equip Ukraine to meet
- 12 those challenges.
- 13 And I think Ambassador Burns made a very important
- 14 point too. We need to work with our allies to bring them
- 15 along with us to the same conclusion and set its support. I
- 16 have offered thoughts in the past about defensive weaponry
- 17 and ways that we can help Ukraine to have more resiliency in
- 18 the face of this tough pressure, and I think those are all
- 19 still very valid.
- 20 Chairman McCain: Senator Reed?
- 21 Senator Reed: Well, thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.
- 22 And gentlemen, thank you for your outstanding
- 23 testimony. Very insightful and extremely timely.
- One issue I think we all agree upon is that a military
- 25 response is necessary, strengthening NATO -- and I joined

- 1 the chairman with his leadership in advocating for providing
- 2 defensive weapons to Ukraine several years ago. That is
- 3 necessary but not sufficient. What we also require is an
- 4 economic and geopolitical strategy.
- 5 And I go to some of the points that were raised by all
- of the panelists. It seems to me that as Ambassador
- 7 Vershbow pointed out, the Ukraine is a key test of our
- 8 resolve. There it is not just defensive weapons, it is
- 9 significant aid for political capacity building, anti-
- 10 corruption efforts, diversifying the energy from Russian
- 11 supply exclusively to non-Russian supply. That calls for an
- 12 all-of-government approach and significant resources.
- 13 Perhaps the analogy is after World War II, it just was not
- 14 lots and lots of U.S. soldiers and airmen, but it was the
- 15 Marshall Plan that helped. Although that might be out of
- 16 our scope at the moment, we have to make significant
- 17 commitments beyond just military support.
- 18 And also, the point again that the Ambassador made
- 19 about the weaknesses or the perception in Europe of
- 20 disarray, EU under pressure, Brexit. And it is alarming
- 21 when we have American voices sort of cheering on Brexit,
- 22 cheering on sort of some elements that would encourage the
- 23 dismemberment of the EU rather than its strengthening.
- 24 So I would ask all of you just to comment in general
- 25 about this notion of necessary military support, but we have

- 1 to go the extra step across our entire government. General
- 2 Breedlove?
- 3 Mr. Breedlove: Sir, I fully agree, and what we talk
- 4 about occasionally is using all the elements of our Nation's
- 5 power. We use a simple model in the military. We are
- 6 taught DIME, diplomatic, informational, military, and
- 7 economic. Certainly Russia uses all of those tools in
- 8 putting pressure on Ukraine, and our not only the United
- 9 States, but the Western response should contain all of
- 10 those.
- 11 And then also, as you mentioned, considering how we can
- 12 help nations like Ukraine who are under pressure in that
- 13 more broad front, I completely agree that the answer does
- 14 not only lie in the military.
- 15 Senator Reed: Ambassador Burns?
- 16 Ambassador Burns: No. I absolutely agree. I think
- 17 that kind of a comprehensive strategy is essential, and I
- 18 would just add two points, I think one kind of strategic and
- 19 more specific to some parts of Europe.
- The strategic point is that I think now more than ever,
- 21 it is important for the United States to invest in our
- transatlantic relationships at a moment when our partners
- 23 and our allies in Europe are under pressure almost any place
- 24 you look on the geographic compass from the west, the issue
- of Brexit; from the south, whether it is terrorism or

- 1 migration flows; and from the east, a resurgent Russia. And
- 2 so it is very important for us to invest in that
- 3 relationship and recognize its significance to almost
- 4 anything the United States wants to achieve in the world.
- 5 The second and more specific comment has to do with
- 6 what you said about Ukraine and our earlier conversation
- 7 about the Balkans. I think what is at stake in Ukraine is
- 8 enormously important for the United States and for our
- 9 European allies. It is partly about security and defense,
- 10 but it is also partly, just as you said, Senator Reed, about
- 11 the economic and political health of Ukraine. It is true
- 12 the Ukrainian leadership has to do its own part and has to
- 13 climb out of a hole, which in part is self-inflected in
- 14 terms of corruption over the years. But you now have a
- 15 leadership that is beginning to do that, and we need the
- 16 kind of sustained focus and resources from the United
- 17 States, from Europe that is going to help Ukrainians to help
- 18 themselves at this critical moment. And I think the same is
- 19 true in the Balkans where we have to keep our eye on the
- 20 ball as well.
- 21 Senator Reed: Ambassador Vershbow?
- 22 Ambassador Vershbow: Thank you.
- 23 I would agree with my colleagues that supporting
- 24 Ukraine and all of Russia's neighbors that are targeted by
- 25 Putin for his sphere of influence deserve our support, and

- 1 that is a comprehensive effort, military, political,
- 2 economic, helping them fight corruption. And, of course,
- 3 Ukraine in the last 3 years, despite having to fight an
- 4 undeclared war in its eastern provinces, has made more
- 5 progress on reform than in the previous 20-plus years since
- 6 independence in 1991. And a lot of the support they are
- 7 getting for that effort is coming from our European
- 8 partners. So it is not just the U.S. that is trying to help
- 9 them shore up their security, their resilience, their
- 10 economy and to fight corruption, which is the real big
- 11 challenge that they face.
- 12 So the cuts in State Department resources for these
- 13 sorts of programs are misguided. This is not charity, but
- 14 it is investing in greater stability and security in Europe
- 15 because a more resilient and secure Ukraine is really the
- 16 best response to Putin's aggression. And the more that
- 17 Ukraine succeeds in establishing a democratic society with a
- 18 robust economy, the more it will send a signal to the people
- 19 of Russia that the kind of system that they are stuck with
- 20 under President Putin is less desirable than going back to
- 21 the path of openness, reform, and better relations with the
- 22 West at the same time.
- 23 Senator Reed: Thank you.
- 24 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 25 Chairman McCain: Senator Wicker?

- 1 Senator Wicker: Well, I appreciate the excellent
- 2 testimony, and I agree that the more Ukraine succeeds, the
- 3 better off it is for us in the United States and the West,
- 4 and I think it is one of the most profoundly important
- 5 issues that we face in the next year or 2.
- 6 Let me see if I have discovered a little bit of a
- 7 distance between our witnesses today. Ambassador Burns, you
- 8 took most of your testimony from an article that you
- 9 previously wrote in the "New York Times." You have four
- 10 steps, and then you went beyond that in your oral testimony
- 11 today to mention that, nevertheless, in spite of everything
- 12 Russia has done and all of our problems, there are still
- 13 areas of cooperation that we could reach.
- So would you restate that and be more specific? And
- 15 then I will ask General Breedlove and the Ambassador to
- 16 respond to this idea that you have.
- 17 Ambassador Burns: Sure, I would be glad to, Senator.
- 18 My only point is that I think cold-bloodedly from the point
- 19 of view of not only American interests but Russian interests
- 20 as well and wider international interests, it is important
- 21 for us to continue to engage with Russia on issues like the
- 22 safety and security of nuclear materials, the danger of
- 23 nuclear terrorism, the danger of nuclear and radiological
- 24 materials getting in the wrong hands. Those are issues
- 25 where I think the United States and Russia, precisely

- 1 because of our history and our nuclear arsenals and our
- 2 capability, really do have unique responsibilities.
- 3 And then I think as General Breedlove said, I think it
- 4 is also important for us, even as we did at the worst
- 5 moments of tension in the Cold War, to sustain a habit of
- 6 military-to-military communication. So we are avoiding
- 7 inadvertent collisions whether it is over the Baltic States
- 8 or in the Middle East or elsewhere. I think there is
- 9 practical in that for us, whatever ever our profound
- 10 differences with Russia on many other issues.
- 11 Senator Wicker: General Breedlove, are you on the same
- 12 page there?
- 13 Mr. Breedlove: Yes, Senator. There is no air between
- 14 us and those conversations. I would add things like
- 15 transparency and exercises. Just yesterday, another major
- 16 SNAP exercise in Crimea aimed at destabilizing Kyiv. Loose
- 17 nuclear materials. Senator Nunn is working on that hard.
- 18 It is a place where we can absolutely find, I think, some
- 19 common ground. And believe in CT in many ways. They are as
- 20 worried about what is coming out of Afghanistan and the
- 21 Balkans as we are. So I do believe, again, reestablishing
- trust in an incremental way, we need to sit down and work on
- 23 these things.
- 24 Senator Wicker: Ambassador Vershbow, you are on the
- 25 same page there?

- 1 Ambassador Vershbow: Yes, Senator. I would agree that
- 2 even with these fundamental differences, we have to try to
- 3 manage the relationship, as Ambassador Burns said. And that
- 4 is I think, in the short term, maybe the most we can do,
- 5 which is try to reduce the risks of some accidental incident
- 6 escalating out of control, trying to persuade the Russians
- 7 not to give their pilots the freedom to provoke our ships
- 8 and surveillance planes, more transparency, bringing more
- 9 observers to exercise it so we do not miscalculate in a
- 10 crisis.
- And there may be geopolitical issues where we could try
- 12 to cooperate with Russia although, even as we have heard,
- 13 fighting ISIS is not as clear as it might seam, but the
- 14 Russians really have the same objectives in Syria or other
- 15 parts of the Middle East as we do. But we should test Putin
- on whether he is actually able to contribute something real,
- 17 and we do not have to trade the sovereighty of Ukraine in
- 18 order to get him to cooperate on ISIS. If he wants to do
- 19 that, he should do it on its merits.
- 20 Senator Wicker: Okay. Thank you very much.
- Will one of you comment or all of you comment on the
- 22 value of OSCE in all of this? OSCE is a 57-nation group.
- 23 The United States and Canada are members. It is consensus-
- 24 based, and it has been challenged in recent years by a far
- 25 more aggressive Russia. The organization's highest profile

- 1 engagement remains the fielding of an 1,100-person special
- 2 monitoring mission to Ukraine, an unarmed civilian mission
- 3 that serves as the international community's eyes and ears
- 4 in the conflict zone. Of course, there are many other
- 5 duties of OSCE. But what value should we place on OSCE's
- 6 continuing role in the European security architecture?
- 7 Ambassador Burns?
- 8 Ambassador Burns: Yes, I am glad to start, Senator.
- 9 I guess I would say for all the limitations of the OSCE
- 10 as a big, sprawling institution, as you described, I think
- 11 it has continuing value, first because it embodies some of
- 12 the core values that we share with our European allies and
- 13 partners in terms of sovereignty of states, you know, the
- 14 inviolability of borders so that --
- 15 Senator Wicker: Those Helsinki principles.
- 16 Ambassador Burns: Right, so that big states do not
- 17 just get to grab parts of smaller states just because they
- 18 can. And so for all the limitations of the institution, I
- 19 think its core value is because it really does embody the
- 20 Helsinki principles, and it is important for us to continue
- 21 to invest in that. It also does good work in terms of the
- 22 monitoring function that you described in Ukraine and
- elsewhere.
- 24 Senator Wicker: General?
- 25 Mr. Breedlove: Senator, if I could just add a much

- 1 more tactical -- and I am sorry for that -- observation.
- 2 There are limits and I could not agree with that more. But
- 3 occasionally with some of the fake news that was created in
- 4 the Donbas and other places as Russia invaded, even though
- 5 OSCE was challenged in it, often it was the source of the
- 6 real news of what was actually going on on the ground. And
- 7 so, again, it has challenges but it also provides some
- 8 pretty good input for us occasionally.
- 9 Ambassador Vershbow: I would agree that OSCE still has
- 10 value, particularly because of the norms and values that it
- 11 upholds, even though the Russians are violating a lot of
- 12 those right now. But it gives us a basis on which to
- 13 challenge their misbehavior.
- 14 Its practical value may have declined because the
- 15 Russians have sort of turned against OSCE. They do not
- 16 really like its efforts to promote free elections and
- 17 transparency in the political processes since that is the
- 18 antithesis of what their system now represents.
- 19 The special monitoring mission in Ukraine I think has
- 20 been very courageous in trying to make the disengagement
- 21 work even half well. But even as the Russians authorize
- 22 missions like that, they shoot down the UAVs that have been
- 23 purchased by that mission. They threaten some of the
- 24 monitors. They have denied them access to sensitive areas
- 25 when they are bringing lots of weaponry. So OSCE is

- 1 challenged, but I do not see any alternative right now in
- 2 trying to manage a conflict like in eastern Ukraine.
- 3 Senator Wicker: Thank you.
- 4 Chairman McCain: Senator Shaheen?
- 5 Senator Shaheen: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 6 And thank you all for being here this morning.
- 7 Ambassador Vershbow, you talked about the importance of
- 8 shoring up the European unity, and NATO is clearly one of
- 9 our ways to do that, our support for NATO. Do we think that
- 10 Europeans or NATO members will be concerned when they hear
- 11 the report that came out this morning that Secretary of
- 12 State Tillerson is going to skip the next NATO meeting to
- 13 head to Russia?
- 14 Ambassador Vershbow: Well, Senator, I think there is
- 15 some concern about that. I think hopefully there will be
- 16 other ways for him to engage at an early opportunity with
- 17 his counterparts from the NATO countries. Many of them are
- 18 coming to Washington in a few weeks for a counter-ISIS
- 19 ministerial meeting. But still, I would say yes -- I am a
- 20 NATO veteran and NATO junky -- that the presence of the U.S.
- 21 Secretary of State, particularly his first opportunity to
- join his counterparts at a ministerial, is something that
- 23 should not be passed up, especially when we face so many
- 24 challenges. But I think the more basic question is
- 25 consulting first with your allies before you engage with the

- 1 Russians. So hopefully there will be other ways that he can
- 2 do that.
- 3 Senator Shaheen: Thank you.
- 4 General Breedlove, actually I think all of you
- 5 referenced the concern about our nuclear arsenals, both ours
- 6 and Russia's, and the potential for reducing those arsenals.
- 7 I thought your idea of a summit was particularly
- 8 interesting, General Breedlove.
- 9 What kind of message does it send as we are trying to
- 10 think about how we reduce the nuclear threat when we have
- 11 the President of the United States talking about an arms
- 12 race and saying -- I quote -- let it be an arms race, so
- 13 committing to an arms race against Russia?
- Mr. Breedlove: Ma'am, if I could just talk to the
- 15 basics a little bit. Clearly we have a Russia that has
- 16 built the discussion of use of nuclear weapons into its
- 17 escalate to deescalate doctrine. It speaks that often and
- 18 writes often that nuclear weapons are a logical extension of
- 19 conventional warfare, things that we would not want to be on
- 20 the table.
- I think the appropriate response from us and our NATO
- 22 allies is to remain creditable and ready and to have the
- 23 policy in order to present a clear affront to those thoughts
- 24 from Russia. And so what I thought we should do and what we
- 25 have done I think well in the past in NATO is to try to

- 1 completely convince that we are ready to respond if
- 2 required. And I think that is the policy into the future.
- 3 Senator Shaheen: And so what should we be thinking
- 4 about in terms of Russia's violations of the INF Treaty?
- 5 Mr. Breedlove: Ma'am, my message on this has not
- 6 changed. We cannot let that go unchallenged. I tell you I
- 7 have great confidence in our new Secretary of Defense and in
- 8 Joe Dunford, who I have worked with before. My guess is
- 9 that they will modify or come out with a new approach.
- 10 But in the past, I think our former Secretary Ash
- 11 Carter testified in front of this committee and laid out a
- 12 framework, which I completely agreed with. And I think that
- 13 we have not really started down that framework, and we
- 14 either should or allow our new leaders to modify and put
- 15 theirs out.
- But the bottom line is, again, we cannot let bad
- 17 behavior go unchallenged. This was not done by accident and
- 18 we need to respond.
- 19 Senator Shaheen: Thank you. I share that view.
- Last December, we had folks testifying in response to
- 21 what we then learned was an emerging story about the Russian
- 22 cyber attack on our elections. And one of the points that
- 23 was made was that Russia is looking at not just a military
- 24 buildup, not just pushing the envelope in eastern Europe in
- 25 terms of its invasion of Ukraine, but it is also looking at

- 1 a huge propaganda buildup in terms of support for RT and its
- 2 other channels of communication. And it is also looking at
- 3 disrupting Western elections as part of a deliberate
- 4 strategy to undermine the West.
- 5 Ambassador Burns, can you comment on that -- you were
- 6 clear that you think we ought to respond to Russia's
- 7 actions-- on what else we should be thinking about as we
- 8 look at the French and German elections upcoming? And I am
- 9 out of time. So maybe you could quickly respond.
- 10 Ambassador Burns: I will be very brief.
- I mean, I absolutely agree with you on the seriousness
- 12 of not only of the Russian hacking of our recent elections,
- 13 but also what is at stake across the European elections this
- 14 year as well. I think this is part of a deliberate strategy
- on the part of Russia. I think we are in the process not
- 16 only of taking steps ourselves which were announced by the
- 17 last administration, which are important to sustain the
- 18 investigations to get to the bottom of this remain extremely
- 19 important. And then I think working with our allies to
- 20 shore up their own capacity to resist this kind of
- 21 disruption is also very important this year.
- 22 Senator Shaheen: Thank you. Thank you all.
- 23 Chairman McCain: Senator Ernst.
- 24 Senator Ernst: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
- 25 Gentlemen, thank you very much for being with us today

- 1 and your continued service to our country, as well as your
- 2 enduring commitment to forwarding or advancing our shared
- 3 interests with the people of Europe. I do think that that
- 4 is very, very important. And in the face of the resurgent
- 5 Russian threat, I think we can all agree that America needs
- 6 your leadership as well and expertise in these areas. So
- 7 thank you for being here.
- 8 I am going to go back just to comment about Ukraine.
- 9 Congress gave the President authority to give lethal
- 10 assistance to Ukraine. And just last month, I joined a
- 11 number of my Senate colleagues in a letter to President
- 12 Trump asking him to expedite the use of that authority. I
- 13 do think that is very important. We should honor our
- 14 commitment to Ukraine and utilize lethal assistance to them.
- 15 Now, General Breedlove, we have had a discussion about
- 16 our initiatives in Europe before. I believe that physical
- 17 presence is oftentimes the best reassurance, and as part of
- 18 the European Deterrence Initiative and Operation Atlantic
- 19 Resolve, Congress authorized \$3.4 billion to enhance
- 20 American presence in the region. And if you could, just
- 21 describe to us what types of presence that we might need,
- 22 what certain troop levels, what types of forces. Does that
- 23 include the National Guard or Reserve, naval forces, et
- 24 cetera? And then also maybe, General, if you could just let
- 25 me know whether you think rotational forces are appropriate

- 1 or whether we need to have a more permanent presence.
- 2 Mr. Breedlove: Thank you, Senator. I could talk a
- 3 long time. I will try to be as brief as I can but get to
- 4 your questions.
- 5 The \$3.4 billion -- and first of all, may I thank this
- 6 committee and others who have worked on these ERI
- 7 initiatives across the last 2 years. They are vital. 20
- 8 years as the chairman called of hugging the bear. We
- 9 changed our orientation in Europe, and I believe it is now
- 10 absolutely vital that we reevaluate since we do not have
- 11 that strategic partner that we looked to have in the past.
- The 3.4 does, as did the previous years, a broad set of
- 13 things from improving infrastructure, financing rotational
- 14 exercises and forces, and actually moving some force to
- 15 Europe. And so it is a broad approach, and I think that is
- 16 right and proper because we do need to relook at how we can
- 17 reinforce Europe. We are not in the practice anymore of
- 18 arriving with ships, transloading rail cars, et cetera, et
- 19 cetera, and we need to get back to that.
- 20 Ma'am, I have testified in front of this committee
- 21 before that I believe our presence in Europe is not yet
- 22 appropriate. I used to say it is the road through Warsaw
- 23 not to Warsaw, meaning the agreements that we made in the
- 24 Warsaw Summit were the next logical step but probably not
- 25 the last step.

- I have also not changed my mind that I believe actual
- 2 presence, permanent presence --
- 3 Senator Ernst: Permanent presence.
- 4 Mr. Breedlove: -- is the best answer. But I believe
- 5 we are realistic in that that may not be a future that we
- 6 can see. And so we need to have the appropriate balance of
- 7 permanent forward forces, rotational forces, and
- 8 prepositioned materials so that we can rapidly reinforce,
- 9 prepositioned materials that our great Guard and Reserve
- 10 forces can rotate on, et cetera, et cetera. So I believe it
- is a balance as the way to get to best solution that we can
- 12 afford and move forward with in the future.
- 13 Senator Ernst: Very good. I appreciate that.
- 14 Ambassador Burns, you have stated that we must reassure
- 15 our European allies of our absolute commitment to NATO. And
- 16 I agree with that. I also think we need to reassure our
- 17 non-NATO countries that are also good friends to the United
- 18 States. And that is why I am proud of the Iowa State
- 19 Partnership Program. We are engaged with Kosovo. And that
- 20 is why I co-chair the Senate Albanian Issues Caucus.
- 21 How else can we reassure countries in the Balkans and
- 22 Caucasus, those who aspire to join NATO, that they have our
- 23 support?
- 24 Ambassador Burns: I am sure Ambassador Vershbow can
- 25 add to this as well. But as you well know, there are a

- 1 number of NATO programs already that we work with partner
- 2 countries, and I think it is important to sustain those.
- 3 A lot of this is also just diplomatic attention as well
- 4 in the Caucasus, even as far afield as Central Asia, as well
- 5 as in the Balkans, the day in/day out effort to pay
- 6 attention, to be able to sustain assistance programs, not
- 7 just in the security area but in other areas as well, that
- 8 are a tangible demonstration of our commitment to the health
- 9 of those societies at a moment when, just as you said,
- 10 Senator, I think the Russians are busily trying to undermine
- 11 prospects for the future of many of those societies.
- 12 Senator Ernst: Ambassador, did you have any further
- 13 comment?
- 14 Ambassador Vershbow: I agree with that. I think much
- 15 more vigorous diplomatic engagement by the U.S. is needed
- 16 because things are unraveling internally in some of the
- 17 countries, Macedonia, Bosnia in particular. The situation
- 18 between Kosovo and Serbia is also deteriorating, and all of
- 19 this is because the Russians are throwing a lot of salt in
- 20 the wounds and trying to exploit historic tensions and
- 21 grievances.
- The European Union spends a lot, and they are actively
- 23 engaged diplomatically, but I think the countries in the
- 24 region still look to the U.S. because of our role in ending
- 25 the wars in the 1990s. And I think strong U.S. leadership,

- 1 working with the Europeans, is essential to hold off the
- 2 Russian meddling and help countries like Macedonia finally
- 3 get back on the path of European integration, NATO
- 4 membership, which they have been struggling with for more
- 5 than a decade and a half.
- 6 Senator Ernst: Thank you, gentlemen.
- 7 Thank you, Mr. Chair.
- 8 Chairman McCain: Senator Warren?
- 9 Senator Warren: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
- 10 And thank you for being here today.
- Right now, everybody knows that the American
- 12 intelligence community has concluded that the Russians
- 13 conducted successful cyber attacks against the United States
- 14 last year in order to influence our election. But people
- 15 may not know that we are not Russia's only target. A decade
- 16 ago, some of NATO's Baltic States also endured cyber
- 17 attacks, which were believed to have originated in Russia.
- 18 Now, in 2014, NATO updated its cyber defense policy to
- 19 clarify that cyber attacks are covered by Article 5, NATO's
- 20 collective defense clause, meaning an attack on one is
- 21 viewed as an attack on all. But the Alliance has not
- 22 publicly clarified the threshold at which a cyber attack
- 23 would trigger Article 5 or describe any of the types of
- 24 responses that it might employ.
- 25 So, General Breedlove, I want to thank you for your

- 1 work strengthening the NATO alliance. But I want to focus
- 2 in this particular area. Do you think the Russians are
- 3 taking advantage of NATO's apparent reluctance to determine
- 4 when a cyber intrusion is an armed attack and to make it
- 5 clear when we will respond?
- 6 Mr. Breedlove: Senator, thank you for the question,
- 7 and the thrust of your question I think is spot on in that
- 8 we need to better understand and better articulate to the
- 9 world possibly where this all stands.
- I think this is good new/bad news, and I will try to be
- 11 short. The good news is that 3 days before I started my --
- or 3 days after I started my term as the SACEUR was the
- 13 first doctrine signed by NATO. So literally in the last 3
- 14 and a half years, we have come a long way. And now NATO
- 15 does have some policy and doctrine and a wonderful center at
- 16 Talinn, Estonia, if you have not been there, to fight this,
- 17 one of the real capabilities of NATO. And so that is the
- 18 good news. We have come a long way.
- 19 Senator Warren: Right. And the bad news?
- 20 Mr. Breedlove: The bad news is there still is, as you
- 21 have correctly pointed out, some definitive things that need
- 22 to be laid out and we are slow getting to those.
- 23 Senator Warren: Do you want to say a word about what
- 24 those are?
- 25 Mr. Breedlove: Well, ma'am, I have been critical that

- 1 we do not have an offensive policy in NATO. It is
- 2 completely defensive. As a fighter pilot, I think the best
- 3 offense is a missile in the air headed in the other
- 4 direction.
- 5 Senator Warren: All right. Thank you very much. I
- 6 appreciate it.
- Russia will undoubtedly continue to use cyber tools to
- 8 try to interfere with and destabilize our NATO allies. If
- 9 we are ever going to deter that behavior, then we need to
- 10 strengthen the Alliance's capabilities and make clear what
- 11 our response will be both within the NATO alliance and make
- 12 that clear to the Russians.
- 13 I have one other question I want to ask about, and that
- 14 is the Syrian civil war started 6 years ago this month. And
- 15 the humanitarian crisis there has pushed massive numbers of
- 16 refugees to Europe. I saw some of this up close a couple of
- 17 years ago when I visited a refugee intake center in Greece
- 18 and a refugee resettlement center in Germany. And I met
- 19 with refugees who had risked their lives on long and
- 20 dangerous journeys from many different countries.
- 21 European countries have struggled to deal with this
- 22 surge. Thousands of refugees remain stuck in camps and
- 23 detention centers. This is totally unsustainable.
- 24 Ambassador Burns, setting aside the obvious need to try
- 25 to get to the root causes of the crisis, what steps beyond

- 1 providing for humanitarian aid and supporting maritime
- 2 search and rescue efforts should the United States take to
- 3 enhance Europe's efforts to absorb refugees and migrants?
- 4 Ambassador Burns: That is a really difficult question,
- 5 as you know, Senator. I mean, I think just the sheer
- 6 magnitude of the humanitarian problem is going to require
- 7 continued expenditure of resources and the resources of our
- 8 European partners for some time to come, and that is where
- 9 some of the foreign assistance cuts that appear in the
- 10 administration's budget I think are really, really
- 11 troublesome.
- 12 You are right. The core challenge is to move towards
- 13 some kind of political transition in Syria because of the
- 14 simple reality that unless the 70 percent of the population
- 15 of Syria that is Sunni Arab feel a stake in their future,
- 16 Sunni extremist groups, whether it is ISIS or some other
- 17 acronym, are going to have fertile soil in which to
- 18 destabilize Syria and create more human suffering.
- 19 Senator Warren: So let me ask about that. Is it
- 20 helpful or harmful if the United States dramatically reduces
- 21 its admission of refugees and migrants?
- 22 Ambassador Burns: I think it is undoubtedly harmful.
- 23 And I am entirely aware of the importance of ensuring the
- 24 security of American citizens in our own society. I am very
- 25 familiar with the processes that are employed to deal with

- 1 Syrian refugees. And they are as strict and comprehensive
- 2 as any that I have seen. But a lot of this has to do with
- 3 the leadership we demonstrate in the world, and when we are
- 4 moving in a different direction than a lot of our European
- 5 allies and partners are, it does send a very complicated
- 6 signal.
- 7 Senator Warren: I appreciate your point on this
- 8 because it seems to me that for our security, for Europe's
- 9 security, and because we are a decent and compassionate
- 10 people, that we need to help Europe manage the huge flow of
- 11 refugees. But as you say, we also need to lead by example
- 12 here. The United States has always been a beacon of hope
- 13 for people around the world, refugees and other troubled
- 14 groups, and the last thing we should be doing is continuing
- 15 to push for illegal Muslim bans that betray our values and
- 16 our Constitution and do nothing to keep us safe.
- 17 Thank you, Mr. Chair.
- 18 Chairman McCain: Senator Cotton?
- 19 Senator Cotton: Thank you, gentlemen, for appearing
- 20 today and for your many years of long service to our
- 21 country. You have all been around the block once or twice
- 22 in Europe and Russia.
- 23 I know someone else who has been around the block once
- 24 or twice with them is Bob Gates. In his first memoir of his
- 25 time at the CIA, he writes of the many specific policy

- 1 questions that, in particular, President Carter and
- 2 President Reagan faced in places like Central America and
- 3 sub-Saharan Africa and the Middle East and pushing back
- 4 against Soviet aggression. But he puts as much or more
- 5 weight on what he refers to as the correlation of forces,
- 6 long-term trends that set the relative power of the United
- 7 States against then the Soviet Union and today Russia.
- 8 So he talks, for instance, about Jimmy Carter
- 9 championing human rights within the Soviet Union and the
- 10 Warsaw Pact which undermined the legitimacy of their
- 11 regimes, his down payment on a defense buildup after the
- 12 Soviet Union invaded Afghanistan, and in particular, Ronald
- 13 Reagan's efforts to rebuild our military to expand our
- 14 nuclear forces to deploy INF forces to Europe to counteract
- 15 the Soviet Union's deployment, then ultimately the strategic
- 16 defense initiative, which he said kind of culminated all of
- 17 the trends the Soviet leaders had feared for so long, you
- 18 know, a growing Western economy, technological advantages,
- 19 military strength. And that, while not the cause of the
- 20 ultimate downfall of the Soviet Union, it was kind of the
- 21 ultimate symbol of what the Soviet Union had feared for so
- 22 long.
- I would just like to get your thoughts just going down
- 24 the row on how important, on the one hand, those specific
- 25 bilateral or policy questions are today -- you might say

- 1 Ukraine or Georgia or what have you -- versus the broader
- 2 correlation of forces between the United States and Russia.
- 3 General Breedlove?
- 4 Mr. Breedlove: Senator, I will probably disappoint
- 5 because I do not think you can discount either. I think
- 6 they are both incredibly important. As we talked a little
- 7 bit about earlier, I think that our Nation's power is not
- 8 just its military. It is broader than that. It is our
- 9 values, our diplomatic position in the world, the fact that
- 10 we try to get the right message out in our information
- 11 campaigns. We need a strong military, and of course, our
- 12 economy is incredibly important.
- On the strictly military side, I obviously wore the
- 14 cloth of our Nation for 39 years, and I believe that we need
- 15 to remain strong and credible and be seen as strong and
- 16 credible in the world. And so I see that as a specific line
- 17 of endeavor in our future.
- 18 Senator Cotton: Ambassador Burns?
- 19 Ambassador Burns: No. I absolutely agree. I mean, I
- 20 think leverage is essential in diplomacy especially in
- 21 dealing with adversarial relationships like the U.S.-Russia
- 22 relationship. And I think that is why it is especially
- 23 important to invest in our alliance system as well because
- 24 that is what sets us apart from Russia and China and other
- 25 major powers. And so I think that continued focus on

- 1 especially our transatlantic alliance is extremely important
- 2 in that correlation of forces.
- 3 Senator Cotton: Ambassador Vershbow?
- 4 Ambassador Vershbow: Just to echo my colleagues, I
- 5 agree we need to look at American power in the broadest
- 6 sense of the word, military, political, economic, and our
- 7 moral power, the values that we represent.
- 8 And, again, as Bill Burns just said, having democratic
- 9 allies who share those values and are prepared to share
- 10 risks with us is a real asset for us in countering the
- 11 Russian threat and other threats around the world. So we
- 12 have to strictly think of the correlation of forces in a
- 13 broad sense and try to use our allies as force multipliers
- in dealing with threats that we see, particularly the
- 15 challenge from Russia with all its different dimensions.
- 16 So in that sense, lots of lessons can be drawn from the
- 17 experience of the late Cold War that you described. Peace
- 18 through strength may be a cliche but it still is valid in
- 19 today's world.
- 20 Senator Cotton: To our two Ambassadors, Bob Gates
- 21 described George Shultz and his role in the 1980s, someone
- 22 who often came in for criticism from some of his fellow
- 23 cabinet members of perhaps being too soft or conciliatory
- 24 towards Russia, even though he supported many of these
- 25 issues that we have described as the correlation of forces

- 1 like the deployment of INF forces to Europe but also
- 2 encouraging Reagan and ultimately prevailing upon Reagan to
- 3 proceed with various sets of talks or negotiations with
- 4 Russia to maintain open lines of communications. Maybe most
- 5 notably a few weeks after the KLA 007 shoot-down outside of
- 6 Korea, George Shultz convinced the President that he should
- 7 go forward with consultations in Europe with his Russian
- 8 counterparts.
- 9 How important is it that we maintain such an open line
- 10 of communication even while we resist and confront Russia
- and its aggression throughout Europe and the Middle East?
- 12 Ambassador Burns: I think it is an essential part of a
- 13 successful strategy. I mean, we need to be tough-minded on
- 14 issues, just as you said, Senator. We need to be mindful of
- 15 the importance of building our leverage, especially through
- 16 our alliances. But we also ought not to be shy about
- 17 engaging as well and being equally direct in those kinds of
- 18 channels of communication as well so that we are managing a
- 19 relationship that is inevitably going to be complicated. We
- 20 are looking for those areas where we might be able cold-
- 21 bloodedly to cooperate, but we are able to push back in a
- 22 lot of other areas as well.
- 23 Ambassador Vershbow: Absolutely. As difficult as the
- 24 Russians may be and as hostile as they may be in a lot of
- 25 areas, we have to talk to them. We have to try to find ways

- 1 to persuade them to change their policies or offer ways out
- 2 of some of the impasses that we face.
- 3 And I think that is why George Shultz was one of the
- 4 most successful Secretaries of State -- I had the honor of
- 5 working with him for a few years -- because he was very
- 6 tough when we still needed to be tough with the Russians in
- 7 the early 1980s, but when the changes began under Gorbachev
- 8 and Foreign Minister Shevardnadze, Shultz recognized there
- 9 was an opportunity to begin to change the relationship to
- 10 move away from Cold War confrontation, reduce nuclear
- 11 weapons, and helped President Reagan seize those
- 12 opportunities.
- So we have to be alert to possible cracks in the facade
- 14 that Putin projects. I personally am skeptical that there
- 15 are that many opportunities out there, but if we can get
- 16 past this current Ukraine crisis and use U.S. diplomatic
- 17 leadership backed by real leverage, including the
- 18 possibility of lethal assistance to Ukraine, if Putin does
- 19 not play ball, we might be able to kind of get to a better
- 20 place and then begin to rebuild step by step the kind of
- 21 partnership that George Shultz was seeking in the late 1980s
- 22 under President Reagan.
- 23 Senator Cotton: Thank you all.
- 24 Chairman McCain: Senator Peters?
- 25 Senator Peters: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

- 1 And thank you to each of our panelists for your
- 2 leadership in this area over many years and for the
- 3 discussion here today.
- In my first question, I want to return back to an issue
- 5 that has been discussed previously related to the cruise
- 6 missile deployments in violation of the Intermediate Nuclear
- 7 Forces Agreement, which I find very troubling, and from
- 8 listening to the testimony of all three of you, I think all
- 9 of you agree with that. And I think it is interesting and
- 10 would like to have your comment that while the Russians are
- 11 moving forward in violation of that treaty, on the one hand;
- on the other hand, when it comes to the START treaty, they
- 13 seem to be in compliance there. And there is a disconnect
- 14 between compliance with one treaty and another. I am
- 15 wondering what is behind that. What do you think may
- 16 account for that?
- 17 And actually, General Breedlove, you mentioned the
- 18 Russian strategy to escalate to deescalate, which is a very
- 19 troubling strategy. And is it perhaps related to that as to
- 20 why they are deploying these cruise missiles?
- 21 Mr. Breedlove: I am going to defer, Senator, on the
- 22 piece about the START to my more learned colleagues.
- 23 What I would like to do is maybe address your latter
- 24 question and leave the other for them.
- 25 Senator Peters: Right. Thank you.

- 1 Mr. Breedlove: I think there are multiple reasons why
- 2 the Russians are fairly blatantly violating the INF. I
- 3 think they have expressed for a long time displeasure with
- 4 our deployment of missile defense into Europe. And there
- 5 are two sides to every story. While I do not agree with
- 6 their position, I can understand that they believe they have
- 7 told us and told us and told us, and now they are bringing
- 8 some tougher tools to the table to try to address some of
- 9 those issues.
- 10 Secondarily, I think that they know that this is a very
- 11 divisive discussion inside of NATO. And I agree with my
- 12 colleagues, who have both, I think, said that one of Mr.
- 13 Putin's greatest desires is to bust up these Western
- 14 organizations so that he can deal with Western nations
- 15 individually vice with large organizations. So I do believe
- 16 that they have several reasons that they are proceeding on
- 17 the INF, and again, maybe I do not agree with those reasons,
- 18 but we have to understand what they are thinking along those
- 19 lines.
- 20 Senator Peters: Ambassadors?
- 21 Ambassador Vershbow: I think it is partly about
- 22 missile defense that the Russians are violating the INF
- 23 Treaty, but I think it more reflects a longstanding
- 24 grievance that they have had that other countries in the
- 25 world such as China, Pakistan have intermediate-range

- 1 missiles which could reach Russia, and they have no missile
- 2 of the same capability to deter and respond. It is sort of
- 3 not a very convincing argument because they have their
- 4 intercontinental systems which can be used in nuclear
- 5 scenarios against those countries.
- 6 But I think they may also believe they can get away
- 7 with this violation because of the ambiguity of some of the
- 8 technologies involved and assessing what is the maximum
- 9 range of a cruise missile compared to its overall weight and
- 10 payload. So they may think that they can get away with
- 11 cheating. And we cannot let that happen. So I think in
- 12 terms of responding, we need to kind of first look at what
- 13 is the enhanced threat that we face and find ways to
- 14 neutralize that threat. It does not mean it is tit for tat.
- 15 We may not need to violate the INF Treaty ourselves. There
- 16 may be alternative systems, both defensive and offensive
- 17 such as air-launched cruise missiles deployed forward in
- 18 Europe that could neutralize any military gains that the
- 19 Russians could perceive from this violation.
- 20 But it does not bode well for long-term stability if
- 21 they are prepared to cheat. The New START agreement is
- 22 still being complied with. Let us hope that they do not
- 23 violate that one too.
- 24 Ambassador Burns: And, Senator, the only thing I would
- 25 add is on your second question about escalate to deescalate,

- 1 I agree with you on the seriousness with which we ought to
- 2 view that issue because if Russian doctrine changes to the
- 3 point we are faced with a conventional inferiority, you
- 4 know, they are willing to resort to early use of battlefield
- 5 nuclear weapons, that creates a whole new area of potential
- 6 tension and instability. And it is another of the reasons
- 7 why we ought to be engaging with them in what used to be
- 8 called strategic stability talks, first to try to get to the
- 9 bottom of what it is that they have in mind and then,
- 10 second, working with our allies to be very, very clear and
- 11 blunt about our concerns about that and about the dangers of
- 12 it.
- 13 Senator Peters: And given that response, is it
- 14 reasonable to think this weapon actually is more effective
- 15 in that strategy, which is certainly very frightening, to
- 16 escalate/deescalate? You are more likely to use a weapon,
- 17 this cruise missile, than you would a strategic missile. Is
- 18 that another reason why they would want to deploy it?
- 19 Mr. Breedlove: Senator, I think that is what they
- 20 write about. It is another step and rung in the ladder of
- 21 tools that they can use. Where we think more in terms of a
- 22 nuclear threshold, they see it as another logical step.
- 23 Senator Peters: Thank you, gentlemen.
- 24 Senator Reed [presiding]: On behalf of Chairman
- 25 McCain, let me recognize Senator Sullivan.

- 1 Senator Sullivan: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 2 And I want to thank you, gentlemen, for not only your
- 3 testimony today but your decades of public service, which I
- 4 have had the opportunity to witness for a number of years.
- 5 So it really has been exceptional. So I just want to
- 6 commend you for that and your families, by the way.
- 7 Would you agree that one of the most strategically
- 8 important advantages we have right now as the United States
- 9 and have had really for generations is that we are an ally-
- 10 rich nation and our adversaries or potential adversaries,
- 11 Russia, China, Iran, North Korea, are ally-poor? Would you
- 12 agree that that is a key strategic advantage the United
- 13 States has?
- 14 Ambassador Burns: Absolutely. I think it is among our
- 15 greatest advantages and has been for decades.
- 16 Senator Sullivan: So you look at, like I mentioned,
- 17 the Russians, the Chinese, the North Koreans, Iranians.
- 18 Nobody wants to be on their team. Nobody is clamoring to be
- 19 part of the -- as matter of fact, they all look to be
- 20 alliances with themselves just because they have so few
- 21 other countries that are interested in actually teaming up
- 22 with them.
- 23 So is it also true that Russia -- I know is the focus
- 24 of this hearing -- but also China see as one of their goals
- 25 to undermine these alliances, split us apart from our key

- 1 long-term allies?
- 2 Ambassador Burns: Absolutely.
- 3 Senator Sullivan: So I know it is early days in the
- 4 Trump administration. I think we have a lot of cabinet
- 5 members who understand this, but what do you think the Trump
- 6 administration is doing right to strengthen alliances and
- 7 push back on Russian attempts to undermine them, and where
- 8 can they improve? And I will open it up for all three of
- 9 you gentlemen.
- 10 Ambassador Vershbow: Well, Senator, first I would
- 11 agree that we are very much advantaged by having networks of
- 12 alliances in Europe and other parts of the world. And the
- 13 Russians, in particular, seem to alienate their neighbors.
- 14 The only way they feel they can keep their neighbors under
- 15 control is by keeping them weak and unstable. So that means
- 16 in the long term that is a very unsustainable way to build
- 17 relationships. So I think we have a natural advantage and
- 18 we should not psych ourselves out.
- 19 But it is a little early to make --
- 20 Senator Sullivan: No. It is very early.
- 21 Ambassador Vershbow: -- broad judgments about the new
- 22 administration, but after some question marks that were
- 23 raised about whether NATO is obsolete in the mind of
- 24 President Trump, they have, I think, reassured allies that
- 25 they still value NATO, value the transatlantic relationship,

- 1 recognize that allies are contributing in Afghanistan and
- 2 other operations.
- 3 But I think the jury is still out as to what kind of
- 4 agenda will the Trump administration lay out for NATO.
- 5 Where does it want NATO to evolve and take on new missions,
- 6 new roles? I think there is more we could ask our allies to
- 7 do through NATO, not just spending more money but doing more
- 8 things to deal with the root causes of terrorism, of
- 9 migration. So I look forward to what that agenda is.
- 10 I think sending messages to our east Asian allies. The
- 11 Mattis trip, now the Tillerson trip I think have been very
- 12 clear that those alliances with Korea and Japan will remain
- 13 high priorities.
- 14 Senator Sullivan: Thank you, Ambassador.
- 15 Any other? General Breedlove, Ambassador Burns, what
- 16 they can be doing better, what they are doing well now? It
- 17 is a really important issue. Right? It is the key
- 18 strategic issue. We have this great advantage. We need to
- 19 double down on it not undermine it ourselves.
- 20 Ambassador Burns: We do, and I think the honest answer
- 21 is there is a lot of uncertainty right now on the part of
- 22 our allies, notwithstanding the efforts of a number of the
- 23 new cabinet principals to emphasize the commitment, but
- 24 there is uncertainty, given things that were said during the
- 25 campaign by President Trump and some of the signals coming

- 1 out of the White House since then. And it is really
- 2 important I think to reassure our allies and partners.
- 3 There are some good opportunities in the next few months
- 4 with meetings in Europe.
- 5 Senator Sullivan: And we can do that as well here.
- 6 Right? At the U.S. Senate.
- 7 Ambassador Burns: Absolutely. And I think the more
- 8 that can be done like at the Munich security conference and
- 9 other places, the better.
- 10 Senator Sullivan: Let me ask another question, just
- 11 switching gears here, on the Arctic. You know, General
- 12 Breedlove, you were very aware and watched as the Russians
- 13 engage in this massive military buildup in the Arctic. Many
- of us I think in a bipartisan way on this committee
- 15 certainly were concerned that we did not have a strategy.
- 16 We put in a provision in the NDAA 2 years ago to actually
- 17 have a strategy. It is a little better than the lack of one
- 18 that we previously had. We really did not have one
- 19 General Mattis, in his confirmation hearing, has talked
- 20 about the Arctic being key, strategic terrain, sea lanes,
- 21 resources, and that Russia is aggressively taking action.
- In the new strategy, it talks about the importance of
- 23 protecting sea lanes, resources through freedom of
- 24 navigation operations, kind of like we have done in the
- 25 South China Sea although not nearly enough.

- General Breedlove, can you comment on this? And more
- 2 specifically, if Russia decided to deny access to vital U.S.
- 3 resources in the region or international sea lanes, shipping
- 4 lanes in the Arctic, do we have any capability whatsoever to
- 5 conduct a surface FONOP to challenge that? And what should
- 6 we be doing about that?
- 7 Mr. Breedlove: Senator, thank you. I understand the
- 8 question. Let me just reframe a minute.
- 9 We ought to try to make the Arctic an opportunity. We
- 10 are early in this conversation. We ought to make it an
- 11 opportunity and not a place of competition. But we need to,
- 12 with a very wary eye, look at the actions that you have
- 13 mentioned that Russia is taking in the north. And our
- 14 abilities as are other nations' and, frankly, Russia's
- 15 abilities to operate in the north are still challenging.
- 16 This is a tough place to be. But what we do see as a Russia
- 17 moving out to establish capability there -- that could be
- 18 used appropriately or nefariously. I believe this and I
- 19 have spoken before that we need to look at our capabilities.
- 20 Are they deep? Do we have the right ones? And I think
- 21 there is work to be done there.
- 22 Senator Sullivan: Can we conduct a FONOP there? Is
- 23 the answer not no? It is not even close.
- 24 Mr. Breedlove: If I understand, I think we can but
- 25 realizing that we would have to be there at the right time

- 1 of the year and the right time of conditions. We do not
- 2 have some of the capabilities we need to operate up there
- 3 when the ice is challenging.
- 4 Senator Sullivan: Thank you.
- 5 Chairman McCain [presiding]: Senator King?
- 6 Senator King: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- General Breedlove, in your testimony, you touched upon
- 8 a question that I think is of surpassing importance in the
- 9 situation that we are in now. This discussion today has
- 10 been about high-level strategy and deployment and those
- 11 kinds of things. You mentioned the danger of confusion,
- 12 misunderstanding, and accidental war. I think one of the
- 13 most profound books about foreign policy, which I recently
- 14 reread, is "The Guns of August." We stumbled into World War
- 15 I. I think every policymaker should read that book. It is
- 16 eerily prescient of the situation we are in now.
- 17 Number one -- and Senator Cotton mentioned this -- it
- 18 seems to me that given the danger of a Russian pilot
- 19 inadvertently hitting a ship instead of buzzing it or a
- 20 Chinese pilot in the South China Sea doing the same and the
- 21 escalation from there, to me that cries out for better
- 22 communication and open lines. And my understanding is that
- 23 that has somewhat diminished both on the military-to-
- 24 military level and at the highest level. Your thoughts?
- 25 Any of you? General Breedlove?

- 1 Mr. Breedlove: May I modify the scenario and tell you
- 2 what worries me? I really believe the pilots are good
- 3 enough not to hit one of our aircraft. And I am often asked
- 4 about this scenario. What worries me and what worries
- 5 others are in one of these fly-bys, if the Russian aircraft
- 6 just simply has a mechanical malfunction and hits the water,
- 7 what is going to happen? Are we going to be blamed? Will
- 8 be used as a simple tool to create a catastrophe, et cetera,
- 9 et cetera? Frankly, our Aegis cruisers and destroyers are
- 10 very capable of defending themselves against Russian
- 11 aircraft. I do not worry about that piece. But I worry
- 12 about the accident that then escalates into something wholly
- 13 unintended.
- 14 Senator King: Exactly. Is it not critical in that
- 15 piece to have open lines of communications?
- 16 Mr. Breedlove: Sir, we do have some but they are not
- 17 adequate. We still have what we call INCSEA, incidents at
- 18 sea, which actually have expanded to incidents at sea, land,
- 19 and air in some of those conversations. We have mechanisms,
- 20 but I believe we need to be more aggressive about those
- 21 conversations so we do not stumble.
- 22 Senator King: Let me turn it just slightly. One of
- 23 the problems is that what we view as defensive, the other
- 24 side can view as provocative, and how you hit the right
- 25 point -- in other words, stationing troops in Poland, moving

- 1 equipment into preposition in Eastern Europe. We view that
- 2 as defensive. Is it possible that that could lead to an
- 3 escalation if the Russians view that as an aggressive act?
- 4 I am trying to think through the scenarios here that could
- 5 lead to a dangerous result.
- 6 Ambassador Burns, your thoughts.
- 7 Ambassador Burns: I think your question is a very
- 8 important one, Senator. I think there is a real risk in
- 9 letting channels of communication atrophy. They are not a
- 10 favor to the Russians to be able to communicate at all sorts
- 11 of different levels, whether it is military-to-military, as
- 12 General Breedlove described, or at diplomatic levels or at
- 13 higher levels as well. And I think there is a cold-blooded
- 14 self-interest in trying to ensure that we understand one
- 15 another clearly. It does not mean that we are going to
- 16 overcome Russian concerns about what they might see to be
- 17 the aggressive intent of some of our deployments. But at
- 18 least I think we will have a little bit clearer
- 19 understanding and we will be able to avoid some of what
- 20 could be inadvertent collisions, whether physical or
- 21 political.
- 22 Senator King: Let me talk a bit about what I call the
- 23 cheap war, the war that has been waged over the last several
- 24 years, the last election here, now in France, now in
- 25 Germany. I did a quick calculation. For the price of one

- 1 F-35, the Russians can deploy 4,000 hackers and trolls, and
- 2 they have been remarkably successful at a very low price.
- 3 Ambassador Vershbow, your thoughts about what I
- 4 consider really a new form of warfare that is unfolding in
- 5 front of our eyes.
- 6 Ambassador Vershbow: I absolutely agree. I call it
- 7 political aggression rather than military aggression against
- 8 our societies, and it is a lot cheaper than waging war.
- 9 They probably could buy more than 4,000 hackers with the
- 10 price of an F-35. So we cannot sort of count on the
- 11 Russians depleting their resources through their aggressive
- 12 behavior the way they did in the Cold War.
- 13 Senator King: The arms race economics does not work in
- 14 this situation.
- 15 Ambassador Vershbow: No. We need, first of all, to
- 16 make sure that we can deny them the ability to do it as
- 17 effectively as they did during our election in terms of
- 18 hardening our systems, being more vigilant about fake news,
- 19 taking down the false stories quickly before they go viral.
- 20 Senator King: But all of those are defensive. I am
- 21 running out of time, but we need a cyber doctrine in
- 22 connection with our Western allies that involves an
- offensive capability as well, do we not?
- 24 Ambassador Vershbow: Well, we may not want to do an
- 25 exact tit for tat in this field, but it would be more

- 1 aggressive than pushing our values, pushing our narrative
- 2 because Putin is worried about a democratic alternative
- 3 gaining ground again in Russia. And so I do not think we
- 4 should give up on our support for civil society, for
- 5 independent media, supporting emigre media sites that try to
- 6 push objective information into Russia, this new current
- 7 time channel that the Broadcasting Board of Governors is
- 8 launching to affect the opinions of Russian speakers both in
- 9 Russia and on the borderlands. All these things are very
- 10 important to show that we are not going to fail to compete
- 11 in this political battle.
- 12 Senator King: Thank you. Thank you, gentlemen.
- 13 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 14 Chairman McCain: Senator Kaine?
- 15 Senator Kaine: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
- And thanks to all the witnesses for your testimony.
- 17 Beginning in 2015, General Dunford, as the head of the
- 18 Joint Chiefs, in appearances before this committee started
- 19 to say and he said on multiple occasions now that among
- 20 nation-states in the world, Russia is our biggest -- he has
- 21 used the phrase "adversary," "headache," "what keeps him up
- 22 at night," "threat" in terms of its military, both capacity
- and intent to use its power.
- 24 Did any of you disagree with that conclusion?
- 25 Mr. Breedlove: I certainly do not, and it has been my

- 1 testimony in front of this committee before.
- 2 Ambassador Burns: No. I think Russia has demonstrated
- 3 since 2015 it is a pretty big headache. I mean, we have got
- 4 no shortage of other headaches in the world, but it has
- 5 lived up to that form.
- 6 Senator Kaine: Ambassador?
- 7 Ambassador Vershbow: I agree as well. Its ability to
- 8 kind of overturn the whole international order puts it in a
- 9 class by itself in terms of the nature of the threat.
- 10 Senator Kaine: Are any of you aware in the world right
- 11 now of a nation-state that is doing more to destabilize and
- 12 interfere with other nations' internal political affairs
- 13 than Russia? No?
- Given Russian physical presence in Georgia and the
- 15 Ukraine, are you aware of any other nation in the world
- 16 right now that has been more willing to incur into the
- 17 physical sovereignty of another nation? Is there a bigger
- 18 violator of incursions into physical sovereignty in the
- 19 world right now than Russia? No.
- 20 With respect to the election issue, I was in Europe
- 21 recently and was asked a series of kind of challenging
- 22 questions along this line, and I would like your thoughts on
- 23 it. If the U.S. will not act to defend itself from an
- 24 election cyber attack, we know you will not act to defend
- 25 us. There was a great deal of skepticism about what the

- 1 U.S. would do to help any other nation under a similar
- 2 threat because of a perception that we did not act in real
- 3 time to stop a cyber attack of our election. And as of yet,
- 4 there has been no particular consequence of it. Do you
- 5 think that would be a reasonable concern that others would
- 6 have if they do not see us acting to protect ourselves, that
- 7 they would be deeply skeptical that we would act to protect
- 8 them?
- 9 Ambassador Burns: I do, Senator. I mean, in the face
- 10 of what has been a truly serious assault on our democratic
- 11 system, I think it is absolutely essential that we not only
- 12 recognize the severity of the problem but respond to it with
- 13 the fullest possible investigation and then work with our
- 14 allies, having demonstrated our own realization of the
- 15 concern, to help them strengthen their defenses as well.
- Senator Kaine: Finally, here is a question that I want
- 17 to ask you that is really about sort of the psychology of
- 18 dealing with Russia because I have a much higher confidence
- 19 in my own opinions about our actions in other parts of the
- 20 world, the Middle East and Arab North Africa, Latin America,
- 21 and not so much about Russia.
- There are currently some discussions about possibly
- 23 engaging in greater oil diplomacy with Russia. So the
- 24 sanctions after Ukraine, for example, have limited joint
- 25 ventures between American companies and Russia on oil

- 1 issues. But there are some questions about whether we
- 2 should potentially do that in the Arctic or elsewhere,
- 3 should we not allow joint ventures and cooperation with
- 4 Russia that we are not currently doing.
- If we were to do that, tell me what your opinion would
- 6 be. Would that make Russia like the United States better,
- 7 or would they simply use any additional assets that they get
- 8 from that to continue on the path they are on, including the
- 9 common path of companies that are resource-rich? Those
- 10 resources often deepen corruption, deepen oligarchy rather
- 11 than really help domestic economic satisfaction.
- 12 Ambassador Burns: I will start, Senator. No. I think
- in my experience, the Russians unsentimental about issues
- 14 like this. So it is not necessarily going to make the
- 15 current Russia regime like the United States more. I think
- 16 it is really important, as Ambassador Vershbow said before,
- 17 to sustain the sanctions which restrict a lot of those
- 18 activities until we see full implementation of the Minsk
- 19 Agreement because I do think movement on Ukraine is really
- 20 crucial here.
- 21 Ambassador Vershbow: I agree with that. The Russians
- 22 will still pursue their interests very aggressively and
- 23 using energy as a leverage. But those are among the most
- 24 important sanctions imposed after the aggression against
- 25 Ukraine. So they should be kept in place until we see real

- 1 change on the ground. But then when conditions are met, we
- 2 should lift them, but there is a long way to go before the
- 3 Russians convince me that they are going to restore
- 4 sovereignty in Ukraine.
- 5 Senator Kaine: General Breedlove?
- 6 Mr. Breedlove: Real change needs to be evident.
- 7 Reestablishing trust -- we have none now. I think those are
- 8 key.
- 9 Senator Kaine: Thank you,
- 10 Thanks, Mr. Chair.
- 11 Chairman McCain: I thank the witnesses for their
- 12 testimony today.
- Oh, Senator Shaheen, I apologize.
- 14 Senator Shaheen: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just have
- 15 one more question, and this is probably for all of you or
- 16 whoever would like to answer.
- 17 Everyone I think has alluded to Russia's propaganda
- 18 efforts, the amount of money they are spending on RT, on
- 19 Sputnik, on other media outlets. It is something that I
- 20 have been concerned about and actually filed legislation
- 21 that would change the way FARA operates to look at whether
- 22 they are trying to circumvent our legislation and not
- 23 registering. I think probably I hit a nerve because there
- 24 has been an interesting response in Russia to that
- 25 legislation.

- But can you talk about how much we should be concerned
- 2 about this propaganda arm? I was interested, Ambassador
- 3 Vershbow, in your suggestion that NATO ought to be looking
- 4 at responding to some of the Russian propaganda in a
- 5 different way. So how much of a piece of what Russia is
- 6 trying to do is this, and what should we be doing in
- 7 response to it?
- 8 Mr. Breedlove: I will start with just a short remark.
- 9 And I think it was surprising to me how little the Western
- 10 world talked about what quietly happened here about 10 days
- 11 ago where Russia established an information warfare division
- 12 of their military and beginning to funnel an even more
- 13 military approach to how they do this. And while it is a
- 14 cheap war -- we used those words earlier -- they are putting
- 15 a lot of money into this. Senator, I think this is
- 16 something we need to be very attentive to.
- 17 And I agree with something that was said earlier. We
- 18 cannot go tit for tat. Right after the MH-17 shoot-down,
- 19 they put four stories out on the street within two news
- 20 cycle that it took us 2 years to debunk. We cannot respond
- 21 tit for tat. What we need to do is get our troops, our
- 22 values, and our lines out there in an aggressive way so that
- 23 the world can see the other side of the story.
- I am sorry for taking your time.
- 25 Senator Shaheen: No. Thank you.

- 1 Ambassador Burns, do you have anything to add to that?
- 2 Ambassador Burns: No. I absolutely agree, and I think
- 3 working with our NATO and EU partners is absolutely
- 4 essential. We have a much stronger voice when we are part
- 5 of a chorus on these issues than when we are doing it solo.
- 6 Senator Shaheen: Ambassador Vershbow?
- 7 Ambassador Vershbow: I agree with my colleagues. I
- 8 think we should not overestimate the audience that RT really
- 9 has, but I am more worried about the ability to manipulate
- 10 social media with trolls, with bots, and getting these fake
- 11 stories into millions of people's inboxes before we even
- 12 know what is going on. At the same time, we have to stay
- 13 consistent with our own values about free speech and
- 14 diversity of opinion in the media, but call them out on
- 15 shoddy journalistic standards, manipulation of truth into
- 16 fake news. And if there are legal issues, I am not
- 17 competent to evaluate whether they are skirting the Foreign
- 18 Agent Registration Act, but we should look closely at that
- 19 because it is, as we all know, an arm of the Russian
- 20 Government de facto if not de jure.
- 21 But the allies and our European Union partners are in
- 22 some ways more vulnerable to all this with Russian
- 23 minorities in many countries, traditional sympathies towards
- 24 Russia, inclining people to look to Russian media rather
- 25 than to the BBC or other sources. So it is a collective

- 1 challenge, and I think working with our allies and partners,
- 2 we can better meet that challenge.
- 3 Senator Shaheen: I certainly agree. It is something I
- 4 have heard everywhere I have been in Eastern Europe, concern
- 5 about that kind of propaganda. So thank you all very much.
- 6 Chairman McCain: I thank the witnesses for their
- 7 testimony today and for their years of outstanding service
- 8 to our Nation.
- 9 Senator Reed?
- 10 Senator Reed: Just a brief comment. We have talked
- 11 about the doctrine of escalate to deescalate. It seems to
- 12 me to be not only irrational but insane. You typically
- 13 escalate in response to your opponent to defeat them, though
- 14 escalate not to deescalate but escalate to defeat might be
- 15 the real reality. I just wanted to make that point. I see
- 16 heads nodding. I do not need a response, but I think there
- 17 is agreement.
- 18 Chairman McCain: The hearing is adjourned.
- 19 [Whereupon, at 11:25 a.m., the hearing was adjourned.]

20

21

22

23

24

25