Stenographic Transcript Before the

Subcommittee on Readiness and Management Support

> COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES

## **UNITED STATES SENATE**

TO RECEIVE TESTIMONY ON THE CURRENT READINESS OF THE JOINT FORCE

Tuesday, May 2, 2023

Washington, D.C.

ALDERSON COURT REPORTING 1111 14TH STREET NW SUITE 1050 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 (202) 289-2260 www.aldersonreporting.com

1	TO RECEIVE TESTIMONY ON THE CURRENT READINESS OF THE JOINT							
2	FORCE							
3								
4	Tuesday, May 2, 2023							
5								
6	U.S. Senate							
7	Subcommittee on Readiness							
8	and Management Support							
9	Committee on Armed Services,							
10	Washington, D.C.							
11								
12	The subcommittee met, pursuant to notice, at 3:00							
13	p.m., in Room 232A, Russell Senate Office Building, Hon.							
14	Mazie Hirono, chairman of the subcommittee, presiding.							
15	Subcommittee Members Present: Senators Hirono							
16	[presiding], Shaheen, Blumenthal, Kaine, Duckworth, Kelly,							
17	Sullivan, Fischer, and Tuberville.							
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								



1

OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. MAZIE HIRONO, U.S. SENATOR
 FROM HAWAII

3 Senator Hirono: [Technical problems] -- that is
4 distinguished both by their depth of knowledge and
5 experience. I thank each of you for your service to our
6 country and for taking the time to speak with us today.

7 I want to begin by acknowledging the Apache helicopter 8 training accident that occurred late last week and resulted 9 in the tragic loss of three soldiers in Alaska. It was 10 just a month ago that another nine soldiers were killed 11 when two Black Hawk helicopters collided in Kentucky on a 12 training mission.

These tragedies have led to the Army Chief of Staff ordering an aviation safety stand down to review the risk improvement process -- approval process, training, standardization, and flight planning process. It is imperative that we thoroughly investigate the root causes of these and other training accidents, and not just from a mechanical malfunction standpoint.

The Department must ensure that it is evaluating every training and readiness implementation of these -- implications of these accidents so that we can prevent them going forward. The demands and operational pace for

24 our service members remains high.

25

In your prepared statements, each of you laid out the



challenges and obstacles you face. They include
difficulties with retention and the desire to appropriately
fill out force structure, outside factors like low
unemployment, and just a fraction of the U.S. population
being able to serve.

6 And the reality that an even smaller number of 7 Americans are willing to serve. Beyond retention, the 8 Department still struggles to maintain and sustain its 9 equipment on schedule to support mission readiness.

In the rush to modernize and procure more ships, it is equally critical that the Navy finishes its maintenance availabilities on the ships and submarines that we already have. On the time -- on time and without cost overruns. And that is, I know, an issue for us. We have an extremely capable fleet today, but a state of readiness needs to be improved in a variety of ways.

17 Equally important to readiness is the access to and 18 quality of our training ranges across all domains. This 19 issue is top of mind in Hawaii, and I am interested in 20 hearing from the Army in particular about how you will 21 ensure land lease remains -- renewals that are coming up in 22 some major places, such as Pohakuloa on the Big Island in just a few years, are handled with dignity and respect for 23 24 the people of Hawaii, while balancing the requirements to 25 train in the Pacific.



In addition to the President's budget request, this committee has aggressively funded almost every unfunded priority listed over the last few years, and I know that this year we have quite a lot of unfunded priorities.

5 So measured in both the operation and maintenance 6 accounts, and the military construction program, the demand 7 and pace of munitions support and equipment sent to Ukraine 8 has diminished the amount of ammunition on hand for 9 training and contingencies.

10 Yet, given all the resources you have, I want to hear 11 more about the timeliness and conditions for improvements 12 in readiness recovery. Each of your statements touch upon 13 how important our people are, and I certainly agree.

14 That is why I am concerned about the Department's 15 unaccompanied barracks problems, on top of the well-16 documented concerns about privatized housing on base. The 17 quality of service members housing has a direct connection 18 to unit readiness and their desire to keep serving. And if 19 we are not serving them well where they live, they will 20 It is not just a matter of building new barracks, leave. 21 though that is imperative.

We need to ensure that they have access to healthy food at all hours and we need to ensure that habitability standards meet the simple standard of what we want our family to live in these conditions. Ms. Maurer and the GAO



Scheduling@TP.One www.TP.One 800.FOR.DEPO (800.367.3376) have highlighted many of these readiness challenges in the
 GAO's comprehensive work.

I thank her and her team -- I thank you and your team for the great work that you have done, and caution your success means that you will likely see more work in the future. I want to also highlight the impact that Senator Tuberville's continued hold on all general flag officer promotions has on readiness.

9 Being blunt, this political stunt not only impacts 10 general officers but the chain of promotions behind them. 11 Senator Tuberville's actions are compromising officers' 12 ability to move to keep billets required for growth and 13 promotion and is wreaking havoc on military families. His 14 holds completely disrupt children moving schools, families 15 securing housing in a challenging housing market, and 16 spouses moving jobs.

I have spoken openly about all this issue from a policy perspective, but it is equally important to discuss the impact that this has on our readiness and the lives of our service members and their families.

These holds are, in my view, reckless, and I hope my colleagues will join me in calling on Senator Tuberville to lift his hold immediately. This is not the way to force the DOD to change a policy with which he does not agree. Senator Sullivan.



STATEMENT OF HON. DAN SULLIVAN, U.S. SENATOR FROM
 ALASKA

Senator Sullivan: Thank you, Madam Chair, for holding
this important hearing on the readiness of our military. I
look forward to working with you constructively and
respectfully on these and other important issues impacting
U.S. military readiness.

I appreciate you mentioning the recent loss of life in Alaska. General, our hearts go out to the families in my State, but it is a reminder of the risks that all of our military takes on a daily basis, even when not deployed. In terms of readiness, I think across a number of critical realms, the U.S. military is already in a readiness crisis.

The Chairman of the Joint Chiefs and Secretary of Defense have come before the full committee in the past two years telling us that we are in one of the most dangerous periods at any time since World War II, and yet three years in a row, the Biden Administration puts forward Defense Department cuts that are inflation adjusted cuts to the defense budget.

This committee will almost certainly reject the latest Biden budget and significantly increase support for our military's readiness, modernization, and troops above the President's top line, as we have done in the past two years.



Today, I will focus a good part of my opening
statement on the Department of the Navy and the challenges
it is facing. I want to begin with Marine Corps Force
Design 2030, a bold and important initiative that I have
complimented the Commandant of the Marine Corps on.

I led the charge in the Congress on the 31 amphibious
ship requirement last year, and on pushing back against the
Navy and Office of the Secretary of Defense when they were
tempted to pocket the billions of dollars of Marine Corps
divestments in order to apply these funds to non-Marine
Corps programs.

I have also spent dozens of hours studying and asking questions about Marine Corps Force Design of current and former Marine Corps leaders. But more from the Congress needs to be done on an initiative of this consequence and magnitude. Tough, probing questions are required from this committee.

No plan is perfect, especially military plans, and no general is infallible. Force Design needs rigorous oversight, not out of disrespect for the Marine Corps, but out of an abiding respect for this exceptional and unique American institution and the critical role it has played and will continue to play in our nation's defense.

My questions about Force Design fall into three broad categories. First, the divest to invest strategy shed in a



rapid amount of time a very significant amount of proven
 Marine Corps combat capability.

3 Some examples in the past few years include, close to 4 10,000 active duty Marines and 6,000 reservists, a 5 reduction of 21 percent of active duty infantry Marines, 6 and 16 percent of reserve infantry Marines, 67 percent of 7 canon artillery, 33 percent of AAVs, 100 percent of tanks, 8 100 percent of bridging along with breech and clearing and 9 proofing equipment, 100 percent of law enforcement.

10 The numbers on divestments in terms of Marine Corps 11 aviation are confusing. Some have stated over 200 12 aircraft. Others are saying there are no divestments. As 13 part of Force Design, the Marine Corps has brought on, or 14 will be bringing on, three additional UAV squadrons, an 15 additional C-130 squadron, new loitering and anti-tank 16 munitions, and three new air defense battalions.

17 These are significant combat divestments and the focus 18 on enhancing lethality around maritime choke points, 19 particularly against the PLA navy, have raised questions 20 about whether the Marine Corps is designing a niche light 21 infantry, missile heavy force focused on one AOR at the 22 expense of the Marine Corps' traditional role as a lethal, 23 robust combined arms force ready to rapidly respond to any 24 global crisis, anywhere in the world.

25

One hallmark of the Marine Corps Air Ground Task



Force, the MAGTF, is its ability to kick in the door anywhere in the world and sustain itself for weeks in heavy combat before follow on forces arrive. Is Force Design 2030 degrading the Marine Corps' ability to be the nation's 911 force?

Much of Force Design doctrine focuses on littoral and amphibious operations, but what if the next fight is not in the littorals? What if we are back in the desert? What if it is an urban terrain? What if the Marines need to cross a river?

These are important questions. Second, Force Design 2030 clearly shows the Marine Corps' commitment to support naval operations. Indeed, that is one of the main reasons for this initiative. But the Navy is not reciprocating.

Last year, I wrote an Op-Ed warning that Force Design 2030 would fail without the Navy's support. In my view, that is happening now. The Fiscal Year 2023 NDAA created a legal requirement, which I authored, for the Navy to maintain 31 amphibious ships, identical to the legal requirement to maintain 11 carriers.

In a stunning display of disdain for Congress, the Navy, who is now ignoring the law completely, as this chart shows -- the 30-year shipbuilding plan submitted to this body does not once hit 31 amphibious. That is required by the law.



1 The Secretary of the Navy committed to appearing 2 before this committee to explain how the Navy is going to 3 comply with the law. He needs to do that soon. The real-4 world impact of the Navy's lack of investment in the amphib 5 fleet is already occurring. In the past few days, several 6 articles have been published detailing how the 31st MEU 7 based out of Japan, has few Navy assets to deploy on.

8 The insufficient numbers of ships is compounded by 9 their poor maintenance. In March of this year, the 10 commandant said that amphibious ship readiness is 32 11 percent, and has been well below 50 percent for over a 12 decade. If amphibs can't leave port, our MEUs can't 13 deploy.

14 If our MEUs can't deploy, the U.S. cannot provide a 15 timely response to crises around the world. Third, and 16 finally, what if the capabilities of the Marine Corps that 17 is designing and developing as part of Force Design don't 18 work as intended?

The Center for Strategic and International Studies recently undertook a comprehensive war game centered on a conflict in the Taiwan Strait, exactly the kind of conflict Marine Corps Force Design was designed for, and they were unimpressed with the Marine littoral regiments, LMRs -- or MLRs.

25

CSIS raised questions about the MLR's ability to



sustain itself, how quickly it would expand all its antiship missiles, and how it would get to the fight, be it on Taiwan or elsewhere. Does the Marine Corps have the sealift and airlift to execute its stand in forces concept using MLRs? The Navy isn't helping.

б It will only require six landing ship mediums, LSMs, 7 over the next five years, despite the Marine Corps saying 8 it will need 35 LSMs to provide intra-theater lift. And in 9 terms of airlift, it appears the Marine Corps is divesting 10 more assets than it is acquiring as part of Force Design. 11 Given these challenges, CSIS asks whether other services 12 are better equipped to conduct sea denial operations 13 against the PLA navy.

14 CSIS concluded that could be the case, stating, "a 15 squadron of bombers armed with long range cruise missiles 16 has greater volume of fire than an entire MLR, but without 17 the challenges of transportation and logistics."

18 Finally, let me touch on the other services. 19 Recruiting, recruiting, recruiting. The challenges are 20 threatening our all-volunteer force. I would like to hear 21 from the witnesses today how the Space Force and the Marine 22 Corps continue to meet their recruiting goals, but how the Army and Air Force are significantly missing those goals. 23 24 We want to all work together to make sure that we can 25 fulfill our Constitutional obligation to raise armies,



provide for the National Security. That is so important to
 this committee.

The last thing I want to say is to our GAO witness, Ms. Maurer, we thank you for your work and your team have done on behalf of this committee. Please do not pull any punches today. I don't anticipate you will.

Senator Hirono: Thank you, Senator Sullivan. I do share your concerns about the fact that our amphib ship readiness is well below the standards that we want.

10 Today's hearing is focused on the current readiness of 11 the Joint Forces, and I will just go through the people on 12 the panel today, starting from my left, where you have 13 General Randy George, Vice Chief of Staff of the Army, 14 Admiral Lisa Franchetti, Vice Chief of Naval Operations, 15 General Eric Smith, Assistant Commandant of the Marine 16 Corps, General David Alen -- Allvin, I am sorry, Vice Chief 17 of Staff of the Air Force, General David Thompson, Vice Chief of Space Operations, and Ms. Diana Maurer, Director 18 19 of Defense Capabilities and Management at the GAO. We will 20 start with you, General George.

- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25



STATEMENT OF GENERAL RANDY A. GEORGE, USA, VICE CHIEF
 OF STAFF OF THE ARMY, DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

General George: Chair Hirono. Ranking Member
Sullivan, distinguished members of the subcommittee, thank
you for the opportunity to discuss readiness posture of our
Army. And first, I want to thank you for your condolences
on the Apache incident that we had last week.

8 And we are taking care of the families and we 9 appreciate the thoughts and prayers and will continue to 10 support our 11th Airborne Division teammates. Our Army is 11 focused on war fighting and training for battle in which 12 all domains are contested.

All the while, we are supporting combatant commands with ready formations around the world. Got approximately 137,000 soldiers right now deployed in 140 countries. We are strengthening our partnership with defense industry, and we are rapidly modernizing our organic industrial base to increase productivity and ensure that we have the stocks to fight when called upon.

We are deterring the pacing challenge China by exercising and campaigning across the Indo-Pacific theater and holding the line in the European theater alongside of our NATO partners, all the while adapting in real time to lessons learned from the war in Ukraine, testing the lethality of our equipment, and rapidly incorporating new



tactics into our doctrine and training. But readiness for 1 2 today is not enough.

3 Our Army is also transforming. We don't have an 4 option. Warfare is changing and we must change because of 5 it to ensure that we stay ahead of our potential б adversaries. So, among many things, we are modernizing long range precision fires, air and missile defense, ground 7 8 combat capabilities, and developing counter UAS 9 capabilities and doctrine to name a few.

10 Finally, we are building the team. This includes 11 providing commanders with the resources they need to 12 support soldiers' mental and physical well-being, to 13 maintain healthy command climates, and to build cohesive 14 teams.

15 And it means investing in the quality of life of our 16 soldiers and families, ensuring that they have safe housing 17 and barracks, adequate childcare, and spouse employment 18 opportunities. I will end with recruitment, a critical 19 readiness priority for us right now.

20 We are challenged by the fact that a small number of 21 young Americans, 23 percent, are qualified to serve. Fewer still are interested in serving, and we are working hard to 22 23 change both of those. Our Army remains a great place to 24 be, and I think our high retention rates speak to that. 25



Scheduling@TP.One www.TP.One

So, while military service to some Americans seems

1 like a life setback, in reality, it is a life accelerator. 2 That has certainly been my experience since I enlisted as a 3 private, straight out of high school. It is a great team 4 with an important mission and an ample opportunity to 5 learn, grow, and make an impact, and we have got to get б that story out. 7 And we appreciate Congress's assistance in amplifying 8 our call to service. Thank you, and I look forward to your 9 questions. 10 [The prepared statement of General George follows:]

- 11
- 12
- 13

- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18

19

- \_\_\_
- 20
- 21
- 22
- \_\_\_
- 23
- 24
- 25



1	Senator	Hirono:	Thank	you,	General	George.	Admiral
2	Franchetti.						
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							



STATEMENT OF ADMIRAL LISA M. FRANCHETTI, USN, VICE
 CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS, DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

Admiral Franchetti: Chair Hirono, Ranking Member Sullivan, and distinguished members of the subcommittee, good afternoon, and thank you for the opportunity to discuss Navy readiness with you today.

7 The United States is a maritime nation. Our security 8 and prosperity depend on the seas. For the past 247 years, 9 your Navy has stood the watch. We are America's away team, 10 operating forward to deter war, protect our economic 11 interests, uphold international law, and respond to crises 12 and natural disasters.

Over the past year, we have safely executed 22,000 steaming days and nearly 1 million flight hours, providing our nation's leaders with decision space and options, always ready to fight and win if called to do so. As I speak, our sailors and Marine Corps teammates are deployed on more than 100 ships and submarines all around the world, ready to meet the security needs of our nation.

The Navy is inherently flexible in the maritime domain. With operations spanning the globe, we have supported the allied response to Russia's illegal and unprovoked invasion of Ukraine, while conducting freedom of navigation operations in the South China Sea.

25



Scheduling@TP.One www.TP.One

Our ships are assisting in the evacuation of Americans

from Sudan, while we are conducting operations in the Pacific to deter potential adversaries and reassure our allies. Just last week, the Makin Island ARG MEU completed our largest ever annual Balikatan exercise with our ally the Philippines, with over 12,000 sailors and Marines participating.

Our Fiscal Year 2024 budget request is consistent with CNO's priorities of readiness and sailors, then capability, then capacity, with the Colombia SSBN program as our number one procurement priority.

We continue to prioritize readiness to sustain our forces through better maintenance performance, more training, improved parts availability, and increased weapons inventories. Navy readiness begins with our people, the sailors, civilians, and families who are the foundation of our warfighting advantage.

17 We are committed to improving their quality of service 18 by investing in initiatives such as quality housing and childcare, access to mental health, an environment free of 19 20 sexual harassment and sexual assault. In this 50th 21 anniversary of the all-volunteer force, we continue to 22 focus on recruiting, retention, and reducing gaps in our billets at sea. Navy readiness is also centered on the 23 24 readiness of our platforms.

25

Using data analytics, improving our planning



processes, and procuring long lead time materials, we have decreased maintenance delays in public and private shipyards, but there is more work to do.

Our budget request fully funds public and private ship
maintenance, aviation depot maintenance, increases parts
and spares, and continues to grow our highly skilled public
shipyard workforce.

8 Finally, Navy readiness is also driven by our shore 9 infrastructure. We continue to fully fund the 10 recapitalization of our four public shipyards through SIOP 11 program, and our budget request supports increased 12 sustainment of our shore infrastructure, while prioritizing 13 restoration and modernization for water, electrical, and 14 safety systems.

15 Sustained readiness investments in today's Navy are a 16 down payment on America's future security. I thank the 17 committee for your leadership and partnership in keeping 18 the world's greatest maritime force ready to fight at sea, 19 and I look forward to your questions.

20 [The prepared statement of Admiral Franchetti 21 follows:] 22

23

24

25



1	Senator	Hirono:	Thank	you,	Admiral.	General	Smith.
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							



1 STATEMENT OF GENERAL ERIC M. SMITH, USMC, ASSISTANT 2 COMMANDANT OF THE MARINE CORPS, DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY 3 General Smith: Chair Hirono, Ranking Member Sullivan, 4 and distinguished members of the subcommittee, I am pleased 5 to appear before you today to discuss Marine Corps 6 readiness in the Fiscal Year 2024 budget. Your Marine 7 Corps remains the nation's expeditionary force in 8 readiness.

9 We are ready to deploy to deter adversaries, and when 10 that deterrence fails, ready to strike and enable others to 11 strike. We also provide the crisis response forces that 12 American citizens abroad and our allies have come to expect 13 from their Marines.

We provide these expeditionary combined arms forces utilizing the minimum 31 amphibious warships that the Congress has directed. Those ships provide the organic mobility required to bring all of our assets to bear at the critical time and place for our combatant commanders.

The most important asset that we bring to bear remains the individual Marine. Our modernization efforts known as Force Design, ensure that we are manned, trained, and equipped to deter peer adversary, and to campaign into a position of advantage should deterrence fail, and lethal force be needed.

25





win on future battlefields, make no mistake. Our aviation readiness has increased more than 10 percent in the past few years thanks to the work of this subcommittee to provide us with the operations and maintenance funding we need, and due to our aviation modernization and reorganization efforts.

7 When a Marine Expeditionary Unit deploys on a big deck 8 L class amphib warship today, they provide the combatant 9 commander with 66 percent more fifth generation aircraft 10 than before we made our Force Design changes. Our efforts 11 to modernize our training and education are bearing fruit 12 as we produce an even more lethal Marine.

From our basic rifleman training to our service level training exercises, we are becoming more lethal. Our new training integrates our joint and organic fires, improved communications, updated ISR to sense, make sense, track, and destroy targets at ranges and complexities never before seen by our Marine Corps.

Our individual Marine remains the most lethal weapon on the battlefield. Our efforts to improve the quality of life of those warriors, and to retain them once we train them, are vitally important. Your continued support matters to them and their families, and I thank you for it. I look forward to your questions. Thank you for letting me appear before you today.



1	[The	prepared	statement	of	General	Smith	follows:]
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							



1	Senator	Hirono:	Thank	you,	General.	General	Allvin.
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							



STATEMENT OF GENERAL DAVID W. ALLVIN, USAF, VICE
 CHIEF OF STAFF OF THE AIR FORCE, DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR
 FORCE

General Allvin: Chair Hirono, Ranking Member
Sullivan, and distinguished subcommittee members, on behalf
of our Air Force Secretary and Chief of Staff, thank you
for the opportunity to discuss the critically important
topic of readiness.

9 We greatly appreciate this body's continued 10 partnership and support in delivering the resources 11 necessary for the Air Force to respond to today's threats 12 while preparing for tomorrow. Events of the past year 13 remind us that global actors have the capability and the 14 intent to challenge peace and stability.

In the case of our pacing challenge, People's Republic of China, the speed at which they are developing advanced capability and capacity should serve as a warning for us to act with a greater sense of urgency. We must maintain the necessary advantage to deter them from violent pursuit of objectives at odds with our national interests. Your Air Force is laser focused on this task.

Our readiness starts with our Airmen, both uniformed and civilian, who consistently prove to be our greatest strength and competitive advantage. Since the beginning of the all-volunteer force 50 years ago, we have been



fortunate enough to attract the best of America's youth in sufficient numbers, but recent realities have put us under pressure.

As a result, we will likely not meet our recruiting goals this year. We are aggressively exploring multiple options while streamlining processes to attract a broader pool of talented Americans to our formation.

8 We know how focused and resilient Airman is a ready 9 Airman, and we must continue to demonstrate that we value 10 our service members and their families. We continually 11 explore opportunities to expand or initiate programs that 12 support better quality of life, and we greatly appreciate 13 this committee's support in those efforts.

The air crew deficit persists due to several factors, but the shortage has not extended to the operational units or pilot training basis. We are continuing on the path to transform our approach to pilot training to increase production, while leveraging numerous monetary and nonmonetary programs to retain the experience of our trained aviators.

21 We look forward to working with the committee on these 22 programs, as well as our pursuit of targeted reform, 23 current legislation to enable the hiring of contract 24 simulator instructors to maximize training and optimize 25 manpower.



While the proposed budget increases weapon system sustainment by \$1.1 billion, this still only resources 87 percent of the estimated requirement due to sustainment challenges of our ever-aging fleet, inflation, supply chain issues, and labor costs. We are pursuing improvements in reliability and maintainability, supporting initiatives that advance data driven decisions.

8 This drives efficiency in what we do today and enables 9 responsiveness in dynamic wartime environments.

Significant challenges and tough decisions still lie ahead.
We must be thoughtful in adequately funding our readiness
accounts, while pursuing the right investments to develop
the advanced capabilities to meet future threats.

This year, we feel we have struck the right balance. And in closing, I would offer that this Congress can perhaps make the most positive impact on our readiness through a timely budget appropriation. Thank you very much and I look forward to your questions.

19 [The prepared statement of General Allvin follows:]

- 20 21
- 22
- \_ \_
- 23
- 24
- 25



1	Senator	Hirono:	Thank yo	u, General.	General
2	Thompson.				
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					



STATEMENT OF GENERAL DAVID D. THOMPSON, USSF, VICE
 CHIEF OF SPACE OPERATIONS, DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE

General Thompson: Chair Hirono, Ranking Member
Sullivan, and distinguished members of the subcommittee, on
behalf of the Secretary of the Air Force and Chief of Space
Operations, thank you for the opportunity to testify today
regarding the readiness of the Space Force.

8 The capabilities and benefits provided from space are 9 essential to our way of life and crucial to effective 10 military operations in every other domain. The overriding 11 consideration in assessing Space Force's readiness remains 12 the dramatic shift to the space domain from a comparatively 13 benign military environment to one that is undeniably 14 contested.

This shift was a compelling reason for the creation of the Space Force three and a half years ago. Since then, with the tremendous support of Congress, the Space Force has moved out aggressively to address the challenges the nation faces in space.

We have begun to pivot to more resilient and defendable architectures to ensure Soldiers, Sailors, Airmen, and Marines have the space capabilities they need across the spectrum of conflict.

We are designing and developing constellations that address the migration of missions to space, including



1 moving target indication, domain awareness on land at sea 2 and in the air, command control, and the movement of data 3 to enable the way the Joint Force expects to fight in the 4 future.

5 Finally, the Space Force has begun the shift to a new 6 training and readiness approach, the Space Force Generation 7 Model. SPF AFFORGEN reached its initial capability on 8 October 1st, and once complete, will deliver space forces 9 that are truly ready against a pacing challenge. The 10 President's Fiscal Year 2024 budget request reaffirms the 11 Space Force's commitment to that threat informed shift.

12 It extends the pivot to more resilient architectures 13 based on proliferated constellations, intelligence driven 14 space domain awareness, aggressive cybersecurity, measured 15 investment in space superiority, and combat credible forces 16 anchored in a full spectrum test and training enterprise. 17 While much remains to be done in all of these areas, the 18 main challenges to Space Force generation today are 19 twofold.

The first challenge to creating a combat ready Space Force is an advanced full spectrum test and training infrastructure with high fidelity threats, realistic mission simulators, a professional aggressor force, and a suitable range. This system of systems will allow us to validate tactics, test system limitations, and train



operators in live and synthetic environments against a
 thinking adversary.

Without this infrastructure, Guardians will not have defendable systems, proven tactics, or the confidence of competence they need to win conflict in space. The second and primary challenge to Space Force readiness lies in the availability of budgetary resources in a timely manner to execute all we are planning to do.

9 Congress has been a tremendous partner in defining and 10 building the Space Force the nation needs. In each year of 11 its existence, the Space Force has seen a 12 to 15 percent 12 increase in its budget year over year.

13 The Space Force is prioritizing its readiness in all 14 facets to effectively deter adversaries, and if necessary, 15 prevail in conflict. The most important thing Congress can 16 do to help in that regard is pass an on-time budget.

17 Thanks for your support and steadfast partnership. I look18 forward to your question.

19 [The prepared statement of General Thompson follows:] 20

- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25



1	Senator	Hirono:	Thank	you,	General.	Ms.	Maurer.
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							



STATEMENT OF DIANA C. MAURER, DIRECTOR OF DEFENSE
 CAPABILITIES AND MANAGEMENT, GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY
 OFFICE

Ms. Maurer: Good afternoon, Chair Hirono, Ranking Member Sullivan, and other members and staff. I am pleased to be here today to discuss key findings and recommendations from our work on military readiness, and what we have found is rather troubling. Broadly speaking, mission capability, can units execute their missions, has declined since 2017.

While the Army and Marine Corps improved in the ground domain, we found declines in the sea, air, and space domains. When it comes to resource readiness, personnel, equipment, training and supplies, we found that the sea domain declined, but units in the ground, air, and space domains generally reported improvements.

Now, of course, improvement does not necessarily mean readiness is where the services want it to be or where they need it to be. There is still quite a lot of ground to make up. For example, only 2 of 49 aviation systems met their annual mission capable goals. The vast majority missed by over 10 percent.

The F-35 program in particular, suffers from a variety of sustainment woes. Fleet wide mission capable rates have declined every year since 2020, and the Air Force, Navy,



and Marine Corps face substantial gaps between what it costs to fly the aircraft and what they can afford. We found the Navy had nearly \$1.8 billion in deferred ship maintenance, mainly in its cruisers and amphibious ships. And over a 10-year period, maintenance delays went up

and cannibalization also increased, while steaming hours
went down. The Navy also faces a significant crewing
shortfall, which can harm mission, maintenance, and safety.

9 The Army needs to improve helicopter safety and 10 address shortfalls in real support and sea sealift training 11 that affect readiness and the ability to move to the fight. 12 The Space Force faces a unique set of readiness challenges, 13 and DOD can better incorporate the evolving space control 14 mission into its strategic readiness approach.

To help with these and other challenges, we made over 16 130 recommendations in the 37 reports listed in my 17 statement for the record. DOD Agreed with nearly all of 18 them and started taking action on many, but over 100 remain 19 open. These open recommendations are opportunities to 20 improve readiness.

Yet, even with all these challenges you just heard, the U.S. military is the best in the world. Our work helps keep it that way. GAO will continue to provide independent, hard hitting, and constructive reports to help the services and help the Congress carry out its important



1	oversight responsibilities. Madam Chair, thank you for the
2	opportunity to testify and I look forward to your
3	questions.
4	[The prepared statement of Ms. Maurer follows:]
5	
б	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	


Senator Hirono: Thank you very much, Ms. Maurer,
 especially for pointing out all of the areas where
 improvements can be made. And I thank you also for
 acknowledging that in spite of these major shortfalls, I
 would say, that we still remain the best military in the
 world.

And for that, I commend all of you who are here today.
Let me start the questioning being very specific. General
George, I am aware that the Army conducted a survey of
unaccompanied barracks residents last summer and that the
Army has those results.

I have two questions, when can you provide this committee with those survey results, and what are the preliminary results of the survey? And are you already beginning to address the suggestions made in the survey? General George: So, Chair Hirono, yes, we did conduct a survey. We went to five different installations to look

18 at barracks, and the idea of it was actually to conduct a 19 survey on what they would want.

As we are building barracks, we are going to be spending \$1 billion a year. And it has got the kitchenettes, size, common areas, and those kinds of things. So that is what the survey was for, so that we could get design feedback as we start to build, you know, barracks into the future.



So, we will certainly -- I know we normally provide data on housing, and we will look -- in June, I think is where we could provide. I don't see any reason why we couldn't provide those survey results.

5 Senator Hirono: So, the barracks with kitchenettes, 6 etcetera, that sounds really nice, but what are some of the 7 issues that were evidenced by the survey, such as things 8 like mold and things like having more than the number of 9 people that the barracks were designed in the barracks. 10 I mean, there is some pretty basic kinds of concerns

11 that were expressed, I am sure, by this survey. But 12 something like getting rid of mold, that is pretty basic. 13 I would think that you would want to address those issues 14 first.

15 General George: Yes, Senator.

16 Senator Hirono: Am I correct?

General George: Yes, Senator. We -- and to that, we have inspected all 68,000 buildings in the Army for mold. We found about 2,500 of them that had mold. We have already undertaken remediation. It was about \$3.5 million worth of remediation, so that was immediately invested in. And then, you know, innovation from our young troops

that came up with the 3D printing that we are trying to make sure that we have something out there to notice that that is happening. But we are absolutely focused on that.



Senator Hirono: So, all of you have testified that the people are the important thing, and that is why I would be very interested to get this report from you, General George, and work with you on how we can achieve the recommendations that came out of the survey.

I am concerned about the impact my colleague's hold on military nominations has on the readiness of our forces. When we -- this is for all of you. When we cannot confirm officers to the positions they have worked hard for and are best suited to, it is our military families that pay the first price.

Planned moves, school changes, spouse employment opportunities, all are now frozen indefinitely. And now going forward, what are the readiness impacts of freezing general and flag officer promotions on the rest of the force and our senior officers' families? We will just go right down the line, starting with you, General George.

General George: Yes, Senator, I think you pretty much covered it in your statement. I mean, I think the real challenge right now and, you know, quality of life obviously impacts, you know, readiness.

But really the impact is families that are moving, jobs, you know, spouse jobs, getting orders to move kids into school. It is more aligned with that. And there is a cascading effect just given the number of people.



1

Senator Hirono: General Franchetti.

Admiral Franchetti: Similarly, this would impact our families. On the flag officer side of the house, a few are critical this year. First of all, the director of naval reactors responsible for 60 reactors. We also have three fleet commanders, including the one in the Western Pacific and the one in the Middle East.

And then all of our, specially focused on readiness, our type commanders, so surface, subsurface, and air, they all rotate this year, and they are the ones that do the man, train, equip missions. So again, this will have the biggest impact on readiness if they are delayed.

13 Senator Hirono: General Smith.

General Smith: Chairwoman Hirono, I will just give one example. One of our expeditionary forces, about 45,000 Marines, has a three star and one star. That three stars will retire this summer. Long service suffered a family tragedy as well, so he will retire. That will leave that expeditionary force with a one star.

20 So instead of focusing on the Marine Expeditionary 21 Units, which is that one star's normal job, he will do that 22 and focus on the rest of the MEF. So that is a significant 23 amount of supervision and experience that is no longer 24 focused where it should be, on our most precious asset, the 25 Marines and those Marine Expeditionary Units. That is just



1 a small anecdote, but that is not a one off. That is a one 2 of many.

3 Senator Hirono: My time is running out, but I did 4 want to give the other two generals a moment. General 5 Smith, and then General Allvin.

6 General Smith: Chair Hirono, just very similar to 7 what the other leaders here have mentioned. We have five 8 either commanders or senior officers in the Indo-Pacific 9 that are scheduled to move their positions, and two four-10 stars who are ready to retire for similar reasons that the 11 ACMC mentioned.

12 Senator Hirono: General Allvin.

General Allvin: Madam Chair, the topic has been covered. Just one specific example. We need to put general officer leaders out into the combatant commands to ensure they are effectively integrating space and dealing with the issues of the contested environment. That is one example of where we need that leadership.

19 Senator Hirono: Thank you very much. Senator20 Sullivan.

21 Senator Sullivan: Thank you, Madam Chair. General 22 Smith, I want to give you an opportunity to follow up on 23 two of the issues that I raised in my opening statement. 24 The first is, at least for me, and maybe you and I have 25 talked about it, maybe I am just too dense to understand



1 it.

But the confusion on the impacts on the aviation sector of Force Design. The Marine Corps staff provide in my office numbers that said the Marine Corps would be putting into storage or inventory management as many as 60 MV-22s, 30 Cobras, 24 Hueys, 48 CH-53s, and 54 F-35 Bravos.

7 On April 18th, I walked through these numbers with the 8 commandant in a closed session. I asked him if they were 9 accurate. He said they weren't, despite the fact that my 10 office got them from the Marine Corps.

11 So, what -- what are the accurate numbers? And my 12 next question, you can just take them at the same time. 13 CSIS did a very big, comprehensive, important series of war 14 plans. I hope the Marine Corps is reading it. I hope the 15 Marine Corps is looking at it.

I hope the Marine Corps is digesting it. I hope the Marine Corps is talking to CSIS about it because they weren't impressed with the Marine Corps littoral regiments.
They didn't think they worked very well.

20 Marine Force Design is designed exactly for that 21 scenario, and you have a big war game that says, it is not 22 really working. So, can you address both of those 23 questions for me? The really important and I think we need 24 detailed answers.

General Smith: I can, Senator, thank you. I will do



25

the aviation first. The numbers that you cited are correct. I will guarantee you, we provided inaccurate to our commandant. The numbers you cited are what we call pipeline and attrition are correct. The biggest issue I would say is, sir, we haven't, "divested of airplanes." They do go in storage, and I will use an --

7 Senator Sullivan: So, then we are not using those
8 -- we are not going to use 54 F-35 Bravos?

General Smith: If I can give you a quick example,
Senator. The MV-22s that you referenced, 360 was the
number we were to buy. We have bought them all. We have
them. We own every one of them. Those aircraft have to
last until 2055. That is when our budget plans for them to
go out of service.

The original attrition model that they were purchased upon is not accurate. The attrition models had hard landings, those kinds of things. If we didn't go from 12 to 10 planes per squadron and changed the number of squadrons from 18 to 16, we would have run out of those airplanes years before 2055.

21 So just as infantry officer, sir, I always have 22 something in reserve. We didn't get rid of them, but when 23 they are needed, we will use those airplanes. It is the 24 same for all type models and series.

25 Senator Sullivan: So, if we could get for the record



Scheduling@TP.One www.TP.One 800.FOR.DEPO (800.367.3376) 1 kind of details about, and this was a question for -- in 2 the Commandant's recent testimony as well. How about on 3 the CSIS war study?

General Smith: Sir, I appreciate that question. I am
very familiar with the CSIS study. One of the key things
that it noted was that the MLRs were still more effective
than the previous formations.

8 So, war games, as you know, are designed to find 9 holes, gaps, weaknesses, and then you exploit those, and 10 you fix them. We have got a total of 12 additional war 11 games, 10 at the completely classified level, that also 12 looked at the MLR using the correct ranges or systems, the 13 actual employment methods, and they bear a different 14 result.

I would note that one of the pieces that CSIS noted, and we value that that study, Senator, we do, was that there would be a political challenge. But the that has proven not to be, I would say, fully correct.

The Japanese and the U.S. Government just agreed in the two plus two to keep 12 MLR in Japan, and we are using the third MLR in the Philippines now. So, it is a valuable study, but when it found that we lost 300 airplanes on the ground, most Air Force lost carriers and cruisers, we don't -- or pardon me, destroyers, we don't stop procuring.

25

**TP** One

Scheduling@TP.One www.TP.One

We find ways to fix those challenges that that war

game presents. So, the MLR is better than what we had.
Not as good as it will be when we finally get all of our
pieces implemented.

4 Senator Sullivan: Let me talk about those pieces. 5 Admiral, as you can tell, and if you watched any of the б full hearing, the Secretary of Navy kind of took it on the chin, with good reason because, a, he got his 30 year 7 shipbuilding plan to this committee the night before -- got 8 9 your climate action plan done 18 months ago, but your 30 10 year shipbuilding plan, you got to this committee the night 11 before the big hearing.

And in that shipbuilding plan, 30 years, you don't hit 31 amphibious once. And that is just -- as the guy who wrote that provision, and, by the way, is unanimous in this committee, I find it stunning that the Navy can come up here and just say, you know what, Congress, take a hike.

So, when are you going to come back here, the Secretary said you would do it soon, to show us when you are going to follow the law. And what I don't want to hear is, well, we are going to do a study, Senator. We are going to look at more options. Kate told us we are going to look -- like we did the studies. Your job is to follow the law. The Secretary needs to get back up here.

That hearing from him was a disaster. I have been on this committee for eight years and I haven't seen anything



1 like that. So, I hope you have a better answer than he had 2 in the last committee hearing. What is the answer on 3 getting to 31 amphibs, which the Marine Corps desperately 4 needs?

By the way, that is a minimum. You can't just come to the Congress and say, we think that was a suggestion. It wasn't a suggestion. It is just like -- it is actually the same language we gave you on 11 carriers. So, what is the answer on that, Admiral?

Admiral Franchetti: Ranking member Sullivan, as you know, and as the Secretary, the CNO, and the Commandant testified, the Commandant and the CNO fully agree and understand the 31 amphibs is the law. We are doing the study, coordinating that with OSD this summer --

15 Senator Sullivan: But again --

Admiral Franchetti: That will determine the way ahead
17 --

18 Senator Sullivan: Sorry to interrupt. We did the 19 study. Again, I don't understand why you keep telling us 20 -- we did the study. You are done -- you don't have the 21 option of doing the study. You just have to follow the 22 law.

I don't know why this is so hard on the Navy. We did the study, we did the cost. If you don't have the budget for it, request a bigger budget. We will give it to you.



But we don't want another study. We want you to follow the law.

I want -- I have gone over my time, but can you just answer that again, without saying you are going to do another study? I want to know when you and the Secretary are going to come back here with a plan that doesn't blow off the Congress and the law for 30 years, which is what your current plan -- your plan does not hit 31 amphibs once in 30 years. That is completely unacceptable.

Admiral Franchetti: We will finish the study and we believe that this is a PB25 discussion. We put an amphibian contract this year. We are going to deliver another one next year. We currently have 32 and we look forward to that discussion as part of the PB25 discussion. Senator Hirono: Senator Kaine.

16 Senator Kaine: Well, I just -- I want to associate 17 myself with the punch line from Senator Sullivan. I do 18 think that this is a matter for the President's budget, and 19 I know that the service chiefs and you as witnesses don't 20 get to lobby against the President's budget.

You know, the President sends us a budget and you are not going to come and testify counter to it. I think this is at the level of the President's budget. And the Commandant was pretty clear in the hearing that 31 was not only the law, but 31 was the requirement in terms of the



1 military mission.

And when I asked him point blank, does either the President's budget or the shipbuilding plan get us there, he was -- one word answer, no. So, I think the punch line is we are expecting an answer. We understand -- I understand that you are not going to come in here and lobby against the President's budget.

8 That is not what you do. But I think we do need to 9 find what is up, especially since this is the second year 10 where we have had this conversation with the set of mixed 11 messages. Admiral Franchetti, I wanted to share with you, 12 I have been visiting some of our surface ship, private 13 surface shipyards in the Hampton Roads area and I have 14 heard a very particular challenge that I think could be 15 easy to fix, could be that it might help us with getting 16 ships in and out of repair in a timely way.

Because, you know, I think there has been some suggestions that oftentimes ships under repair don't come out timely. The Navy has a stated policy on these repairs. And we are not talking about the like the mid-career refuels of carriers. We are surface ship, not nuclear repairs.

The Navy has a policy of trying to enter into the contracts on these repairs 120 days before the work is supposed to start. But it is more common that the Navy



1 enters into a contract 30 to 60 days before.

2 Okay, we need to have it in dock in 45 days, and we 3 need to have it in dock in 60 days. That makes it really 4 hard for the shipyards to staff up. If they are bidding on 5 work, they get a bid of work, they are really excited about б it, but the labor market is really tight right now. And 7 so, if they are getting the contract and being told, and you have got to start to work in 60 days, it is hard to 8 9 staff up to really go at it from day one.

Whereas if you can get the contract 120 days out, which isn't that long, that is four months, the -- at least the NASCO, General Dynamics, and the BAE Shipyard, these are the two that I have been at in the last month -- say, if you can hit that 120 day mark, they can staff up and be ready on day one and then really comply with time guidelines.

At least one of the shipyards was saying, even though it is dramatically shorter than that, they still think they have a pretty good track record of turning the ships out according to the Navy timetable. But that doesn't seem like an unreasonable request to me that we try to enter into contracts and then give the shipyard 120 days from the date of that contract being signed to fully staff up.

And I think if you can do that, you will get ships out the back end in a lot more reliable and regular way. And I



just wanted to kind of report that from the field as something that I would like you to pay attention to. General George, I want to congratulate you on your nomination to Chief of Staff of the Army.

Just say that really quickly and ask you this question. What is the Army doing to ensure a constant supply of energetics in order to meet current and future munitions requirements and maintain a responsive, organic industrial base, particularly as we are talking about the support that we are providing in Ukraine that can have the effect of diluting some of our efforts in that way?

General George: Yes, Senator. Obviously, the organic industrial base is critically important. We spend a lot of, I would say, right after recruitment for us, something that we are talking about all the time, we have invested about \$1.5 billion in the Army budget on that for our OIB.

And then thanks to the supplemental, there will be another \$1.6 billion, for example, down at Radford, is one example of some, you know, investments that we are putting down there. So, and as I think you can see, I think, or you may have heard we had -- there was another -- I think we did, there was a \$5 billion deal just done here for GMLRs.

And so, it is also the defense industrial base that we are working on. I think what is helping us is the



1 multiyear procurement. Another thing that I think that we
2 have talked about, and we need to look at is, you know,
3 what do we do, to your point, is stockpiling.

What are ways that we can get, because we have had some of these supply chain issues, that we would actually have this stuff that we know we are going to need, and we are really supporting the Joint Force. So, we are looking at all of those things, Senator.

9 Senator Kaine: I appreciate it. Thanks, Madam Chair.
 10 I yield back.

11 Senator Hirono: Senator Mullin.

12 Senator Mullin: Thank you, Madam Chair. General 13 George, Fort Sill is becoming a hub for innovation for 14 counter UAS, space, and in the process of standing up the 15 counter UAS university. Lawton has also stood up the Fires 16 Innovation, Science and Technology Accelerator in support 17 of Fort Sill for the Army's priority mission.

Great achievements and advancements have been made in the counter UAS technology, such as lasers and high-power microwaves. What is the development and fielding plan for these systems?

General George: Okay. Senator, yes, Fort Sill is critically important to us, not just for an integrated air and missile defense in addition to the counter UAS and long-range fires. So that is the center for us, for



1 counter UAS, and I mentioned in my opening statement about 2 getting lessons from what we have learned in Ukraine and 3 what we are really attempting to do, and that is happening 4 there.

5 And then we are doing other testing that is out in 6 both White Sands and Fort Huachuca to rapidly innovate with 7 those products. We are getting ready to stand up a counter 8 UAS university that is going to start with an initial 9 operating capability.

10 The whole Joint Force will train there and that will 11 be fully operational capability here in October -- by 12 October.

Senator Mullin: October -- that was the answer to my other question. Do we have the right level investment for counter UAS?

16 General George: I think we do. This year there was 17 an additional, you know, \$100 billion that was put towards 18 that. And so, and that is something for the Army as the 19 executive agent really for the joint counter UAS. And it 20 is really supporting research and development across all 21 the services that we are focused on -- we are, you know, 22 kind of just helping to facilitate that. And we are all 23 -- it is a real joint effort throughout.

24 Senator Mullin: Thank you. General Allvin, pilot 25 training is a major priority for this committee, and Vance



Air Force Base, which is in Enid, Oklahoma, is one of the
best in the business, training more pilots per year than
any other training base in the country.

Unfortunately, both the pilot training center and
their dorms need major work to reach their full potential.
That work was not listed as a priority for the Air Force,
but rather included on the Air Education Training Command's
unfunded priorities list.

9 With the nation experiencing a shortage of up to 2,000 10 pilots, why was this not work -- why was this work not a 11 higher priority?

12 General Allvin: Well, Senator, you are absolutely 13 right, and Vance are leading the way. As a matter of fact, 14 our UPT 2.5 initiative really was started in Vance, and 15 they will be the lead unit for that. With respect to the 16 dormitories overall, there is a dormitory master plan in 17 which actually in the OSD scoring system of the facilities conditions index, 99 percent of our dorms, to include those 18 19 at Enid, are above the adequate standard.

We would like them to be better than adequate, but they do exceed that standard. So, we are prioritizing those dorms that are the closest to 80 percent or below. We will continue to look at the Enid dormitories as well as the pilot train -- the pilot training center obviously is going to need to transform as we transform the way we do



1 pilot training as well. We will continue.

Senator Mullin: Have you have you visited Vance?
General Allvin: I have. I was there -Senator Mullin: Have you seen the training
facilities?

General Allvin: I have not recently seen the trainingfacilities.

8 Senator Mullin: I was just there, and it is literally 9 in temporary facilities. Temporary that has become 10 permanent.

11 General Allvin: Yes, sir.

12 Senator Mullin: And as you said, Vance is leading the 13 way. There needs to be more done there. And on top of 14 that, Vance is leading the way and we also received a 2 15 percent cut on reimbursements for housing, when I don't 16 think there is any place in the country that has got a 17 reduction in housing.

It is -- I mean, housing is a competition. And in Enid, it is even a bigger competition. I believe that is something we need to get addressed. If we want to recruit and keep the best, and unfortunately, we are competing with commercials too at this point, but we should recruit the best. We can train the best.

We also got to make sure we give them adequate housing. We can't -- we obviously are never going to



compete with the majors in pay, but we also know that most of these pilots are going to be married, and their spouses need to be -- they need to like where they are staying, and they also need to know it is not costing them to be there.

5 And with the 2 percent cut, I felt like that was kind 6 of a slap across the face. And so, General, I would 7 appreciate it if you would pay attention to that. With 8 that, I yield back. Thank you.

9 Senator Hirono: Senator Shaheen.

10 Senator Shaheen: Well, thank you, Generals and 11 Admiral, for being here and for your service to the 12 country. I have a whole list of questions, but I would 13 actually like to throw all of those out and go directly to 14 Ms. Maurer's statement, because I was disappointed to hear 15 your comment that there has been a decline in mission 16 readiness, especially in the air and sea, and that is 17 despite additional funding over the period since 2017.

And I wonder if each of you could tell me if you agree with GAO's assessment, or if you have a different view. General George.

General George: Yes, Senator. So specific to the GAO report that did she mentioned in her opening statement, one was for us, mobilization and railcars. Yes, I agree with that. That is something that we are investing in, \$10 million mainly for the big, you know, for tanks and Bradley



1 and heavy equipment. And then the other aspect of it was
2 safety, and I agree with that as well.

3 Senator Shaheen: Admiral.

4 Admiral Franchetti: I think from the ship and 5 submarine in the sea domain, you know, we are improving our б readiness now, I think since 2019. And as we have been able to implement a lot of our performed plan and data 7 analytics, and really focus on the maintenance and getting 8 9 ships out of the shipyard on time, submarines out on time. 10 We have been able to decrease our days of maintenance 11 delay, which will improve our ability to train. So again, 12 we have a lot more work to do and we are grateful for the 13 work that the GAO provides.

On the aviation, again, back in 2018, when we were a challenge to move up from 241 ready Super Hornets, we invested a lot of time and energy in this analytic process to get after the root causes and the drivers of lack of readiness.

We have been able to achieve 80 percent readiness, between 80 and 85 percent readiness for the Super Hornets, and now we are scaling that to the remainder of our type model series. So again, we have had some challenges, but I think we are moving in the right direction.

24 Senator Shaheen: Well, let me just zero in on that a 25 little bit because one of the findings has to do with the



1 shipyards and submarines.

And it says from Fiscal Year 2014 to 2020, Navy submarines spent 9,563 more days in depot maintenance than expected. Now, as somebody who represents the Portsmouth Naval Shipyard, I really appreciate the shipyard optimization plan and what that is doing for the shipyard.

7 And they have had a very good record of getting ships 8 out on time and under budget. But how do you approach that 9 kind of delay as we are thinking about how we make sure our 10 submarines are operational when they need to be?

Admiral Franchetti: That was a very significant delay. And we are really focused, and this has really been the focus of me as the Vice Chief, as I have gone around to visit the different shipyards, to understand the challenges and also met with private industry to see where we can focus on that.

I think the three things that we found that have been impacting that, one is workforce development and project management fundamentals, production throughput. The second one is long lead time material, and that has really been a challenge, especially for Virginia class submarines.

And then the third one is growth work, unplanned work that we are finding. And so, again, we now have developed a 15-year plan, a strategy to get after all of those things. We have also put in -- requested in this budget



\$3.1 billion in Virginia class parts to help us get
 veritable pools and get rid of challenges with
 obsolescence.

So, in the submarine world, I think we are, again,
moving in the right direction.

6 Senator Shaheen: Thank you. General Smith.

General Smith: Senator, the aviation portion of that report is correct. We are not where we need to be and have committed to be. In the last four or five years, we have increased marine aviation readiness by just over 10 percent.

So, we are moving in the right direction, but we are Marines, so we are not going to be satisfied until we achieve the objective. We are doing that through a combination of ensuring that personnel, ranges, fuel, parts, aircraft are all available at the right time.

Because if any one of those elements of readiness is not there, you are not going to train and be ready. So that is a focus for us. It is the compilation of manpower, training ranges, and assets at the exact right time.

21 Senator Shaheen: General Allvin.

General Allvin: Yes, Senator, unfortunately for the Air Force, those are correct as well. And what is not good news, but is better news, so we are up to, in Fiscal Year 25 2023, this is in Fiscal Year 2023, we had eight aircraft



1 that did meet the MC.

That is not nearly where we need to be, but eight better than two. And ours is a combination of a bit of a spiral we are trying to come out from, which is, as we have, 53 percent of our aviation assets are right now exceeding their expected lifecycle, average 29-year-old platform.

8 So, they break 25 percent more, they take 15 percent 9 longer to fix. And because of that, they are longer times 10 in depot, which means we can -- we have a fixed a depot 11 pipeline so we can put fewer through depot, so therefore it 12 has that spiraling effect. And because they are finding 13 new and interesting ways to break, it takes some of our 14 best maintainers to be able to keep those.

15 So, as we are trying to transition to these more 16 modernized platforms that is where some of our maintenance 17 shortfalls come. So not an excuse. It is a condition we 18 need to work through. I think another one, the real good recommendations that they made that we are trying to action 19 20 on right now is leaving these sustainment reviews for each 21 of the systems that get after the individual pieces of the 22 maintenance and supply issues.

We have completed several of those sustainment reviews right now. We are trying to develop useful mitigation plans, not just mitigation plans we can submit as a report



and make it complete, but the things we can action on through things like condition-based maintenance plus and stockpiling of supplies and those sorts of things.

4 So, we are on a journey, and but again, the answer to 5 the question is, these are accurate numbers.

6 Senator Shaheen: Madam Chair, can I ask General7 Thompson to also respond?

8 General Thompson: Senator, we agree with the GAO's 9 assessment as well. Such an incredibly dynamic period 10 addressing a newly contested domain. We don't really have 11 the readiness metrics yet. We don't have the systems.

We don't have the training infrastructure. But I absolutely believe we have the plan that we are executing to. We had \$390 million in this year's budget focused on that plan. And our request has another \$340 million above that.

17 So, I agree with the assessment, but I believe we have 18 the plan to get after the readiness needs of the Space 19 Force.

20 Senator Shaheen: Well, thank you. And General 21 Thompson, I think your admonition that on time budgeting 22 and being able to count on a budget from Congress is really 23 important to all of the work that you all need to do, so I 24 hope that we can comply with your request. Thank you, 25 Madam Chair.



1 Senator Hirono: Senator Kelly.

Senator Kelly: Thank you, Madam Chair. I want to start with General Allvin and Ms. Maurer on the pilot retention issue in the Air Force. And if I have time, I want to address this to the Admiral and General Smith as well.

7 So, I think we share this concern about pilot 8 retention challenges in the Air Force and what this means 9 for the future joint fight. I think the Air Force 10 currently has a 10-year requirement after a pilot gets 11 winged, but I want to get into some specifics on this.

So, what does the data say about when pilots are separating from service after their commitment? Is it -- does it tend to be right after the 10-year commitment, or the folks tend to stay in for a little bit longer and then get out before they, let's say, complete 20 years of service?

And then what is like the root cause? Like what are they citing as reasons why they are leaving after a 10-year commitment to the Air Force?

General Allvin: Senator, thank you for that. So, the biggest decision point is after that 10-year commitment. So, it is not like it is a cliff after that, but that initial 10-year commitment is where the first decision point is.



I will talk in a second about the rationale why. And as we understand -- when they approach that 10-year commitment from their pilot training time, as you know, there is that year to get trained and maybe some time you have to wait to get to pilot training. So, you may be 11 or 12 years in.

7 What we had been doing in the past, and we have been 8 approaching them at that 11 or 12 year point, and at that 9 point as uniform service, you are making decisions two or 10 three years before then. So, what we have done now is 11 offer these incentives to them three years before the 12 commitment is done.

Now, obviously, we are asking for a longer commitment, but at that time, it is helping them cement their future, see where their families are, and have that predictability. Senator Kelly: The incentives, you mean the pilot bonus --

General Allvin: The pilot aviation incentives. But also, we are also offering non-monetary incentives. And this goes to your point of why are they getting out? Why are they leaving?

And we had an air crew engagement survey that happens every year, the one we just had in March, had three primary reasons. One of them was location stability. The second one was compensation. And the third one is resource



initiatives to get after the additional duties because
 pilots like to fly.

So, the location stability, we are doing things now 3 4 like trying to reduce the number of overseas deployments. 5 Those with the reduction in Afghanistan and Irag are sort 6 of helping that naturally as a byproduct. We are looking 7 at some of the second assignment in place opportunities. 8 One of the advantages of technology is it allows us to be 9 more interactive with the individuals in the assignment 10 process.

Before, it needs the Air Force, we shape your career. Now we have talent marketplace where they can go out and at least provide some more input, have a little more agency in their future assignments. So, we are helping them with that. And then on the resourcing issues, we are looking at other opportunities to shed some of those additional duties.

And on the compensation is the aviation bonus. So those are the three ways that we are addressing. But we are really interested to see, we just started this, to see what the feedback is on the engaging them earlier. Because they are making those decisions not the year of, but a couple of years.

24 Senator Kelly: Admiral, has the Navy done anything 25 here with trying to provide some stability in one location



for pilots? I know in my 25 years in the Navy, that was something that you would hear the Air Force would do but wasn't typically something the Marine -- or the Navy did. And I am pretty sure the Marines probably did not as well. Are they -- is either service doing that now?

Admiral Franchetti: Yes. I think, you know, just Admiral Franchetti: Yes. I think, you know, just like the Air Force, we are working hard to retain people and look for some of those non-monetary incentives. Of course, the monetary ones are important.

Being able to award the bonuses and incentive pays at the right time to help them with their decision is one thing. Some of the other things that we are looking at really are, as you mentioned, family stability, very important. Some of the reasons cited for departing are high operational tempo, long deployment lengths.

And again, not enough flying time, because they do really like to fly. The other one is looking at potential alternative career paths and designating a professional flight instructor. And because some people would like to do that, as opposed to moving on through some of the other career choices.

22 Senator Kelly: General.

General Smith: Senator, along the same lines. We are, through our process called talent management. We are just trying to treat each individual Marine as an



individual. Some pilots want to fly a lot more. There are
some who want a three year out because they have been
flying for eight years straight.

4 So, we are offering not just to pilots, but all 5 Marines, we will ask them, what would it take to keep you? б And they say, I want to stay here at Myanmar for another 7 three years, then we can get to yes. If it is, I want to 8 stay here at Myanmar forever that is probably a no. But if 9 you -- if we can extend you. If we can give you three 10 years out of the cockpit, you do a forward air controller 11 tour that helps.

You know, so there is three Marines at this table, and we all do it because we love being in the Marine Corps. That will only get you so far because we do have to compensate them, can't compete with airlines, but we have to give them a career path that matches what they need and what the Corps needs.

But we are doing stabilization in their geographic location of choice anywhere we can, because we have to retain those pilots, because they are a huge element of our lethality.

22 Senator Kelly: Some of our allies also will allow, 23 and I think this what you alluded to as a in maybe an 24 instructor pilot but allows somebody to be sort of a 25 squadron pilot. They don't advance so much in their



1 career, they stay in a squadron, and that helps in some 2 retention. I don't think we have gone that far yet. Is 3 that accurate?

4 General Smith: Right.

5 Senator Kelly: Right. Thank you.

6 Senator Hirono: Senator Duckworth.

7 Thank you. I am going to follow Senator Duckworth: up on Senator Kelly's questioning about retaining aviation 8 9 flight crew. General George, the Army made headlines this 10 week when Human Resources Command alerted hundreds of 11 active duty aviation officers that their service 12 commitments are about three years longer than previously 13 thought due to an HR error. And I actually am quoting the 14 language.

And General Allvin, last year, Congress gave the Air Force the ability to offer retention bonuses to pilots up to three years away from contract expiration, in addition to a base preference for future assignment location.

And to date, the Air Force has not published its aviation retention bonus or base preference plan for eligible aviators for the current Fiscal Year, and we are -- I mean, we are well into the second quarter.

Gentlemen, are these issues the result of slow staffing processes on behalf of your service? Are your human resource staff properly trained and equipped to



administer these types of programs? What is going on? You have this in the Air Force, this resourcing and yet you are not using it. And how is it that we are telling people, by the way, you owe us three more years than you initially -- we initially told you because of an HR error? What is going on?

General George: Senator, yes, there was an error that you read, that actually they should have known that they had, the branch ADSO or the additional service obligation that wasn't on there.

We are treating that, you know, going to every individual. For some it is not. It kind of gets back to the individual preference, hey I was planning on staying anyway. There are some that it is a challenge for, and our Human Resources Command CG, General Drew, also an aviator, is reaching out to every one of those directly.

Senator Duckworth: But you are not answering my question. You are putting it back on the individual service member. What I asked you is what is going on with your HR training and your personnel that they are making these kinds of mistakes.

General George: Well, I would agree with you. We need to make sure that we don't have mistakes like that. But like I said, we did -- we have had a mistake. We did identify it and we are just trying to deal with it right



1

Senator Duckworth: What are you going to do to fix
the problem -- with your HR --?

General George: -- directly to take care of --Senator Duckworth: -- so that it doesn't happen again?

General George: And we are addressing that as well.
As far as how -- what gets into, you know, how the service
obligation. The other thing is we are bringing on and we
had -- I do think, our integrated personnel and pay system,
you know, getting data.

We had a bunch of old systems that were kind of -- had been kluged together, and we are working through that. And I think that that will help us. But obviously, for all of us that have been in here, anything -- you know, that something happens to your own pay or anything else, that has a big impact, and we realize that, and we are focused on it.

Senator Duckworth: General Allvin, it is six months into the Fiscal Year, and you still haven't published your retention bonus and your base preference.

General Allvin: This is something I never like to hear it hearing, but I will tell you, first heard. I will get back -- I was not aware that that was not being done. J just extolled it as a virtue of what we are doing, so,



1 Senator, very soon I will find out what it is --

2 Senator Duckworth: Okay. Thank you.

General Allvin: -- and I will personally make sure that you have that, because that is certainly not -- is certainly, things are credibility if you don't follow through on the things you are saying we are doing.

7 Senator Duckworth: Yes. Thank you. I want to 8 backtrack and talk about aviation safety. I do want to 9 offer my condolences to the families, friends, and 10 colleagues of those soldiers killed in last week's Apache 11 crash in Alaska. As an aviator and a member of this 12 committee, I am following it closely and I have asked the 13 Army to come back, once you have done all your 14 investigations, to brief me.

This is the second-class A that has rocked the Army's aviation community in the last two months. And aviation units are currently on a stand down. Much needed. The Marine Corps, Navy, and Air Force all hosted safety stand down days in recent years after their own strings of mishaps.

Study after study points to common causal factors, inexperience in the training schoolhouses and in the operational cockpit, increasing workload on the flight line and in maintenance hangars, and a lack of timely access to spare aircraft parts.



General George, Admiral Franchetti, General Smith,
 General Allvin, how is your service working to address
 these factors to prevent future tragedies, and what can we
 do to help you?

General George: Senator, as you know, from being an
aviator, it is something you have to constantly address.
Before this, the previous four years had been the safest
aviation for us in history. But, you know, you obviously
have to keep focused on it right now.

10 So, we are doing exactly what you said with the safety 11 stand down. They were looking at everything out there, how 12 we are -- what are, you know, the crew mix, maintenance, 13 TTPs, you know, and all the things that -- the tactics that 14 people are using.

We are studying that. That was part of the address by the Chief of Staff in the stand down, and we will obviously get the investigations. You know, we got the safety center that is out there looking at both of those right now, and we will certainly follow up with you.

Admiral Franchetti: Beyond just aviation accidents, we have had other accidents and we have learned many things from. I would say, two things that we have done to really try to get after them. First, we elevated our safety center to a two-star safety command.

25



Scheduling@TP.One www.TP.One

The safety center dealt primarily with individual

1 units, and information wasn't shared across the broader 2 community. And the safety command, now he assesses all of 3 the oversight entities, and they do regional assessments as 4 well as community assessments and provide that information. 5 So, we are already learning a lot from them. I think б the other one is really going after the root causes through 7 our get real, get better cultural renovation that we are 8 focused on right now, is really identifying them.

9 So, if the root cause for many of these things is 10 fatigue, we are really emphasizing using our human factors, 11 engineers to understand what is happening, and then how do 12 we better train our people to know what to look for, create 13 better watch builds, and move forward from there.

General Smith: Senator, the last part of your question, steady, predictable operations, and maintenance dollars for parts and flight hours is the best thing that can be done for flight pilot proficiency.

We do twice annual safety stand downs preemptively. We call them BITS, back in the saddle training. But also in that preemptive lane, we just had a V-22 have an inflight emergency a few weeks ago at Cherry Point. The group commander said -- and the pilots landed it very safely. So rather than wait for something, they simulated that same emergency.

25

They stood the entire group down, a colonel level



command, for two days and they made every single pilot go
 back through that scenario until they got that exactly
 right. Because we don't want to wait for an incident.

We always want to be proactive. And for us, I am the safety officer of the Marine Corps. Safety Division works for me. There is no one between me and the colonel who runs it. It is me, so I am responsible to you.

8 Senator Duckworth: Thank you.

9 General Allvin: Similarly, for the Air Force, the 10 last two years. So far in Fiscal Year 2023, the same as 11 last year, 1.2 per 100,000 flying hours. We would like to 12 get that obviously to zero. We have had a couple of very 13 safe years.

But to your point, we -- and to General George's point, we have got to be -- even though you might have the safest on record, it only takes one or two, and suddenly it becomes the worst on record.

We have found over -- our analysis shows that over the last two years, our incidents have been a product of material, as you mentioned, risk management, and noncompliance with guidance. So, we really, we have been attacking the material.

To General Smith's point, we want to make sure we have the right parts and availability. But the risk management and noncompliance, these are things we are finding those


Venn diagrams, and our safety commander, she is brilliant in getting back and finding root causes, reeducating, and I think it is those human elements that we need to continue to focus on with all the environmentals that my colleagues here talked about.

6 Crew resource management, understanding the risk. We 7 are also starting to better integrate our human performance 8 wing to understand those things in fatigue that we can now 9 hold ourselves better accountable for with the advent of 10 technology. But those elements are the things that we are 11 really focusing on now.

Senator Duckworth: You have been very generous, MadamChairman.

14 Senator Hirono: Senator Blumenthal.

15 Senator Blumenthal: Thanks, Madam Chair. I want to 16 focus on a different aspect of readiness and personnel, 17 which is recruitment. And in particular, the -- some of 18 the numbers, that I know are troubling you as they have 19 troubled us, of the levels of recruitment and the failure 20 to make many of the recruiting goals, which I think is 21 troubling not only for the present, but also what it 22 indicates for the future.

And I note, particularly General George, the numbers on the Army that are provided here today, only 23 percent of Americans aged 17 to 24 are qualified to serve without a



1 waiver, which I think is a pretty damning indictment of 2 education, health, however you want to characterize it.

And the as you say, the problem is not just finding 3 4 qualified recruits. Propensity to serve among young men 5 and women is also the lowest in recent history at 9 б percent. Only 21 percent of youth from Generation Z 7 believes that Army culture is consistent with their values 8 and beliefs. And 56 percent report that their impressions 9 of the Army is mostly negative in parentheses, are driven 10 by non-Army media.

I don't know how we keep our military as the greatest in the world, and it is now. As a parent of two sons who have served, one in the Marine Corps, the other is a Navy SEAL, I don't know what we can do to change the culture, the propensity to serve, the readiness and physical, and mental and emotional and educational qualification.

But I would like to know from the services, perhaps beginning with you, General George, are we strategizing this fundamental longer-range problem. I know that the Army wants to meet its immediate recruiting goals.

That is certainly on your mind. But what about the larger problems? Is there a strategy in the services for recruiting? We have been talking mostly about retention so far, I think.

General George: Yes, Senator. So, I mean, obviously,



25

we talk about this all the time, and two aspects that you kind of talked about. I mean, what are some of the adjustments that we can make? But we are obviously, we are having a big challenge and we don't -- we want to see this also as an opportunity to change how we go about doing things.

7 We have done some where it is like the future soldier 8 prep course that we are doing to get people in to actually 9 raise them. So, they go down and they are able then to 10 meet the physical standards, they are able to pass the 11 ASVAB test and that is working.

I mean, greater than 95 percent that have gone there -- you know, going through that. And we are looking at how we select recruiters. And do we have recruiters in the right places? We are looking at JROTC programs, we are looking at marketing.

And then, you know, we are just looking longer term at how we approach this. You know, we are at the 50-year mark of the all-volunteer force. What do we need to change? And as I mentioned, you know, I enlisted right out of high school and, you know, we have a lot of people in our service have done that.

It is a great, you know, way to advance, and we just got to -- you know, we are pouring our heart into getting the message out. And I think everybody has got that and



1 across all the services. And so, you know, we have a big 2 part to play in that.

3 Senator Blumenthal: Admiral.

Admiral Franchetti: I would just add that we, too, are doing a lot of the things that the Army is doing with regards to having a future sailor prep course for physical fitness. We just started that, and then this fall we will be doing more on the academic side.

9 I think we are looking hard at our campaign, forged by 10 the sea, and working hard for it to make it to where all of 11 the young people will be able to get a better understanding 12 of what the Navy is all about and really what they can 13 learn and what they can have as a career in the Navy, 14 whether it is through social media, whether it is through 15 career fairs.

16 Making sure that we take the time to educate people 17 who may not live near the Navy, so they understand what it 18 is. We are kind of taking the approach of every sailor is 19 a recruiter and giving them opportunities to go back home, 20 talk about it, and be part of our fleet weeks, and engage 21 not only the youth but the influencers and their life 22 coaches, schoolteachers, principals, and then, of course, 23 their parents.

24 Senator Blumenthal: General.

25 General Smith: Senator, as you know, you don't join



the Marine Corps, you become a Marine. And that is what we will stay with. We value our recruiters heavily. When our recruiters finish a successful three-year recruiting tour, they select their duty station, or they are sent to one of our service schools.

My own son is a recruiter right now. I was a recruiter. Most of our senior leaders were either on the recruiting side or the drill field side, fleet Marine force specific, all recruiters. The key for us is that professional recruiting force and incentivizing them to do great work.

12 For us, those recruiters, it is a big reward in that 13 the bulk of our meritorious promotions go to the recruiting 14 force because we believe it is so important. And the final 15 thing that I think is the secret sauce for us, the 16 Commanding General of Marine Corps Recruit Depot, San 17 Diego, and then recruiting Depot Parris Island dual hat as 18 the Commanding Generals of the Eastern and Western 19 recruiting region.

So, they have to both find and train the individuals. So, you better find good ones and you better train them right, because the same General is responsible for both. And we just value the recruiting force, and we stay on it. We made it a mission last year. We will make it this year, sir.



1 General Allvin: I know we are over, but I will --2 Senator Blumenthal: General, I am over time, but --3 General Allvin: Thank you, Chair. Because I think 4 this is very important. By the time it gets down to this 5 end of the table, there may be fewer things just because we б have lunches together -- we understand this is not just a 7 service problem. We have many meetings together. We look across the table. So, I am stealing things from what Eric 8 9 is doing. And this idea of -- for us as the Air Force, 10 last year, we barely made, and this year it looks like we 11 will not.

So, this is -- we have a wakeup call. We are looking at everything. Why do we have this particular restriction in place? Why -- and sometimes it was just because we could before and because we were able to make it. And that is part of it.

But, Senator, I want to talk about your larger point, which is all these things are making it harder on the outside. And we are trying to figure out that as a group of senior leaders, and I think one of them is this, that there is a cacophony of narratives out there that we are competing with.

Again, not an excuse. It is just a fact. It is Again, not an excuse. It is just a fact. It is An excuse. It is just a fact. It is America can get insights from and get their impressions



from and so we need to be both amplified and unified in the way that we describe the value of service. And that this is not something that puts your life on hold, it is something that accelerates your life.

5 So, there is a combined thing that we need to do to 6 have this awareness because there is a lack of familiarity 7 with the military service. And so that is -- those are 8 some of the things that we have been talking about as we 9 look across the services do that, in addition to what we 10 are each doing at individual services.

11 General Thompson: And Senator, just briefly, if I 12 can, since our challenge is a lot different than everyone 13 else, our numbers are relatively small. We can't be in 14 every hometown and recruiting station, and we don't need to 15 be. So, we are looking a lot at new approaches to 16 recruiting, targeting regions, targeting specialties.

And when we look at that and the use of social media and some of the things, there are perhaps things that we can learn and trailblazer for the rest of the force that may help them in future recruiting opportunities as well.

21 Senator Blumenthal: Thank you all.

22 Senator Hirono: Thank you. We will start a second 23 round of questioning. I am glad that you all get together 24 and learn from each other and share best practices as 25 applicable. And as long as we are on the subject of how



important recruiting and retention issues are, my mpression is that the Air Force and the Space Force have fewer recruiting and retention challenges. Is that right?

Although, Admiral Allvin, you said that you are currently facing some recruitment issues. But am I -- do have the accurate impression that the two of you face fewer of these kinds of challenges than the other services? And if so, why?

9 Senator Hirono: Chair, I will try and then you can 10 go. But I think the Space Force is different because they 11 do have a lot of folks wanting to come in, and --

12 Senator Hirono: Yes, it is kind of a --

13 General Allvin: But ours is --

14 Senator Hirono: -- snazzy thing, I guess.

General Allvin: Ours is a disturbing trend because we have made it all the time. This year, we are actually seeing the things that the Army and the Department of Navy -- the Navy has dealt with for a while, so that is why I want to learn those lessons earlier. So, but overall, we will be closer to meeting our numbers than perhaps some of the other services.

22 Senator Hirono: So, what do you think is causing this 23 trend? All of the other kinds of opportunities that a 24 young person could have them besides joining the Air Force? 25 General Allvin: I think part of it is that we,



because we are always making our numbers before, we might have maybe underpopulated our recruiting force, something I am learning from my fellow -- from my Marine here that says, the value of the recruiting force, the individual face to face, that is how they are making their numbers.

6 The idea that we had some standards -- no, not really 7 standards, there were restrictions that we had that were 8 tighter than the DOD standards. So now we are finding if 9 we loosen those and we stay within the DOD standards, we 10 are allowing more to be able to come through our door.

11 So, we are like just, I said, we are looking at 12 everything we had done before that was maybe unnecessarily 13 restricted, and then we believe we are also -- there is 14 -- the chickens are coming home to roost with respect to 15 the propensity to serve, and we are going to have to 16 counter that as well in the Air Force.

17 Senator Hirono: Yes. And one of the trends being 18 that there are so few people who even qualify, and even 19 fewer still who are willing. This is for the Army and Navy 20 in particular. How important are the Junior ROTC programs 21 to your recruitment efforts?

General George: I will be real quick, Chair Hirono. We have about 1,700 JROTC, and what we see is whether or not people are actually in JROTC or not. If they have that exposure, I think that is where it is helping us the most.



You know, we are at like 44 percent of the folks who have a JROTC in their high school are more likely to serve. So that is where I think it helps us. And we are looking at how we can expand some of those. We are in the process of doing that now.

б

Senator Hirono: What about Navy?

Admiral Franchetti: JROTC is very helpful for us, as is the Sea Cadet Program. So again, the more opportunities we have to expose people to what the Navy does and what it can do for them, I think is a really great opportunity.

11 Senator Hirono: And how much of an inducement are the 12 educational opportunities that you all provide to people 13 who join, in terms of particularly, I suppose, of 14 recruiting and retention? Anyone want to respond? General 15 Allvin.

General Allvin: Yes, Chair Hirono. I will mention one thing that we have reinstituted this year that has been very successful and is actually our enlisted college loan repayment program.

So, these are individuals who are out of high school, maybe thought right then they weren't -- that maybe military service wasn't for them, have had a couple of years of college and have built up some debt, and now we are relooking that.

25

So, some of our incentives are just that way because



1 not only are we offering the ability to repay their college education, but they can continue their education through 2 3 our community college of the Air Force and other 4 educational opportunities.

5 So, we are seeing some of that cohort coming in may be 6 a boost as well. So, we do believe that is an attractive 7 feature.

8 Senator Hirono: It is annoying how expensive college 9 So, do the other services also provide college is. 10 repayment programs?

11 General George: We have similar programs, Senator. 12 Senator Hirono: By the way, do you help with the cost 13 of graduate education, i.e. becoming lawyers? You need 14 your JAG. Do you pay for someone to go to law school? 15 General Smith: Chairman, I can tell you we do. We

have a program called, Funded Law Education Program. Those 17 individuals that we select from a very competitive board go 18 to law school. We pay. We also have PhD programs for 19 select individuals who fill things at the Marine Corps.

20 Senator Hirono: How long have you had that? Because 21 I have a JAG person on my staff who didn't get her law 22 school paid for.

23 General Smith: We have to -- we have a couple of 24 programs. We have a funded, which is pretty small because 25 it is expensive, but we also have excess leave law program.



16

1 We have several --

Senator Hirono: I think that that -- it seems to me that the educational opportunities that you provide could be a big incentive for people to consider joining.

I just want to get to one thing. In recent years,
storm damage has had a major impact on DOD infrastructure
in places such as Tyndall Air Force Base, Camp Lejeune, and
the Army's Military Ocean Terminals, Sunny Point.

9 What plans do your services have to improve the 10 resilience of your facilities in the face of extreme 11 weather? And what kind of readiness impacts have you 12 observed when our facilities are not resilient? And I 13 would like the GAO, Ms. Maurer, to chime in also. So, 14 let's do this really quickly.

General George: Chair Hirono, yes, we are looking at that. Some of that is when anything that we are going to construct new is make sure it is at the right standards.

18 The other things that we are looking at is actually 19 for power, having, you know, ways that we can store power 20 so that we have resiliency. And then the other aspect I 21 would say would be cyber and strengthening yourself there. 22 Senator Hirono: Admiral.

Admiral Franchetti: Similarly, we look at that. We are especially concerned about any sea level rise as we are building our new piers. Making sure that they are above



the 100-year floodplain, as well as our dry-dock down in Norfolk Naval Shipyard. Building a floodwall there again to make sure that it is protected from any sea level rise.

4 Senator Hirono: General Smith.

General Smith: Senator, those bases are our power projection platforms, so they are vital to us. Camp Lejeune, for example, rebuilding after that significant hurricane. It is about rebuilding the building such that they are ready to withstand a hurricane.

We have bases such as Marine Corps Recruit Depot, Parris Island, who export power. We have our own micro grid, and we are actually off the grid at Albany, our logistics base. And we pass power back out into the communities by being off base. That is a combat multiplier lethality.

For us, it is less about green than it is about being able to project power from those platforms when we are cut off from outside power.

General Allvin: And Chair Hirono, the same programs as the other services do. I would say in addition, we also have our instituting energy resilience exercises where we make sure we -- what happens when the base goes dark to make sure we have a primary alternate contingency emergency, so we can operate in those energy degraded.



25

Scheduling@TP.One www.TP.One

But to the extent of building codes in hurricane zones

1 and flood plains, we do the very same thing with our --

Senator Hirono: Yes. Maybe Space Force doesn't have
quite those kinds of issues.

General Thompson: We -- no, Madam Chair, we have exactly the same issues. The one additional factor is since it primarily our missions are employed in place, we operate every day in our satellite command control centers.

8 We also create redundancy in backup, such that when 9 you have weather problems in one area, you can transfer the 10 mission to other areas and continue in that regard. So, we 11 do all the things the other services have in terms of power 12 and building codes, but then we also build in redundant 13 control centers to be able to continue to operate.

14

Senator Hirono: Ms. Maurer.

Ms. Maurer: Yes, it is great to hear all the actions being taken by the services to address the issue of climate change vulnerability. It affects all of the services. Some of our work has identified some of the mammoth environmental -- future environmental liabilities facing the Department.

I think one of my colleagues testified recently on that, and said that that price tag is about \$91 billion, and that is on top of \$137 billion in deferred maintenance across the DOD facility enterprise.

25





Scheduling@TP.One www.TP.One 800.FOR.DEPO (800.367.3376) of mirrors some of the broader infrastructure challenges
 facing the country as a whole.

3 Senator Hirono: Thank you. Senator Sullivan.

Senator Sullivan: Thank you, Madam Chair. I am going
to continue my line of questioning, Admiral, with the
Navy's support for the Marine Corps. The Navy's forward
deployed naval force in Sasebo, Japan was cut from five
amphibs to four. The Navy wants to cut it again to three,
my understanding is.

With a 32 percent readiness rate, that really means one amphib ship will be ready for deployment out of Sasebo in the INDOPACOM theater. Again, to me, this is a real problem. Is that the current plan for the Navy ought to Sasebo?

And then, General Smith, I would like to follow up with a question to you. How effective is the 31st MEU with one ship? It is really not even a MEU or an ARG at that point, is it? But why don't we begin with you, Admiral. Is that the plan?

Admiral Franchetti: We currently have five amphibious ships there, and we are currently reviewing our strategic laydown plan. And once that is finally approved, we will be happy to come back and brief you on that.

24 Senator Sullivan: So, is that going to three ships, 25 you believe?



1

Admiral Franchetti: The five ships.

Senator Sullivan: Five to three. That is what I am
hearing. Is that what you are contemplating?

Admiral Franchetti: So, I am -- the strategic laydown review is still ongoing. Has not been briefed to the Secretary yet, so it would be premature for me to say what those --

8 Senator Sullivan: Okay. General, I assume that the 9 Navy goes to, from five to three amphibs, 32 percent 10 readiness rate means essentially one amphib. How effective 11 is the 31st MEU -- a lot of articles in the last 48 hours 12 on how ineffective the 31st MEU is because it has no ships. 13 So, one ship for the 31st MEU. Is that even a MEU? What 14 is that?

15 General Smith: Sir --

Senator Hirono: Do you mind if -- I need to enable General Thompson, who has a hard stop, to enable you to go and testify at another committee. Thank you very much --Senator Sullivan: Thanks, General.

20 Senator Hirono: -- for being here.

21 General Thompson: Madam Chair, Ranking Member

22 Sullivan, thank you so much. Will certainly take other

23 questions for the record.

24 Senator Sullivan: Yes, thanks.

25 General Smith: Senator, anything less than three



ships is not an amphibious ready group or MEU. It is an amphibious task force. When you do not have a full three ships, depending on which ship you don't have, if you didn't have the big deck, for example, you lose 10 F-35s, you lose 4 C-53s, etcetera.

6 So, you have to have three, but it is not just for 7 deployment. You have to have those ships to train. The 8 first time that you are sailing away into harm's way, 9 because crises happen when you don't expect them and you 10 don't want them to happen, that is not the time for a young 11 first lieutenant, V-22 pilot, to do their first deck 12 landing qual.

Or for a young lance corporal driving an amphib combat vehicle into a wet well in 3-foot seas to do it -- so you need them for training safety, but you have to have them for combat readiness. So, three ships, all stop.

Senator Sullivan: So, I am assuming the Marine Corps' recommendation to Navy would be, as they are doing their strategic laydown, don't go from five to three amphibs at the forward naval force in Sasebo.

General Smith: Senator, what we would say is provide three ships for the ARG. We wouldn't say how to do it, but provide three ships for the ARG.

24 Senator Sullivan: To train and deploy.

25 General Smith: To train and to deploy. And I am



1 mindful I have got the former 31st MEU Commander sitting 2 right behind me. He is the mean looking one. He just 3 finished that deployment. He and I talk about this all the 4 time.

5 Senator Sullivan: Let me go on to the point I raised 6 in the -- in my opening statement. The Marine course 7 requirement is for a 35 landing ship medium naval vessels 8 for Force Design and the Marine littoral regiments.

9 Right now, it looks like the Navy budget through
10 Fiscal Year 2028 will be for six. So, again, a combination
11 of Admiral Franchetti and General Smith, why is the Navy
12 not even in the ballpark on what the Marine littoral
13 regiments need.

This goes again to my broader point. A lot of Marine Generals are saying Force Design is meant to support the Navy. I hear that. Okay. Naval forces. Okay. We are going to shoot Chinese warships out of the ocean. Okay. But the Navy isn't coming back on, and we are going to make Force Design successful.

To my very obvious reading, there is not much support at all. So, is the Navy plan on trying to get to 35 LSMs at all? And General Smith, is a Marine littoral regiment a viable fighting force without LSMs, because right now you are not going to get many. You are not going to get hardly any at all. And I will start with you, Admiral. You plan



1

on going about five or six?

Admiral Franchetti: So, the Navy is continuing to work with the Marine Corps to identify the requirements, and we will continue to work to support them throughout our shipbuilding plan. As far as the readiness goes, you know, we are fully committed to supporting the Marine Corps training requirements.

8 We have met all of our deployment requirements. In 9 the particular case of 31st MEU, we were able to surge a 10 different ship, the Ashland, to support them after an 11 emergent repair to the Rushmore. So again, we are fully 12 committed to supporting the Marine Corps training 13 requirements.

14 Senator Sullivan: And I am not talking about just the 15 training. Force Design, again, lays out the need for 35 16 LSMs. Is that even remotely in the Navy's 30-year 17 shipbuilding plan?

Admiral Franchetti: Again, we continue to work with He Marine Corps to define that requirement and put that into our budget as it goes forward.

Senator Sullivan: General, is an LMR a viable
fighting force without any means of delivering it?
General Smith: Senator, it has to have -Senator Sullivan: Be viable with five or six LSMs?
General Smith: Well, the -- our studies show that



1 maximized one MLR requires nine landing ship mediums. So, 2 nine for one MLR to absolutely maximize it. The organic 3 mobility for the MLR, Marine littoral, also comes from our 4 C-130s.

As you noted, sir, we added a second squadron to the Pacific. So, we need all of our organic mobility, L class, LSMs, etcetera, all the way down. And the one thing I would want to note, sir, is that the Force Design issue was for the Joint Force. It certainly supports the naval force, but it supports the Joint Force.

And for Admiral Franchetti's point, what we want is -- we just -- neither of us want a gap in time. So, when one ship is trading for another one, any day you lose at sea is a day lost. That is what no one wants.

15 Senator Sullivan: Let me ask one final question to 16 you, General Smith. I want you to respond to some of the 17 criticism. I mentioned in my opening statement that the 18 MAGTF ability to kick in the door anywhere in the world and 19 sustain itself for weeks in heavy combat to enable the 20 Marine Corps to continue to be the nation's 911 force is 21 being somehow degraded or de-emphasized by Force Design. 22 I know you don't agree with that. It is a criticism

that is out there from some very respectable Marines. What is your argument against that? And doesn't that argument have some weight when we are looking, again no offense,



Admiral, at a Navy that is not supporting you guys, at a Navy that won't get the amphibs that you need, a Navy that won't get you the LSMs that you need?

I mean, the Marine Corps does become less effective as the number of amphibs decreases. That is a fact. What is your response to those kinds of questions that I am raising, that others have raised, including the amphib component?

9 General Smith: Thanks, Senator. The Marine Corps is 10 ready. So, sir, we have and have retained the same --11 Senator Sullivan: And the critics are saying, well, 12 you just -- and I listed some of it. You just divested an 13 enormous amount of combat power. I said, I used a line 14 like that. The Commandant didn't agree it was enormous. I think it is pretty enormous, but maybe not enormous, let's 15 16 just say significant. I don't think anyone would disagree 17 with the numbers I read are significant.

18 General Smith: So, let me focus the part on 19 expeditionary force and readiness, and kicking in the door, 20 as you said, because I agree, both the --

21 Senator Sullivan: And sustainability --

General Smith: And sustainability. The 82nd and 114th Congress both gave a sense of the Congress that we should be most ready when -- a position of the Congress, pardon me, most ready when the nation is least ready.



And we firmly believe that. So, we have seven new headquarters. We have the infantry battalions. We have the fixed wing squadrons, the combat engineer platoons, reconnaissance platoons, HIMARS batteries, artillery batteries.

6 We have those to deploy, heel to toe Marine 7 Expeditionary Force, but what we do not have is the 8 amphibious ships. So, when you are talking global crisis 9 response kicking in the door, you have to get there.

So those amphibs are absolutely vital because we have the forces that are ready to go to the pier, but they have to have the amphibious shipping to deploy. That is what makes us ready, those combinations. But the Marines are, in fact, ready to go, sir.

Senator Sullivan: Madam Chair, can I ask one more question? I didn't want General George to be so lonely over there in the corner, so --

Senator Hirono: Only if he can respond in less than a minute.

20 Senator Sullivan: So, General, two initiatives, one 21 that is taking place in Alaska that I think is going well 22 is a stand up of the 11th Airborne Division, and your work 23 on multi-domain task force. Said in some ways, I don't 24 know who is mimicking who, but in some ways, it does look 25 like Marine Corps Force Design and littoral regiments.



Your multi-domain task force, how are both of those initiatives going? I talked to General McConville. I know you are looking at a third multi-domain task force for deployment. We think Alaska is a very strategically important place that you might want to look at those there. Can you just give the committee an update on those two initiatives that are important for our nation's defense?

8 General George: Senator, I will start with the 11th 9 Airborne. And I know you -- we just had a very big Arctic 10 exercise. So, I mean, really what we are focused on is 11 reestablishing ourselves as Arctic experts up there.

12 And I think, General Eifler and that whole team up 13 there is doing great things. They just did a Joint Force 14 entry up there, had 8,000 people. We have given them the 15 new Arctic equipment and they have got CAT Ds. So very 16 good training up there. And then, you know, working some 17 of that with our partners.

Senator Sullivan: And is that still the number one requested unit in the U.S. Army?

General George: It is up there as far as, you know, places that people want to go. Definitely, we saw a definite uptick on that up there. So, the other thing is on the multi-domain task force, and we stood up -- I stood up the first one several years ago as the First Corps commander.



1 Very capable units that are exercising right now 2 across the Pacific. We have the other one that is out in 3 Europe supporting EUCOM and is very active out there. We 4 have one temporarily stationed right now down in Hawaii. 5 And there is two more that we are actually -- you 6 know, are part of our Army structure that is coming up, 7 that we are standing up with those capabilities. We 8 haven't made any final decisions. Those are, you know, 9 forthcoming on where those assets and those capabilities 10 would go. 11 Senator Sullivan: Thank you, Madam Chair. 12 Senator Hirono: I thank each of you for your time 13 today, and we will continue to dialog with you. And I also 14 want to thank you, Ms. Maurer. This hearing is adjourned. 15 [Whereupon, at 4:53 p.m., the hearing was adjourned.] 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

