Stenographic Transcript Before the

COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES

UNITED STATES SENATE

HEARING TO CONSIDER THE NOMINATIONS OF: DR. DAVID A. HONEY TO BE DEPUTY UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING; MS. BRENDA S. FULTON TO BE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR MANPOWER AND RESERVE AFFAIRS; AND MS. COREY A. HINDERSTEIN TO BE DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR FOR DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION, NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

Thursday, October 7, 2021

Washington, D.C.

ALDERSON COURT REPORTING 1111 14TH STREET NW SUITE 1050 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 (202) 289-2260 www.aldersonreporting.com

1	HEARING TO CONSIDER THE NOMINATIONS OF:					
2	DR. DAVID A. HONEY TO BE DEPUTY UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE					
3	FOR RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING;					
4	MS. BRENDA S. FULTON TO BE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE					
5	FOR MANPOWER AND RESERVE AFFAIRS; AND					
6	MS. COREY A. HINDERSTEIN TO BE DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR FOR					
7	DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION, NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY					
8	ADMINISTRATION					
9						
10	Thursday, October 7, 2021					
11						
12	U.S. Senate					
13	Committee on Armed Services					
14	Washington, D.C.					
15						
16	The committee met, pursuant to notice, at 9:29 a.m. in					
17	Room SD-G50, Dirksen Senate Office Building, Hon. Jack Reed,					
18	chairman of the committee, presiding.					
19	Committee members present: Senators Reed [presiding],					
20	Shaheen, Gillibrand, Blumenthal, Hirono, Kaine, King,					
21	Warren, Peters, Rosen, Kelly, Inhofe, Wicker, Fischer,					
22	Cotton, Rounds, Ernst, Tillis, Sullivan, Scott, Blackburn,					
23	Hawley, and Tuberville.					
24						
25						

1

OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. JACK REED, U.S. SENATOR FROM
 RHODE ISLAND

3 Chairman Reed: Let me call the hearing to order. 4 Good morning. The committee meets today to consider 5 the nominations of Dr. David Honey to be Deputy Under 6 Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering; 7 Ms. Brenda Sue Fulton, to be Secretary of Defense for 8 Manpower and Reserve Affairs; and Ms. Corey Hinderstein to 9 be Deputy Administrator for Defense Nuclear 10 Nonproliferation, the National Nuclear Security 11 Administration. 12 I thank the nominees for their willingness to return to 13 public service. 14 I would also like to welcome the family members who are 15 with us today. Dr. Honey, I welcome your wife, Cynthia and 16 son, Jonathan; Ms. Fulton, I welcome your cousin Rachel; and 17 I thank Congresswoman Mikie Sherrill, who will introduce 18 you, via Webex; and Ms. Hinderstein, I welcome your family 19 members, Evan, Laura, and Rachel; and I thank Senator Sam 20 Nunn for joining us to introduce you via Webex.

Dr. Honey, you are nominated to be Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering. You have an extensive technical and professional background from your career at DARPA, the Office of the Secretary of Defense, the Strategic Capabilities Office, and in other positions

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

working on research and advanced technology programs and
 issues. If confirmed, you will support Under Secretary
 Heidi Shyu in shepherding the Department's modernization
 activities.

5 We are in a competition with China for technological 6 superiority in areas like quantum computing, artificial 7 intelligence, robotics, and biotechnology, and you and your 8 team will be responsible for ensuring that the Department 9 has the right budget and policies in place to win that 10 competition.

You will have also a role helping to ensure that DOD's acquisition programs are managed with solid, technical foundations, mature technologies, and robust developmental testing in systems engineering approaches.

15 Too many of our systems skip important early 16 engineering steps, leading to test failures, costs and schedule overruns, and worst of all, delays in deploying new 17 18 capabilities to our forces. In your role, you will serve as 19 a technical conscience of the Department to ensure that 20 acquisition programs proceed prudently and expeditiously from research into deployment. I look forward to hearing 21 22 how you will address these challenges.

Ms. Fulton, you are nominated to be the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Manpower and Reserve Affairs. As the principal advisor on all matters relating to civilian

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

and military personnel policies, you will be responsible for
Reserve integration and military community and family
policy, as well as day-to-day supervision of the Department
of Defense Education Activity and the Defense Commissary
Agency.

6 Your extensive leadership experiences in the public, 7 private, and military sectors should serve your well. One 8 of Secretary Austin's priorities is to eradicate extremism 9 within the ranks. While we continue to believe that the 10 number of extremists in the ranks remains very small, even 11 one is too many. I hope you will share your views on how 12 you will approach this issue, especially as the lines between actions, thought, and speech becomes more difficult 13 14 to define each day.

15 As we know from the President's Independent Review 16 Commission that studied sexual assault and harassment in the 17 military, there has been a dangerous erosion of trust and 18 faith within the ranks in leaders' ability to effectively 19 address this issue. While reforming how we prosecute sexual 20 assault, harassment, and related crimes under the UCMJ, it 21 is important, prevention is paramount. I would ask that you 22 share your views on how we can reduce the incidents of 23 sexual assault and harassment across the force.

Ms. Hinderstein, you are nominated to be the Deputy
Administrator for Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation of the

Trustpoint.One Alderson

National Nuclear Security Administration. I would note your
 expertise in this area from your previous work at NNSA and
 the Nuclear Threat Initiative.

4 If confirmed, you will be responsible for leading 5 NNSA's nonproliferation efforts across a broad, important б portfolio, which ranges from supporting the verification of 7 current and future arms control treaties, securing the loose nuclear material around the world, safequarding the sale of 8 9 U.S. nuclear technology overseas, and technically supporting 10 the interagency in any future negotiations with Iran on 11 their nuclear capability. As part of these duties, you will 12 be responsible for overseeing the disposal of excess weapons plutonium, including working with the State of New Mexico to 13 14 dispose of the 34 metric tons of excess plutonium at the 15 Waste Isolation Pilot Plant.

And on the international stage, you will be charged with leading technical efforts for any follow-on nuclear weapons agreements with Russia after New START, as well as technical efforts to verify and secure North Korea's nuclear capabilities if a freeze agreement is reached. These are complex challenges and I am going to ask that you discuss how you would address them.

I want to, again, thank the nominees for their
 willingness to serve. I look forward to your testimonies.
 And now, let me recognize the ranking member, Senator

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1	Inhofe.		
2			
3			
4			
5			
б			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1 STATEMENT OF HON. JAMES INHOFE, U.S. SENATOR FROM

2 OKLAHOMA

3

Senator Inhofe: Thank you, Chairman Reed.

And thanks to our witnesses for being with us and their willingness to serve the nation. The world is a dangerous place and America is under a greater threat than at any point in our lifetime; threats from China, Russia, and North Korea, Iran have all worsened since 2018.

9 As we learned over the last 2 weeks of hearings, threat 10 of radical Islamic terrorism is growing, now that 11 Afghanistan is the safest place in the world for terrorist 12 planners. Now, while the world is focused on Afghanistan, 13 we saw concerning developments in other places, too. In the 14 last 2 months, revelation about the new Chinese nuclear 15 silos has completely changed the nuclear environment. 16 Russia continues to test nonstrategic nuclear weapons and 17 its recent exercise was the largest in 4 decades. Iran's 18 stockpile of fissile material continues to expand and the 19 North Koreans continue to make surprising technological 20 leaps. It more important than ever that we complete our nuclear modernization on time and continue to encourage 21 22 innovation at the Department of Defense.

It is clear to me that the old ways of doing business just no longer work. Our government requires strong civilian leaderships and leaders of competence and

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

character, if we hope to preserve and defend our way of life
 from those who would do us harm.

3	And that is why these areas are so important because
4	they help us understand our potential leaders and the values
5	that they would bring to the job. So, we look forward to
6	the hearing, Mr. Chairman. Thank you.
7	Chairman Reed: At this time, it gives me great
8	pleasure to introduce the former Chairman of the Senate
9	Armed Services Committee, Sam Nunn, so he can introduce Ms.
10	Hinderstein.
11	Chairman Nunn?
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

8

1 STATEMENT OF HON. SAMUEL NUNN, FORMER U.S. SENATOR

2 FROM GEORGIA

3 Senator Nunn: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

4 Am I unmuted? Can you hear me?

5 Chairman Reed: We can hear you, Mr. Chairman, and see 6 you.

7 Senator Nunn: Good.

8 Thank you, Chairman Reed, Senator Inhofe, members of 9 the Armed Services Committee. I am honored to appear before 10 this important committee and, of course, it is familiar and 11 I understand the job and the awesome responsibilities that 12 all of you have.

I am joining you today from California at Stanford, where I will be attending the memorial service for George Schultz. As you know, our nation lost George earlier this year at the age of 100. He had a remarkable record of public service from serving as a captain in the U.S. Marine Corps to the U.S. Secretary of State and a lot of important jobs in between.

George believed that the Committee and the Senate should be in on the takeoff, as well as, in on the landing on important national security and foreign policy issues, and he made a point of keeping us informed.

Mr. Chairman and Senator Inhofe, in that spirit, I am honored to introduce Corey Hinderstein to the committee. I

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

am confident that our nation's security will be greatly strengthened by Corey's expertise, her leadership skills, and her sound judgment in this crucial role, if she is confirmed.

5 Corey has worked for 25 years in the areas of nuclear 6 nonproliferation and security. She has strong leadership 7 and analytical skills and a commitment to pragmatic 8 problem-solving. Corey has a great understanding of the 9 history of these issues that she will be involved in and she 10 develops innovative and creative paths forward, as well as 11 anyone I have seen.

12 Corey is an extraordinarily qualified nominee. Her 13 previous work at the National Nuclear Security 14 Administration, NNSA, and her experience working with us at 15 the Nuclear Threat Initiative have prepared her very well 16 for this important position.

17 Mr. Chairman, if you compare Corey's list of 18 experiences with the areas of responsibility that you 19 outline for the job that she will hold, if confirmed, they 20 line up almost perfectly, from international safeguards to 21 arms-control verification, to nuclear security, to 22 minimization of enriched uranium; all enormously important. 23 Corey was the key staff member responsible for scoping 24 and standing up the World Institute for Nuclear Security.

25 This is an international, nongovernmental organization,

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

focusing on security for people who are handling nuclear materials all over the world; almost 5,000 members now from more than 140 countries and Corey played the key role there.

She also played a critical role in the creation of the
International Atomic Energy Agency's Low Enriched Uranium
Bank, which I think is enormously important going forward in
the future. In both of these projects and many others,
Corey worked with governments and international
organizations and across Republican and Democratic
administrations.

11 If confirmed, Mr. Chairman and Senator Inhofe, I am 12 confident she would bring this spirit of cooperation to her 13 work and NNSA and in her dealings with this committee and 14 the Congress. For 3 years, Corey took a leave of absence 15 from our organization, NTI, to serve in the same NNSA office 16 she is now nominated to lead.

During that time, she worked closely with the Secretary of Energy, the NNSA administrator, and her international and interagency colleagues to strengthen global nuclear security, including work to deliver strong risk-reduction commitments at the 2016 Nuclear Security Summit, held by President Obama.

23 She was also part of the team implementing the Iran 24 nuclear agreement with a focus on monitoring, as well as the 25 all-important job of verification.

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1	In conclusion, Chairman Reed, Senator Inhofe, and
2	members of the committee, I am confident that Corey is fully
3	prepared to serve in this critical role and I support her
4	nomination by President Biden. I urge the committee's
5	support, and I urge your confirmation by the full Senate.
6	Thank you very much.
7	Chairman Reed: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman, not
8	only for your introduction today, but for your extraordinary
9	service to the nation.
10	And now I would like to introduce, via Webex,
11	Congresswoman Mikie Sherrill, who will introduce Ms. Fulton.
12	Congresswoman?
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

STATEMENT OF HON. MIKIE SHERRILL, A REPRESENTATIVE IN
 CONGRESS FROM THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY.

Ms. Sherrill: Well, thank you, Chairman Reed, Ranking Member Inhofe, and members of the committee, for holding this hearing today. It is also wonderful to be here today with the legendary Chairman Nunn, so that is quite an honor for me.

8 Senator Nunn: Thank you.

9 Ms. Sherrill: I am so glad to be before you to 10 introduce my friend Sue Fulton and I am incredibly proud she 11 has been nominated for the position of Assistant Secretary 12 of Defense for Manpower and Reserve Affairs.

13 I have admired Sue for many years. As a graduate of 14 the first class at West Point that included women, she is 15 certainly a trailblazer. In the Army, she served our 16 country with distinction as a platoon leader and as a 17 company commander, but as with so many of our veterans, her 18 service did not end when she left active-duty. She has 19 continued to be a tireless advocate for our servicemembers, 20 their families, and our veterans.

After she left the Army, Sue had a successful private sector career, but stayed connected to the military; continually finding ways to support her community and her country. That includes the work she did for 8 years on the West Point Board of Visitors, including 5 as chair or vice

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 chair, working in a nonpartisan manner to center character 2 development as a way to deliver the best possible Army 3 leaders. And it includes decades of improving the 4 military's ability to fight and win wars by increasing the 5 pool of talented men and women who served. She did this б through expanding opportunities for women, LGBTO Americans, 7 and black Americans. She ensured we continued to provide 8 our nation with the world's very best fighting force.

9 Most recently, Sue took on the challenge, and it truly 10 was a challenge, of heading the New Jersey Motor Vehicle 11 Commission, the MVC, and led a charge to modernize and 12 transform a broken hidebound system where customers stood in land to stand in line. Under her tenure, she moved to a 13 14 primarily analog system for in-person services to a system 15 where about 80 percent of transactions are available online. 16 Sue is knowledgeable, passionate, and she gets results.

I am going to just highlight, briefly, something I think is abundantly clear across Sue's resume. By virtue of who she is, Sue has achieved a remarkable number of firsts and I can tell you firsthand, that in the best of us, people like Sue Fulton, those experiences breed not only toughness and courage, but also compassion and empathy.

23 Sue has spent her life fighting to help others achieve 24 their own firsts and to make sure they have supporters by 25 their side when they do so. That would be admirable in its

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

own right, but what makes Sue special is that she has led with the broader mission in mind, whether it was readiness, preparing the next generation of leaders, or innovating the MVC.

5 Sue exemplifies the type of leadership that we prize in б the military. She doesn't care what your political ideology 7 is but focuses on bringing people together and leading them to achievements that benefit everyone. Let me assure you 8 9 that Sue understands the importance of working in a 10 bipartisan and even nonpartisan manner. She has an 11 impressive track record for doing so and would bring that 12 with her into the Department of Defense. She knows how to work with a broad array of people to get the job done. And 13 14 if you need proof, look no further, here I am; a hardcore 15 Navy fan introducing an Army grad in glowing terms.

16 So, I will end by expressing my sincere hope that you 17 all support Sue Fulton's nomination, because I cannot wait 18 to see what she will accomplish for our servicemembers, for 19 their families, and for our country. Thank you so much. 20 Chairman Reed: Thank you very much, Congresswoman. 21 Now, let me recognize the witnesses for their opening

22 statements.

23 Dr. Honey, please?

- 24
- 25

STATEMENT OF DR. DAVID HONEY, NOMINEE TO BE DEPUTY
 UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING
 Mr. Honey: Chairman Reed, Ranking Member Inhofe, and
 distinguished members of the committee, it is a pleasure to

5 be here.

I would also like to thank President Biden, Vice
President Harris, Secretary Austin, Deputy Secretary Hicks,
and Under Secretary Shyu for the trust they have placed in
me throughout this nomination process. I would also like to
thank my wife, Cynthia, and the rest of my family for their
support and understanding of the many demands I have
encountered during my career.

Today, I am humbled to sit before you to be considered for the role of Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering. Bringing the best innovations and technologies to our servicemembers and civilian workforce is paramount to protecting our way of life.

I take this charge seriously and have devoted much of my career to the defense of our Nation. I received my first security clearance as a federal employee in 1974 and have seen firsthand the evolution of many of the issues that concern us today.

While the global competition and challenges we face seem daunting, I know from my experiences that there is much that we can do to overcome them. For example, while serving

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

as a B-52 pilot, our combat capabilities benefited greatly
 from a series of aircraft modernization efforts, and as a
 result, the B-52 remains a formidable weapon system.

4 Having served as a scientist in the Air Force Research 5 Laboratory, I have participated in the struggle to push б research and development across the "Valley of Death" and 7 deliver a competitive edge to our warfighters. While I have 8 often tapped into the significant capabilities of large 9 companies in the Defense Industrial Base, I am also a strong 10 proponent of our highly innovative small business sector and 11 have actively participated in the SBIR program.

12 My commitment to pursing innovation has deepened during 13 my two tours at DARPA, where I learned how a small agency 14 can pursue high-risk/high payoff research, and repeatedly 15 change our world for the better. During my time as the 16 Director of Science and Technology in the Office of the 17 Director of National Intelligence, I gained additional 18 perspective on the nature of today's threats to our national 19 security and the role of S&T leadership in meeting those 20 challenges. Having served previously in R&E, I am keenly 21 aware of what it takes to ensure that R&E will be a valued 22 contributor, and I believe that my experiences have 23 well-acquainted me with the demands this position requires. 24 As Under Secretary Shyu has stated before this 25 Committee, "the mission of furthering science, technology,

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 and innovation across the Department of Defense could not be
2 more important than it is today."

3 We face wide-ranging threats from across the globe and 4 meeting these challenges takes teamwork and collaboration. 5 It is critical that we leverage the research being done by б experts in academia, industry, and DOD laboratories. Our 7 future success will require a new wave of diverse STEM 8 talent, robust investments in advanced technologies and 9 manufacturing, and enabling a culture that allows us to take 10 risks in search of reward. It is critical that we continue 11 to modernize in areas such as microelectronics, 5G, directed 12 energy, and hypersonics. We must also take real steps to 13 partner with and support the acquisition community to 14 transition our most promising technologies into programs of 15 record.

I do not take these obligations lightly, and if confirmed, it would be an immense honor to continue to serve our Nation, the Department of Defense, and the United States of America within the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering. Thank you.

[The statement of Mr. Honey follows:]

21

22

23

24

25

1	Chai	rman	Reed:	Thank	you,	very	much,	Dr.	Honey.
2	Ms.	Fulto	on, pl	ease?					
3									
4									
5									
б									
7									
8									
9									
10									
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									

STATEMENT OF BRENDA FULTON, NOMINEE TO BE ASSISTANT
 SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR MANPOWER AND RESERVE AFFAIRS

3 Ms. Fulton: Thank you, Chairman Reed, Ranking Member
4 Inhofe, distinguished members of the committee.

I also want to extend my thanks to Congresswoman Mikie
Sherrill, a fellow veteran, and a New Jerseyan for that
generous introduction.

8 I am honored to come before you as President Biden's 9 nominee for Assistant Secretary of Defense for Manpower and 10 Reserve Affairs.

I am joined here today by my cousin, Rachel Fulton Gray, who was a great support to my wife Penny and me during Penny's illness. I know that Penny, along with my parents, is looking down on me today. They are largely responsible for me being here today, and I miss them terribly.

Across my career, I have accumulated a breadth of leadership experience, having served in the military, worked in the private sector, launched and led nonprofit organizations, and, in my current job, served in state government.

The thread that unites my experience is a desire to serve. I was 17 when I first raised my right hand and swore a solemn oath to support and defend the Constitution of the United States, as a new cadet at West Point.

25 My father served in the Navy in the Korean conflict, on

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

the USS Lewis which saw significant action. As a proud sonarman, he would always tease me about being an officer and not having to work for a living, a saying all of you who are military veterans will recognize, but his love of this country and for the military lives deep in me.

I have worked with military leaders on multiple
initiatives to increase diversity in the force. In every
case, my guiding light was an effort to improve our ability
to fight and win wars.

On the West Point Board of Visitors, I had the good fortune to work with Lieutenant General Bob Caslen and my friend Rep. Steve Womack, and other board members in fighting sexual harassment and assault; expanding the diversity of our entering classes; and most of all, centering character and character development as a way to deliver the Army the best leaders possible.

Our military faces an incredibly dynamic threat environment, with disruptive technologies and powerful adversaries. If confirmed to the role of Assistant Secretary of Defense for Manpower and Reserve Affairs, I would focus on ensuring the Department can attract and retain a lethal and effective force to meet those challenges.

That means attracting the best talent. We know that smart, innovative people will seek out and thrive in an

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

environment that is challenging, inclusive, diverse, and led by leaders of character. Whether in the civilian workforce, the Guard, the Reserves, or the active force, leadership rooted in our essential core values: selfless service, personal courage, honor, respect, and integrity, plays an important part in growing our overall talent.

7 We also have to retain that talent. Where it makes 8 sense, we should look at broadening opportunities, reviewing 9 standards to ensure they align with the needs of the service 10 and increasing flexibility in our talent management systems, 11 and always, we must take care of our families.

12 If I am confirmed, our core values will guide my 13 efforts in support of Secretary Austin, all of our military 14 services, and in my work with this Committee to deliver a 15 smarter, stronger, more resilient, more capable total force 16 for our country.

17 Senators, I look forward to your questions.

18 [The statement of Ms. Fulton follows:]

19

- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

1	Chairman Reed: Thank you, Ms. Fulton.
2	Ms. Hinderstein, please?
3	
4	
5	
б	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

23

STATEMENT OF COREY HINDERSTEIN, NOMINEE TO BE DEPUTY
 ADMINISTRATOR FOR DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION, NATIONAL
 NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

Ms. Hinderstein: Thank you, Chairman Reed, Ranking
Member Inhofe, and distinguished members of this committee.
I am honored to appear before the Senate Armed Services
Committee today. I want to begin by expressing my
appreciation to President Biden and Secretary Granholm and
Administrator Ruby for the confidence they have shown in
nominating me for this position.

I would like to take this opportunity, also, to
recognize my husband, Chase, who was not able to be with me
here today, but who has supported me for more than 27 years
through long days in the office and many weeks on the road.
I would also like to thank my father, Evan, his partner
Laura, and my sister Rachel for being with me today.

Finally, I would like to acknowledge my mother, Sheila, who passed away in 2005, but who I know would be very proud to see me here today in your company.

I would also like to thank Senator Nunn for his kind words of introduction. Senator Nunn is a model of public service, dedicating his life to his fellow Georgians and to the security of this country. He has been a mentor and a role model to me and to so many. I have learned from his example of vision with pragmatism, strength with

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 flexibility, and leadership without ego. His great respect
2 for the Senate and this Committee makes it even more of an
3 honor for me to be here today.

4 There is no more important role for government than to 5 protect the security, health, well-being, and opportunity 6 for the United States and its residents. For this reason, 7 the Office of Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation has an enormously important mission. As a technical office, DNN 8 9 works to protect nuclear material wherever it exists in the 10 world; reduce and eliminate nuclear material wherever 11 possible; preserve American leadership by enabling us to 12 meet our international obligations, while holding others to 13 their commitments; reduce the opportunities for nuclear 14 weapons to spread; develop verification and monitoring 15 technology and approaches for current commitments; and to 16 inform negotiations of future agreements, to increase the chance that we will be able to detect if nuclear activities 17 pose a threat to the United States and our allies and to 18 19 focus now on the tools and technologies to meet future 20 threats.

While addressing these foundational obligations of the National Nuclear Security Administration and the Department of Energy, DNN must also work collaboratively across the interagency and build partnerships in the international community, the nuclear industry, in academia, and with

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 nongovernmental organizations.

If confirmed, I would seek to strengthen and expand these connections in service of our collective goals. Good ideas and good partners can be anywhere, and we need diverse viewpoints to meet the challenges ahead.

б I had the pleasure of working with the DNN team for 7 nearly 3 years. From the career civil servants to the 8 professional support contractors, to the scientists and 9 technicians at our national laboratories, plants, and sites, 10 you won't find a more dedicated group of public servants. 11 They work on nuclear nonproliferation and security because 12 it is important and rewarding, and certainly not for the fame and fortune. This is a job that is never done. In the 13 14 world of nuclear terrorism, for example, those protecting 15 nuclear materials and facilities must get it right every 16 day, while our adversaries only need to succeed once.

The technical and political landscape is constantly shifting. Each new accomplishment is followed by a new challenge. If I am confirmed, I would commit myself to sustain today's investments, while also innovating tomorrow's solutions.

I have worked for more than 25 years on nuclear nonproliferation and security, and much of that time has been in civil society. I have always wanted to dedicate myself more to public service and I am humbled by this

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1	opportunity to do so at a critical time for our nation's
2	future.
3	Thank you for inviting me to appear before the
4	Committee today and I look forward to your questions.
5	[The statement of Ms. Hinderstein follows:]
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Chairman Reed: Thank you very much for your testimony.
 Before we proceed to questions, I have a series of
 questions which all nominees must respond to. So, together,
 you can respond as appropriately.

5 Have you adhered to applicable laws and regulations6 governing conflicts of interest?

[All three witnesses answered in the affirmative.]
Chairman Reed: Thank you.

9 Have you assumed any duties or taken any actions that 10 would appear to presume the outcome of the confirmation 11 process?

[All three witnesses answered in the negative.]
Chairman Reed: Exercising our legislative and
oversight responsibility makes it important that this
committee, its subcommittees and other appropriate
committees of Congress receive testimony, briefings,
reports, records, and other information from the Executive
Branch on a timely basis.

Do you agree, if confirmed, to appear and testify before this committee, when requested?

[All three witnesses answered in the affirmative.]
Chairman Reed: Do you agree to provide records,
documents, and electronic communications in a timely manner
when requested by this committee, its subcommittees, or
other appropriate committees of Congress and to consult with

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 the requestor regarding the basis of any good faith delay or 2 denial in providing such records?

[All three witnesses answered in the affirmative.]
Chairman Reed: Will you ensure that your staff
complies with deadlines by this committee for the production
of reports, records, and other information, including timely
responding to hearing questions for the record?

[All three witnesses answered in the affirmative.] 8 9 Chairman Reed: Will you cooperate in providing 10 witnesses and briefers in response to congressional request? 11 [All three witnesses answered in the affirmative.] 12 Chairman Reed: Will those witnesses and briefers be 13 protected from reprisal for their testimony or briefings? 14 [All three witnesses answered in the affirmative.] 15 Chairman Reed: Thank you very much.

Now, Dr. Honey, what first struck me was your extraordinary experience in so many fields that are directly applicable to the position you have been nominated to, so I think that should be noted up front.

As you know, we have been very concerned about the production of microchips, the supply base. As you know, we passed the CHIPS Act, working to provide funding for the development of domestic microelectronics manufacturing. And the key effort is ensuring that the U.S. has capabilities that support both, state-of-the-art commercial needs and

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 DOD's needs.

It has been the history, though, that DOD has tried to go it alone too many times by using Trusted Foundry, which doesn't really work out because they are not commercially viable and they don't have the technological edge that some of our commercial entities can get.

7 So, I would like your assurance that you will support efforts to develop commercially viable domestic 8 9 microelectronics and not retreat to the foundry approach. 10 Mr. Honey: Yes, Senator, I agree. The DOD needs, you 11 know, are very important to me and while Trusted Foundry has 12 had great utility for Department in the past, in my 13 experience, keeping that up to the commercial state-of-the-14 art standards and making that available to the Defense 15 Industrial Base and, thus, to our warfighters, just hasn't 16 worked out. So, new models are needed and I am committed, 17 if confirmed for this position, to work those issues and 18 ensure that we are on a path to get commercial, state-of-19 the-art available to our performers and, ultimately, into 20 our weapons systems.

21 Chairman Reed: Thank you very much, Dr. Honey.
22 Ms. Fulton, Secretary Austin implemented the
23 Independent Review Commission at the direction of the
24 President. There was a series of recommendations, in
25 response, of course, to the scourge of sexual assault and

Trustpoint.One Alderson

1 harassment we have already witnessed.

First, I would assume, and I ask for your confirmation that you will make this your top priority or one of your top priorities.

5 Ms. Fulton: Yes, Senator. We have to address the 6 blight of sexual harassment and sexual assault if we expect 7 to attract, continue to attract, and retain talent. If we 8 expect to build effective teams, we have got to address 9 this.

10 And a big part of my job, if I am confirmed, will be 11 building a prevention workforce and addressing the leader 12 challenges that were highlighted by the Independent Review 13 Commission.

14 Chairman Reed: Thank you.

Many of the Commission's recommendations do not require legislation, so I assume and expect that you will immediately begin to do what you can do administratively. I know there has already been some changes. We have a civilian head now at the CID, but we have to be much more aggressive, and prevention is really the goal; it is not simply adjudication.

22 Are you committing to that effort?

23 Ms. Fulton: Yes, Senator, I am completely committed. 24 There is an urgency around this issue, and if confirmed, I 25 would definitely put that as one of my top priorities.

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 Chairman Reed: Thank you. 2 Ms. Hinderstein, and I have probably pronounced your 3 name three different ways, so give me --4 Ms. Hinderstein: Hinderstein is correct. Thank you, 5 Senator. 6 Chairman Reed: Hinderstein. So, it is --7 There are a few options. Ms. Hinderstein: 8 [Laughter.] 9 Chairman Reed: As Meatloaf would say, two out of three 10 ain't bad, so anyway, forgive me. 11 [Laughter.] 12 Chairman Reed: In July of 2019, you told CNBC News 13 that, quote, I see no indication that Iran is rushing toward 14 a nuclear weapon and they are taking steps that can be 15 reversed. 16 Can you clarify those remarks in regard to your 17 assessment at the time. Thank you, Senator, and I appreciate 18 Ms. Hinderstein: 19 you bringing up this really important issue of Iran's 20 technical capability. 21 The purpose of my comments at the time and others, 22 similarly, was not to minimize the threat posed by Iran, but 23 to differentiate the increasingly uranium enrichment 24 activity from the broad suite of activities that would be 25 required for them to actually produce a nuclear weapon.

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 My comments were consistent with what I was seeing from the Office of the Director of National Intelligence's 2 3 unclassified annual threat assessment, which said that Iran 4 was not currently undertaking the activities that would be 5 required to produce a nuclear device. So, I think that is 6 the context. It was really a technical assessment on the 7 weaponization issue, but it is certainly not to undermine 8 any concern about the progression of the uranium enrichment 9 activities.

10 Chairman Reed: Well, how have you seen Iran's nuclear 11 program advancing since you made those remarks?

Ms. Hinderstein: Iran's nuclear program has certainly advanced as they have increased both, the scope and scale of the uranium enrichment activities, and I think it is going to be very important, if confirmed, that I understand what the current assessment is of those activities and how that might have changed our underlying understanding about their program.

19 Chairman Reed: Thank you very much.

20 Senator Inhofe, please?

21 Senator Inhofe: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Ms. Fulton, in 2017, you were quoted by the New York Times, and I have the article right here, saying, quote, what people fail to understand is that chaplains give up some of their rights as ministers when they become military

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 chaplains.

Now, the DOD policy for chaplains doesn't say anything at all about this. In fact, it says, I believe, quite the opposite. It says, and I am quoting right now, and I am going to ask that this be part of the record. Chairman Reed: Without objection. [The information follows:] [COMMITTEE INSERT]

1 Senator Inhofe: That if a chaplain is unable to 2 support a specific request because of his or her religious 3 endorsing organization's teachings, they all, the chaplains 4 have these procedures that they go through with 5 organizations, then the chaplain must offer referral to 6 another chaplain or professional.

Now, first of all, I would ask the question, do you8 still stand by your statement?

9 Ms. Fulton: Senator, I --

10 Senator Inhofe: The quote I am talking about is the 11 quote where you said what people fail to realize is that the 12 chaplains give up some of their rights as ministers when 13 they become military chaplains.

14 Ms. Fulton: Senator, I was referring to -- thank you 15 for allowing me to clarify -- I was referring to that clause 16 where a chaplain is required to find support for that 17 individual one way or another; either support them, 18 themselves, or find that support, whereas, you know, a 19 civilian could turn someone away and say, not my job. Our 20 chaplains are bound and do a remarkable job addressing all 21 of the needs, one way or another, of our servicemembers.

22 Senator Inhofe: Well, Ms. Fulton, that is not as I 23 would understand the context that we are talking about this, 24 but let me ask you, do you think that there are some of 25 their rights, are any of the rights that they have given up

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 in the context that we would look at those rights?

Ms. Fulton: Senator, I think anyone who wears the uniform of this country has certain constraints on what they can say in public and certain requirements to serve others and to serve without regard to some of whatever personal feelings they may have.

7 Senator Inhofe: Let me --

8 Ms. Fulton: So, in that context, I would say, you 9 know, our chaplains do a tremendous job serving everyone, 10 but that may take them --

11 Senator Inhofe: My time is disappearing, as you well 12 know, right now. Let me just ask you, do you intend to 13 change any of the longstanding DOD policy regarding the 14 rights of chaplains to minister in accordance with their 15 tenets and their faith, just yes or no?

16 Ms. Fulton: No, Senator.

Senator Inhofe: Okay. You have referred, and I have several documents here I can use, to conservative people, primarily, as radicals. Do you consider me to be a radical because I don't support abortion?

21 Ms. Fulton: No, Senator.

22 Senator Inhofe: All right. Ms. Hinderstein and thank23 you for giving me that --

24 Chairman Reed: Thank Meatloaf.

25 [Laughter.]

1 Senator Inhofe: I appreciate it very much.

Last month the International Atomic Energy related a
report stating that Iran's refusal to allow inspectors'
access seriously compromised the agents' technical
capability to monitor Iran.

I think that I would join the chairman in just wanting some clarification in terms of your feelings about Iran. And you did explain this thing on reversible, but I would like to have you elaborate just a little bit there on that because it is my understanding that you feel maybe you know some things I don't know, and I am hoping you are right and I am wrong, but how do you see that as reversible?

Ms. Hinderstein: Senator, thank you so much for the question.

Let me state, unequivocally, that I am extremely concerned about Iran's nuclear program and about the progress that they have made, in particular, in the last few years. I have been paying attention to Iran's nuclear program for more than 20 years and, if confirmed, I would expect that it would have a high priority for me going forward, as well.

Regarding the question of reversibility, I think it is important to separate the technical reversibility related to the equipment and materials. We can downblend uranium. We can remove, uninstall, put in storage, or even destroy

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 uranium enrichment equipment. And I think that is important 2 to note when we ask ourselves, could Iran come back to the 3 technical constraints of the deal. Those activities are 4 possible.

5 But I will also say that the knowledge that they have 6 gained in the last few years is not reversible. We can't 7 eliminate knowledge and experience, and so I do believe 8 that, if confirmed, I would need it understand how their 9 basic capacity has changed and whether there needs to be any 10 change to our approach to accommodate that.

And I would note that Secretary Blinken even just said this last weekend that the terms of the JCPOA, at some point, will not be sufficient to recapture the benefits of the agreement because of the progress that is made and I would agree with his statement and seek to understand more, if confirmed.

Senator Inhofe: Okay. Well, I appreciate that. My time has expired, but I would like to, if you are confirmed, have an opportunity to visit with you at some length and we could exchange some ideas. I would appreciate that.

Ms. Hinderstein: I would appreciate that, too,
Senator. Thank you.

24 Senator Inhofe: And Mr. Chairman, I wouldn't have any 25 questions for Dr. Honey. He is going to be in good shape.

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

I can't think of a better team than for him to be with than
 Heidi Shyu and I look forward to working closely with him.

3 Chairman Reed: Thank you, Senator Inhofe.

4 Senator Shaheen, please?

5 Senator Shaheen: Good morning and congratulations to 6 each of you on your nominations and for your willingness to 7 serve the country at this critical time.

8 Ms. Fulton, in your opening statement, you talked about 9 one of the challenges of your job would be ensuring that 10 military families are taken care of. In 2019, as a result 11 of hearing from military spouses, Congress passed 12 legislation that authorized DOD to use federal funds to help 13 states come up with universal standards for professional 14 licenses, so that military spouses, as they are moving from 15 place to place, can start work at soon as they arrive at a 16 new assignment and they don't have to go through a whole 17 extended licensure process.

If confirmed, will you commit to bolstering these grant programs, that I am pleased that DOD has already started work on this, but there is a lot more than needs to be done. Ms. Fulton: Senator Shaheen, I want to thank you for those efforts. We say all the time that we recruit the

23 servicemember, but we retain the family. And this is a very 24 important benefit for spouses who take advantage of those

25 professional licenses.

If I am confirmed, I would do anything I could to make
 sure that that program is working for our military families.
 Senator Shaheen: Thank you. We are still hearing from
 some folks that it is a challenge, so I know that they will
 appreciate that.

6 Continuing with another issue that this committee has 7 been very concerned about, our anomalous health incidents, also known as Havana Syndrome, which have been in the news a 8 9 lot in the last few years, I was pleased to see Secretary 10 Austin release a memo that details DOD's commitment to the 11 issue and urges employees to track and report any symptoms. 12 But, if confirmed, do I have your commitment that you 13 will review the Department's training and reporting process 14 for anomalous health incidents, so that we ensure that 15 people who may be affected, understand what to do in case of 16 attack?

Ms. Fulton: Senator, we have to get on this issue. Anywhere within my area of responsibility, where we can review and support that effort, I commit to do so. Thank you.

21 Senator Shaheen: Thank you very much.

Dr. Honey, I am sure that you are aware that PFAS is a big issue on our military bases across the country and the 2020 NDAA. This committee directed that by January 1 of 2023, the military would come up with specifications to

replace aqueous firefighting foam, which is the current biggest contributor to that on military bases, and then after October 1, the DOD will be prohibited, October 1 of 2024, will be prohibited from using aqueous firefighting foam.

6 Can you talk about what you think needs to be done in 7 terms of research, to ensure that we can meet those 8 deadlines.

9 Mr. Honey: Senator, the problem of what is also called "forever chemicals" is a serious issue. In fact, my wife and 10 11 I were stationed at, while I was stationed at Pease Air 12 Force Base, we took our kids back for a visit on vacation 13 and I got to see the areas of the base that we used to 14 occupy and go to were closed off for environmental 15 remediation. So, the environmental impact has been huge and 16 it does need to be taken care of.

I am not presently engaged in that area of research, but if confirmed, I do commit to you that will be get briefed on what the activities are, are there any obstacles and communicate back to you where we are with this program and what needs to be done to meet those deadlines.

22 Senator Shaheen: Well, thank you.

If you were at Pease, you know that there has been a major cleanup effort there dating back to 2014, and the Air Force has been very responsive, but to replicate that at every base across the country that has PFAS, we have got a
 lot more work to do. So, thank you for that commitment.

Ms. Hinderstein, as you know, the U.S., Australia, and the United Kingdom just signed an agreement to transfer, to provide nuclear submarines to Australia, and in order to do that, we are going to have to transfer some fissile material to Australia, despite the fact that it signed a 123 Agreement on the use of that material.

9 So, can you talk about some of the challenges that will 10 be involved in that, what we need to do to help work with 11 the Australians, whether there is any conflict with their 12 123 Agreement.

13 Ms. Hinderstein: Thank you, Senator.

I want to say up front that I support the President's effort to shore up Australia's capabilities in the Pacific and I understand the contribution that the submarine deal makes to that arrangement.

18 But there are also some challenges that come with it, 19 including the nuclear safeguards challenges, as Australia is 20 a non-nuclear weapon state and has an obligation for 21 international safequards. I would say that Australia has 22 been a leader on nuclear nonproliferation. And while this 23 doesn't mean that we can transfer any technology or material capriciously, it means that I believe we will have a good 24 25 partner in addressing any concerns that come and I believe

1 that we can use the 18 months that has been announced to 2 actually define the arrangements to our benefit to make sure 3 that whatever the arrangement is with Australia, that it 4 doesn't set a bad precedent for any other country that may 5 seek nuclear capability. 6 And I would commit, if confirmed, to addressing this 7 issue, as well as coming back and consulting with the 8 committee. 9 Senator Shaheen: Thank you very much. 10 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 11 Chairman Reed: Thank you, Senator Shaheen. 12 And now, via Webex, let me recognize Senator Fischer. 13 Senator Fischer: Dr. Honey, this committee has made a 14 number of changes, including creating the R&E Division, in 15 order to improve DOD's ability to deliver innovative 16 solutions to the warfighter. But one thing that Congress 17 cannot legislate is culture of the workforce, which 18 witnesses have testified to is generally risk-averse. 19 Can you talk about the importance of culture and risk 20 tolerance, in particular, when it comes to innovation? 21 Mr. Honey: Yes, Senator. 22 A culture of risk tolerance really begins with 23 leadership and it is very important as senior leaders that 24 we communicate continuously to the workforce, risks that are 25 acceptable, risks that may be going too far, and how we can

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

www.trustpoint.one www.aldersonreporting.com 800.FOR.DEPO (800.367.3376) work together to ensure that we are taking risks
 appropriately.

3 During my two tours at DARPA, which have spanned a 4 total of 14 years, it is an agency which is really a master 5 at the art of how do you take risks intelligently and lead 6 the world in delivering capabilities to the warfighter.

So, if confirmed, in R&E, that was one of the things that I would undertake to work with the workforce to understand what is the current risk-tolerance culture and what can be done to ensure that we have an environment where the workforce there feels comfortable in taking risks and is supported by leadership.

Senator Fischer: What role do you think that R&E can play to help encourage this? Do you have any specific examples in mind how you would approach that?

Mr. Honey: So, one of the things that I think is very important in the early process of creating a risk-tolerant culture is helping people think about objectives that they would like to achieve. What are the big things that if they had the resources and the commitment of leadership to follow through with, what could they undertake.

And at that point, you know, when leadership buys in, they, similarly, are also taking part and taking on the risk. One way that has been done, for example, is through the use of grant challenges. That has been very effective

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 at trying to really take the leading edge out there in a 2 risk-tolerant culture.

And then there are tools, such as other transactions, which have been very helpful in engaging non-traditional parts of the community, which for many people, has been a big leap forward in risk-taking.

7 Senator Fischer: Okay. Thank you.

8 Ms. Hinderstein, while some proliferation challenges 9 such as North Korea and Iran remain constant, the expanding 10 arsenals of both, Russia and China, as well as their 11 development of new and novel nuclear systems, they are 12 dramatically changing the threat landscape.

How do you see DNN's role adapting to the new environment and what area of focus to you intend to prioritize if you are confirmed?

16 Ms. Hinderstein: Thank you, Senator.

I agree that the reports, in particular, of China's nuclear buildup are profoundly concerning. I would certainly need, if confirmed, to get a full briefing on what the changes are with regard to China's nuclear arsenal.

But in any case, we need to have a meaningful dialogue with China. I support the President's interim National Security Strategy, in which he calls for such a meaningful dialogue, and to me, that dialogue means that it would have to benefit U.S. national security interests, first and

1 foremost.

2 Similarly, with Russia, their expansion into new and 3 novel deliver platforms does change the scope of activity, 4 potentially, for future arms-control agreements. So, from a 5 DNN perspective, if confirmed, I would want to focus on what б the needs would be for verification and monitoring and 7 technical support to any future arms-control agreement, 8 making sure that they take into account the changes that 9 have happened since our last negotiation with Russia and 10 support the State Department's process. Thank you.

Senator Fischer: When we look at Russia's arsenal, especially, the nonstrategic nuclear weapons and you are talking about an arms-control process, that is going to be really complicated and you alluded to that in your answer, when we look at those verification challenges for weapons that are far more complicated than the strategic nuclear forces.

Do you anticipate that we have enough knowledge right now in order to address that, and if we don't, how are we going to be able to have the facts at our fingertips?

21 Ms. Hinderstein: Senator, I completely agree with you 22 that if we were to pursue an arms-control agreement with 23 Russia that started to touch on nonstrategic nuclear 24 weapons, we would need to take that into account into the 25 verification system and we wouldn't be able to simply apply

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 all of the existing system to that new reality.

2 This is why I think it is extremely important that we 3 work now and invest now, and that is my commitment, if 4 confirmed, to look at those longer-term needs when it comes 5 to verification, monitoring, and detection, to look at 6 nonstrategic nuclear weapons, novel delivery platforms, and 7 even looking at warheads as treaty limited items. These 8 verification techniques do not come off the shelf when you 9 need them, unless you invest in their development in 10 advance, and I think that is what I would commit to do if 11 confirmed. 12 Senator Fischer: Thank you very much. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 13 14 Chairman Reed: Thank you, Senator Fischer. 15 Let me recognize, via Webex, Senator Gillibrand. 16 Senator Gillibrand: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 17 Ms. Fulton, I really appreciated reading your written 18 answers and you specifically said that sexual harassment and 19 assault are barriers to recruiting and retaining the best 20 workforce. I share your opinion that the Nation's 21 brightest, most innovative individuals want to work in an 22 inclusive environment and for leaders of character. The DOD has to make some substantial improvements in 23 24 preventing sexual assault and harassment and prosecuting 25 perpetrators. We have previously heard from President Biden

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

www.trustpoint.one www.aldersonreporting.com 800.FOR.DEPO (800.367.3376) that he beliefs that the decision-making of whether to prosecute these crimes should be removed from the chain of command for serious crimes, such as rape, murder, and child abuse.

Do you share the President's commitment to removing
these serious crimes from the chain of command?

7 Ms. Fulton: Senator, I fully support the Secretary and the President in removing the sexual assault crimes from the 8 9 chain of command. I would like to know more and understand 10 more before I commit. You know, I would follow the lead of 11 Secretary Austin. I would follow the lead of Under 12 Secretary Cisneros in supporting whatever the decision is 13 regarding what crimes remain with the commander and what 14 crimes go to a different prosecutor.

15 Senator Gillibrand: One of the challenges with regard 16 to Secretary Austin's view is that it doesn't include 17 murder, and so in the case of Vanessa Guillen from Fort 18 Hood, she would not have had the benefit of a special 19 review. There had been no evidence reported that she was 20 harassed or assaulted, even though, in fact, she was, 21 according to her family. But her murder would not be 22 included and her murder may not have been investigated as 23 carefully as a sexual harassment or a sexual assault case. 24 And so, my concern is that if you limit it to just two 25 crimes, you are going to miss other related crimes, such as

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 murder, such as arson, such as financial fraud, which are 2 typically used in domestic violence cases against the person 3 in the relationship who is being abused.

So, I would like your commitment that you will look into the benefits that include bright-line felonies, not only for simplicity so that servicemembers can follow it appropriately, but also to make sure these, sometimes unseen, domestic violence cases and sexual assault and harassment cases that end in murder are included.

Ms. Fulton: Senator, it is a legitimate and extremely important question and you have my commitment to explore as, in every way, that if I was confirmed -- if I am confirmed, I would explore in every way that is appropriate in my area of responsibility.

15 Senator Gillibrand: Good. And I don't expect you to 16 follow the leadership's views on this in this current 17 position that you are going to be given. I expect you to make recommendations, to actually review the issues, perhaps 18 19 in a more in-depth way, in a more thoughtful, nuanced way 20 and make your recommendations up the chain of command, 21 including to the President, and to really stand by your 22 views and opinions because the position you are about to 23 undertake is very important from the perspective of 24 servicemembers.

25 Ms. Fulton: Senator, I understand and, if confirmed, I

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 will take that responsibility very seriously.

Senator Gillibrand: And then related to that, Ms.
Fulton, how will you support the President's view that
transgender servicemembers should be able to serve openly?
Ms. Fulton: Senator, I fully support that.

6 Senator Gillibrand: Thank you. Well, I look forward7 to working with you.

8 Dr. Honey, I would like to ask you a little bit about 9 some New York assets. During my most recent visit to Rome 10 Labs, I saw firsthand the incredible innovations New York's 11 defense equities had to offer. The Innovare Advancement 12 Center is particularly impress it to me in how it will 13 bridge the private and public sectors in one space.

14 How do you intend to improve this notion that the 15 Valley of Death or Death Valley that a lot of entrepreneurs 16 and innovators find, when they have technological 17 breakthroughs and they develop technological breakthroughs 18 but getting it to the warfighter and getting it into 19 production is often very difficult, so I would like your 20 thoughts on how to eradicate or rectify that, especially for 21 the information directorate of the AFRL.

Mr. Honey: Senator, I agree, the Valley of Death problem is one that is pervasive and is a very difficult challenge. I first encountered the notion of the Valley of Death and those issues, actually, when I was stationed at

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

Rome Lab at Griffiss Air Force Base and saw a lot of great research going on, but it was very challenging to get it through the various wickets that it needed to go through to get it into a program of record.

5 Areas where I have had success in this regard and where б I would try and help R&E lead the Department is conducting 7 the planning for tech transition from the very beginning. It is very important and R&E has the ability to do this, in 8 my opinion, to bring together all the stakeholders, the 9 10 developers, the acquisition community, the Defense 11 Industrial Base, the users and other parts of the community 12 to understand from the very beginning, what technology is 13 being developed, to make sure that the developers have the 14 right information they need, that they are going to go down 15 the right path, but also that the experimentation and 16 prototyping that needs to be conducted along the way 17 happens, and then, ultimately, that the programs and the 18 performers who are going to adopt and acquire the technology 19 and integrate it, have the information they need in order to 20 do so in an effective manner. Thank you.

21 Senator Gillibrand: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

22 And thank you to all our witnesses.

23 I will submit additional questions for the record.

24 Chairman Reed: Thank you, Senator Gillibrand.

25 Now, let me recognize Senator Rounds.

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1

Senator Rounds: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Thank you to all of you for appearing before us today. Ms. Hinderstein, I would like to begin by just asking a question, but I am going to ask that you would answer it for the record afterwards. I am really concerned about preventing nuclear proliferation within the entire Middle East and I know that most of the discussion will be on Iran, but I think we have other players, as well, there.

9 And the cascading effect of one nuclear power simply 10 seems to add to another, and so I will asking down the 11 nuclear Iran problem or the Iranian nuclear problem, what 12 are your next, biggest, and nuclear proliferation concerns 13 in the Middle East, but I would ask if you would follow up 14 with me and I will get that as a question for the record, 15 okay?

16 Ms. Hinderstein: Of course, Senator.

17 Senator Rounds: Thank you.

18 Dr. Honey, it would appear to me that you are very well 19 qualified for the position that you are before us as a 20 nominee for. One area that I think you could be very 21 helpful to us on is with regard to your experience in DARPA 22 and the opportunities provided for some very, very advanced 23 research in a very classified way. A number of the areas in 24 which we could very well fall behind other nations with 25 regard to AI and so forth is if we are not able to find a

way to bring into a commercially viable program, new
 technologies.

And I would ask for your cooperation in finding appropriate ways to declassify some of our research in such a fashion that it could be commercialized in a more expeditious manner.

7 Would you be willing to work with us to see if we can 8 do that?

9 Mr. Honey: Yes, Senator, absolutely.

10 There is tremendous benefits to getting the right 11 technologies out into the commercial world. When the 12 commercial world takes on some of these technologies, their 13 ability to produce them at scale gives us yield, uniformity, 14 and reliability that we just can't get any other way, so I 15 do commit to work with you on that.

16 Senator Rounds: What we do find here right now is in 17 many cases, because of the classification of so many of the 18 projects that we find success in, even other committees that 19 help to fund other areas, such as in healthcare and so 20 forth, we can't get connectivity between the Department of 21 Defense and some of the others because of the classification 22 necessary. So, I do appreciate that and I think it is an 23 area in which we can work together to really bring some new 24 technologies to the forefront and I thank you for that.

25 Ms. Fulton, thank you for your service to our country.

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

I have to admit, I start out these processes in which I believe that a President has, should have the right to appoint individuals they believe are appropriate to positions, but then I also believe we have a strong responsibility here to both advise and consent. Sometimes we give consent. Sometimes we are able to give advice if we disagree.

8 I have serious questions about some of the inflammatory social posts which you have provided, and in particular, any 9 10 concern is this, in the Department of Defense, we really do 11 our best to try to keep inflammatory or partisan activities 12 to a minimum. Your posts are pretty tough on Republicans 13 and, in fact, you go out of your way in many, many cases to 14 really attack Republicans on a very personal level. This is 15 concerning to me. You have got 27,000 members that you 16 would oversee. They are going to be Republican and 17 Democrat, and independent.

Can you share with us, let me just give you an example and perhaps you can respond to it. Let me pull out the right piece of paper. This is your quote from 2008 and this is on social media.

Let's be real, when one of our two national political parties is unable to call out racism, our system is broken. It is not a political statement to say the GOP is racist; it is a moral statement, and one backed up by an increasing 1 mountain of evidence.

2

Ms. Fulton, can you explain this tweet to me.

3 Ms. Fulton: Senator, I want to take the opportunity to 4 apologize to you and to all the members of the committee for 5 that tweet. My intent was to say that racism Democratic or б Republican, that it is not a political issue; it is a moral 7 issue and the parties should make a statement, because a whole group of people should never be tarnished with the 8 9 actions of one, but I went about it all wrong. The words 10 are muddled and confused and I deeply regret them.

11 The idea that my words, that people I love and respect 12 would be hurt by my words, especially Republicans, 13 especially those Republicans, the people that I have worked 14 with throughout my career to do work to benefit the Armed 15 Forces, to make our military stronger and more effective, 16 the people I have worked side-by-side with, the idea that I had hurt them with these words is devastating to me and I 17 18 regret it.

I know when we talk about race, we have to do it in a way that opens and expands the conversation, instead of shutting it down. And by that standard, I failed miserably, and I deeply apologize.

23 Senator Rounds: Well, Ms. Fulton, thank you for the 24 apology, but I do think further explanation is necessary, 25 because you wrote that in a very offensive and truly an

1 incorrect statement for the world to see on the internet and 2 you did that a few years ago and yet, as of Sunday, this 3 tweet was still up on your Twitter page. So, look, I mean, 4 I think you have got some explaining to do here and this is 5 a very serious issue, because this is a time in which we are б trying to take and bring people together, this type of an 7 approach, an inflammatory approach, doesn't work, 8 particularly, not within the Department of Defense. So, I 9 think you have got some more explaining to do, but I do 10 thank you for your apology. 11 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 12 Chairman Reed: Thank you very much, Senator Rounds. 13 Senator Hirono, please? 14 Senator Hirono: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. 15 I have long held that racism is never far below the 16 surface in our country and it pops up way too often. I will 17 leave it at that. 18 Congratulations to all of our nominees. Thank you for 19 your commitment to service. I ask the following two 20 questions of all nominees that come before any of the 21 committees on which I sit. So, I will ask you, since you 22 became a legal adult, have you ever made unwanted requests 23 for sexual favors or committed any verbal or physical 24 harassment or assault of a sexual nature?

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

25

www.trustpoint.one www.aldersonreporting.com

[All three witnesses answered in the negative.]

800.FOR.DEPO (800.367.3376)

1 Senator Hirono: Have you ever faced discipline or 2 entered into a settlement related to this kind of conduct? 3 [All three witnesses answered in the negative.] 4 Senator Hirono: Dr. Honey, there has been a 5 recognition that at times, a current acquisition process in 6 the Department of Defense does not provide combatant 7 commanders with the required resources to fulfill critical, 8 operational needs arising from cross-service missions. This 9 is especially evident in the INDOPACOM area of 10 responsibility, as they struggle to bring on the new 11 technologies they need to compete with and deter China. 12 This committee has attempted to remedy this issue in 13 the pending NDAA, through a pilot program at the Strategic 14 Capabilities Office, SCO. 15 If confirmed, how would you support SCO in the 16 implementation of this program to ensure it is successful? 17 Mr. Honey: Senator, thank you for your support in this 18 area. 19 In my experience, I have also, you know, had to work 20 the issues of the services and the responsibility for train 21 and equip. The combatant commands have the responsibility 22 for combat operations and I have worked with INDOPACOM on 23 these very issues. 24 Senator Hirono: Uh-huh. For 7 months, I was the acting director of 25 Mr. Honey:

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

www.trustpoint.one www.aldersonreporting.com 800.FOR.DEPO (800.367.3376) 1 the Strategic Capabilities Office, so I deepened my 2 understanding at that time of what INDOPACOM needs and what 3 the issues are that they face.

So, I am very grateful for what you have done in the NDAA. I believe that R&E, even though SCO is a direct report to the Deputy Secretary of Defense, SCO does have a strong relationship with R&E, and I would do everything I could to support the director of SCO and that organization in meeting their commitments in that area.

10 Senator Hirono: Well, I think that you understand that 11 the importance of ensuring that the technologies and capabilities, that the services align with the requirements 12 13 identified by the INDOPACOM AOR. It is good to know that 14 you have some familiarity with the INDOPACOM AOR because 15 your position has wide-ranging responsibilities, including a 16 responsibility to oversee the DOD labs and test ranges, and 17 that includes Pacific Missile Range Facility.

18 Have you ever visited PMRF on Kauai?

19 Mr. Honey: No, Senator, I have not visited.

20 Senator Hirono: I would welcome your visiting that 21 facility should you be confirmed because PMRF is the world's 22 largest instrumental, multidimensional, testing, and 23 training missile range in the world. So, I would welcome 24 your visiting.

25 In addition, you also oversee the Missile Defense

Trustpoint.One Alderson

1 Agency and one of the concerns that I have had is that the 2 DOD and the administration continues to not support funding 3 for the Homeland Defense Radar-Hawaii and this committee, 4 through NDAA, has been putting back the money for that. 5 Considering that the North Korea missile threat is not б abating anytime soon, if confirmed, how would you ensure 7 Hawaii is protected from missile threats from North Korea 8 and elsewhere, going forward?

9 As I said before, if the administration can come up 10 with some other way to defend Hawaii, other than through the 11 Homeland Defense Radar-Hawaii, I am all ears, but up to now, 12 I have not been given that kind of assurance. So, I just 13 want to point that out to you, that that is an area that I 14 welcome your input and support for Hawaii.

One more thing. I am really glad that you mentioned your support for the SBIR and STTR programs. I am have a big supporter of these programs and you have said that you are a strong proponent of highly innovative small businesses through these programs, and much of the innovation comes from small businesses, but there is the issue of the Valley of Death that you have mentioned.

And as part of the Valley of Death issue, one of resources, these innovative small businesses need money to get past the Valley of Death. Is that something that we can address in a more fruitful way?

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

Mr. Honey: So, Senator, to your first question about 1 2 the MDA and the issue of missile defense. I am not currently 3 briefed on some of the details of the MDA efforts, but I do 4 commit to you that I will get fully briefed, if confirmed. 5 And, you know, I am very concerned about the missile threats б and would appreciate the opportunity to get back to you 7 after I have been briefed and, you know, explain what I see 8 the situation as and what we need to do in that regard.

9 In the case of SBIR, one of the things I know that 10 would be helpful, you know, if the reauthorization goes 11 through that is always a concern. When I was at a small 12 business, we worried about that quite a bit.

And I do think that there is a lot that can be done to try and help promote within the programs of record and the larger defense contractors where there are opportunities to leverage the investments that we have made into the small businesses that are then ready to transition into those programs. And, if confirmed, and I am working in R&E again, that is an area that I would focus on.

20 Senator Hirono: I know my time is up, Mr. Chairman, 21 and I look forward to working with all of you.

And Ms. Fulton, thank you for your commitment to make sure that we more effectively address the issue of sexual assault and sexual harassment in the military, which is a continuing scourge. Thank you.

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 Chairman Reed: Thank you, Senator Hirono.

2 Senator Blackburn, please?

3 Senator Blackburn: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Dr. Honey, Senator Hirono wants you to Hawaii. I want to get you to Oak Ridge National Lab and the quantum computing project there, I am sure you are aware, is something that is national lab-wide collaboration. And Oak Ridge is doing some really solid work to develop the next generation of computing systems. So, I would like to have you on a trip to visit there, so thank you.

Ms. Fulton, my fellow Tennesseeans and I are very concerned about the current values of our nation's defense officials in their commitment to securing our nation. It is my hope that if you are confirmed, that you would act in a manner that would represent all Americans, even those that disagree with you.

I won't sugarcoat this. The inflammatory rhetoric and the claims that you have made on Twitter and in interviews are very concerning. Your language is not representative of the way a top policy official at the Pentagon to write about policy or refer to her fellow Americans, including Republicans.

And Senator Rounds approached the January 2018 tweet with you. I have got a stack of your tweets here. We have got a poster with your tweets on it. Let me go to your

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 March 2014 tweet.

2 What about calling Republicans, right-winged, 3 anti-everyone nutjobs, falling in love with a dictatorship? 4 Or what about tweeting, this is from June 2017, that 5 most Repubs still shamefully quiet on lies, corruption, б incompetence, treason, they betrayed their oath to the 7 Constitution? 8 And would you consider that Republican Reservists and Guardsmen whose interests you will be representing at the 9 10 Pentagon, would you consider them to be nutjobs? Would you 11 consider them to be racists? 12 Ms. Fulton: No, Senator. 13 Senator Blackburn: You would not, okay. 14 Ms. Fulton: No, Senator. I don't think any, in any 15 case that a whole group of people should be tarnished with 16 the actions of a few --17 Senator Blackburn: Okay. What about the Republicans 18 within the 25,000 employees that you would oversee as 19 Assistant Secretary of Defense for Manpower and Reserve 20 Affairs? If they are Christians, do you think that they are 21 racist and nutjobs? 22 Ms. Fulton: Senator, I am a Christian, and no, I 23 don't. I will, as I have throughout my career, work 24 side-by-side with Republicans, with Democrats, with 25 independents, with anyone, regardless of their political

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

www.trustpoint.one www.aldersonreporting.com 800.FOR.DEPO (800.367.3376)

1 beliefs for the mission, for what is best for Armed Forces. 2 Senator Blackburn: Why should we believe that if you 3 were confirmed, that you would actually build a team that is 4 diverse in fact and thought, rather than just diverse in 5 appearance? 6 Ms. Fulton: Senator, throughout my career, I have 7 worked side-by-side with Republicans, with everyone. My 8 closest partner on the Board of Visitors --9 Senator Blackburn: And did you form an opinion that 10 they were nutjobs and racists --11 Ms. Fulton: No, Senator. One --12 Senator Blackburn: -- and that the Christianity that 13 the religion that they practiced didn't team with what you 14 thought it ought to be?

Are you a leader of character, a theme you focus on in your public speeches, and how do leaders of character behave on Twitter?

Ms. Fulton: Senator, I strive to be a leader of
character every day. I strive to uphold our values --

20 Senator Blackburn: Okay. Let me move on to Ms. 21 Hinderstein. Nuclear proliferation is something that I have 22 focused on. When we did the NDAA, I had an amendment on 23 that. I was concerned about your statement that you saw no 24 indication, this is from July 2019, you saw no indication 25 that Iran is rushing toward a nuclear weapon.

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

We know from the IAEA reports that they have stockpiled approximately 10 times the amount of total uranium that they were allowed under the JCPOA. So, have you changed your opinion on Iran and do you acknowledge that Iran has made irreversible gains toward a nuclear arsenal?

Ms. Hinderstein: Senator, I am extremely concerned about Iran and I will clarify that my comments were about the technical capability to produce the nuclear weapon but were not intended to minimize the consequence of their dangerous and increased uranium enrichment activities.

11 My statement about the rush to the bomb that you so 12 accurately quoted was really intending to be consistent with 13 the Office of the Director of National Intelligence's public 14 national threat assessment that said that there wasn't an 15 indication that they were pursuing the activities related to 16 the weaponization.

Senator Blackburn: Okay. I will come to you with a written question to delve further on this. If you are confirmed, then I would want to work with you on this issue. Ms. Fulton, my time has expired, but I have a couple of other questions I will send to you. Your rhetoric and your conduct is incredibly disturbing to me.

23 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

24 Chairman Reed: Thank you, Senator Blackburn.

25 Let me recognize Senator King, please.

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

Senator King: Thank you. I have got a lot of
 questions, so I am going to try to cover a lot of material
 very quickly.

Ms. Fulton, I have recently seen data that the military is being more and more geographically concentrated in the South and the West. In 1985, it was about 50/50 between the South and the West and the Northeast and the Midwest. Today is 70/30.

9 It concerns me our framers were very concerned about a 10 standing army. We have crossed that Rubicon and now we are 11 developing a regional basis to our army. I hope you will 12 address this in terms of recruiting and just being cognizant 13 of this growing regionalization, if you will, if our 14 professional military.

Will you commit to me that you will pursue that issue? Ms. Fulton: Senator, I will. All kinds of diversity are important; geographic diversity, no less than others.

18 Senator King: Thank you.

Second, we are in a whole new set of conflict. The beginning of whatever conflict we enter into, and hopefully there won't be one, will be cyber. So, the question is, do we use the same kind of recruiting tools, the same kind standards, the same kind of physical standards for people that we need to fight the cyber war, as opposed to the people that need to fight the kinetic war?

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

I think we need to face the reality that we are in, at least, a partially different kind of conflict. Do you agree?

Ms. Fulton: Senator, I do agree. I think we have to do everything we can to make sure that we are getting the best cyber talent and that includes being creative.

I have to say the committee has done an incredible job granting special authorities to be able to recruit and retain that talent. I think we have to make sure, while we have very high standards, we want to maintain those high standards, but make sure that we --

Senator King: Well, the standards should match the requirements of the job; that is what I am suggesting.

Ms. Fulton: The standards should align with the needs of the service.

16 Senator King: Thank you.

17 Ms. Fulton: Yes, sir.

18 Senator King: Thank you.

Mr. Honey, a couple of just comments and a couple of questions. One is, I know this is not strictly in your field but it is close, and that is, I believe strongly that when we are acquiring complex, technological weapon systems platforms, we should be also acquiring the IP. We should not have to pay extra for being able to 3-D print a part, for example. So, I hope that is something that will inform

1 your discussion.

2 Secondly, I am very worried about smaller, more agile 3 and innovative companies being de facto excluded from the 4 Department of Defense process simply because of the overwhelming nature of the forms and what is necessary. 5 We б have done a lot here to try to encourage working with 7 smaller companies, but we have testimony from Silicon Valley 8 saying, we don't even go to the Pentagon anymore, we don't 9 answer the RFPs, because the process is so cumbersome and 10 burdensome. So, I hope that is something else that you will 11 follow-up on.

You mentioned hypersonics. I believe that is one of our great strategic problems right now. Our posture, with regard to China involves aircraft carriers and naval power and unless we develop a counterforce to hypersonics, that force can be diminished dramatically at the early stages of a conflict, hypersonics.

18 Cyber, of course, and directed energy, you mentioned 19 all of those, directed energy, in particular, where we are 20 talking about missile defense using 75,

21 hundred-million-dollar missiles. Directed energy could be a 22 lot more efficient if we can deal with the technological 23 problems.

Are you interested in and believe that we should be pursuing greater research into directed energy?

1 Mr. Honey: Yes, Senator. The issues of missile 2 defense and other applications for directed energy is one 3 that I have worked in and around for a number of years. The 4 technologies have gotten much better. There has been, 5 tremendous advances have been made, still more to be made, 6 but it is an area of research of which I continue to 7 support.

8 Senator King: Thank you.

9 Ms. Hinderstein, deterrence doesn't work with 10 terrorists. My nightmare is terrorists getting ahold of 11 nuclear weapons. We had a display here recently of nuclear 12 warheads. They are about the size of a beer keg. They are 13 not room-size; they are not very big.

And how do we deal, and perhaps you should take this question for the record, rather than try to answer it in 25 seconds, how do we deal with the issue of proliferation and the danger of terrorists getting ahold of nuclear material and, eventually, either an entire nuclear weapon or the technology to make a nuclear weapon?

I think that is one of the great sort of, undiscussed challenges that is coming at us. If the terrorists on September 11 could have killed three million people, instead of 3,000, they would have. Give me just a brief discussion of that and then hopefully a longer discussion for the record.

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 Ms. Hinderstein: Yes, Senator. And thank you for the 2 question. I would be happy to take a longer answer on the 3 record, but I would just say that I agree that nuclear, the 4 potential for nuclear, radiological terrorism is a major 5 concern. I support an "all of the above" approach to б nuclear security, meaning, secure, remove, convert, prevent, 7 detect, and then if anything were to happen, be able to 8 attribute and prosecute. And so, I do think we have to look 9 at nuclear security as a significant and, frankly, 10 persistent threat to the United States. 11 Senator King: Thank you. 12 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 13 [The information follows:] 14 [COMMITTEE INSERT] 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1 Chairman Reed: Thank you, Senator King.

2 Senator Cotton, please?

3 Senator Cotton: Ms. Fulton, in your written answers to 4 advanced policy questions, you were asked if troops that are 5 part of religious group or advocate for socially 6 conservative changes to the law should be punished. You 7 respond that as long as they are, quote, acting within the 8 confines of federal law and DOD policy, they should be 9 allowed to exercise their First Amendment rights of free 10 speech and to observe the tenets of their religion.

However, on June 30, 2014, you stated, once again, religious freedom, which you put in quotes, is twisted to mean conservative Christians can dictate their views to the rest of us. I suppose this was in response to the Supreme Court's Hobby Lobby decision.

16 You also advocated for the repeal in that statement of 17 the Religious Freedom Restoration Act, which I presume you 18 know, was passed almost unanimously by this Congress. Ιt 19 was signed by President Clinton, and it was voted for by 20 President Joe Biden. That law requires the Government to 21 demonstrate a compelling government interest before it 22 burdens any person's individual right to freedom of exercise 23 of religion.

24 So, if you were confirmed for this position, you will 25 be in charge of DOD religious policies. You would have

1 responsibility for overseeing chaplains and religious 2 accommodations, yet you believe the Religious Freedom 3 Restoration Act is apparently being twisted by conservative 4 Christians to dictate their views to the rest of us.

5 So, which is it, Ms. Fulton, are you actually going to 6 protect the religious freedom of troops and chaplains, or 7 are you going to ensure that they can't dictate their views 8 to the rest of us under the guise of religious freedom?

9 Ms. Fulton: Senator, I support religious freedom and I 10 would support religious freedom for all of our troops, all 11 of our civilian employees, consistent with the law.

12 Senator Cotton: Do you believe that the Religious Freedom Restoration Act should be repealed? 13

14 Ms. Fulton: No, Senator.

15 Senator Cotton: Why did you say so on June 30, 2014?

16 Ms. Fulton: Senator, I support religious freedom and I 17 would support religious freedom for our troops.

18 Senator Cotton: Are you disappointed with Joe Biden 19 that he voted for the Religious Freedom Restoration Act? 20

Ms. Fulton: No, Senator.

21 Senator Cotton: Let's turn to a statement that you had 22 in 2017, where you said the vast majority of white 23 evangelical leaders are utterly unmoored from the gospel of

24 Jesus Christ. The vast majority of white evangelical

25 leaders.

1 What percentage do you mean by the vast majority, 2 obviously, something more than 51 percent of white 3 evangelical leaders are unmoored from gospel of Christ, 4 could you put a number on it? 5 Ms. Fulton: No, Senator. б Senator Cotton: Vast means of great extent or 7 quantity, immense. 8 Do you think 95 percent of white evangelical leaders 9 are unmoored from the gospel of Christ? 10 Ms. Fulton: No, Senator. 11 Senator Cotton: Eighty percent? 12 Ms. Fulton: No, Senator. 13 Senator Cotton: Seventy percent? 14 Ms. Fulton: No, Senator. 15 Senator Cotton: Fifty-one percent? It has to be 51, 16 right? It has to be at least 51; you said the majority. 17 Ms. Fulton: Understood, Senator. 18 Senator Cotton: Let me ask you this, why is it white 19 evangelical leaders? There are a lot of black evangelical 20 There are a lot of Hispanic evangelical leaders. leaders. Are black evangelical leaders unmoored from the gospel 21 22 of Christ? 23 Ms. Fulton: Senator, I am not sure the entire context 24 of that, but I would say that there are a wide variety of 25 beliefs. We don't all have the same beliefs. We don't all

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

www.trustpoint.one www.aldersonreporting.com 800.FOR.DEPO (800.367.3376) 1 have the same understanding of the gospel.

2 Senator Cotton: Of course we don't, that is why we 3 have things like the Religious Freedom Restoration Act. 4 That is why we respect the freedom of exercise of all of our troops and all of our fellow citizens, whether they are 5 б white, black, Hispanic, Asian, or any other race or 7 ethnicity, or whatever their religion is, yet here you are saying in public that the vast majority of white evangelical 8 9 leaders are utterly unmoored from the gospel of Jesus 10 Christ.

Do you think it is appropriate to claim that anyone is unmoored from the gospel of Christ, any believing Christian, even if you disagree with this or that view they may have on a political controversy?

Ms. Fulton: Senator, while it is consistent with free speech, it is unwise.

17 Senator Cotton: So, Ms. Fulton, I think you will 18 understand why so many members of this committee and the 19 Senate do not think that you are fit to take over this 20 position. You are going to be in charge of military 21 chaplains. You are nominated to be the Assistant Secretary 22 of Defense for Manpower and Reserve Affairs and you have a 23 long history of offensive, inflammatory accusations against 24 Bible-believing Christians.

25

I will oppose this nomination, and I certainly hope the

1 entire Senate will oppose it, as well.

2 Chairman Reed: Thank you, Senator Cotton.

3 Let me recognize Senator Sullivan, please.

Senator Sullivan: Mr. Chairman, I am going to defer to
one of my colleagues. I am still prepping here if that is
okay?

7 Chairman Reed: That is fine.

8 Senator Scott, at the deferral of Senator Sullivan, you 9 are recognized.

10 Senator Scott: Thank you, Chairman Reed.

Ms. Fulton, I have read a number of your tweets and re-tweets about Republicans, white persons, Christians, voters, Americans, and sitting U.S. senators who will be voting on your confirmation. I simply cannot ignore the callous, hateful, divisive, and absolutely untrue things that you have said over the years.

First off, do you want to apologize for any of the things you have said as we brought them up? Is there anybody, anything -- what I have watched of your testimony, you are not apologetic for anything that you have said in the past; is that right?

Ms. Fulton: Senator, I have deeply apologized to, and I do, again, deeply apologize to all of the members of the committee for the tweet that we discussed earlier, where, you know, my feeling is that the parties should have made a

Trustpoint.One Alderson

statement about racism, because I feel that no group of people should be tarnished with the actions of one, but I went about it all wrong and I am deeply sorry for that.

My entire career, I have worked in a nonpartisan and bipartisan way, regardless of people's politics, worked to support the Armed Forces and make our military stronger --Senator Scott: Let me interrupt you for a second.

8 Let's go back to what Senator Cotton said. The vast 9 majority of white evangelical leaders are utterly unmoored 10 from the gospel of Jesus Christ.

11 Then you told the Windy City Times, the United States 12 has a powerful, right-wing, antigay, anti-abortion lobby 13 that purports to represent Christians. These radicals, I 14 can't bring myself to call them Christians, since their 15 language and actions hold no resemblance to the Jesus I know 16 from the Bible.

17 If confirmed, you are going to lead, as the Assistant 18 Secretary of Defense for Manpower and Reserve Affairs, 19 27,000 people and you will be doing policy for the entire 20 military and civilian DOD workforce. You know, there are 21 going to be some evangelical Christians in there and there 22 are going to be Catholics who would work for you, if you 23 were confirmed. Obviously, some of your potential 24 subordinates are going to be pro-life.

25 Some of us actually believe that we shouldn't be

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 killing children. Obviously, this person would know that 2 you have called their deeply held views, which they are free 3 to hold, in this country, you are free to hold these things, 4 you have called them radical. And they are going to have to 5 wonder about their ability to do their jobs or get promoted б when their boss has such hateful, and it is hateful what you 7 have said, and divisive language. So, how would you think 8 that anybody, a conservative would ever move up after the 9 things you have said?

10 And you supposedly, you said, oh, you spent a career 11 advocating for diversity and demanding intolerance, but you 12 struggle with intolerance, right, of anybody who has a 13 different view.

Now, let's go back to what Senator Cotton talked about, chaplains. You said what people fail to understand is that chaplains give up some of their rights as ministers when they become military chaplains.

That is not true. The DOD policy for chaplains doesn't say anything like that; in fact, it says quite the opposite, quote, if a chaplain is unable to support a specific request because of his or her religious endorsing organization's teachings the chaplain must offer referral to another chaplain or professional.

That is totally different than what you said. So, why?
You obviously believe these things because you have

said it a lot of times. You clearly lack sufficient
 self-control to show respect for other people and what they
 believe in, completely. You don't show any respect for
 them.

5 There is good, productive public discourse. We have it 6 here. We disagree a lot up here. But what you have said is 7 hateful and you have done it a lot of times.

8 So, I think when we review a nominee, I think it is 9 important that we review their qualifications, but you are 10 going to manage 27,000 people. I can't imagine those 11 individuals that have a differently held belief are going to 12 believe anything other than the fact that you will never 13 give them a shot.

So, I don't know why you did what you did. I am disappointed that you didn't -- you should have started off by saying, I have made a lot of mistakes and let me go through them, and here is the reason why I said it, and I apologize, and it will never happen again. You are not willing to do that.

20 So, I am in the same position Senator Cotton is. I 21 hope this committee rejects your nomination, because I don't 22 think you deserve to be able to be a manager over 27,000 23 people and especially over the chaplains of the military.

24 Thank you, Chairman Reed.

25 Chairman Reed: Thank you, Senator Scott.

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1

Senator Hawley, please?

2 Senator Hawley: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

3 And thanks to the nominees for being here.

You know, we have heard from a lot of nominees who have come before this committee from this administration a lot about equity and about fairness being top priorities in today's DOD, but I have to say, that just doesn't seem to bear out to be the facts, and, Ms. Fulton, your nomination is the perfect example of it.

10 What we have actually seen is targeting political 11 opponents, targeting people who the administration doesn't 12 agree with, trying to silence folks who they don't like. 13 Clearly, it is a priority for this administration, because 14 they have been focused on that, rather than doing things 15 like, oh, I don't know, managing the evacuation from 16 Afghanistan, where we have, let me remind this committee, 17 yet again, 13 servicemembers dead, hundreds of civilians 18 dead, potentially thousands of Americans left behind enemy 19 lines.

20 Why?

Because the DOD is focused on their radical left agenda, rather than on actually saving Americans and doing their jobs.

Now, Ms. Fulton, I am astounded, frankly, that you have been nominated. And, listen, you are entitled to have

whatever views you want. Look, I am a constitutional
 lawyer. I am actually a religious liberty lawyer by
 training.

Your faith is your faith. Your views are your views.
You can say whatever the heck you want. This is the United
States of America, but you are asking to be appointed to a
very important position in the DOD.

8 Let me come back to some of these statements that you 9 have made. Religious freedom is twisted to mean 10 conservative Christians can dictate their beliefs to the 11 rest of us, hashtag, Hobby Lobby, repeal RFRA.

12 When did you change your view on RFRA?

You told Senator Cotton you are not in favor of repealing it. You were on June 30, 2014. When did you change your mind?

Ms. Fulton: I couldn't tell you exactly, Senator.
Senator Hawley: Why did you change your mind?

18 Ms. Fulton: I couldn't tell you exactly, Senator.

Senator Hawley: You are choosing not to tell me or you don't want to engage or you don't like this line of questioning or you don't think you need to be responsive?

22 Ms. Fulton: Oh, I am sorry, Senator.

Of course I do mean to be responsive. I don't recall the details of the Religious Freedom Restoration Act and I want to be clear that I support religious freedom. I

support the rights of our troops and our civilian employees to their religious freedom to the entire extent that the law allows within their employment in the Department of Defense and I support that in every way, yes, sir.

5 Senator Hawley: Why is it that you think that the vast 6 majority of white evangelical leaders are utterly unmoored 7 from the gospel of Jesus Christ? Can you explain that to 8 me.

9 Ms. Fulton: Senator, I am a Christian. I am a 10 follower of Jesus Christ. I have had differences of opinion 11 from people who don't believe in full equality for all, but 12 I will say it is wrong, it was wrong for me in that moment 13 and I believe it is always wrong to tarnish an entire group 14 of people with the beliefs or actions of one or a few, and 15 for that, I apologize.

16 Senator Hawley: You said that if getting a Supreme 17 Court judge who would outlaw abortion makes all of this 18 worth it to you, referring to evangelicals, your religion 19 has nothing to do with Jesus. You also say 86 percent of 20 those who consider themselves white evangelicals support 21 Trump.

22 So, which part of it is, disqualifies these people as 23 Christians, is it that they are opposed to abortion or they 24 supported Trump or both? Help me understand your thinking. 25 Ms. Fulton: I am so sorry, Senator. Could you read

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 that again.

Senator Hawley: On September 4, you said, 2017, 86
percent of those who consider themselves white evangelicals
support Trump. You said in the same tweet thread, if
getting a Supreme Court judge who would outlaw abortion
makes all this worth it to you, your religion has nothing to
do with Jesus.

8 So, is it because that they supported Trump that they 9 are not followers of Jesus or is it because they oppose 10 abortion? Is it both?

Ms. Fulton: I am trying to, Senator, I am trying to put myself back in that moment. I know there were several points at which I felt that the President had made statements or taken actions that I felt were in direct contradiction with the way that I understand Jesus' teaching, and I am expecting that I was reacting to that.

And, again, I don't believe we should ever tarnish awhole group of people for the actions of one.

Senator Hawley: Do you think that it is your position to tell people when they are and aren't followers of Christ or truly --

22 Ms. Fulton: No, sir.

23 Senator Hawley: -- Christians or not, just because 24 they don't disagree with you?

25 I understand you disagree with these folks but saying

1 over and over that they are not followers of Jesus, you 2 cited Matthew 23 on August 29, 2017, when you said the vast 3 majority of white evangelical leaders are utterly unmoored 4 from the gospel of Jesus Christ. Matthew 23 applies. 5 Do you remember what you meant by that? б Maybe a reference to the brood of vipers; that is 7 Matthew 23? 8 Ms. Fulton: Yes, Senator.

9 Senator, none of what I have ever expressed on social 10 media was intended to silence others. I believe there is a 11 free expression of beliefs there, but I do believe that I 12 apologized for statements at any time when I tarnished a 13 whole group of people for the actions or statements of one 14 or a few and I --

Senator Hawley: Well, it was clearly meant to denigrate others.

17 Listen, my time is expired. I just have to say, Ms. 18 Fulton, it is not just one statement; it is multiple 19 statements over multiple years, running from 2014 through 20 2008, years, I mean, there is just pages and pages of these 21 statements all directed at a particular group of people for 22 whom you appear to have quite a significant amount of 23 animus. You would be expected to oversee many of these 24 folks in the Department of Defense.

25

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

I mean, that is not fairness. That is not equity.

That is targeting. And for those reasons, among others, I
 can't support your nomination.

3 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

4 Chairman Reed: Thank you, Senator Hawley.

5 Senator Kelly, please?

6 Senator Kelly: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

7 Dr. Honey, during our recent meetings, we discussed the risks to national security created by America's reliance on 8 9 foreign-made microelectronics, including microchips. As you 10 know, this is a major concern of mine and countries like 11 China are investing heavily to try to outcompete us, which 12 is why I have been working with my Republican and Democratic 13 colleagues to ensure our nation has the resources it needs 14 to increase American microelectronics manufacturing and 15 development. I led the effort to include \$52 billion in the 16 competitiveness bill towards this goal with \$2 billion allocated for efforts within DOD. 17

And I appreciated your opening comments about the need to leverage research from experts across academia, industry, and DOD. And in your written responses to the committee, you indicated strong support for the establishment of a national network for microelectronics research that can bring those three parties together.

In your view, what are the advantages of having academia and industry working in direct partnership with the 1 Department of Defense as the national network model

2 proposes?

3 Mr. Honey: Senator, I thank you for your support in
4 microelectronics and for these types of initiatives.

5 My support for this and my interest in it actually 6 stems from my previous experience at DARPA, having run a 7 very similar type of effort in optoelectronics, where we did 8 bring together industry, academia, and the Department to 9 solve some very profound challenges over a number of domains 10 in optoelectronics.

And so, having seen that before and the tech transition and the impact that that has had on the commercial world and to then feed back new capabilities to the Department that we could get out of the commercial world, I believe that this area of microelectronics is ripe for this type of activity again.

17 Senator Kelly: Well, thank you.

And can you explain a little bit why domestic, R&D testing, production, and packaging is so critical for our nation's ability to leverage to the next generation of technologies.

22 Mr. Honey: Senator, yes, these, as you have just 23 identified, these are a group of technology areas that are 24 very important to have here onshore and available to our 25 university students for learning how to design and make

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

parts that will feed into that part of the supply chain, and that is part of the testing environment, but it is also important for industry to have resources to go to when they face a production problem.

5 I had some experience in doing that previously when I 6 was at Rome Lab in our test facility there when some folks 7 from industry and, again, the optoelectronics world, faced challenges like that. They could come to us and leverage 8 9 our expertise, and because we were working with so many 10 different parts of industry and academia at that time, we 11 were able to give them a much broader perspective on solving 12 problems. And, again, I think that activity would be 13 replicated in this environment.

Senator Kelly: Could you give an example, maybe, how currently when we need to test microelectronics and we have to do that offshore, why that is a risk to our national security.

Mr. Honey: Senator, these types of activities, when they are done offshore, are a risk because it exposes the intellectual property, it exposes the circuit design, capabilities, and our intent when we do that, or there is the possibility of having that happening, for certain.

23 So, having the ability to do that here in the U.S. 24 gives us the ability to have those layers of protections 25 that we need for our microelectronics that we are using in

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

various applications or weapons systems and other places, as
 well.

3 Senator Kelly: And I want to highlight, just for the 4 record, that some of that testing is done in China, which is 5 not in the interests of our national security.

6 Under Secretary Shyu has identified microelectronics as 7 a priority, modernization area for DOD. You know, what are 8 some of the challenges that the Department will face going 9 forward, if we do not create a robust, domestic

10 manufacturing capability?

11 Mr. Honey: The challenges that I see, based on, you 12 know, my experience at DARPA, my time in the Air Force, and 13 working these issues is that we have to have the ability to 14 work at the leading edge. We need to be able to have 15 security for our designs. We need to have the environments 16 where our Defense Industrial Base can collaborate with 17 commercial partners on the leading edge and know that the 18 intellectual property is properly being protected. And we 19 need to be able to ensure that, you know, all parts of that 20 ecosystem that we need to master, we have the ability to do that here or, you know, we have already seen the 21 22 democratization and spread of technology and how it impacts 23 us. This is a way to help ensure that when we want to let 24 that information out, we can, but when we need to protect 25 it, we can keep it here in the U.S., as well.

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 Senator Kelly: Well, thank you, Dr. Honey. 2 And thank you, Mr. Chairman. 3 Senator Sullivan: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 4 And Ms. Fulton, I have got to tell you, I am very 5 concerned about your nomination. I am very concerned about the politicization of our military. I think if you are 6 7 confirmed, you are going to add to that. It is very 8 dangerous. 9 So, let me go into some questions. You served on the 10 Board of the U.S. Military Academy, didn't you? 11 Ms. Fulton: Yes, sir, I did. 12 Senator Sullivan: You were chairman? 13 Ms. Fulton: Yes, Senator. 14 Senator Sullivan: You enjoyed it, you got a lot out of 15 it? 16 Ms. Fulton: Yes, Senator. 17 Senator Sullivan: I serve on the Board of the Naval 18 Academy. I love it, actually. 19 You served until 2019, if I recall, correct, 20 December 2019? 21 Ms. Fulton: Yes, Senator, that is correct. 22 Senator Sullivan: So, when President Trump came 23 onboard as a new President, he didn't fire you or any of the 24 other members appointed by President Obama, correct? 25 Ms. Fulton: That is correct, Senator.

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 Senator Sullivan: So, you weren't fired. 2 So, Joe Biden gets elected, this is what I am talking 3 about politicization of the military, he purges everybody 4 nominated by President Trump off all the service academy 5 boards. 6 Do you think that was a good idea? 7 Ms. Fulton: Senator, that was the President's 8 prerogative. Senator Sullivan: It is not his prerogative. 9 10 I am asking you, do you think it was a good idea? 11 You served on the Board. You weren't fired by Donald 12 Trump. Do you think it was a good idea that -- do you think 13 any other President in the history of the country has done 14 that? 15 Ms. Fulton: I don't know, Senator. 16 Senator Sullivan: I will answer for you: no. First 17 time ever. 18 Do you think it was a good idea? 19 Ms. Fulton: Senator, I think there is benefit to 20 having people with longevity on the Board --21 Senator Sullivan: So, Donald Trump should have -- I am 22 sorry, go ahead. 23 Ms. Fulton: There is a benefit to having people with 24 longevity on the Board that, with experience in knowing how 25 the Board works. There is also a benefit in getting new

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 eyes on the --

Senator Sullivan: But let me read you the law. The
law says that board members designated by the President
shall serve 3 years. So, Biden's firing of everybody was
illegal. I have no doubt about it. It is right here in the
law.

So, if you are confirmed, will you work to reinstate those, well, let me give you two examples from the U.S. Military Academy. General H.R. McMaster, the day after he was fired by Biden, he received the Distinguished Graduate Award.

12 Do you think he deserved to be fired?

Ms. Fulton: Senator, I have respect for GeneralMcMaster, as someone who I know.

15 Senator Sullivan: Do you think he deserved to be 16 fired?

Ms. Fulton: Senator, I don't know on what grounds that decision was made.

19 Senator Sullivan: Do you think General Keane deserved 20 to be fired?

21 Ms. Fulton: I don't know what grounds that was made --22 Senator Sullivan: Well, here is the point. Will you 23 commit to reinstating people like General McMaster?

24 President Biden illegally fired everybody. No one had25 ever done that in the history of the country before, but he

1 decided to purge every service academy for what reason?

The only reason is to politicize the service academy. Do you think it is a good idea, you are a West Point grad, to politicize the service academies?

5 Ms. Fulton: No, Senator, I don't believe it is good to 6 politicize --

7 Senator Sullivan: So, will you work, if confirmed, to8 reinstate the people Joe Biden fired?

9 Ms. Fulton: Senator, if I am confirmed, I will look 10 into what authorities -- my understanding is that is solely 11 within the President's --

Senator Sullivan: It is not. I just read you the statute. It says "shall." That is why you weren't fired by President Trump.

Anyway, let me turn to another issue. But this is an important issue, Mr. Chairman, that we haven't done enough to look at. It is an important issue. Politicizing the officer court of our military academies is something nobody should accept and Joe Biden is doing it and you are going to be in charge of this.

The Under Secretary of Defense, in his confirmation hearing said one of his goals was to stamp out, quote, systemic racism within the ranks of the military.

Do you believe that the military is systemically racist?

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1

Ms. Fulton: No, Senator.

2 I have heard different definitions of that term, but I 3 don't believe that the military is fundamentally racist. 4 Senator Sullivan: So, one of your tweets said, it is 5 not a political statement to say the GOP, that is half the 6 country, is racist; it is a moral statement. 7 So, do you believe that every Republican in the GOP, probably a lot of military members, too, are they all 8 9 racist? That is what you said in 2018. 10 Ms. Fulton: No, Senator. I want to repeat what I said 11 when I apologized for that tweet earlier. My intent was to 12 say that racism isn't Republican or Democratic, that it is 13 not political; it is moral. 14 Senator Sullivan: Okav. 15 Ms. Fulton: And the parties should make a statement, 16 because no group of people, no whole group of people should 17 be tarnished be the actions of one. 18 But my words were muddled, confused. I went about it 19 the wrong way and I deeply apologized for --20 Senator Sullivan: Let me focus on another group, that 21 for whatever reason seems to get the ire of the far left, 22 and it is the Marine Corps. I love the Marine Corps. I 23 think it is one of the best institutions in America. 24 I remember an Obama administration official, I can't 25 remember, senior in the Pentagon, she called the Marines a

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

www.trustpoint.one www.aldersonreporting.com 800.FOR.DEPO (800.367.3376) 1 bunch of extremists.

You were quoted, stated in 2016, quote, so tired of
USMC women fighting to keep combat ban because they want so
badly for the male Marines to love them; hashtag, co-opted,
hashtag, Stockholm Syndrome.

6 That is a blatant insult to the women in the U.S. 7 Marine Corps. Hey, do you want to apologize to them right 8 now, because you are looking to possibly lead them? 9 And why the hell would you say something like that? 10 Ms. Fulton: Senator, the honor, courage, and 11 commitment of the Marine Corps is --

12 Senator Sullivan: How about women Marines?

13 Ms. Fulton: Especially women Marines.

14 Senator Sullivan: So, why are you insulting them?

Ms. Fulton: Senator, that was, I never want to tarnish a whole group of people with the actions of one and in that case, it was the action of one and --

18 Senator Sullivan: No, it wasn't. You said the USMC 19 women.

Why are you insulting the women in the United States Marine Corps and will you, right now, apologize for that tweet or whatever the heck it was in 2016?

Ms. Fulton: I apologize, Senator. I have all respect for the Marine Corps. I know a great deal of Marine men and women who served honorably, continue to serve honorably, and I have served alongside of and worked alongside of, and I
 have tremendous respect for them. I will continue to work
 with them.

4 Senator Sullivan: Mr. Chairman, this nominee is 5 clearly ungualified and I hope everybody on this committee 6 votes to not move forward her confirmation out of the Armed 7 Services Committee. It will be an insult, part of this 8 continuing focus on the Biden administration to put 9 officials like you who are disingenuous, condescending, 10 dismissive, and insulting of the very people you want to 11 lead in the military, and I think it has to stop on this 12 nominee. Sorry.

13 Chairman Reed: Senator Peters, please?

14 Senator Peters: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And thank you to each and every one of you for your willingness to serve in tough and challenging jobs, and I appreciate your willingness to serve the country.

Dr. Honey, my first question is going to be for you. If confirmed, you will oversee the Defense Advanced Research Project Agency, DARPA, and certainly in an era of strategic competition against our near-peer threats, there is a renewed emphasis on DARPA, and I think rightly so, because of the critical work that it does.

24 But my question for you is, how do you plan to increase 25 the coordination and bring DARPA closer to industry,

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 academia, and other government agencies to advance our

2 capabilities in areas, particularly, artificial

intelligence, microelectronics, quantum science. We know now that a lot of some of the cutting-edge new technologies coming onboard are happening in the commercial sector. They are happening in academia. DARPA clearly plays an important role, but that role has to be coordinated with all of these other entities.

9 If you would elaborate on your thoughts on that, I10 would appreciate it.

11 Mr. Honey: So, Senator, I agree, these are all 12 important areas, and DARPA's role in, not only leveraging 13 what's going on in industry, but creating new areas of 14 research for industry and academia, has been very important, 15 at least, I have seen it, you know, day-to-day during my two 16 tours at DARPA, over the 14 years that I spent there. 17 DARPA, and I would say that all of the service labs and even 18 more broadly, all of DOD research does need these 19 connections, exactly as you described.

And there are a number of ways that can serve to do that. COVID has had an impact on, I know, on all of my colleagues in trying to do that, and so we have been experimenting with a number of ways of, you know, Webex, Zoom meetings, things like that, ways to try and better collaborate and coordinate. I do hope, though, that as

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

COVID recedes, that we are able to, you know, get to more
 in-person and technical conferences and whatnot. We will be
 able to have greater participation in those venues, as well.

But I think one of the things that is key is from the Government's side from DOD, we need to be very forthcoming with our partners outside of government on what it is we are planning to do and why are we going to do it and what are our thoughts on how to do that, and then have means of communication back in.

When I left the Government, I went out to a small defense contractor for 2 years. I had no idea how hard it was to communicate back into Government until I went there and saw it firsthand. So, I commit to you that if I am confirmed, I will tackle these issues, because it is very important to have those lines of communication if we are to succeed.

17 Senator Peters: Well, absolutely. I am glad you had 18 that experience so you know what it is on the other side and 19 how difficult that can be and that certainly can happen. We 20 need a very fluid ecosystem and innovation in our ecosystem. 21 And speaking of that, the defense innovation ecosystem, 22 generally, has been calling for an expansion of DARPA. What 23 are your thoughts of expanding DARPA? Is there a need to do

24 that and, if so, why?

25 Mr. Honey: DARPA's budget has grown over the years and

1 I believe that has been a very worthwhile investment that 2 DARPA does deliver tremendous value to the government. 3 Going forward, of course, you know, I support the 4 President's budget and will look to, if confirmed, when I am 5 in R&E, get a better understanding of the research that is 6 going on, not just across the Department, overseeing, you 7 know, greater opportunities for collaboration with the 8 National Science Foundation, NIH, and other departments, as 9 well.

10 NIST has a great amount of work going on, the 11 Department of Energy with all of its labs. So, figuring 12 out, not just, you know, how much money needs to be spent, 13 but where it needs to be spent. We have to make sure that 14 we are getting the right bang for the buck, deconflicting, 15 where necessary, are all activities that, if confirmed, I 16 commit to support.

17 Senator Peters: Very good.

18 Ms. Fulton, the Assistant Secretary of Defense for 19 Manpower and Reserve Affairs has purview over review boards 20 that deal with bad-paper discharges. Earlier this year, I 21 pressed Secretary Austin to quickly and effectively 22 implement the Fairness for Veterans legislation that I, 23 along with members of this committee, enacted into law. 24 Veterans who have been erroneously given a less-thanhonorable discharge from the military, due to negative 25

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

behavior resulting from mental trauma, such as PTSD or traumatic brain injury that wasn't properly diagnosed while they were in service, certainly deserve to have their petitions for change adjudicated in a timely manner. We find many of these folks, the behaviors they had was a direct result of PTSD that wasn't diagnosed.

And while I understand that COVID-19 has added obstacles for veterans getting their records corrected, my question for you is, if confirmed, do you have a commitment, will you make a commitment to me to work with my office to ensure that review boards are making expeditious decisions and to transmit to my office, data and information related to these review boards?

It was estimated that we may be talking about 30 or 40,000 veterans in this category and, yet, only, roughly, I believe 1,500 to 2,000 have actually had changes in those papers as a result of those boards. Talk to me about that and please offer me your commitment.

Ms. Fulton: Senator Peters, thank you for that important legislation that really recognizes the impact of PTSD on behavior, and it is important for our soldiers.

If I am confirmed, I commit to move out smartly on this and do whatever I can to move it along.

24 Senator Peters: Thank you.

25 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1 Chairman Reed: Thank you, Senator Peters.

2 Senator Tillis, please?

3 Senator Tillis: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And congratulations to the nominees for your
nominations.

6 Very briefly, Dr. Honey, I would be kind of curious to 7 see, with respect to the United States compared to China and 8 Russia, how are we doing in the race for quantum information 9 science and with respect to China, maybe include in that 10 artificial intelligence. Are we ahead, behind, or just 11 keeping up?

12 Mr. Honey: Senator, based on what I see, you know, 13 published and where the activities going on, our adversaries 14 are certainly very interested in these areas and they are 15 competing with us, as best as they can. I still believe, 16 though, that the U.S. does have the best R&E ecosystem and 17 that we are ahead in these areas. But it is a challenge to 18 stay ahead and one that we have to keep focused on to remain 19 there.

20 Senator Tillis: If confirmed, briefly, what would be 21 your top priorities to make sure that we leapfrog ahead? 22 Mr. Honey: Senator, my priorities would be supporting 23 the DOD ecosystem to make sure that we are, you know, making 24 the right investments, that we are getting the value out of 25 it, collaborating with the other agencies, but even more

importantly these days, staying in contact with the commercial industry. There is a lot of quantum that is being funded by the venture capital community today that previously never would have been done, so we need to leverage all of these investments to stay ahead.

б

Senator Tillis: Thank you.

Ms. Fulton, I have been watching some of the hearing in my office and I was in the Banking Committee hearing with a nominee who had a long history of incendiary and profane tweets about Republicans, about the former President. Yours were not profane, but they are questioning.

12 And I also want to associate myself with some of the 13 comments that Senator Sullivan made about his concerns, but 14 rather than getting into the specifics of the tweets, they 15 are in the record, in an organization that you are going to 16 go into that are going to have thousands, tens of thousands 17 of people across the political spectrum, why would those who 18 would just object to what you have put out there, feel 19 comfortable with you having to lead them?

20

Ms. Fulton: Thank you for the question, Senator.

Throughout my career, I have worked side-by-side with people, regardless of their political affiliation. One of the most critical issues that we faced at the Military Academy was a combination of sexual assault and harassment problems and a need to recenter character.

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

And I worked, my closest partner in that was Congressman Steve Womack. I worked probably throughout my time on the Board of Visitors, my closest working partners on getting things done were either appointed by Republicans or were Republican members of Congress. And I have always worked with people, regardless of their political viewpoint. I would continue to strive to do so.

8 And as I did in the military, what we do is we focus on 9 the mission, what is the mission, and we work together to 10 achieve that.

11 Senator Tillis: What is the thought process, and by 12 the way, you have a very impressive career and you should be 13 commended for blazing the trail for women in the Army, but 14 you know, what would motivate you to take it to that level 15 if, on the one hand you just told me that you have worked 16 side-by-side and collaborative, why didn't it give you pause 17 before you pressed tweet, when you are casting an entire 18 political party in the same light?

There was one tweet that you had out there that I took personal offense to, because back in 1996 when I became a new partner in Price Waterhouse, I volunteered to create a diversity recruiting practice that went across the entire spectrum that you are obviously, based on your tweet, sympathetic to, and I am too, but just give me an idea of why you felt comfortable with doing that if you also have

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

just said that you worked across a broad spectrum of people. How could that, if they knew that this is what you felt, do you think it would undermine your ability to actually work with them?

5 Ms. Fulton: Senator, I want to apologize for that 6 tweet. My intent was to say that racism isn't Democratic or 7 Republican. It is not political; it is a moral issue.

8 And the parties should make a statement, because a 9 whole group of people should never be tarnished with the 10 actions of one or a few and --

11 Senator Tillis: I just don't recall --

Ms. Fulton: -- but it came across completely wrong and
I apologize, Senator. It came out completely wrong.

14 Senator Tillis: And if you could submit, for the 15 record, an example of where there is a pattern where you 16 have said that you are calling on everybody across the 17 ideological spectrum, but it seems to me that at least what 18 I have observed, and there could be more that were not 19 submitted to me for preparation before the committee, to 20 demonstrate that balance.

Because, you know, honestly, I hired a lot of people as a partner of Price Waterhouse and if this were, and we did a lot of searches on social media, I do that in my office, it is a deal-killer for anybody to have made a political statement about the other party. As a matter of fact, in my

office if someone says something that is overheard that is the least bit critical of someone else on the other side of the aisle, it is grounds for termination, based on my business code of conduct.

5 So, the reason I would have a concern with supporting 6 you is it doesn't even live up to my hiring practices in my 7 office, which is highly diverse and, in fact, my nominations 8 to the committees, is one of the top four or five in the 9 U.S. Senate for diversity. So, I actually do it in a way, 10 and in my 20 years in public service, have never taken on 11 the party or said or had a single tweet or a public 12 statement, where we have a record of several.

13 So, it just worries me about your temperament and about 14 the confidence people would have and the side of the 15 political spectrum that you have, at least, some contempt 16 for.

- 17 Thank you, Mr. Chair.
- 18 [The information follows:]
- 19 [COMMITTEE INSERT]
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

800.FOR.DEPO (800.367.3376) Chairman Reed: Thank you very much, Senator Tillis.
 Senator Rosen is trying to get to her computer, so I
 will take the opportunity to begin my second round.

4 Ms. Fulton, you had an extraordinary career: the first 5 class of women at West Point, which was very challenging. б Not only did you survive an experience that most people, and 7 I have some experience in this regard, could not have done, and then you went on and throughout your public service, and 8 9 currently in the state of New Jersey, working with people 10 from all different persuasions, political, theological, 11 ethnic differences, and there has been no complaints by any 12 of your subordinates or any of your superiors about your 13 work.

14 Is that fair?

15 Ms. Fulton: Yes, Senator, that is true.

16 Chairman Reed: So, that, in the performance of your 17 duties, you have done it in a way that is based on the 18 principles, and I will be somewhat trite, of duty, honor, 19 country, that you have a job to do and you must do that job. 20 And it is other factors which you might, privately, as we 21 all have private thoughts and ideas, do not influence your 22 professional activities.

23 Is that fair?

Ms. Fulton: Yes, Senator. I strive to live up to the ideals of duty, honor, country. I do think about that. I

1 am passionate. I am strong-willed. I am a fighter.

If I am confirmed, I will strive to prove to you and every member of this committee, every day, that I am the person of my record.

Chairman Reed: Well, I, you know, obviously, I think 5 6 the record bears that out in the sense that everyone is 7 entitled to their opinions, but they have to recognize their opinions and deal in the workplace with facts and with the 8 9 principles, the fairness, and responsibility and commitment, 10 in this case, to the Constitution and to the laws of the 11 United States. So, I think that point has to be emphasized, 12 so I will emphasize that.

I am going to follow-up Ms. Hinderstein, with a 13 14 question and give you a little more time to elaborate, which 15 is securing of nuclear materials, again, I have the same 16 concerns as my colleagues, with respect to the real danger 17 of these materials flowing into the hands of terrorist 18 groups, which are very difficult, if not impossible, to 19 deter. And what can you add to your previous answer? 20 Ms. Hinderstein: Thank you, Senator.

I really am appreciative of this line of inquiry, because I do think that nuclear security and anything that we can do to reduce the risk that terrorists could acquire nuclear or radiological material is extremely important, and it is a risk that threatens to kind of fall below the radar

while we deal with other extremely important national
 security issues.

3 If confirmed, I would seek to support a number of the 4 really important programs that the Office of Defense Nuclear 5 Nonproliferation has not only innovated, but implemented, 6 with partners around the world, because it is not just about 7 telling folks what to do; it is more about giving them the 8 tools, the capability, and the sustainability to continue 9 that mission globally. Because the United States, we can't 10 just protect ourselves here, we have to protect ourselves in 11 any area of risk.

12 Chairman Reed: Thank you very much.

I am informed that Senator Rosen is available. At this point, I will recognize Senator Rosen for her questions.

Senator Rosen: Well, thank you, Chairman Reed. Iappreciate it. Thank you, Ranking Member Inhofe.

And I want to thank the nominees for being here today and your willingness to serve.

I want to talk a little bit about Nevada's National Security Site. So, Ms. Hinderstein, the Nevada test site, now known as the Nevada National Security Site, or NNSS, was ground zero for our country's explosive testing between 1945 and 1992 with a hundred atmospheric and 828 underground tests conducted at the test site. NNSS is the only facility in the nation equipped to accommodate subcritical nuclear

experiments, helping to advance our national security and
 maintain the integrity of our nuclear stockpile.

3 Under the direction of the Deputy Administrator for 4 Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation, NNSS hosts a 5 Nonproliferation Test and Evaluation Complex, the largest 6 facility for open-air testing of hazardous materials and 7 biological stimulants in the world, which trains our first 8 responders for nuclear catastrophes.

9 If confirmed, another Nevada equity, which would fall 10 under your direction is the Remote Sensing Laboratory at 11 Nellis Air Force Base and Joint Base Andrews, providing 12 radiological emergency response teams along the West Coast 13 and the East Coast, respectively. They stand ready to 14 provide emergency radiological response anywhere in the 15 world with deployable teams of experts.

16 RSL has been undergoing a number of upgrades to their 17 equipment, including helicopters, detectors, communications 18 gear. I had the pleasure of touring their headquarters 19 right there at Nellis in July.

So, Ms. Hinderstein, can you speak to importance of the Nevada National Security Site and the Remote Sensing Lab and the specialized people and equipment they field, and will you ensure that, if confirmed, that they have the tools and the resources that they need to maintain our nuclear stockpile, to think about any potential radiological events,

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

and prepare and plan for the things that our country is
 going to need going forward.

Ms. Hinderstein: Thank you for your question, Senator. And I agree with you that the capabilities that are resident in Nevada and, in particular, at Nellis and at the National Nuclear Security Site, are unique to our country.

Because of the history of the facility, there are capabilities in Nevada that we don't have elsewhere and that would be nearly impossible, if not actually impossible to replicate if we were to try to do so. So, I think it is extremely important that we support the mission at Nevada. There are profound and important activities that go on there that are related to DNN's R&E portfolio.

14 If confirmed, first of all, I hope I would get the 15 opportunity to see some of those facilities directly. I 16 have been to the site once, but I didn't have the 17 opportunity to see some of those. And I would commit to you 18 to an ongoing conversation about the needs of the site to 19 meet our national security missions.

20 Senator Rosen: Well, that is important, because as you 21 mentioned, they have highly specialized nuclear-detection 22 equipment found nowhere else. It does need to be maintained 23 and upgraded, and it is critical.

And talking about their infrastructure needs, in general, when I last visited the National Security Site, I

1 saw the ongoing construction project for the Ula facility 2 for enhanced capabilities for subcritical experiments. We 3 call it the ECSE. I am expedited that the NNSS will host 4 the most capable weapons and radiographic system in the 5 world.

6 So, again, Ms. Hinderstein, if confirmed, what 7 additional investments in the Nevada National Security Site 8 do you think would be prudent to ensure that the site can 9 continue to fulfill its counterterrorism and 10 nonproliferation missions in the years to come?

Ms. Hinderstein: Senator, I think it is extremely important to coordinate with my counterparts at the Office of Counterterrorism and Counterproliferation at NNSA if I were to be confirmed, because there are such specific capabilities that would benefit both our mission spaces.

I would not dare to speculate on exactly which investments would be needed now, but I would think it would be extremely important, if confirmed, that I get up to speed on where the capabilities lie, where the gaps may be, and how we can strategically invest in the site to meet all of our needs.

22 Senator Rosen: Well, thank you. My team and I look 23 forward to welcoming you to Nevada, taking you on those 24 tours, and having just these very discussions.

25 Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Trustpoint.One Alderson

1	Chairman Reed: Thank you, Senator Rosen.
2	That concludes the hearing. I want to thank the
3	witnesses for their testimony. I also want to thank you for
4	your willingness to serve the nation. It is deeply
5	appreciated.
6	And with that, I will adjourn the hearing.
7	[Whereupon, at 11:43 a.m., the hearing was adjourned.]
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	