Stenographic Transcript Before the

COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES

## **UNITED STATES SENATE**

## HEARING TO RECEIVE TESTIMONY ON THE TRANSITION OF ALL UNITED STATES AND COALITION FORCES FROM AFGHANISTAN AND ITS IMPLICATIONS

Thursday, May 20, 2021

Washington, D.C.

ALDERSON COURT REPORTING 1111 14TH STREET NW SUITE 1050 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 (202) 289-2260 www.aldersonreporting.com

| 1  | HEARING TO RECEIVE TESTIMONY ON THE TRANSITION OF ALL UNITED |
|----|--|
| 2  | STATES AND COALITION FORCES FROM AFGHANISTAN AND ITS         |
| 3  | IMPLICATIONS   |
| 4  |  |
| 5  | Thursday, May 20, 2021                                       |
| 6  |  |
| 7  | U.S. Senate  |
| 8  | Committee on Armed Services                                  |
| 9  | Washington, D.C.   |
| 10 |  |
| 11 | The committee met, pursuant to notice, at 10:00 a.m. in      |
| 12 | Room SD-G50, Dirksen Senate Office Building, Hon. Jack Reed, |
| 13 | chairman of the committee, presiding.                        |
| 14 | Committee Members Present: Senators Reed [presiding],        |
| 15 | Shaheen, Gillibrand, Blumenthal, Hirono, Kaine, King,        |
| 16 | Peters, Manchin, Duckworth, Rosen, Kelly, Inhofe, Fischer,   |
| 17 | Rounds, Ernst, Tillis, Sullivan, Scott, Blackburn, Hawley,   |
| 18 | and Tuberville.  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 |  |

1

1

3

OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. JACK REED, U.S. SENATOR FROM

## 2 RHODE ISLAND

Chairman Reed: Let me call the hearing to order.

4 The Committee meets this morning to examine the process 5 and implications of transitioning all United States and 6 Coalition forces from Afghanistan by mid-September. Helping 7 us better understand these challenges are two witnesses: 8 Mr. David Helvey, Acting Assistant Secretary of Defense for 9 Indo-Pacific Security Affairs, and Brigadier General Matthew 10 G. Trollinger, Deputy Director, Politico-Military Affairs 11 for the Middle East from the J-5. And General Trollinger, 12 let me congratulate you on your recent selection for 13 promotion to Major General. Congratulations, sir.

I would like to thank the witnesses for appearing here today to give us a snapshot of what is happening at this time. However, I want to note that I have repeatedly asked, along with the ranking member, for General Scotty Miller, Commander of NATO's Resolute Support Mission, to testify.

This Committee has not had an open hearing on Afghanistan with DoD officials since 2017, and the last closed briefing was in December 2019. That is far too long to hear about a mission that involves so many Americans. I understand that General Miller is now very busy with the transition that is underway, but I will continue to press for his appearance at the appropriate time.

1 Last month, President Biden announced the withdrawal of 2 all forces from Afghanistan by September 2021. It must be 3 noted that none of the options available to the President 4 were particularly palatable. He could have left May 1st, as 5 agreed to by the previous Administration, or continue to 6 press on with the United States' longest war. It appears 7 that the President concluded that more troops might buy more time and more casualties, but more time would not create a 8 9 more effective Afghan government. The President's decision, 10 however, should be seen as a transition, not closure, and 11 should not mean an end to our counterterrorism efforts. We 12 must ensure that Afghanistan will not be a source of 13 planning, plotting, or projecting of terrorist attacks 14 around the globe, including particularly against our 15 homeland.

16 Despite great progress over the last 20 years, the 17 threats from al Qaeda, ISIS, and other terrorist groups still remain. The Director of National Intelligence stated 18 19 in the 2021 annual threat assessment that ISIS and al Qaeda 20 remain among, in her words, "the greatest terrorist threats to U.S. interests overseas," and that they "seek to conduct 21 22 attacks inside the United States, although sustained U.S. 23 and allied counterterrorism pressure has broadly degraded 24 their capability to do so." We must look to transition to a 25 new counterterrorism architecture in the region to continue

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 to degrade al Qaeda, ISIS, and other terrorist groups and 2 prevent their ability to attack the homeland.

3 CENTCOM Commander General McKenzie recently provided 4 the Committee some assurances that such a counterterrorism 5 posture in the region was possible, but cautioned that it б will be a reduced capability with longer ranges and 7 heightened risks, and will require greater resources. I 8 would be interested in hearing from the witnesses what 9 progress has been made in constructing a follow-on or over-10 the-horizon posture.

11 Additionally, while the United States and Coalition 12 forces will physically transition from the country, 13 international support to the Afghan government, including 14 through support to the Afghan Security Forces, will remain 15 vital to security and stability there. Ultimately, the 16 Afghan government must find a way to govern in a way that 17 earns the confidence of its people, especially beyond the 18 limits of it cities, by providing basic services to include 19 security, education, health care, and justice.

It is broadly understood that the Afghan government will struggle to hold the Taliban at bay if international support is withdrawn, and it will be increasingly difficult for the international community to justify continuing to provide such assistance without a functioning Afghan government partner. The difficulty of providing such

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 support to the Afghan Security Forces is further compounded 2 by the fact that the deal the previous administration 3 negotiated with the Taliban includes the departure of all 4 security personnel, logisticians and contractors. When the 5 United States transitions from the country, the б international presence that is the foundation for security 7 assistance is dramatically reduced, if not entirely removed. 8 I would like to understand what plans are in place to 9 continue training and assistance to the Afghan forces in 10 light of these factors and how we will balance that against 11 the need to conduct robust oversight of funding that is 12 provided by the United States and international community.

13 Lastly, we must do our part to aid those Afghans who 14 have aided us. There are already troubling examples of 15 Taliban plans to target those who helped the United States, 16 and we must ensure that we have the capacity to bring them 17 to safety. That is why I joined 20 of my colleagues in 18 signing a letter to President Biden this week emphasizing 19 our support for the Special Immigrant Visa, the SIV program 20 for Afghans. I am grateful to Senator Shaheen for leading 21 this effort. It is an important effort, and she is doing an 22 extraordinary job. I would ask the witnesses to share what 23 the Department, what perception the Department sees as its 24 role in such operations and if any additional authorities 25 might be required in order for DoD to assist the State

1 Department or other agencies leading these efforts.

I want to thank you both again for being here this morning and I look forward to your testimony. Before I turn it to Ranking Member Inhofe, I would like to remind my colleagues that there will be an informal classified briefing which will include an appropriate DIA representative immediately following this session in SVC-217, the Office of Senate Security.

9 Moreover, I would like to remind my colleagues, and 10 request their assistance. We will need to gather a quorum in the President's Room at the noon vote to vote out the 11 12 civilian nominations of Michael McCord for Comptroller and 13 Ronald Moultrie for Under Secretary for Intelligence. I ask 14 that everyone try to be helpful so no one has to wait to 15 look, and at the noon vote, if you could assemble and be prepared to do so I would appreciate it very much. 16

17 With that, let me recognize Ranking Member Inhofe.

18

19

20

- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

STATEMENT OF HON. JAMES INHOFE, U.S. SENATOR FROM
 OKLAHOMA

3

Senator Inhofe: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

4 First of all, I oppose and have expressed myself 5 several times about the full withdrawal of all troops by 6 September 11th of this year. The fact that the President 7 chose that date, the 20th anniversary of the most horrific 8 terrorist attacks in our nation's history, indicates this 9 was a calendar-based political decision. It was not based 10 on the conditions on the ground, which is the strong 11 bipartisan recommendation Congress has given to both the 12 Republican and Democratic presidents over the last decade.

This is not just my own interpretation. An unnamed senior administration official told the Washington Post, quote, "The President has judged that a conditions-based approach is a recipe for staying in Afghanistan forever."

Now I am troubled by that statement that has been made over and over again, framing the issue, which pretends that there are only two options: unconditional U.S. withdrawal, or a so-called "forever war."

Nobody wants to see United States troops in Afghanistan forever. We understand that. And that is why I supported a third option: maintaining a relatively small troop presence until the conditions outlined in the February 2020 U.S.-

25 Taliban Agreement are fully implemented. I will be talking

1 about that with some questions to the witnesses. So under 2 that agreement, the troops were supposed to be withdrawn as 3 the Taliban met its counterterrorism commitments, and when 4 progress was made in the intra-Afghan dialogue.

A bipartisan majority of the Senate warned President
Trump against doing this 2 years ago. President Trump
listened. President Biden did not listen.

8 The precipitous drawdown from Afghanistan carries many risks. First, there is the risk of severe chaos, violence, 9 10 and instability in Afghanistan, as the Taliban uses our 11 withdrawal to escalate its attacks around the country and in 12 Kabul. As we saw after President Obama's withdrawal from 13 Iraq in 2011, terrorists will exploit this instability. Two 14 and a half years after U.S. troops left Iraq, ISIS captured 15 Mosul.

Secondly, the complete withdrawal of U.S. troops will make it much harder and more expensive to effectively support our Afghan security partners. Over-the-horizon counterterrorism does not work. General McKenzie, the CENTCOM commander, testified, quote, "The ranges will be greater. The resources will be greater. The risks will all be greater."

Third, the U.S. drawdown puts at risk thousands of Afghan -- and I think a lot of people realize this, this article that was written in USA Today makes it very clear,

**Trustpoint**.One Alderson.

| 1  | and it is kind of scary. We will be talking about that in |
|----|---|
| 2  | the questions that I have to ask.                         |
| 3  | So I thank both of you for your testimony and I look      |
| 4  | forward to working with you in this endeavor.             |
| 5  | Thank you, Mr. Chairman.                                  |
| 6  | Chairman Reed: Thank you, Senator Inhofe. And, Mr.        |
| 7  | Helvey, would you begin please.                           |
| 8  |   |
| 9  |   |
| 10 |   |
| 11 |   |
| 12 |   |
| 13 |   |
| 14 |   |
| 15 |   |
| 16 |   |
| 17 |   |
| 18 |   |
| 19 |   |
| 20 |   |
| 21 |   |
| 22 |   |
| 23 |   |
| 24 |   |
| 25 |   |

STATEMENT OF DAVID F. HELVEY, ACTING ASSISTANT
 SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR INDO-PACIFIC SECURITY AFFAIRS
 Mr. Helvey: Good morning Chairman Reed, Ranking Member

Inhofe, and members of this committee. Thank you for this
opportunity to provide you an update on the withdrawal of
the remaining U.S. forces from Afghanistan. I appreciate
you and this committee's continued interest in this matter.

8 Following a rigorous review, as you know, the President 9 determined that the best path forward to advance American 10 interests is by ending U.S. military involvement in the war 11 in Afghanistan. Accordingly, and as directed by the 12 President on April 14th, the Department of Defense began a safe, orderly, and coordinate withdrawal of U.S. forces on 13 14 May 1st, and plans to have all U.S. forces out of the 15 country by September. This is the amount of time that we 16 have determined will be necessary to bring our forces, and 17 those of our Coalition partners, home safely, and to 18 retrograde, transfer, or dispose of responsibly equipment 19 and other property.

As a part of the interagency review of U.S. policy in Afghanistan, the administration has assessed that the threat from violent extremist organizations against the United States, now emanating from Afghanistan, can be addressed without a persistent U.S. military presence in that country. At the same time, we will work closely with the Afghan

**Trustpoint**.One Alderson.

1 National Defense and Security Forces, or ANDSF, and with our 2 allies and our partners to maintain counterterrorism 3 capabilities in the region sufficient to ensure that 4 Afghanistan cannot become a safe haven for terrorists to 5 threaten our security. In this context, and in coordination б with our Afghan and international partners, we are working 7 to reposition our counterterrorism capabilities, including 8 by retaining assets in the region to prevent a re-emergence 9 of a terrorist threat to the United States homeland from 10 Afghanistan, and to hold the Taliban to its commitments to 11 ensure that al Qaeda does not once again a foothold there or 12 that ISIS or any other terrorist group could use Afghanistan 13 as a base to attack us or our allies.

And we will refine our counterterrorism strategy to monitor and disrupt terrorist threats to our homeland and our interests in a way that corresponds to the dispersed threat landscape that we face today.

18 Mr. Chairman, I would like to thank Congress and this 19 committee specifically for the continued support for the 20 Afghanistan Security Forces Fund, or ASFF. This is the 21 mechanism through which the United States provides the 22 majority of funding necessary to sustain the ANDSF and its 23 combat operations while developing the ANDSF into an 24 effective and independent force capable of securing 25 Afghanistan, protecting the Afghan people, and contributing

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 to regional security.

2 As Secretary Austin has said, we will continue funding 3 key capabilities such as the Afghan Air Force and Special 4 Mission Wing, we will continue paying salaries for Afghan 5 Security Forces and continue delivering certain military б supplies. We are developing mechanisms to provide 7 appropriate oversight for the use of these funds, most of 8 which will continue to be executed through DoD contracts, 9 and we have discussed with your staff how best to do this 10 with efficiency and accountability.

Although we are withdrawing U.S. troops from Afghanistan, we are standing squarely with our Afghan partners and redoubling our diplomatic efforts to achieve a lasting peace. Afghan forces are performing heroically against their relentless adversary. The Afghan Army takes heavy losses daily, but they remain in the fight, and they are an institution of which the Afghan people can be proud.

18 Mr. Chairman, the Department strongly supports the 19 ongoing diplomatic efforts to achieve a negotiated political settlement in Afghanistan, one that the Afghan people 20 21 themselves endorse. And we will continue working with our 22 colleagues at the State Department to ensure that we take 23 care of those Afghans and their families who have helped us 24 over the past two decades, most notably Afghan employees of 25 the Department of Defense. And we will continue providing

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

sufficient support to the Department of State to maintain the important diplomatic mission that will continue after the Department of Defense's departure. In closing, I want to thank the members of this committee for your continued support for all those who have б served in Afghanistan. I thank you for the opportunity to brief you and I look forward to taking your questions. [The prepared statement of Mr. Helvey follows:] 

1

Chairman Reed: Thank you, Mr. Helvey.

I believe General Trollinger, you do not have astatement.

4 General Trollinger: That is correct. I do not. 5 Chairman Reed: Thank you very much, sir. б Before I begin my questions, let me remind my 7 colleagues that because of the hybrid nature of this hearing 8 we will not be using the early bird rule. We will be going 9 by seniority. Also, we will have 5-minute rounds and would 10 ask everyone to mute their microphone if they are not 11 speaking. Thank you very much.

Now, Mr. Helvey and General Trollinger, one of the critical aspects is maintaining that over-the-horizon capability to disrupt counterterrorist group. General McKenzie described it as feasible but more demanding in terms of resources and other issues. Has there been any change in General McKenzie's assessment, Mr. Trollinger, Mr. Helvey?

Mr. Helvey: Mr. Chairman, I believe the General McKenzie statement is still very much accurate. I mean, the geography is the same. We are working to develop the options to be able to provide the type of intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance and other necessary elements to maintain an appropriate counterterrorism capability. The planning and the discussions are ongoing on

1 how to do that.

In the interim, I would note that we do have significant capabilities that are resident in the Persian Gulf region and Middle East. That obviously creates time and distance between there and any type of operations that we may need to undertake, and we are looking at options that could be closer in within the region, and those conversations and the planning for that is ongoing.

9 Chairman Reed: General Trollinger, do you have any 10 comments on the steps that have been taken most recently to 11 mitigate the risk that General McKenzie spoke of?

General Trollinger: Mr. Chairman, I would only offer that as we approach this particular problem there is a sense of urgency and earnest planning effort ongoing so that we can maintain a seamless transition from that capability that resides in Afghanistan, but we would be required to be located elsewhere. So that planning continues in earnest.

18 Chairman Reed: Now would these activities be 19 undertaken with our Coalition partners? Are they fully 20 integrated into the planning and the execution, and also 21 with regional countries? Mr. Helvey?

22 Mr. Helvey: We are in some discussions with certain 23 Coalition partners with respect to future CT architecture, 24 and obviously if we have any new arrangements made for 25 access basing and overflight that would be undertaken in

consultation with local partners. And these are the types
 of negotiations that are underway now, that we are
 supporting. Our State Department and intelligence community
 colleagues are also playing a role in that.

5 Chairman Reed: One of the specific articles in the 6 agreement that the Trump administration signed was the 7 removal of all contractors, and yet you indicated in your 8 statement that we will be using contractors to pay, 9 distribute, and overview the distribution of resources. How 10 do we reconcile the, appears, complete withdrawal of 11 contractors with contractors?

Mr. Helvey: Mr. Chairman, I indicated there were DoD contracts, which may not necessarily involve DoD contractors on the ground in Afghanistan. So we are looking at ways that we can provide the type of oversight for our security force assistance from an over-the-horizon posture, and we are looking at options that we can continue doing that internally.

19 I think the key things that we are focused on are 20 things like paying Afghan salaries. A vast majority of the 21 servicemembers that are paid have electronic bank accounts, 22 for example, and so there are ways that we can provide that 23 to the Afghan Ministry of Finance without necessarily having 24 somebody there. But we are looking at how to provide the 25 right type of oversight mechanisms so that we have

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 confidence that the resources that we are providing are 2 going to its intended recipients, and we want to make sure 3 that we are working very closely with Congress to ensure 4 that Congress is comfortable with those mechanisms as well. 5 Chairman Reed: Thank you. General Trollinger, one of 6 my impressions is the key contractors are those mechanics 7 that service their aircraft, those specially trained 8 individuals, and the Afghan after 20 years, to our chagrin, 9 we have not created a cadre of those type of individuals. 10 How can they effectively maintain aircraft and other fairly 11 sophisticated pieces of equipment?

General Trollinger: Mr. Chairman, we continue to work with them in looking at the potential ways, a variety of ways that we can get after continuing to support them to work on their aircraft in-country, and then looking at options whereby we can facilitate more extensive work on those airplanes elsewhere.

18 Thank you. A final question, General Chairman Reed: 19 Trollinger. We missed a lot of signals in 2014 about the status of the Iraqi army, and then suddenly they collapsed 20 21 before Mosul, and it was a rush to safety on their part not 22 to contact with the enemy. How can we avoid that same 23 situation on the ground in Afghanistan, at least knowing the 24 strength and the fighting capabilities of the force on the 25 ground?

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

General Trollinger: Mr. Chairman, as we execute the retrograde we are going to continue to maintain contact with our ANDSF partners, do what we can from elsewhere, from outside the country, and maintain good situational awareness of their current capabilities and any areas where they may be challenged and we may be able to help them.

7 Chairman Reed: Thank you very much. Senator Inhofe,8 please.

9 Senator Inhofe: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I know I 10 sound like a broken record but I have had two consistent concerns with the action in Afghanistan, and I want to ask 11 you, each one, a specific question about each of these 12 13 things, one, of course, being with what was supposed to have 14 been done before we would have a withdrawal and the other 15 having to do with a concern for our Coalition forces and 16 their safety.

17 So on the first one, I think you all are very familiar 18 with the document of February 29, 2020. That was the U.S.-19 Taliban agreement that established a conditions-based 20 approach drawing down troops in Afghanistan. According to 21 this agreement, the Taliban was supposed to take certain 22 steps against terrorist groups and participate in intra-23 Afghan dialogue on the country's political future.

Now what I would like to ask each one of you to briefly respond to, in your assessment, what areas has this

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

agreement come to? What is behind this now? What successes have we had, and which conditions have been met, and which ones have not been met from that agreement of February 29, Let's start with you, Mr. Secretary.

5 Mr. Helvey: Sir, thank you for that question. I would 6 say, right up front, that the Taliban's compliance with the 7 agreement has been uneven over time, and I think in terms of areas that we have seen a follow-through or success, they 8 did comply with their agreement not to conduct attacks 9 10 against the U.S. or Coalition forces following the signing 11 of the agreement, and that has largely held, with some very 12 minor exceptions. So I think in terms of the attacks 13 against U.S. and Coalition forces they complied with that.

As I have testified publicly and said publicly, previously, however, their violence against the Afghan forces and the Afghan people remain very high throughout this period.

With respect to the entering into intra-Afghan negotiations, they did do that, and last September they began discussions with the Afghan government on future peace arrangements. Those discussions have not been fruitful, but they did begin.

23 Senator Inhofe: That is fine. We are running out of 24 time here. General, do you generally agree with the 25 comments or the answer that was given by the Secretary?

**Trustpoint**.One Alderson.

General Trollinger: Senator, I do agree, and would just offer that as Mr. Helvey mentioned, since that agreement was signed the Taliban has not targeted the U.S. or Coalition forces.

5 Senator Inhofe: I think that is kind of a mixed 6 answer. I understand it, and I appreciate it, but I do not 7 think we have met the conditions that we talked about, and, 8 of course, this is a different administration.

9 Now the second area that I have been concerned about 10 for a long period of time came from a number of articles and 11 awareness that is out there. One of them, that I actually 12 had talked about, was found in USA Today, May 10th. Now in 13 this thing they talked about, what is going to happen with 14 our allies, people who stood by us, if we withdraw in a 15 manner that they are talking about? And such things in the 16 article say, quote, that "you will see the dead bodies on 17 every street, where he said he is already being tracked by 18 the Taliban. They will slaughter us. They are in a panic 19 right now because there has been such a backlog of these 20 visas." It goes on and on. And I do want to ask, at this point in the record, Mr. Chairman, that this be a part of 21 22 the record.

23 Chairman Reed: Without objection.

24 [The information follows:]

25 [COMMITTEE INSERT]

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 Senator Inhofe: So I would like to know, from each one 2 of you, what has happened? What have we been doing? What 3 are we doing now to try to -- assuming that these problems 4 are very real, and I do not think anyone is going to deny 5 that they are -- that we can help our allies for the great 6 job they have done for us? And I would like to have each of 7 you respond. Let's start with you on this one, General. 8 What can we do right now to minimize that event?

9 General Trollinger: Senator, we continue to coordinate 10 very closely with our Coalition partners. We went into this 11 together, we have adjusted over the years together, and we 12 are coming out together, and we continue to work together to 13 do our best to support the ANDSF and the government of 14 Afghanistan.

15 Senator Inhofe: And you say we are coming out 16 together.

17 General Trollinger: That is correct.

18 Senator Inhofe: Okay. To me, that makes that even 19 worse. What do you think, Mr. Secretary?

20 Mr. Helvey: Senator, thank you for that question, and 21 I agree with you. We have a moral obligation to help those 22 that have helped us over the past 20 years of our presence 23 and work in Afghanistan. We are working very closely with 24 our State Department and interagency colleagues to look at 25 programs like the Special Immigrant Visa program. However,

1 as you know, that program, in and of itself, is limited. We 2 would like to be able to work with Congress to be able to 3 increase the quotas and the resources for Special Immigrant 4 Visas. But there are certain categories of our Afghan 5 partners that would not meet the thresholds for Special б Immigrant Visas, so we need to look at other tools and other 7 mechanisms to help those that have helped us, whether that 8 is significant public benefit or humanitarian parole or 9 other types of mechanisms that we can use to facilitate 10 this. And we are working within the interagency to be able 11 to identify those and get the proper resources attached to 12 them.

With respect to Special Immigrant Visas, we are working 13 14 very closely with State Department to identify and provide 15 data that can help to provide the identification and the 16 identities of those employees of the Department of Defense 17 that would qualify. We are also looking at biometric data, 18 which can also help to provide information and insight on 19 who may qualify for that type of benefit. But that is --20 Senator Inhofe: Okay. Okay. Well, my time has 21 expired, but I do not get a lot of comfort out of those 22 answers. I am very much concerned about those. Thank you, 23 Mr. Chairman.

24 Chairman Reed: Thank you, Senator Inhofe. Senator25 Shaheen, please.

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 Senator Shaheen: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Helvey, 2 I want to begin by sharing the Chairman's frustration with 3 the fact that we have not been able to hear from our General 4 in Afghanistan. It is very difficult for this committee to 5 conducts its oversight responsibilities when we cannot get 6 the appropriate people in front of us, and there is no 7 excuse for that. So I hope you will take that back to the 8 Department and share that with them.

9 General Trollinger, there have been multiple reports 10 over the last months, since the February 2020 agreement, 11 that the Taliban have not broken their ties with al Qaeda, 12 that al Qaeda continues to operate with the Taliban in 13 Afghanistan, and that ISIS also is operating in Afghanistan. 14 Is that your understanding, and is that the understanding of 15 our military people on the ground in Afghanistan?

General Trollinger: Senator, it is clear that the Taliban's compliance with the agreement has been uneven, but Note: Not

20 Senator Shaheen: I appreciate that. However, people 21 are going to know very soon, once we pull out, whether al 22 Qaeda and ISIS continue to operate in Afghanistan.

Mr. Helvey, I appreciated your support for those
Afghans who have helped us during our 20 years in
Afghanistan, but I think we have got to be clearer about

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 what we are doing to address that. Currently there are an 2 estimated 18,000 Afghan SIV applicants currently in process. 3 These applicants and their families, as we know, are in 4 imminent danger from the Taliban. Many of the delays in the 5 SIV program stem from the difficulty that those applicants б have in obtaining employment verification letters from 7 former and often defunct employers. And considering that 8 many of those applicants have served in support of the 9 military and directly with the Department of Defense, do we 10 have a centralized database that can be used to verify the 11 employment of those SIV applicants?

12 Mr. Helvey: Senator, it is my understanding that we 13 are drawing from a centralized database to collect and 14 provide biometric data to facilitate that type of 15 identification. I do not have the specific scope for how 16 long that biometric data has been collected, so I think 17 there are some gaps in that. But since we began the 18 collection and maintenance of that biometric data we have 19 been able to use that. There are Afghan individuals that 20 have supported us prior to the collection of that biometric 21 data that we are looking to pull other resources of data to 22 provide that type of information to State Department.

Senator Shaheen: Can you share with me and with this
 committee specifically what we are doing with that data?
 Mr. Helvey: Senator, if I can provide that in writing,

**Trustpoint**.One Alderson.

www.trustpoint.one www.aldersonreporting.com 800.FOR.DEPO (800.367.3376) 1 just to provide the --

2 Senator Shaheen: That would be great. I would3 appreciate that.

4 Mr. Helvey: I would be happy to do that.

5 Senator Shaheen: In fiscal year 2014, NDAA required 6 that the Secretary of Defense designate a senior 7 coordinating official, and I am quoting, "with sufficient 8 expertise, authority, and resources to carry out the duties 9 with regard to the issuance of SIVs." It tasked the 10 official with developing proposals to improve the efficiency 11 and effectiveness of the process.

12 Can you tell me if we have someone designated to do 13 that, who that person is? And if not, are we going to 14 appoint someone to do that?

Mr. Helvey: I will have to get back to you, Senator. I mean, State Department is in the lead for this program, and so let me find out if there is such a special

18 coordinator performing that function.

Senator Shaheen: I can tell you that I do not believe that there is, so I would urge the Department to take a look at that.

Finally, let me just ask you, in the time that I have left, about the status of women and girls in Afghanistan. We know that on May 8th there was a bus bombing that killed more than 80 people. Many of them were schoolgirls. We

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

have seen the violence against women, particularly women in the media and women working in Afghanistan over the last months, and based on what we understand from the Taliban we expect that to continue once the United States pulls out.

5 So can you speak to any steps that we are taking to try 6 and support the women and girls of Afghanistan, and 7 particularly in the context of the Women Peace and Security 8 Act in 2017, which requires the Department to incorporate 9 gender lens decision-making into its policies and actions. 10 Are we doing anything to involve women as we are looking at 11 what happens after we leave?

Mr. Helvey: Senator, with respect to what we are doing today, we are using some of the funding within the Afghan Security Forces Fund, or ASIF, to encourage and build in Afghan women, in particular, into the Afghan National Defense and Security Forces. And so that is something that we are doing.

18 With respect to --

Senator Shaheen: We have been doing that for some time, though. Is that not correct?

21 Mr. Helvey: Yes, Senator, that is something that we 22 have been doing in compliance with the law. In terms of 23 where we need to go, I agree with you 100 percent. We want 24 to be able to maintain and see the gains that we have made 25 over the past 20 years preserved. This is something that we are working with our State Department, USAID colleagues, and
 our Coalition partners who are equally interested in this.

I think, you know, fundamentally, this is going to have to be something that the Afghan government and the Taliban, if they are able to sit and determine the arrangements for the future Afghanistan, to figure out how to get to that peaceful outcome and to be able to preserve these gains for all Afghans.

9 And I think with respect to what the Taliban does in 10 the future, this is something that Ambassador Khalilzad has 11 talked about. At some point, if they are in a position where they are exercising power and influence in 12 Afghanistan, they are going to need to have international 13 14 support. And that does provide a degree of leverage that 15 the international community would have on the Taliban in how 16 it treats the people within the borders.

17 Senator Shaheen: Well, I appreciate that answer, but 18 we would have had a lot more leverage if we had made the 19 point to the Taliban when we were negotiating a peace 20 agreement, before we signed it.

21 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

22 Chairman Reed: Thank you, Senator Shaheen. Now let me
23 recognize, via Webex, Senator Rounds.

24 [Pause.]

25 Chairman Reed: Senator, we cannot hear you.

1

We still cannot hear you.

Senator Gillibrand: You are on Webex so staff just has
to turn up your volume in the committee room.

4 Senator Rounds: Yeah, I think they need to -- you got 5 it now?

6 Chairman Reed: We can hear you now.

7 Senator Rounds: All right. Yeah, thank you. Thanks,
8 Senator Gillibrand. I appreciate that.

9 Gentlemen, first of all, let me just begin by saying 10 thank you very much for your time in front of the committee 11 today. I do not think this is necessarily the type of a 12 meeting that you relish to come before the United States 13 Senate.

14 I want to begin by acknowledging that there is no 15 perfect answer to what should be done next in Afghanistan. 16 We have been in a protracted state of war for almost 20 17 years, at least 2,448 servicemembers and DoD civilians have 18 died, an additional 20,722 servicemembers and DoD civilians 19 have been wounded in action, according to the current DoD 20 website. The human cost has also been borne by our NATO allies, the Afghan National Security Forces, and the Afghan 21 22 people. Finally, we can never forget the 2,997 people who 23 were killed on 9/11.

My question is this. Is there a middle ground where we continue, or where we could continue to support the 1 government of Afghanistan that would recognize the lives, 2 sacrifice, and the hundreds of billions of dollars our 3 citizens have invested in this endeavor, one that provides 4 the resources to Afghanistan to prevent a return to being a 5 haven for terrorists or the creation of a power vacuum, б which would benefit China, Russia, and Iran, while the 7 Afghan government develops the unique capabilities that only 8 we currently can provide? Gentlemen?

Mr. Helvey: Senator, thank you for that question. 9 And 10 if I may, that is the path that we are seeking to embark 11 upon. While we are retrograding the forces out of 12 Afghanistan, we want to make sure, and the President has 13 been clear, he wants to continue providing support and 14 assistance to our Afghan partners. So to the extent that we 15 can, we are looking at ways to be able to continue providing 16 the critical support to the ANDSF, in terms of continued 17 paying their salaries, continued providing assistance for 18 contracted logistics and maintenance, and providing support 19 for the Afghan Air Force's and the Special Mission Wing, 20 which are --

21 Senator Rounds: Mr. Helvey, I hate to -- let me just 22 ask this. Are you talking about providing that until we are 23 out of the country or are you talking about providing that 24 over an extended period of time?

25 Mr. Helvey: We are talking about providing that after

we leave, in addition. The challenge that we face today is making sure that we have got the right type of oversight mechanisms that we are working, but the intent would be that we would maintain that support, provided we also continue to get the support from Congress. The intent would be we would maintain those resources and that assistance to the Afghan government.

8 Senator Rounds: Thank you. I appreciate that. I 9 think you are answering my question, and I do want to ask 10 General Trollinger, rather than asking you the same question 11 or expecting you to respond, I think, because this will be a 12 policy decision that the administration will be making, I 13 want to go to you and I want to ask you this. With the U.S. 14 withdrawing U.S. forces from Afghanistan by September, one 15 has to question the ability of the Afghan Security Forces to 16 stand their ground against the Taliban and our ability to 17 successfully conduct over-the-horizon counterterrorism 18 operations. I know we have kind of touched on that a little 19 bit, but I want to ask it this way. In your professional 20 military judgment, can we successfully and continually combat threats posed by the Taliban, al Qaeda, and other 21 22 violent extremist organizations via over-the-horizon 23 operations?

General Trollinger: I absolutely believe we can,
Senator. We have the capabilities to be able to posture in

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

the region where it is required, and we have the capabilities to be able to monitor potential adversaries, track those adversaries, and then strike when conditions permit and allow.

5 Senator Rounds: Do we have the will to do that? Is6 that the plan right now?

General Trollinger: The plan right now would be to make a seamless transition from what we have currently in Afghanistan to other locations that would be able to meet our overarching objectives of ensuring that Afghanistan does not become a safe haven for terrorist that would attack the U.S. or our allies.

13 Senator Rounds: Gentlemen, thank you for your answers.14 Mr. Chairman, I yield back.

15 Chairman Reed: Thank you very much, Senator Rounds.16 Now let me recognize, via Webex, Senator Gillibrand.

17 Senator Gillibrand: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I would 18 like to continue the questioning that Senator Shaheen 19 started. General Trollinger, with the U.S. and NATO's 20 departure, human rights groups, non-governmental organizations, schools, and business are left trying to 21 22 figure out contingency plans for female employees, for 23 female students, should the Taliban return to power by force 24 or through an agreement with the Afghan government.

25 Considering the Taliban is already restricting the

human rights of women in the territories they control, what is the U.S. doing to ensure peace negotiations result in a deal that protects women throughout the country,

4 particularly after the withdrawal?

5 General Trollinger: Senator, we continue to support 6 the ANDSF and intend to do so going forward, even as we 7 retrograde from Afghanistan. We also continue to work with 8 our coalition allies and partners to facilitate diplomatic 9 pressure that could be put on against the Taliban to work 10 out a peaceful agreement, negotiations with GERoA such that 11 women's rights, girls' rights, et cetera, are protected.

12 Senator Gillibrand: Mr. Helvey, over the last two 13 decades the United States has spent more than \$780 million 14 to promote women's rights and gender equality in 15 Afghanistan, and the efforts have yielded mixed results. 16 What kinds and amounts of funding should we expect in the 17 future? How will funding be implemented given the security 18 situation after troop withdrawals? And second, Afghan women 19 have pointed to the vocal support by the United States and 20 other international actors as key factors to advancing 21 rights and participation in the public sphere. What will 22 the U.S. do to continue to advance women's rights and gender 23 equality?

Mr. Helvey: Senator, thank you for that question, and you are right to point out we have spent resources to

promote the rights of women and girls and other minorities
 in Afghanistan as part of our policy and approach there.

I do not want to get ahead of the President's budget in terms of what types of future funding would be requested or required, but in response to the previous question to Senator Shaheen, ASIF does have specific requirements for promoting women in the Afghan Defense and Security Forces, and our intent would be that that would continue.

9 We would also continue working with our state and USAID 10 and coalition partners in providing the type of diplomatic 11 support and pressure -- pardon me, pressure on the Taliban, 12 because I think fundamentally the best way to protect the rights of women, girls, and minorities in Afghanistan is to 13 14 realize a negotiated, peaceful settlement and outcome to the 15 war that has been in Afghanistan for the better part of 40 16 years.

Senator Gillibrand: I have some counterterrorism questions and assessments of Taliban strengths that I will ask in closed session, but let me just ask one more question of General Trollinger before my time expires.

21 What is your assessment of the security of the U.S. 22 Embassy in Kabul, and what is your assessment of how the 23 security situation in Afghanistan after the withdrawal of 24 troops will affect the ability to conduct diplomatic and

**Trustpoint**.One Alderson.

other operations?

25

General Trollinger: I think our diplomatic facilities In Kabul remain secure, and planning is ongoing currently to ensure that that remains the case as we continue to conduct our retrograde. And then any diplomatic security presence that remains we will want to ensure has the appropriate security force to protect our diplomats and their important mission.

8 Senator Gillibrand: And then can you please -- I know 9 you requested to answer Senator Shaheen's question in closed 10 session, but can you give us your general assessment of the 11 likelihood of Afghanistan falling under Taliban control, and 12 if it does not fall completely, the likelihood of central government only having control of Kabul, and what effect 13 14 that will have on the Afghan people and our ability to fight 15 terrorism. And perhaps maybe whether a peace or power-16 sharing agreement between the Taliban and the Afghan government could prevent a Taliban takeover. 17

18 General Trollinger: I will acknowledge the range of 19 potential outcomes in the months as we go forward, 20 everything from a Taliban takeover to ANDSF being successful 21 in defending against the Taliban and GERoA maintaining its 22 ability to effectively govern, and everything in between. 23 But I will say that the ANDSF, they are a capable force. 24 They have capable ground, air, and special operations 25 forces, and here very recently they have effectively both

**Trustpoint**.One Alderson.

defended against Taliban attacks as well as gone on the
 offensive to disrupt Taliban activities.

3 Senator Gillibrand: Thank you. Thank you, Mr.4 Chairman.

5 Chairman Reed: Thank you, Senator Gillibrand. Now let
6 me recognize Senator Ernst, please.

Senator Ernst: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and thank you,
gentlemen, very much, for being here today.

9 Twenty years ago, of course, our nation's 10 servicemembers deployed to deliver justice to the terrorists 11 who had carried out the deadly and deliberate attacks on our 12 homeland, and thousands of Iowans have proudly served in 13 Afghanistan, risking life and limb. And I am hoping that I 14 can assure the Iowa families and the American people that 15 the threat to our homeland has been reduced and we have the 16 measures in place to keep this threat at bay.

17 So I know we have talked about our ability to project 18 over the horizon. I understand that. But Mr. Helvey, what 19 is your assessment of our adversaries' ability to plan for 20 and conduct attacks on America from bases in Afghanistan 21 after we have gone?

22 So let's talk about the reverse. What is their ability 23 to plan -- not our ability to respond? What is their 24 ability to plan attacks on us when we have left?

25 Mr. Helvey: Their ability today has been significantly
degraded, and that degradation is due, in large part, to the presence that we have had in that country. With respect to the specifics, I prefer to keep those specifics in the closed session. But I can say with confidence that the ability of international terrorist groups to plan, recruit, train, organization, and execute attacks against the United States from Afghanistan has been significantly reduced.

8 Senator Ernst: Significantly reduced, because we are 9 there and we are enabling efforts on the part of the Afghan 10 National Defense and Security Forces. Correct?

Mr. Helvey: Our presence has had a significant impact on that. I would also add, though, that the nature of the international terrorist threat over the past 20 years has become much more diffuse.

So, yeah, as we know we have got terrorists, international terrorist groups operating in the Middle East, in Africa, the northern part of Africa. The nature of the threat has changed over the past 20 years, but the threat emanating from Afghanistan to the United States and our allies has been significantly degraded.

21 Senator Ernst: And please do not mistake me. I do 22 believe that at some point we have to bring this war to an 23 end. Absolutely we have to do that. However, not leaving a 24 remnant or a small number of troops within Afghanistan, 25 intelligence officials in Afghanistan, we are leaving a

void. And I do worry that while the threat has been
 diminished that it will reconstitute in Afghanistan. So
 just worries there, and I hope that everything goes smoothly
 and that the impacts to stability are minimal.

5 I do also share the concerns raised by Senator Shaheen 6 and by Senator Gillibrand, and Senator Gillibrand and I went 7 to Afghanistan pre-pandemic, and we were able to visit a 8 number of training facilities where Afghan women were being 9 trained in various secretarial and clerical positions, but 10 also as women warriors. And I am very concerned about what 11 will happen to them, what will happen to girls that have 12 attended school and are now working in businesses. So I 13 just want to re-emphasize that.

14 Senator Shaheen is leading a letter, and I am a co-15 lead, to our President, asking for those Special Immigrant 16 Visas. It is something that we are very concerned about. 17 Just in the news this morning, coming from Iowa, we have an 18 Afghan interpreter in Iowa Falls, and his asylum request has 19 been denied. He just received a letter the other day.

20 So if you can also work with the State Department and 21 just stress to them, as we are stressing to them, how 22 important it is that as we are withdrawing we are also 23 making sure that we are protecting those who have enabled 24 our forces in Afghanistan. It is extremely important, and 25 it is not just the men that have served as interpreters, but

**Trustpoint**.One Alderson.

1 it will also be the women, girls that have stepped up to 2 assist us as well.

3 So I am running out of time. I know this is a very 4 important hearing. You can hear the level of frustration in 5 all of our voices as we are going through this. I do 6 believe that, again, we need to withdraw our forces. I 7 think that is important. But we need to do it in a smart and meaningful way. And I sincerely hope that this is the 8 9 right plan, because if not, we will see a significant threat 10 increase to our homeland, to our allies, but most certainly 11 to the people that we are leaving behind.

12 Thank you, Mr. Chair.

13 Chairman Reed: Thank you, Senator Ernst. Now let me 14 recognize Senator Kaine, please.

Senator Kaine: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and thank you to the ranking member, and I want to thank our public servants for their testimony.

18 Every concern expressed by everyone on this committee 19 on this issue is very legitimate. I do not think there is 20 just a completely clear answer. But I just want to state 21 quickly, as I have before, that I support President Biden's 22 decision with respect to the removal of U.S. troops. We 23 have been in Afghanistan -- it is the longest war in 24 American history -- 20 years come this September. It took 25 us 10 years to find and kill Osama bin Laden, the

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

perpetrator and mastermind of the 9/11 attack, and then for 10 years we have done our best to build up and train an Afghan security apparatus that was essentially nonexistent when we began the war in 2001. The civil war in Afghanistan had degraded the Afghan military and national security forces and police to such a degree that we pretty much had to start from scratch.

8 Twenty years in, I think I am right, although I will 9 certainly accept correction from the experts, the Afghan 10 Army is now about 180,000, by my sort of quick analysis; the 11 Afghan Air Force is 7,000 with hundreds of aircraft; the 12 Afghan National Police is 116,000. All of these security components have been funded, built, carefully, carefully 13 14 trained over these two decades by the United States and by 15 other allies.

16 The Taliban is estimated to be at about 55,000 to 17 85,000. And so the combined Afghan national security 18 apparatus, if I am correct, is over 300,000, and the 19 Taliban, a formidable fighting force, is 55,000 to 85,000. 20 The commitment of these witnesses, and I hope Congress 21 will continue to meet this commitment, is that the United 22 States will continue to provide massive support to our 23 partner and ally, Afghanistan, military support, including 24 the payment of salaries of Afghan Security Forces,

25 diplomatic support, humanitarian support, economic support.

1 But we will remove 3,500 U.S. troops.

When President Biden made his announcement in April, at that point the official count of U.S. troops in Afghanistan was 2,500. There were other reportings suggesting that possibly involved in special operations or additional missions the total might have been 3,500.

7 Is 3,500 U.S. troops the difference between success and 8 failure in Afghanistan after 20 years? I do not believe 9 that it is. I do not believe the 3,500 U.S. troops --10 because no one on this committee, as far as I am aware, is 11 proposing to increase the number of U.S. troops. I do not 12 believe 3,500 U.S. troops, after 20 years, is the difference 13 between a success or failure in Afghanistan when there is an 14 Afghan national security apparatus of more than 300,000 15 matched up against a Taliban of 55,000 to 85,000.

16 What is the ingredient that will determine success or 17 failure in Afghanistan going forward? It is the Afghan 18 people, the Afghan people who have experienced a significant 19 increase in life expectancy, who have experienced a 20 significant increase in the education of their young, 21 including the education of young women, a dramatic 22 improvement in public health infrastructure and other 23 elements of civil government.

The Afghan people will have to decide, is it worth fighting for? And it cannot be worth more to us than it is

**Trustpoint**.One Alderson.

1 to them. And that is kind of a painful thing to say. It is 2 kind of a cold, tough thing to say. But success in 3 Afghanistan cannot mean more to the United States than it 4 means to the Afghans. And at the end of the day, I believe 5 it will not mean more to us than the Afghans. I believe the б Afghans, having seen the benefits of improved quality of 7 life over 20 years will decide that they want to keep that 8 rather than to go backwards and experience what they were 9 during the time when the Taliban and others were engaged in 10 a massive civil war in the country.

But if the Afghans choose, at the end of the day, that that does not matter to them, there is no amount of U.S. troops -- none -- there is no amount of U.S. troops that would make a difference.

And so I think this is a painful decision, and the 15 16 comments of my colleagues who feel differently about it I 17 completely get every legitimate concern they have, and it is 18 a very legitimate concern. But we cannot want success in 19 Afghanistan more than the Afghans do. And having built up a 20 security apparatus with a continued commitment to funding 21 that apparatus and being a support of our allied nation 22 going forward, I think that is the right role for the United 23 States to play right now, and that is why I support 24 President Biden in his decision, and I thank the witnesses 25 for appearing today.

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

Chairman Reed: Thank you, Senator Kaine. Let me
 recognize now Senator Cramer.

3 Senator Cramer: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thanks to 4 both of you for being here. A question that came up, based 5 on some of the things I heard, have we committed to 6 completely supporting the current government in Afghanistan 7 should it fall into an all-out civil war, and if so, what 8 would that commitment include?

9 Mr. Helvey: Senator, thank you for that question. We 10 have committed to continue supporting the Afghan government. 11 We have a bilateral security agreement with the Afghan 12 government in which we indicate we will continue to seek 13 funding to provide support to them, to support the ANDSF, 14 through the mechanisms that we have specified, in terms of 15 training, advising, and assisting salaries, contractor 16 logistics and assistance. So we have committed to 17 supporting our Afghan partners. And through this 18 negotiation period, through the implementation of the U.S.-19 Taliban agreement, we have continued supporting our Afghan 20 partners as they have been fighting the Taliban.

21 Senator Cramer: Obviously, a risk analysis would 22 include the cost of preventing an all-out civil war versus 23 having to come back and try to clean it up. And I can 24 associate myself with every comment that has been made by my 25 colleagues, even the ones that conflict. That is how

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 conflicting this is, I think, for a lot of us, as it is no 2 doubt for you all.

I think it might have been in response to a question from the chairman, but I am going to maybe get more specific. Or I think you mentioned something about ISR capabilities and whatnot. What type of unmanned or spaceborne capabilities do we need to maintain or even enhance to minimize the possibility of global terror threats? Do you have a sense of that?

10 Mr. Helvey: Well, with respect to Afghanistan, I think 11 the best way to characterize how we need to look at it is 12 based on three concentric circles. There is the type of 13 footprint or apparatus that we would do within Afghanistan 14 that is based largely on our relationships with local 15 partners and DSF and the Afghan government to be able to 16 understand what is going on within Afghanistan.

Then there is a regional component to it, which is outside, which, you know, the things that we cannot have in Afghanistan we would want to be able to maintain, to be able to provide the type of access basing and overflight that would allow us to have the type of presence.

Then there is kind of like this broader global framework, where it includes not only national technical means but also the types of capabilities that may not be resonant within the region but that we could flow into the

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 region on an as-needed basis.

2 And I would also note that over the past 20 years we 3 have had a lot of different changes in how we, as a 4 government, and indeed as a society, have been combating 5 international terrorist organizations. You cannot get on a 6 commercial aircraft or open a new bank account without 7 understanding how we have changed in ways that help us to 8 better understand and illuminate the types of terrorist 9 threats that would seek to do us and our allies harm.

10 So I think all of these things have to be working in 11 concert in order to be able to maintain the type of broader 12 threat picture, if you will, of the types of terrorist 13 threats that we may need to face.

14 Senator Cramer: General, maybe you could answer the 15 same question in more specificity as it relates to Afghan or 16 the region, regarding assets, whether they be unmanned or 17 space. Is there more we can be doing to shore up this new 18 way of providing some security?

19 General Trollinger: Well, Senator, I think certainly 20 the capability that we currently have and use to achieve our 21 objective we want to continue to utilize and make 22 technological advances that we are able to, to better enable 23 us. But in terms of specificity I cannot offer you that 24 right now.

25 Senator Cramer: Very good. Thank you both. Thank

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 you, Mr. Chairman.

Chairman Reed: Thank you, Senator Cramer. Now, via
Webex, let me recognize Senator Manchin.

Senator Manchin: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I want to
thank all of you for your service and for being here today,
and I want to congratulate --

7 Chairman Reed: Senator, we are going to have to raise
8 your volume, so if you would withhold for a minute.

9 Senator Manchin: Okay. Can you hear me now, Mr.10 Chairman?

11 Chairman Reed: A little bit better.

12 Senator Manchin: Okay. I will talk louder.

13 Chairman Reed: We have you, sir. Go ahead.

Senator Manchin: Okay. I want to say, I wanted to thank everybody for their service. I wanted to precongratulate Brigadier General Trollinger for hopefully his advancement. I am very proud of that.

First let me say that I completely support the Afghanistan withdrawal, and we have to shift our focus to other priority threats. The future of Afghanistan and any global impacts rest on whether the government can prevail by securing their country and upholding their 2004

23 constitution.

Between 2009 and 2020, we increased and decreased troop strength at least five times. These shifts and end strength

1 range from a few hundred to as much as 23,000 personnel.
2 Additionally, we experienced major diplomatic and
3 operational delays in 2012, with insider attacks, and in
4 2013, when President Karzai temporarily suspended security
5 talks with the U.S. More recently, the Taliban continues to
6 refuse to negotiate efforts until all foreign forces are out
7 of Afghanistan.

8 So one question I would have to General Trollinger, how 9 will our withdrawal from Afghanistan impact other combatant 10 commands?

General Trollinger: Senator, if I understand your question correctly it is as we withdraw from Afghanistan how that will impact other combatant commands. And the only thing it can offer is that it is not in any way adversely impacting others.

16 Senator Manchin: Thank you. Mr. Helvey, one of my 17 fears about our withdrawal from Afghanistan is that it will 18 become a power vacuum in which terrorist organizations can 19 recruit, train, and operate from. With nearly no assets on 20 the ground, we are going to have to rely on regional 21 partners to work with us to stay ahead and on top of 22 counterterrorism efforts. Are you confident in our regional 23 partners and their capacity and commitment to driving 24 terrorism out of the region?

25 Mr. Helvey: Thank you, Senator. We will have to work

1 with our local and regional partners, and we want to 2 continue developing those capabilities and those 3 partnerships to be able to ensure that we have got the right 4 type of confidence and the right type of framework to 5 address our CT threats. That is one of the things that we, б as a Department, in concert with our interagency colleagues, 7 are doing today, is to make sure that we have got the right type of arrangements, relationships, and framework so that 8 9 we can ensure that Afghanistan never again becomes a safe 10 haven for terrorism.

11 Senator Manchin: Could you outline your assessment of 12 Pakistan, and specifically, the Pakistani Intelligence 13 Service, the ISI, and the role you expect them to play in 14 our future?

15 Mr. Helvey: Pakistan has played an important role in 16 Afghanistan. They have supported the Afghan peace process. 17 Pakistan, as you know, also has allowed us to have 18 overflight and access to be able to support our military 19 presence in Afghanistan. We will continue our conversations 20 with Pakistan because their support and their contribution 21 to the future of Afghanistan, the future of peace in 22 Afghanistan, is going to be critical.

23 Senator Manchin: Thank you. General Trollinger, the 24 amount of assets that we have accumulated in Afghanistan has 25 to be significant. Specifically, we have provided the

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

www.trustpoint.one www.aldersonreporting.com 800.FOR.DEPO (800.367.3376) Afghan forces with Black Hawk helicopters, A-29 Super Tucano planes, armored vehicles, mine rollers command, and control capacity and large generators. What assets are you planning to leave behind for the Afghan forces, what assets will be withdrawn, and what assets will be destroyed?

6 General Trollinger: Senator, as we conduct the 7 retrograde we will be transferring facilities, some 8 vehicles, and other equipment that the Afghan National 9 Defense Forces can utilize in their ongoing efforts to 10 secure the country. We will be retrograding that equipment 11 that we are able to bring back, to base it in stations in 12 the continental United States as well as elsewhere. And 13 then we will be disposing of equipment that essentially is 14 either obsolete, is inoperable, or legally we are not able 15 to transfer to Afghanistan.

Senator Manchin: What I was saying is for the assets that you are going to leave with the Afghan people, what guarantees do the American people have that Taliban will not get their hands on it and use it against them?

General Trollinger: Senator, I do not think there are any guarantees. Again, I would acknowledge the range of possible outcomes over the coming months, from the dire certainly to the positive. So I could not offer any guarantees on that.

25 Senator Manchin: Thank you very much. Thank you, Mr.

**Trustpoint**.One Alderson

1 Chairman.

Chairman Reed: Thank you very much, Senator Manchin.
Now let me recognize Senator Blackburn please.

Senator Blackburn: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Secretary
Helvey, yes or no. Do you expect the Taliban will take over
Afghanistan when we leave?

7 Mr. Helvey: Sorry, Senator.

8 Senator Blackburn: Yes or no?

9 Mr. Helvey: I do not expect the Taliban to take over 10 Afghanistan after we leave.

Senator Blackburn: So then why does the IC's annual threat assessment say the Taliban is likely to make gains on the battlefield and the Afghan government will struggle to hold the Taliban at bay if the Coalition withdraws support? Mr. Helvey: Senator, I do not believe there is any inconsistency.

Senator Blackburn: I would say there is an inconsistency. Yes or no now. Have we seen a steady stream in violence out of the Taliban over the last year?

20 Mr. Helvey: Yes, Senator.

Senator Blackburn: Yes. It has risen 169 percent.
They are getting really aggressive.

Yes or no. Have the Taliban previously demonstrated a
 propensity for human rights abuses, cultural genocide,

25 ethnic cleansing efforts?

1

Mr. Helvey: Historically, yes.

2 Senator Blackburn: Yes, they have, and we have 3 thousands of undocumented cases, and we know that the U.S. 4 still needs a presence in Afghanistan to resist Iran's 5 malign interests in their plots. And if we fail to 6 recognize the opportunity Afghanistan presents to what the 7 India sites as "rogue regimes and revision powers," we are 8 kidding ourselves.

9 General Trollinger, yes or no for you. Do you agree 10 with the IC's annual threat assessment that, and I am 11 quoting, "Iran will hedge its bets in Afghanistan, threaten 12 instability, is worried about a long-term U.S. presence in 13 Afghanistan, and as a result is building ties with both the 14 government in Kabul and the Taliban so it can take advantage 15 of any political outcome." Yes or no -- do you agree? 16 General Trollinger: I would agree.

Senator Blackburn: Okay. Thank you. And to you again, General Trollinger. Yes or no. Has Iran provided support to the Taliban at this point in time?

20 General Trollinger: Not to my knowledge.
21 Senator Blackburn: Not to your knowledge. Okay.

As a Middle East subject matter expert on the Joint Staff, do you assess that Iran is intent on taking advantage of a U.S. withdrawal in Afghanistan, and what does that look

25 like?

1 General Trollinger: I would assess that they would be 2 opportunists and looking for every opportunity to gain an 3 advantage, a decisive advantage, a slight advantage. Any 4 opportunity they might perceive that they have, they would 5 take advantage of that. 6 Senator Blackburn: Do you believe that Iran is 7 prepared both politically and militarily to compete on two 8 fronts? 9 General Trollinger: I cannot answer that question. 10 Senator Blackburn: Okay. Could you answer that in 11 closed session? 12 General Trollinger: I could talk to you more about that in closed session. 13

14 Senator Blackburn: Excellent. Thank you.

Within 48 hours of the announced Afghanistan Withdrawal, The Washington Post wrote, and I am quoting, "Beijing should use its leverage with Pakistan to keep the Taliban true to the February 2020 agreement and encouraging a cease-fire among fighting parties in Afghanistan. China has some incentive to do this, lest Afghanistan become a source of instability, particularly within Xinjiang.

So, Secretary Helvey, do you assess China, Iran, or both will inject themselves into the affairs of a post-U.S. occupied Afghanistan, and what form do you see that taking? Mr. Helvey: Senator, I do agree. I think China will

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

become more involved. They are involved in Afghanistan. 1 2 Senator Blackburn: Do you think Beijing looks at 3 Afghanistan as an investment opportunity? 4 Mr. Helvey: Yes, Senator. They are looking at it 5 primarily for economic purposes, but also they do have б concerns about counterterrorism and extremist threats. 7 Senator Blackburn: Rare earth minerals? 8 Mr. Helvey: That would fall within economic 9 opportunity. Yes, Senator. 10 Senator Blackburn: Okay. Thank you. Knowing what you 11 know about China's Belt and Road Initiative, specifically 12 their overland routes, are they predicated on access to central Asia? 13 14 Mr. Helvey: A significant part of One Belt, One Road 15 does transit through central Asia and Pakistan. 16 Senator Blackburn: From an exclusively geographic 17 standpoint, if China had unfettered access to Afghanistan, 18 knowing that they share that border, would there be anything 19 standing between them and their land-based BRI route to 20 Tehran? 21 Mr. Helvey: I would have to look into that a little 22 bit more in detail. I am aware of the investment through 23 central Asia and Pakistan. There are also maritime routes to Iran as well. But I would submit that is not the 24 25 objective --

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

www.trustpoint.one www.aldersonreporting.com 800.FOR.DEPO (800.367.3376) Senator Blackburn: Right. I would appreciate getting
 that in writing, because I think it would give them that
 unfettered access, the ability to build that consistent
 route.

5 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

6 Chairman Reed: Thank you, Senator Blackburn. And now7 let me recognize Senator King.

8 Senator King: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think my 9 first question, Mr. Helvey, is the Trump administration 10 entered into an agreement in February of 2020 that all U.S. 11 troops would be out of Afghanistan by May 1st of 2021. The 12 Taliban had indicated that if that did not occur they would 13 begin attacking U.S. troops, which they had not done during 14 the period leading up to that.

My specific question is, have there been attacks on U.S. troops subsequent to May 1, or has the Taliban tacitly accepted the fact that the timetable has been extended to September?

Mr. Helvey: Senator, there have been no Taliban attacks against U.S. or Coalition forces since May 1. I cannot speak to what the Taliban's thinking is or if there has been a tacit internal acceptance of that. But there have been no attacks against U.S. or Coalition forces.

24 Senator King: But the Taliban has gained ground in the 25 last several months, have they not?

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

Mr. Helvey: Senator, yes, the Taliban has gained some
 ground in Afghanistan, and they have continued positioning
 themselves within Afghanistan over the past several months.

4 Senator King: A question for both of you. This is the 5 definition of a difficult decision. Were we to decide it is 6 a vital national interest to preserve the existing civil 7 government, to protect women's rights, and otherwise stifle 8 the power of the Taliban in the country, what would it take 9 in terms of a commitment by this country, in terms of 10 troops, money, air power? Are we back to 100,000 troops and 11 surge such as occurred some years ago? General, your 12 thoughts? What would it take?

General Trollinger: Senator, I cannot answer that 13 14 question specifically. I will not presuppose a decision or 15 a possible outcome and cannot speak to what it might take. 16 Senator King: But if the President said to you, "I 17 want to stabilize. I want to get Afghanistan back to where 18 it was 5 years ago, "your military advice would be, "We 19 would need a lot more troops, Mr. President, and ISR, air 20 power, and a greater investment." Isn't that true? I mean, you are not going to do it with 2,500 to 4,000 troops. 21 22 Isn't that correct?

General Trollinger: I would guess that if guidance and direction was given and the objectives were changed, then yes, we would look to have significantly more capability.

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

Senator King: For an indefinite period. That is what is very difficult about this. We have been there 20 years, and here we are with somewhat the same situation that we were in when we entered the country. So not only is it a guestion of investment but it is a question of persistent investment over, as I say, an indefinite period. Would you agree? You probably do not want to, but I am asking you.

8 General Trollinger: Again, I do not want to presuppose 9 certainly an outcome or direction that we are given in terms 10 of maybe our objective changing and what it is we need to 11 do, based on a potential outcomes.

12 Senator King: Mr. Helvey, we have invested a huge 13 amount in Afghanistan, in terms of dollars, lives, tens of 14 thousands of people wounded, and yet here we are on the 15 brink of -- it is debatable and we will know in a year or so 16 -- a Taliban retaking the country, and we are right back 17 where we were in 2001. My question is, why couldn't the 18 Afghan government succeed, given the level of support that 19 they have had? They have had air power, they have had ISR, 20 they have had economic support, they have had infrastructure support, and yet we see this terrorist group taking over, in 21 22 rural areas and approaching Kabul. Is this something in the 23 nature of the Afghan polity that central government is not 24 going to succeed, or was it the people in this government? 25 Why are we where we are, given the level of investment we

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 have made?

Mr. Helvey: Senator, that is a complicated question. I mean, in part I think you have hit on a number of points. I think, you know, the central government in Afghanistan has not been strong. Over the course of Afghan's history it has not been pronounced by strong central government. In fact, it has largely been weak and diffuse and distributed.

8 What we have seen and what we have tried to promote is 9 a greater central government, kind of bringing all Afghans 10 to the table and being part of a governing system that can 11 ensure security for the country and economic development. 12 That has been difficult and that is not yet complete.

I think in terms of why we were there, Senator, I think it is important that we were there because of the attacks against this country.

Senator King: It was a counterterrorism mission.
 Mr. Helvey: It was a counterterrorism mission. That

18 is why we authorized forces to go there.

19 Senator King: And it succeeded for 20 years.

20 Mr. Helvey: It has largely succeeded. We brought the 21 perpetrators of the 9/11 attacks to justice, and we have 22 significantly reduced the threat of international terrorists 23 emanating from Afghanistan. I think the President's 24 decision reflects his determination that American interests 25 can best be served by completing and ending the U.S.

1 military involvement in Afghanistan. That does not mean 2 that the U.S. involvement in Afghanistan concludes. We will 3 continue to work with the Afghan government, we will 4 continue to maintain a diplomatic presence, and we will 5 continue providing support to our Afghan partners, because б we do have interests still in Afghanistan. But the 7 President's determination is our interests can best be 8 served without a military presence in that country.

9 Senator King: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
 10 Chairman Reed: Thank you, Senator King. Let me
 11 recognize Senator Tuberville, please.

Senator Tuberville: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thankyou for being here today.

Today, 41 percent of our veterans served in our wars in Iraq and Afghanistan. It is our largest group of veterans ever, 7.8 million, and we paid the ultimate price as a nation, and in discussion on withdrawal needs to bear the sacrifice in mind our Afghan veterans will be listening closely today.

20 General, what will our nearest base be to Afghanistan, 21 after we pull out?

General Trollinger: After we pull out of Afghanistan I believe our nearest base would be in the Arabian Gulf region.

25 Senator Tuberville: Thank you. In your experience,

1 has the U.S. been successful in over-the-horizon

2 counterterrorism efforts in the past?

3 General Trollinger: [Inaudible.]

4 Senator Tuberville: Yeah. You know, I have got 5 [inaudible] a little bit. I went through Vietnam. Eighteen 6 years old, they stopped the draft, I did not go. I had a 7 lot of buddies that went and some did not come back. One of my worst memories is that helicopter over our Embassy, when 8 9 we were pulling out, and we left behind millions of people 10 that supported us, and a lot of them were slaughtered. I 11 hope to heck we do not do this in this one. We did the same 12 thing in Iraq. Sooner or later we are going to have to 13 understand why we get into these wars. We get into them to 14 win them, and our American people deserve to know that. We 15 are spending trillions of dollars.

16 Secretary, the U.S. Embassy in Afghanistan is already 17 known as a veritable military bunker. Do you believe that 18 we will be able to maintain that embassy?

Mr. Helvey: Senator, yes, and we are working today with our State Department, U.S. Embassy Kabul, Joint Staff Central Command, and counterparts to determine what specifically the requirements would be to maintain that embassy and how to resource that. That is also something that we are undertaking in concert with our coalition partners as well.

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

Senator Tuberville: Thank you. Thank you, Mr.
 Chairman.

Chairman Reed: Thank you, Senator Tuberville. Now let
me recognize Senator Peters, please.

Senator Peters: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and
gentlemen, thank you for being here today. Thank you for
your testimony. Thank you for your service.

8 Mr. Helvey, I will just start with a statement because I know you have been asked this question many times here 9 10 this morning, regarding Special Immigrant Visa program. And 11 I just wanted to add my two cents' worth. I appreciate your 12 willingness as you have committed to working on that. 13 Clearly folks who have been helping us over many years and 14 served this country, we have to make sure that they are 15 taken care of in a way that does not bring harm to 16 themselves or their families. So I appreciated your 17 commitment that you have already made here, and hope that 18 that will indeed occur.

My question to you first, Mr. Helvey, is the absence of Taliban attacks on U.S. and NATO personnel since May 1st indicates a capacity, it appears, to adhere to the agreements that were made with us. But the peace process is ultimately between the Taliban and the Afghan government. And my question to you is, how do we remain optimistic when over 400 pro-government forces and Afghan civilians were

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 killed just in the first two weeks of May?

2 Mr. Helvey: Senator, I would not say that I am 3 optimistic. I would say that work still remains to be done, 4 and that the best future for Afghanistan will arrive through 5 a negotiated peace settlement, and that is something that б we, as a department and as a government, are continuing and 7 committed to supporting. This is critically important work, 8 but fundamentally the best path to a safe, secure, and more 9 prosperous future in Afghanistan is going to be the Afghan 10 people themselves sitting down and determining their future. 11 Senator Peters: Indeed a challenge. It is a

12 significant one going forward.

General, the Joint Doctrine of Stability lists four fundamentals of stabilization, which I am sure you are very familiar with: unity of effort, conflict transformation, host nation ownership, and capacity. By the measure of the Joint Doctrine for Stability, is Afghanistan currently stable, and if not, how would that relate to these four fundamentals of stabilization?

General Trollinger: Senator, I think that Afghanistan is clearly very challenged right now in those different areas, given the pressure that the Taliban are exerting in different areas of the country and the challenges that the government of Afghanistan and the Taliban face with their efforts to get after a negotiated peace settlement.

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

Senator Peters: Mr. Helvey, in addition to funding
 capabilities and paying salary, will the Department of
 Defense continue institutional capacity building, such as
 the Military Defense Advisor Program, going forward?

5 Mr. Helvey: Senator, I think those capabilities or those programs will have to be adjusted. I think the 6 7 principal vehicles that we are going to be looking to 8 support is the salaries, support for the Afghan Air Force and Special Mission Wing, and then the logistics and supply. 9 10 Now how we do that type of training and mentoring can be 11 adjusted. So we are looking at different mechanisms and 12 ways to be able to do that. Some of that can be done over 13 the horizon. We would also look at maintain some type of 14 security cooperation, you know, presence, which is typical 15 and traditional through an embassy, that could allow us to 16 maintain those types of connections and the ministry level. 17 Senator Peters: Very good. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 18 Chairman Reed: Thank you, Senator Peters. Let me 19 recognize Senator Hawley, please.

20 Senator Hawley: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thanks to 21 both of the witnesses for being here. Thank you for your 22 service.

23 Mr. Helvey, let me start with you. Can you give me 24 your assessment of whether our withdrawal in Afghanistan 25 will allow the Department to focus more resources and

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 attention on our pacing theater, the Indo-Pacific, and China
2 in particular?

Mr. Helvey: I think the intent would be that yes, as we withdraw our forces and reduce our commitment in Afghanistan, maintaining the ability to monitor Afghanistan for counterterrorist threats, that resources that we would be able to accrue we would be able to distribute to our other areas, including Indo-Pacific, where we face the pacing challenge.

10 Senator Hawley: And I assume, just on that point, that 11 when you talk about distributing resources elsewhere, I 12 assume that PACOM and the China challenge, in particular, 13 would be at the top of the list in terms of receiving any 14 resources that were freed up. Is that fair to say?

Mr. Helvey: Senator, I cannot speak for the Secretary's decision on that, but I know he has spoken about looking to redistribute resources to our pacing challenge.

18 Senator Hawley: Very good. General McKenzie said last 19 month, Mr. Helvey, that he is "concerned about the ability 20 of the Afghan military to hold on after we leave" -- that is 21 a quote from him -- knowing that if the Afghan military 22 collapses the Afghan government probably would too. So with 23 that in mind, is the Department currently developing plans for achieving our counterterrorism objectives should the 24 25 Afghan government collapse?

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 Mr. Helvey: Senator, yes, we are. We are looking at 2 developing mechanisms or plans for doing over-the-horizon 3 counterterrorism capabilities, but also we are looking at 4 ways of provide continued support and assistance to our 5 Afghan partners, even after we conclude our retrograde plan. б Senator Hawley: Let me ask you about some of the 7 partners. Is the Department considering reconstituting the 8 Northern Alliance, or finding other ways to work with local 9 partners there in Afghanistan to achieve our CT objectives? 10 Mr. Helvey: Senator, our principal focus is working 11 with the government in Kabul, and how we would do that, 12 those plans are still being developed, and I would be happy to talk in a little bit more detail in a closed session. 13 14 Senator Hawley: Fair enough. You said last week, Mr. 15 Helvey, that sustained funding for the Afghan Security 16 Forces is going to be critical for achieving our CT objectives in Afghanistan. Let me just ask you, though, 17 18 given the, I would say, notable lack of success we have had 19 thus far with the Afghan Security Forces, in terms of 20 standing them up, achieving a high level of efficiency and 21 output, under what conditions are we willing to say, would 22 you say, that the Afghan Security Forces are no longer 23 effective and U.S. funding for them ought to be reduced or 24 eliminated?

25 Mr. Helvey: Senator, I would say that we have had some

**Trustpoint**.One Alderson

www.trustpoint.one www.aldersonreporting.com 800.FOR.DEPO (800.367.3376) tremendous success in working with the Afghan forces. The Afghan Air Force's Special Mission Wing, in particular, and the Afghan Special Forces have been very close partners for us through our CT missions.

5 To your point, though, there will be a time, and this 6 has to be how we look at what the criteria would be for 7 adjusting our security force assistance planning, and that is part of the work that we are doing today in concert with 8 9 the United States Central Command Joint Staff and our 10 presence in Kabul, to look at what the different criteria 11 would be, or indicators would be, to adjust how we provide 12 that type of assistance, and to whom.

Senator Hawley: So has the Department developed criteria then for adjusting or terminating the forces fund, the Afghan Security Forces Fund?

Mr. Helvey: No decisions have been made yet but we are doing prudent planning right now to look at how we would be able to provide that and how we may look to change it, in terms of, you know, as the security conditions change.

20 Senator Hawley: No decisions have been made but you 21 have developed criteria for assessing our continued support 22 and what we might do going forward, in terms of continuing 23 or terminating the fund. Is that right?

Mr. Helvey: I think that work is still being developed. I understand, from General McKenzie, that he

intends to provide a lot of his recommendations to the
 Secretary by the end of this month, so I would like to let
 that process continue.

4 Senator Hawley: I am asking because we spend to the 5 tune of \$4 billion a year on this objective. That is not an б insignificant chunk of change. That is, in fact, about what 7 we spend each year on the Pacific Deterrence Initiative, if I am not mistaken. Has the Department done an analysis of 8 9 whether we can achieve our counterterrorism objectives in 10 Afghanistan if the Afghan Security Forces are no longer viable, if they collapse? 11

12 Mr. Helvey: In the absence of a capable and willing 13 partner, our ability to do our CT objectives there in 14 Afghanistan becomes significantly harder. But as the 15 General indicated, based on historic precedent, we have been 16 able to conduct counterterrorism operations exclusively from 17 over the horizon. However, having, as I said, a willing and 18 capable partner in Afghanistan is a critical piece of our CT 19 capabilities. If that goes away it becomes much harder,

20 greater risk, and it will be more costly.

21 Senator Hawley: This is my last question, Mr. 22 Chairman, just on that point. The partner may be the 23 Security Forces or it may be others. It may be the Northern 24 Alliance. It may be other allies or partners -- I mean, not 25 allies -- partners, in-country, though, right? I mean, it

1 doesn't have to be Security Forces. Is that right?

2 Mr. Helvey: History would indicate it does not have to
3 be the Security Forces.

Senator Hawley: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
Chairman Reed: Thank you, Senator Hawley. Senator
Blumenthal, please.

Senator Blumenthal: Thanks, Mr. Chairman. Thank you
both for your service and your testimony here today.

9 Mr. Helvey, in your answer to the ranking member's 10 question concerning the Special Immigrant Visa program, you 11 made mention of the need for legislative changes to the 12 program. I think we are very unified on this committee in 13 our resolve that we provide this access to people who have 14 put their lives and their families seriously at risk. And I 15 am wondering, what legislative changes you have in mind that 16 you would recommend we do, and would you be willing to provide this Committee with a specific proposal for those 17 18 changes that you envision?

Mr. Helvey: Senator, it is my understanding that the National Defense Authorization Act has historically been the vehicle through which we have received additional quotas or increased numbers for those that could participate in the Special Immigrant Visa program.

24 Senator Blumenthal: Well, that is right. They have 25 numbers, but I understood your answer to be including also

proposals for reforming perhaps the criteria or the procedure of other aspects.

Mr. Helvey: My statement, Senator, was speaking specifically to the numbers and the resources that are necessary to be able to execute the Special Immigrant Visa program.

7 Senator Blumenthal: So you would recommend more8 resources.

9 Mr. Helvey: Yes, Senator. There is a resource 10 requirement.

Senator Blumenthal: Let me ask both of you. I know 11 12 there has been a lot of talk so far this morning about kinetic efforts, antiterrorist efforts after our withdrawal 13 14 in Afghanistan. I want to focus on the non-kinetic efforts, 15 such as limitations on international travel, fundraising, 16 financing, fund transfers, and what can be done to mobilize both our resources against it and multilateral organization 17 18 efforts?

Mr. Helvey: Well, Senator, as I indicated, we have learned a lot over the past 20 years in terms of how to go after and defeat international terrorist groups. There are things that we have done domestically, and there are things that we are already doing internationally, with our partners. I think to the extent that we continue to identify ways and those tools that we can use unilaterally

**Trustpoint**.One Alderson.

1 or in concert with allies and partners to better illuminate 2 terrorist threat networks and then prosecute them, I think 3 that is necessary and important. Whether it is things like 4 financial action task force work to be able to go to after 5 terrorist funding and fundraising, or trying to harmonize б our work for air travel or these types of ways to be able to 7 close any vulnerabilities that we may have in identifying potential terrorists and preventing them from being able to 8 9 travel, fundraise, recruit, train, plan, and execute 10 operations against us.

Senator Blumenthal: How well are our allies doing in cooperating with us in trying to stop the transfer of money, the financing?

Mr. Helvey: Senator, I think the Department of Treasury would be best positioned to answer those types of questions, as they are the lead in terrorist financing.

17 Senator Blumenthal: In what areas are you the lead? 18 Mr. Helvey: Sir, I advise the Secretary of Defense on 19 matters of defense policy and strategy. And so with respect 20 to our defense policy, strategy, our operations, the 21 resources that we have in supporting our Afghan Defense and 22 Security Force partners, cooperation with allies and 23 partners in counterterrorism policy, that is where my --24 Senator Blumenthal: Well, the Taliban reportedly earns 25 \$200 million or more from drugs, illegal timber, pistachios,

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 taxes imposed on the local population, and there are revenue 2 streams from funding sources, all of it going to support 3 military operations. How satisfied are you that we are 4 using every tool we have to combat that flow of funding?

5 Mr. Helvey: Senator, I think you have highlighted one 6 of the key challenges in Afghanistan has been trying to 7 reduce the Taliban's access to resources. Part of the South Asia strategy was focused on looking at better ways of going 8 after, in particular, the drug network within Afghanistan, 9 10 to be able to prevent the Taliban from gaining access to 11 those resources. This has been a very difficult problem 12 that has persisted over the past 20 years.

I think there is more work that can be done. That will be much more difficult, obviously, if we are not there. So we will be reliant on working with the Afghan government to address that, to be able to maintain the law enforcement and the counternarcotics work within their own country.

Senator Blumenthal: Thank you. Thanks, Mr. Chairman.
 Chairman Reed: Thank you, Senator Blumenthal. Now let
 me recognize, via Webex, Senator Warren, please.

Senator Warren: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank
you, Mr. Helvey and General Trollinger, for being here.

The U.S. first sent troops to Afghanistan to root out al Qaeda and their Taliban host and to prevent them from using Afghanistan as a haven to launch another 9/11-type

1 attack. That was 20 years ago, and we accomplished that 2 limited objective rather quickly. But then our military 3 took on more and more responsibility, from building an 4 entire Afghan National Army from scratch, to stemming the 5 drug trade, to fighting Afghan government corruption.

General Trollinger, we have been training the Afghan
Security Forces for more than a decade now. We have been
providing them with the best equipment, with hands-on
training, and enabling their operations with American air
power. So have the Taliban and associated militant groups
had access to that level of assistance?

General Trollinger: Senator, I do not believe, if I understand your question correctly, they have not, to my knowledge, have any access to that sort of --

15 Senator Warren: Right. Okay. So here we are, that we 16 have given all this assistance to the Afghan Army. The 17 Taliban has not had that kind of help. And yet the Taliban 18 prevented us from achieving anything close to the security 19 conditions that we have been seeking, or else we would have 20 left long ago. So does that suggest it is a bigger than 21 just a military problem?

Let me ask you, Mr. Helvey, does corruption remain an endemic problem in the Afghan government?

24 Mr. Helvey: Senator, corruption is a problem in

25 Afghanistan.

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

Senator Warren: Okay. And does the government still
 lack the public support necessary for it to govern
 effectively across the country?

Mr. Helvey: The Afghan government does maintain
popular support. I think that support, though, is not
evenly distributed across the country.

7 Senator Warren: So I take it the answer to the 8 question, does it have public support necessary for it to 9 govern effectively across the country, I take it your answer 10 then is no, they do not.

11 Mr. Helvey: Senator, the presence of an insurgency, 12 the presence of the Taliban indicates that the Afghan 13 government does not have control or popular support 14 everywhere in the country. They do maintain large support 15 within the major population centers and in large parts of 16 the country, but the Taliban --

17 Senator Warren: Right. So they have partial support. 18 Did the Afghan government's inability to govern effectively 19 inspire support amongst the population and give the Taliban 20 space to grow and build support? In other words, the 21 Taliban has done well, and is part of the reason for that 22 because the Afghan government has not been able to govern 23 effectively and inspire support among the population across 24 the country?

25 Mr. Helvey: I think there are a lot of different
factors that influence the Taliban's ability to maintain its presence and support locally. Part of that has to do with weaknesses within the Afghan government, but part of it also has to do with historical, cultural, tribal, you know, affiliations and relationships.

But to your point, I think the fact that the government has had challenges in maintaining popular support across the country has created a space for the Taliban to continue to grow and operate and present challenges to the government.

10 Senator Warren: But look at what you are saying. The 11 root of Afghanistan's problems are political and cultural in 12 nature. The United States military is the most powerful in 13 the world, but our military alone is not responsible nor 14 designed for solving political problems.

15 It has been said before but it bears repeating again. 16 A conditions-based withdrawal was a recipe for staying 17 forever. And I am glad that President Biden recognized this 18 and has made the long-overdue decision to end our military 19 involvement in Afghanistan.

20

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Chairman Reed: Thank you very much, Senator Warren.
Let me recognize, via Webex, Senator Hirono.

23 Senator Hirono: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Secretary 24 Helvey, I know that you have been asked by a number of my 25 colleagues, their concerns of the Afghani women and

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

children. But I want to reiterate my concern and add to
 that of my colleagues.

Earlier this week, I joined a letter with my colleagues, Senator Shaheen and Senator Collins, to President Biden, asking him to appoint an ambassador-atlarge for global women's issues to serve as the senior administration's official responsible for coordinating U.S. government efforts for the protection of women's rights in Afghanistan.

10 So my question is, what is your assessment of the 11 Afghanistan government and security forces' ability to 12 protect girls' education? Let's just focus on education in 13 the country. Mr. Secretary?

14 Mr. Helvey: Senator, thank you for the question, and 15 thank you for your support for Afghan women and girls. 16 Yeah, I think we have been able to see significant progress in Afghanistan since 2001, advancing human rights and 17 opportunities specifically for women and girls, and 18 19 education is an important part of that. I think, you know, 20 the contributions that women have made in Afghanistan and 21 the progress that those contributions have enabled across 22 the society as a whole are remarkable.

We continue to work using the tools that we have as the Department of Defense to try to continue to promote the role of women in peace and security and the role of women in the

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

Afghan National Defense and Security Forces. I know the
 State Department and the U.S. Agency for International
 Development are looking at this through their resources,
 tools, mechanisms, and authorities.

I cannot really speak to, you know, the Afghan
government's ability to provide for education, but I can say
that in terms of what we have been doing with the Afghan
National Defense and Security Forces is to increasingly
promote the role of women as part of the ANDSF.

10 Senator Hirono: I am sorry, Mr. Secretary, but are you 11 saying that the Afghan Security Forces and the Afghan 12 government has, as a priority, the rights of women and girls 13 in Afghanistan? Is it a priority?

14 Mr. Helvey: Senator, it is a priority. It is built 15 into their constitution, and it is part of the development 16 of ANDSF. This is something that President Ghani has 17 highlighted, and this is something that I think, you know, 18 we, as part of the international community, have also 19 impressed upon the Taliban that we will be paying very 20 careful attention to how the Taliban treats women, girls, and minorities in Afghanistan. That is an important part of 21 22 our diplomacy in this space.

23 Senator Hirono: And I do not know what leverage we 24 have to change how Taliban treats women and girls, because 25 their track record is terrible. And the concern is, the

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

reality is that should they come back into power, which, to me, is a matter of when, not if, then I think that the rights and protections for women and girls in Afghanistan will go down the drain. And I really think that that is a realistic assessment of what is going to happen.

6 So, at the least, shouldn't we be appointing a single 7 ambassador-at-large, which remains a vacant position, to 8 serve as the administration's point person, especially for 9 those of us who want to very much be focused on what is 10 going to happen to Afghani women and girls after we leave? 11 Mr. Helvey: Senator, I am not familiar with your 12 specific proposal for an ambassador-at-large, but that is 13 something that I believe the State Department would be 14 looking at in concert with the White House.

15 Senator Hirono: So my colleague, Senator Warren, 16 talked about what exactly is happening in Afghanistan and 17 what the future looks like, because you mentioned tribal 18 And the first time I went to Afghanistan was back chiefs. 19 in 2006, 2007 or so. At that point we were told that the 20 Afghani Security Forces were being trained and they were 21 going to be able to take care of the security needs, et 22 cetera, of their country. And as Senator Warren pointed out, it remains not so. 23

And so Afghanistan, historically, has never had a central government. You have all these tribal chiefs who

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 are not about to listen to whatever is emanating from the 2 central government because that would mean they would be 3 giving up their power. So, you know, the political and 4 cultural aspects of Afghanistan is something that I do not 5 think our country really appreciated or understood very б well. And so these are issues that arise any time you go 7 into a foreign country where we do not necessarily assess accurately the cultural and political dynamics going on in 8 that country, and we certainly cannot swoop in and try to 9 10 make change that can be sustained, militarily. And I saying 11 the military, I am sure, did its best, but here we are. 12 So I do not know if my time is up, Mr. Chairman?

13 Chairman Reed: Yes, it is, Senator. We are trying to 14 move --

Senator Hirono: I cannot see the -- okay. Thank you.
Chairman Reed: Thank you very much, Senator Hirono.
Let me recognize Senator Sullivan.

18 Senator Sullivan: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Gentlemen, 19 thank you for your testimony here on a really difficult 20 topic. But I think the bottom line is, as this is being planned out, we need to plan for the worst, for the worst-21 22 case scenario, and I think the worst-case scenario, from my 23 perspective, reading the intel it does not seem like it is even an unlikely scenario, is that the government collapses 24 25 and the Taliban or some group related, could be in charge,

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 or that we see a civil war within the next several months. 2 Would you agree that is the worst-case scenario right now? 3 And how likely do you think that is? General, what do you 4 think?

General Trollinger: I acknowledge the range of
possible outcomes to include that one that you have just
described.

8 Senator Sullivan: Mr. Helvey?

9 Mr. Helvey: Senator, I would be happy to discuss in a 10 little bit more detail in the classified setting, 11 intelligence assessments. But I think you have described a

12 range of outcomes, as the General indicated, that we are 13 looking at.

14 Senator Sullivan: So it seems to me, let's assume a 15 worst-case scenario, civil war or Taliban, or, God forbid, 16 al Qaeda in charge again, of that country, a terrorist 17 potential safe haven. So, General, how far along are we on 18 kind of -- I know it has already been discussed, but a CT 19 presence, capability? Because I think everybody here 20 agrees, having this country, Afghanistan, as a safe haven 21 for major violent extremist organizations, particularly al 22 Qaeda or ISIS or the Taliban, is not in the interest of the 23 United States at all. So how far along are we on dealing with the capability to address that, primarily having either 24 25 an over-the-horizon CT capability or a CT capability from a

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

## 1 neighboring country?

2 General Trollinger: Senator, that planning and coordination is ongoing. I cannot characterize how far 3 4 along we are other than to say that the intent is to 5 maintain seamless capability, what we have currently in б Afghanistan, as we transition that to other place in the 7 region to, again, ensure that we are able to meet our overarching objectives of preventing al Qaeda or other 8 9 terrorist organizations from flourishing in Afghanistan. 10 Senator Sullivan: So let me turn to another element, 11 given the worst-case scenario, and I know it has already 12 been discussed but I think it is an important one. I want to highlight, Mr. Chairman, an op-ed written by your Senate 13 14 colleague and mine, Senator Sheldon Whitehouse of Rhode 15 Island, who wrote an opinion piece. "As the U.S. withdraws from Afghanistan, what is our plan for the aftermath? 16 17 Chairman Reed: Would you like it included in the 18 record? 19 Senator Sullivan: Yes, sir. 20 Chairman Reed: Without objection. 21 [The information follows:] 22 [COMMITTEE INSERT] 23 24

25

1 Senator Sullivan: So I will ask this to both of you. 2 When General McKenzie was testifying here a couple of weeks 3 ago I asked him the question, if he thought countries had 4 honor. Does the United States have honor? He said, "Yes, I 5 would agree with that." And I do think that if we are б looking at a worst-case scenario, you know, in Vietnam, all 7 told, 123,000 South Vietnamese civilians and military personnel ended up in U.S. custody for processing as 8 9 refugees.

10 I think if a year or two from now anybody who 11 cooperated with our military forces in Afghanistan is being 12 hunted down or killed, this would be a horrible thing, of 13 course, and if we have the ability to prevent that, kind of 14 the way we tried to in Vietnam, on a big scale, I think it 15 is in the interest of our nation to do that. I think it 16 goes to the honor of our country. These are people who have 17 sacrificed, risked their lives, to help us when we were 18 there. And if they are going to be at risk we should try to 19 do something to help them.

General, Mr. Helvey, do you agree with that, and do we have plans to do that, as a large scale, the way we did in Vietnam?

23 Mr. Helvey: Senator, I do agree. We do have a moral 24 obligation to help those that have helped us over the past 25 20 years, and we are working intensely with our interagency colleagues to identify those mechanisms and the resources
required to provide that type of assistance. We have talked
here about the Special Immigrant Visa program. There are
other authorities that we can use with respect to assisting
those Afghans that provide significant public benefit. They
are for humanitarian parole purposes.

So yes, this is important. This is an important thing that we should do. And if the security conditions deteriorate and if we are given an order to take other means and other mechanisms, we would have the ability to do that.

11 Senator Sullivan: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

12 Chairman Reed: Thank you, Senator. Let me now13 recognize, via Webex, Senator Duckworth.

14 Senator Duckworth: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I want to 15 second Senator Sullivan's last comment. It is imperative 16 that we do not leave those who have risked their lives and 17 the lives of their family members behind. It was not just 18 in Vietnam that we helped reach out to those who helped 19 American troops. But we also, President Clinton actually 20 sent in an aircraft and airlifted many Kurds who were being 21 hunted and killed by Saddam Hussein in the early '90s after 22 the first Gulf War, and brought those Kurds to safety.

23 So, Senator Sullivan, if you are going to do any work 24 on this, I would be happy to join you on this effort.

25 Along those lines, with not leaving folks behind, I do

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 want to bring up a discussion on a matter of utmost 2 importance in Illinois. That is the safe return of my 3 constituent, Mark Frerichs. Mr. Frerichs is an Illinoian 4 and a Navy veteran. He lived in Kabul for years, working as 5 a civilian contractor, before he was kidnapped on January б 31, 2020. And with our military relying more and more on 7 contractors, these Americans who are there as contractors 8 are there on behalf of us, but lack the protections that our 9 troops have when they are in places like Afghanistan.

10 The information that we have right now indicates that 11 the Taliban or its affiliates, likely the Haqqani Network, 12 are holding Mr. Frerichs in Afghanistan or Pakistan. He was kidnapped on January 31, 2020. We believe, at the moment, 13 14 that he remains in okay physical health, whatever that 15 means. Mr. Frerichs' family is terrified by his ongoing 16 captivity and have advocated tirelessly for his safe return. 17 They were deeply disappointed that the Trump administration 18 failed to use the February 20, 2020, deal with the Taliban 19 to secure Mr. Frerichs' release, and the recent announcement 20 that we will be withdrawing all troops from Afghanistan has 21 heightened their fear, as they understandably question 22 whether we will have any leverage to secure Mr. Frerichs' 23 return once we no longer have a military presence in 24 Afghanistan.

25

And I have repeatedly raised Mr. Frerichs' case with

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

members of this administration, in letters with my
colleague, Senator Durbin, in briefings with Cabinet
officials, and a call with the lead negotiator with the
Taliban, and with President Biden himself. Everyone has
assured me that they are aware of Mr. Frerichs' and are
raising his status in ongoing diplomatic negotiations with
the Taliban.

And I hope that, consistent with precedent such as the late Ambassador Richard Holbrooke used in the Dayton Accord negotiations as leverage to secure the release of a kidnapped American, I hope that the Biden administration will prioritize every avenue available to achieve the release of Mr. Frerichs.

Gentlemen, we cannot fail at this mission, and we certainly cannot abandon an American citizen behind in Afghanistan. We must pursue every path available to make sure that Mark Frerichs is safely return to his family in Illinois.

And now OSD has a longstanding relationship with Pakistan, and, in fact, Secretary Austin just spoke with Pakistan's Chief of Army Staff a few weeks ago, on April 28th, and Haqqani Network operates on the Afghanistan-Pakistani border, and there is a good chance that Mr. Frerichs is actually in Pakistan right now. We should be leveraging our relationships with Pakistan to help secure

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

Mr. Frerichs' return. And I discussed this issue with the
 Pakistani ambassador a few weeks ago, and he agreed to take
 the message back to Islamabad.

The DoD presently has an opportunity to use senior leader engagements and our other interactions with the Pakistani military to raise Mark's case and seek Pakistan's assistance in securing his return.

8 Mr. Helvey, I know this was a long preamble but it was very important, certainly important for Mr. Frerichs and his 9 10 family. Mr. Helvey, as the Acting Assistant Secretary of 11 Defense for Indo-Pacific Security Affairs, you are the 12 principal advisor to top DoD leadership on issues related to 13 Pakistan. Will you commit to pursuing opportunities to 14 advocate for Mr. Frerichs and seek his return throughout 15 cooperation with Pakistan's military?

16 Mr. Helvey: Senator, absolutely.

17 Senator Duckworth: Thank you. Withdrawing our troops 18 from Afghanistan without securing the safe return of my 19 constituent, Mr. Frerichs, would be an abject failure of the 20 United States Government to rescue an American citizen, a 21 Navy veteran, somebody who was there because the DoD decided 22 to use more contractors, and an Illinoian who served his 23 country, as I said, in uniform. Now is the time to redouble 24 our efforts to make sure that we secure Mr. Frerichs' safe 25 release and bring him home.

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

Thank you so much. I yield back, Mr. Chairman.

Chairman Reed: Thank you very much, Senator Duckworth.
Let me how recognize, via Webex, Senator Rosen.

4 Senator Rosen: Thank you, Chair Reed. I appreciate 5 it, Ranking Member Inhofe. This is a really important 6 hearing. Thank you to the witnesses. And I want to start 7 by expressing my gratitude and my respect to the hundreds of 8 thousands of U.S. troops who bravely served in Afghanistan, including members of my own team and the brave men and women 9 10 of the Nevada National Guard. I am particularly thinking of 11 the 20,722 troops who bear the scars of battle, as we 12 prepare for Memorial Day, the over 2,400 U.S. military and 13 civilian personnel who did not come home, and their families 14 who mourn their loss every day.

15 We have to talk a little bit about the continued 16 support for the Afghan. You know, in President Biden's 17 Afghanistan withdrawal announcement, he stated that we are 18 going to reorganize our counterterrorism capabilities and 19 the assets in the region to prevent a re-emergence of the 20 threat to our homeland from over the horizon, and that we 21 will continue to support of government in Afghanistan and 22 keep providing assistance to the Afghan National Defense and 23 Security Forces.

24 So General Trollinger, What is the plan for an enduring 25 counterterrorism strategy that we will be able to address

**Trustpoint**.One Alderson.

1

and counter the influence of the violent extremist organizations which directly influences the stability of the Afghan government? And how we establish or build up an existing presence elsewhere to continue counterterrorism operations?

6 General Trollinger: Senator, that planning and 7 coordination is ongoing currently, and the intent is to 8 maintain a seamless transition from the capability that we 9 currently have in Afghanistan to other areas and locations 10 in the region so that we can continue to meet our objectives 11 there.

12 Senator Rosen: I appreciate that. So Mr. Helvey, 13 building on that, what will the U.S. assistance to 14 Afghanistan look like after withdrawal? We are talking 15 about looking ahead. We established agreements to fly our 16 U.S. air assets from neighboring countries like Tajikistan, 17 Uzbekistan, Turkmenistan, or others to provide the Afghans 18 with the possible air support that they may need.

Mr. Helvey: Senator, thank you for that question. In terms of support to Afghan forces, we are looking at ways to be able to adjust that to an over-the-horizon type of support, things that we can do that does not require a large-scale military presence in that country.

24 With respect to access basing and overflight, those 25 negotiations are ongoing within the region, to be able to

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

provide those types of arrangements that could enable us to do that from within the region.

As I had indicated in a response to a previous question, we do already have significant capability resident within the Persian Gulf region that can provide that. Now, granted, that is a little bit farther away, but we would certainly augment that, and look to augment that, with arrangements that would be within the region closer to Afghanistan.

10 Senator Rosen: Thank you. And I think another 11 important thing that we have to think about is countering 12 our adversaries, because as has been discussed today, the decision to negotiate with the Taliban, withdraw from 13 14 Afghanistan raises concerns about the vulnerability of not 15 only the Afghan government, the danger to freedom, women's 16 rights, civil society, and, of course, Taliban's increasing territorial reach. 17

And so, Mr. Helvey, can you please talk to us about Iran, Russia, and China, how you think they are going to come in and fill the vacuum created by our department, and what implications might that have for us?

22 Mr. Helvey: I think it is clear that there are a 23 number of different countries around the region that do have 24 interests and that have the potential to exercise malign 25 influence in Afghanistan. Part of what we want to be able

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

to do, in working with our Afghan partners, is to be able to ensure that the Afghan government has the ability to provide for security and economic development, and to be able to mitigate the extent to which other actors would exercise malign influence in that country.

I think, you know, China does have an interest in Afghanistan. As we talked about before, there was economic interest. They do have concern about extremist groups operating in Afghanistan. But to the extent that China's influence could be used to undermine stability instead of reinforce stability or support stability, obviously that is something that we have got concern about.

13 Iran as well. Iran will likely seek to exercise 14 influence in negative ways in Afghanistan, although I think 15 it is largely to potentially frustrate and complicate our 16 withdrawal. And I think that is something that we need to 17 maintain persistent vigilance of as we are executing our 18 retrograde.

Senator Rosen: Well, I appreciate it. I look forward to discussing these issues in a little deeper fashion with all of you going forward.

22 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. My time is up.

23 Chairman Reed: Thank you, Senator Rosen. With that we 24 are completing the open session of this hearing. I would 25 ask the witnesses to move to Room SD-217 in the Capitol so

Trustpoint.One Alderson.

1 we can conduct the classified portion of this. 2 Let me thank the witnesses for their excellent 3 testimony. Let me ask my colleagues to assemble in the 4 President's Room, either before or after you vote, as 5 quickly as possible so we may vote out the nominations of б Mr. McCord for the Comptroller and Mr. Moultrie for Under 7 Secretary for Intelligence. 8 With that I will adjourn the open portion of the 9 hearing, and again, thank you, gentlemen, for your 10 testimony. 11 [Whereupon, at 12:06 p.m., the hearing was adjourned.] 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25